```
Version 2005-Oct-06 Codebook
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION FILE
2000 PRE-POST STUDY
(2000.T)
==============================
VERSION Process.1. ANES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA
        Numeric
    Process1.
        VERSION NUMBER
```


This is the 2-digit number corresponding to version
of the data file. (The initial release of the raw data
file is data version 01.) See the introductory section
of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset".
==============================
DSETID Process.2. ANES DATASET NUMBER
Character

Process2

ANES DATASET NUMBER

Dataset number 2000.T
See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset" numbers.
=============================
ICPSRNO Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131
Numeric

Process2a.

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131

This is the study number within the ICPSR archive.

```
==============================
V000001 Process.4. Case ID
    Numeric
```

Process. 4.

ANES 2000 CASE ID

ANES 2000 Case ID corresponds to the Pre-election ID. There are gaps in the numbering (0001-1812)

```
==============================
V000001a Process.4a. Post ID Numeric
```

Process.4a.

POST ID
$\qquad$

There are gaps in the numbering.
0001-1557 Pos Interviews
2001-2254 Post non-interviews

```
==============================
V000002 Process.5. Sample weight
    Numeric DEC 4
Process. 5.
Sample weight
```

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.
=============================
V000002a Process.5a. Post weight
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Process.5a.
Sample weight for Post variables
This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and
4 actual decimal places.
0. No Post IW
=============================
V000003 Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post
Numeric
Process. 6.
Pre only or Pre-and-Post
0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews
1. Pre-Election Interview only

|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | --- |
|  | 1555 | 252 |

```
===============================
    Pre-Admin.1.
    PRE: Mode of Pre IW
    -------------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. Personal (FTF)
    5. Telephone
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 801 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000005a Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
V000005a Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Admin.2a.
PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre
Section E questions about R's personal financial situation
were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre
section E or Post section H.
0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H V001410-V001417]
1. Section E administered in Pre [V000398-V000406]

|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 880 | 927 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000005b Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
V000005b Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-Admin.2b.
    PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample
    -------------------------------------------------------------------------
        The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important
        problem" was administered to a randomly selected half
        sample in the Pre
        0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
        1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [V000431-V000438]
            0 1
        Count 902 905
```

```
==============================
V000005c Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15
        Numeric
    Pre-Admin.2c.
    PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or
    Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series
```

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which $R$ was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective V000807-V000846]
2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits [V000847-V000861]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 891 | 916 |

```
V000005d Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con
    Numeric
```

Pre-Admin.2d.
PRE: Form - G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T or G6.E-G10.E Lib/Con format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).
SELF PLACEMENT
G1a Standard format FTF = V000439
G1a.T Standard format Ph = V000439a
G6.E-G6c.E Experimental FTF+Ph $=$ V000442-445
CLINTON PLACEMENT
G2 Standard format FTF = V000448
G2.T Standard format $\mathrm{Ph}=\mathrm{V} 000448 \mathrm{a}$
G7.E-G7b.E Experimental FTF+Ph $=$ V000450-452
GORE PLACEMENT
G3 Standard format FTF = V000455
G3.T Standard format Ph = V000455a
G8.E-G8b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000459-461
GW BUSH PLACEMENT
G4 Standard format FTF = V000465
G4.T Standard format $\mathrm{Ph}=\mathrm{V} 000465 \mathrm{a}$
G9.E-G9b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000469-471
BUCHANAN PLACEMENT
G5 Standard format FTF = V000475
G5.T Standard format Ph = V000475a

G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000479-481

1. Standard format G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T (FTF/phone)
2. Experimental format G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone)

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 868 | 939 |

```
=============================== (H1 or H1.E
            Numeric
    Pre-Admin.2e.
    PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format
```

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [V000488a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [V000488b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 908 | 899 |

```
==============================
V000005f Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Admin.2f.
    PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format
    Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
    (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.
    1. Standard format H1 [V000492a]
    2. Experimental format H1.E [V000492b]
    |  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 901 | 906 |

==============================
V000005g Pre.Admin. 2 g . Form - H4 or H4.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin. 2 g.
PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [V000496a]
2. Experimental format H4.E [V000496b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 911 | 896 |

```
==============================- P11 or H11.E
            Numeric
    Pre-Admin.2h.
    PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format
```

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

1. Standard format H11 [V000511a]
2. Experimental format H11.E [V000511b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |

```
============================== 
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Admin. 2 j.
    PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format
    Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [V000513a]
2. Experimental format H12.E [V000513b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ | --- |

==============================
V000005k Pre.Admin. 2 k . Form - L3 medical insur. Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.
PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.
PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [V000608a/V000610a]
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [V000608b/V000610b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 891 | 916 |

```
==============================
V000005m Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E
        Numeric
```

    Pre-Admin. 2m.
    PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [V000671a]
2. Experimental format L6.E [V000671b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 880 | 927 |



Pre-Admin.2n.
PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.
PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2 . The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [V000707a/V000709a]
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [V000707b/V000709b]
```
            1 2
Count 875 932
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000005p Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
V000005p Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Admin.2p.
Pre-Admin.2p.
PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format
PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.
1. Standard format N1 [V000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [V000741b]

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----1 | ---- |
|  | 882 | 925 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
V000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
        Numeric
    Pre-Admin.2q.
    PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format
        FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned
        to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role
        self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard
        format is P1a; the experimental format (without "haven't
        thought much" option) is P1a.E.
        PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to
        either version 1 or version 2 . The version 1 format is
        P1a.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought
        much" option) is P1a.TE.
    1. FTF Standard P1a / Phone Version 1 P1a.T [V000754a/V000756a]
    2. FTF Experimental P1a.E/Phone Version 2 P1a.TE [V000754b/V000756b]
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 2 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 902 & 905
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V000006 & Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
    Pre-Admin.3.
    PRE: Month of Interview
```

9. September
10. October
11. November

|  | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 831 | 714 | 262 |

==============================
V000007 Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW Numeric

Pre-Admin. 4.

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

V000008 Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD) Character

Pre-Admin. 5.
PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

```
==============================
V000009 Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
        Numeric
    Pre-Admin.6.
    PRE: Number of days before election
    Election day was November 7, 2000.
    1-63 days
```



```
V000010 Pre.Admin.7. IW length
            MD: EQ 999.99
            Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Admin.7.
        PRE: Interview length
    Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the interview as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
    Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes.
```

Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

```
==============================
V000011 Pre.Admin.8. IW number
        Numeric
```

    Pre-Admin. 8.
    PRE: Interview number
    01-53
    =============================
V000012 Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
Numeric
Pre-Admin. 9.
PRE: Date of beginning VQ file
This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began.
Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in
the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in
use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview
was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to
the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some
questions would not be the VQ coded here.
0. VQ dated $8 / 15$

1. VQ dated $8 / 30$
2. VQ dated $9 / 5$
3. VQ dated $9 / 6$
4. VQ dated $9 / 8$
5. VQ dated $9 / 25$
6. VQ dated $9 / 28$
7. VQ dated $9 / 29$
8. VQ dated $10 / 5$
9. VQ dated $10 / 19$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 6 | 45 | 41 | 183 | 430 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 103 | 26 | 191 | 338 | 444 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000013 | Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file |
|  | MD: EQ 99 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-Admin. 10.
PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).
0. VQ dated $8 / 15$

1. $V Q$ dated $8 / 30$
2. VQ dated $9 / 5$
3. VQ dated $9 / 6$
4. VQ dated $9 / 8$
5. VQ dated $9 / 25$
6. VQ dated $9 / 28$
7. VQ dated $9 / 29$
8. VQ dated $10 / 5$
9. VQ dated $10 / 19$
10. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 31 | 34 | 171 | 426 | 101 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 99 |  |
| Count | 24 | 196 | 342 | 471 | 6 |  |

```
==============================
V000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Admin. 11.
    PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.
0. No change

1. Change in VQ
2. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |
|  | 1694 | 107 | 6 |

```
==============================
V000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent? MD: EQ 9
```

Numeric
Pre-Admin. 12.
PRE: Advance letter sent?
0. No advance letter sent

1. Advance letter sent
2. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 335 | 1467 | 5 |

```
==============================
V000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount
    MD: EQ 99
    Numeric
    Pre-Admin.13.
        PRE: Payment amount
```


00. R requested no payment
20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars
99. NA

|  | 0 | 20 | 40 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 8 | 1396 | 389 | 14 |

=============================
V000017 Pre.Admin. 14. Payment mode
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Admin. 14.
PRE: Payment mode
0. R requested no payment
1. Check
2. Cash
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 8 | 1615 | 169 | 15 |

```
V000018 Pre.Admin.15. Payment date
    MD: EQ 9999
    Character
    Pre-Admin.15.
    PRE: Payment date (MMDD)
    9999. NA; R requested no payment
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
V000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Admin.16.
PRE: Tape recorded?
--------------------------------------------------------------------
New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to
their field supervisor.
1. Yes
5. No

|  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | 5 |
|  | 161 | 1646 |

==============================
V000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification
Numeric
Pre-Admin. 17.
PRE: Verification
Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.
Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter (FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/ response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the interview and whether or not the interview was interesting; in addition , 3 questions were asked about content of the interview. NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered a non-match.
0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification

```

1．Flagged for verification（interview number selected）
2．Verified OK（all verification data match original data exactly）
3．Verified with discrepancy（only one piece of verification data does not match；everything else matches exactly）
4．Not verified（more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case；further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code）
5．Unable to verify（ R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached； this includes：reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent，and includes sending the verification latter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts）
6．Verification limit met（used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level）．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1509 & 15 & 246 & 22 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & \\
\hline Count & 3 & 10 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000021 Pre．Admin．18．Evaluation Numeric

Pre－Admin． 18.
PRE：Evaluation

0．Not evaluated
1．Flagged
2．Evaluated
3．Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4．Cannot evaluate
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1694 & 1 & 102 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000022 Pre．Admin．19．Conversion indicator Numeric

Pre－Admin． 19.
PRE：Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact． The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent；result code 43 ［contact，resistance by R］or 46 ［resistance not by R］for any call（not the final
result code) turns this indicator on.
Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.
0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ---- & ----- \\
& 1534 & 273
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000023 Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Admin. 20.
        PRE: Persuasion letter - flag
        0. No persuasion letter sent
        1. Persuasion letter sent
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 9 \\
& Count & ------- & --- \\
& 1716 & 90 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000024 Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
MD: EQ 9999
Character

```
    Pre-Admin. 21.
    PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)
    9999. No persuasion letter requested
=============================
V000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
        MD: EQ 9999
        Character
    Pre-Admin. 22.
        PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)
        9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)
=============================
V000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Admin.23.
PRE: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home
8. NA
9. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1717 | 33 | 4 | 23 |
|  | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Count | ---- | ----1 | ---- |  |
|  | 4 | 3 | 23 |  |

ニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニ=
V000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
Numeric
Pre－Admin． 24.
PRE：Number of telephone calls
00－42
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000028 Pre．Admin．25．FTF calls
Numeric
Pre－Admin． 25.
PRE：Number of face－to－face（FTF）calls
00－22
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000029 Pre．Admin．26．Final result
Numeric
Pre－Admin． 26.
PRE：Final result

```

1．Interview，complete
5. Interview, partial
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 5 \\
Count & ------ \\
& 1801 & 6
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000030 Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
Numeric
Pre-Admin.27.
Sample release (all 1)
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
All cases coded 1.
There was a single release of the entire sample.
1. Released at start of the field period
1
Count 1807

```
============================
V000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)
    Numeric
    Pre-Admin. 28.
        PRE: Language of IW (all English)
    All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)
    1. English
            1
            -----
=============================
V000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
            Numeric
    Pre-CSheet. 1.
        PRE - COVERSHEET:
        Flag- missing Coversheet

        0. Coversheet not missing
        1. Missing coversheet
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 1783 & 24
\end{tabular}
```

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=
V000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.2.
PRE - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet
FTF coversheets were blue or green.
Telephone coversheets were yellow.
GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating
the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition
of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for
example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have
noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete
apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each
interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a
provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the
listed address.
1. Blue
2. Yellow
4. Green - FTF type II updating
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 984 | 794 | 5 | 24 |

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.3.
IF TELEPHONE RDD:
PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a
computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business,
a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence.
(Which is it?)
1. Business
2. Home
3. Both
4. Group headquarters
5. Cell phone
9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

```

```

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=

```
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000035 Pre.cSheet.4. PH- business with residence
V000035 Pre.cSheet.4. PH- business with residence
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.4.
    Pre-CSheet.4.
    IF TELEHONE RDD:
    IF TELEHONE RDD:
    IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:
    IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:
        PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
        PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
        Does anyone live there on the premises?
        Does anyone live there on the premises?
        1. Yes
        5. No.
        9. NA
        0. Inap, 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ------ \\
\hline 1805 & 2
\end{tabular}
============================== 
    1. Have other
    2. Use this
    9. NA
    0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.4; 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3;
        assigned FTF mode
            Count 
```

                Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
                Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.6.
    IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
    PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:
Household listing obtained from:

1. HU member
2. Neighbor
3. Apt. manager
4. Landlord
5. Observation
6. Other (specify)
7. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0 . Inap, assigned phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 801 | 980 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 1 | 4 | 17 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
V000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
            MD: EQ 9
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
            Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.7.
    Pre-CSheet.7.
    PRE - COVERSHEET
    PRE - COVERSHEET
    HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
    HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
    Selection table
    Selection table
The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults.(An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.
After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1 , males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.
The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel
```

```
columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the
total number of eligible adults in the household; in the
second column (same row) is identified the Number" of the
person who will be the respondent.
There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are
randomly assigned. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables
appears below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PERSONS} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{PERSON SELECTED (person "Numbe} \\
\hline & A & B1 & B2 & C & D & E1 & E2 & F \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 4 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline 6 or more & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & -----135 \\
Count & 274 & 152 & 135 & 304 & 299 \\
& & & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000039 & Pre.CSheet. 8 . Number of elig adults \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Pre-CSheet. 8.
PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number of eligible adults
Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.
1-7
9. NA
```

```
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 607 & 989 & 161 & 44 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 3 & 2 & 1 &
\end{tabular}
```

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
V000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
MD: EQ 9
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.9.
Pre-CSheet.9.
PRE - COVERSHEET
PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number ineligible adults
Number ineligible adults
These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens.
Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this
variable from information in call records/thumbnails.
0-6
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1743 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 |

```

```

V000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
MD: EQ 99
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.10.
PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Household composition
The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing．＂Adults＂are 18 years of age（by election day）or older；all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults．
A designation of a HH member as＂the householder＂（HHR） describes HU member who owns or rents the home（in whose name the lease is held）．Cohabiting couples are coded as married（30，40，50－52）．Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11，12，21，22；in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR．
If R identifies self as married／partnered but spouse／partner

```
is residing elsewhere（nursing home，job requirement or other reason），\(R\) is coded as adult male HHR／adult female HHR（codes 10－22 and 60－95）and is identified as married／partnered in marital status question．
In cases \(8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381\) ， 1639， 1731 the partner／spouse identified in V000909（marital status）was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis．In cases \(1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222\) there is no information about spouse／partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question．
Note：cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records／thumbnails．

10． 1 adult male HHR
11． 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non－relative
12． 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non－relatives
20． 1 adult female HHR
21． 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non－relative
22． 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non－relatives
30． 1 married couple：no children or all children living at home are under 18
40． 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
50． 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
51． 1 married couple plus 1 other non－relative
52． 1 married couple plus 2 or more non－relatives
55． 1 married couple plus relatives and non－relatives
60． 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
65． 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
70． 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
80． 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
85． 1 female HHR plus relatives and non－relatives
90． 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
95．Relatives of opposite sex，unclear who is HHR
99．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 20 & 21 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 216 & 25 & 7 & 347 & 15 \\
\hline & 22 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 51 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 3 & 879 & 135 & 44 & 6 \\
\hline & 55 & 60 & 65 & 70 & 80 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 2 & 32 & 1 & 7 & 50 \\
\hline & 85 & 90 & 95 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 19 & 6 & 12 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000042 Pre．cSheet．11．R person number
MD：EQ 9
Numeric

Pre－CSheet． 11.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
R person number
----------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5
9. NA

```

==============================
V000043 Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.12.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent
live (sample address)

```

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)
9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

0 . Inap, assigned phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 801 & 38 & 658 & 47 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 166 & 35 & 32 & 30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000044 Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-CSheet. 13.
    IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?
1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

0 . Inap, assigned phone mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 801 & 36 & 33 & 876 & 61
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000045 Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.14.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

```
1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the buiding (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet. 13; assigned phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1738 & 15 & 2 & 47 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```

Pre-CSheet. 15.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 5 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 458 & 1322 & 27
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.16.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1349 & 10 & 342 & 106
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.17.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
---- & --- & --------
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Count & 1349 & 20 & 332 & 106
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.18.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1349 & 40 & 312 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000050 Pre.CSheet.19. Contact resist-not interested politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-CSheet. 19.
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
        Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
        POLITICS
    --------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. Marked
    5. Not marked
    9. NA
    0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1349 & 81 & 271 & 106
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000051 & Pre.CSheet. 20. Contact resist-too busy \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-CSheet. 20.
```

    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
    PRE - COVERSHEET
    CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
    Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY
    1. Marked
2. Not marked
3. NA
4. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
| Count | 1349 | 125 | 227 | 106 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
            Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.21.
    Pre-CSheet.21.
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
        Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH
        Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH
        -----------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1349 & 15 & 337 & 106 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000053 Pre.cSheet.22. Contact resist-other MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric
Pre-CSheet. 22.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)
1. Marked
5. Not marked
```

9. NA
10. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 1349 | 163 | 189 | 106 |

```
==============================
V000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.23.
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
        Did R refuse initially?
```

        -----------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. Yes
        5. No
        9. NA
    |  | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 222 | 1530 | 55 |

=============================
V000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet. 24.
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
0 . None
1. One
2. Two or more
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1522 | 200 | 67 | 8 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000056 | Pre.CSheet. 25 . Was R contact resister? |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-CSheet. 25.

```
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the
respondent the contact person who gave resistance?
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1349 & 281 & 97 & ---- \\
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
V000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.26.
SUMMARY: resistance (built)
This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-cSheet. }2
or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked"
throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet. }33\mathrm{ may have
been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the
inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.
1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15

|  | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 330 | 1450 | 27 |

==============================
V000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist-waste time
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-CSheet. 27.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD
EXPERIENCE

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1630 & 1 & 176 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000059 Pre.CSheet.28. R resist-too personal
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.28.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
\hline 1630 & 7 & 170
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000060 Pre.CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

```
    Pre-CSheet. 29.
    IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
        Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet. 25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1630 & 9 & ----168
\end{tabular}
```

V000061 Pre.cSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics

```
```

        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.30.
    IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
    PRE - COVERSHEET
    CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
    Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS
    1. Marked
2. Not marked
3. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet. 25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1630 | 12 | 165 |

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet. 25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
MD: EQ 0
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.31.
Pre-CSheet.31.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
PRE - COVERSHEET
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1630 | 21 | 156 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
    MD: EQ 0
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.32.
    IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
        Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
```

1. Marked
2. Not marked
3. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet. 15

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
| 1630 | 3 | 174 |  |

```
==============================
V000064 Pre.cSheet.33. R resist-other
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    Pre-CSheet.33.
    IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
        Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
        0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
V000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.34.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

```
1. Under \$10,000
2. \$10,000-25,000
3. \$25, 000-50,000
4. \$50,000-105,000
5. \$105,000 and above
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{llll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 801 & 98 & 202 & 264 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 249 & 72 & 49 & 72 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000066 Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.35.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?
See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.
1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 801 | 764 | 131 | 4 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | -----1 | ---- |
|  | 17 | 36 | 2 | 52 |

===============================
Pre-CSheet.36.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

```
1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet. 35
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
\hline & 855 & 915 & 31 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000068 Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.37.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

```

        See also V000184d, V000184e (Post version of these variables)
        and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.
        1. Yes
        5. No
        8. DK
        9. NA
        0. INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 84 & 863 & 7 & 52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000069 Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.38.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

```
        PRE - COVERSHEET
        CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
        (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
        Is this definite or probable?

        1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet. 37
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 860 & 911 & 33 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000070 Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
Numeric

```

Pre-IWR. 1.
PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID
```

=================-=====-=====
V000071 Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
Numeric

```

Pre-IWR. 2.
PRE: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID
```

V000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```

Pre-IWR. 3.
PRE: Interviewer gender
1. Male
2. Female
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 2 \\
Count & ------ \\
& 226 & 1581
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-IWR. 4.
PRE: Interviewer education
```

1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.
8. NA

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 333 | 574 | 572 | 294 | 34 |

```
```

===============================

```
===============================
V000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
V000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
    MD: EQ 9
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-IWR.5.
PRE: Interviewer race
1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 1684 & 100 & 23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V000075 & Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Pre-IWR. 6.
PRE: Interviewer ethnicity
0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 7 \\
Count & ----15 & ---- & ---- \\
& 1561 & 61 & 185
\end{tabular}
```

```
===============================
V000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
```

Pre-IWR. 7.
PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.
0. NA

|  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1807 |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000077 | Pre.IWR. 8 . Yrs Interviewer experience |
|  | MD: EQ 99 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-IWR. 8.
PRE: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:
99. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 449 | 385 | 148 | 214 | 22 | 133 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 60 | 64 | 78 | 82 | 38 | 15 |
|  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Count | 38 | 13 | 4 | 29 | 12 | 23 |


| V000078 | Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracket <br> MD: EQ 9 <br> Numeric |
| :---: | :---: |

Pre-IWR.9.
PRE: Interviewer age (bracketted)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 113 | 179 | 273 | 314 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Count | 255 | 287 | 133 | 253 |

==============================
V000079 Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
MD: EQ 99
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 1.
ICPSR state code - interview location
$\qquad$

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See PostSummary. 24 (V000260).

NEW ENGLAND

1. Connecticut
2. Maine
3. Massachusetts
4. New Hampshire
5. Rhode Island
6. Vermont

MIDDLE ATLANTIC
11. Delaware
12. New Jersey
13. New York
14. Pennsylvania

EAST NORTH CENTRAL
21. Illinois
22. Indiana
23. Michigan
24. Ohio
25. Wisconsin

WEST NORTH CENTRAL
31. Iowa
32. Kansas
33. Minnesota
34. Missouri
35. Nebraska
36. North Dakota
37. South Dakota

SOLID SOUTH
40. Virginia
41. Alabama
43. Florida
44. Georgia
45. Louisiana
46. Mississippi
47. North Carolina
48. South Carolina
49. Texas

BORDER STATES
51. Kentucky
52. Maryland
53. Oklahoma
54. Tennessee
55. Washington DC
56. West Virginia MOUNTAIN STATES
61. Arizona
62. Colorado
63. Idaho
64. Montana
65. Nevada
66. New Mexico
67. Utah
68. Wyoming

PACIFIC STATES
71. California
72. Oregon
73. Washington

EXTERNAL STATES
81. Alaska
42. Arkansas
82. Hawaii
96. Misidentified location
99. NA

```
==============================
V000080 Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
            MD: EQ 99
            Numeric
        Pre-Sample.2.
        FIPS state code - interview location
```

        Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but
        should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-
        Summary. 24 (V000260).
    1. Alabama
2. Alaska
3. Arizona
4. Arkansas
5. California
6. Colorado
7. Connecticut
8. Delaware
9. Washington DC
10. Florida
11. Georgia
12. Hawaii
13. Idaho
14. Illinois
15. Indiana
16. Iowa
17. Kansas
18. Kentucky
19. Louisiana
20. Maine
21. Maryland
22. Massachusetts
23. Michigan
24. Minnesota
25. Mississippi
26. Missouri
27. Misidentified location
28. NA
=============================
V000081 Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state $a b b r$ and CD Character

Pre-Sample. 3.
2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district number - interview location


This variable provides the state (2-character Postal
abbreviation) and congressional district number of the location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Preelection interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this variable.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary. 24 (V000260).

```
==============================
V000082 Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
        MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
        Numeric
    Pre-Sample.4.
    2000 FIPS state code and congresional district number -
    interview location
```

    FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
    last 2 digits.
    Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
    have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary. 24
    (V000260).
    9996. Misidentified location
    9999. NA
    0000. Washington D.C.
    =============================
V000083 Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 5.
Congressional district number - interview location
Codes 1-52.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary. 24
(V000260).
96. Misidentified location
99. NA
00. Washington D.C.
=============================
V000084 Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 6.
ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview
location
ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary. 24 (V000260).
9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

```
==============================
V000085 Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
    MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Sample. 7.
    Did \(R\) vote in state and CD of interview?
    0. \(R\) voted in state and \(C D\) of interview
    1. \(R\) did not vote in state and CD of interview
    8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0
        in Post C3)
    9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW
        location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)
    |  | 0 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1131 | 22 | 28 | 626 |

=============================
V000086 Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 8.
State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.
9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7)
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample. 7
=============================
V000087 Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 9.
FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality． The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable，the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits．

```
==============================
V000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
Character
```

Pre－Sample．9a．
Name of County－Interview location（BLANKED）

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent．
NOTE：This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post－Election
C3（variable V001243），which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent．
It should be noted that，although county identification in this
variable for face－to－face interviews meets normal standards for
accuracy，the V000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code，central office codes and similar listed numbers．
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000088 Pre．Sample．10．Primary area name Character

Pre－Sample． 10.

Primary area name－interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent．
This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit （PSU）name．
See appendix＂Notes on Sampling variables＂． NOTE：This variable was created from the segment file． Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification，it could not be provided with this variable．

```
V000089 Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

Pre－Sample． 11.
Primary area code－interview location

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent．The 44 PSU codes listed below（110 through 482）have been recoded to values 1－44 in random order． See appendix＂Notes on Sampling variables＂． NOTE：This variable was created from the segment file． Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification，it could not be provided with this variable．

```
    110. Boston, MA
    120. New York, NY
    121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
    122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
    130. Chicago, IL
    131. Detroit, MI
    132. Cleveland, OH
    141. St Louis, MO-IL
    150. Washington, DC-MD-VA
    152. Baltimore, MD
    154. Miami-Hialeh, FL
170. Houston, TX
171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
181. Denver, C0
190. Los Angeles, CA
191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA
194. Anaheim, CA
196. San Francisco, CA
211. New Haven, CT
213. Manchester-Nashua NH
220. Buffalo, NY
226. Atlantic City, NJ
000. Telephone sample (RDD)
==============================
V000090 Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    Pre-Sample.12.
```

    Segment number (blanked) - interview location
    NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
    Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
    identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
    This variable has been blanked to protect the
    confidentiality of the Respondent.
    3-digit code and:
    000. Telephone sample (RDD)
    =============================
V000090a Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)
Character
Pre-Sample. 13.
Segment name (blanked) - interview location
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

```
==============================
V000091 Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
    Pre-Sample.14.
    Number of household unit
```

    -----------------------------------------------------------------------
    Codes 1-5 and:
    9. NA
    0. Phone IW
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 983 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 |

```
V000092 Pre.Sample.15. Census region
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Sample. 15.
    Census region - interview location
    1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
    2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND,
        OH, SD, WI)
    3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC,
        OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
    4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA,
        WY)
    |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 316 | 450 | 657 | 384 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| v000093 | Pre.Sample.16. Belt code |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-Sample.16.
Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th
edition.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSAs/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city
7. Telephone sample (RDD)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 99 | 185 | 168 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Count | 241 | 264 | 49 |  |

==============================
V000094 Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 17.
Population in 1000s - interview location

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book). NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

Actual population in 1000s and:
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 18.
Census size of place - interview location

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective
of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places. Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU \#82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (\#64), which is now a part of the Worchester MA MSA.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
the six largest cmsas plus the 15 Next largest cmsas/
MSAs (I.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6]
11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST
20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population
21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)
22. 10,000-29,999
23. 30,000-49,999
24. 50,000-99,999
25. 100,000-149, 999
26. 150,000-349,999
27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11

CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):
30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities
31. 50-000-99,999
32. 100, 000-149,999
33. 150,000-349,999
34. 350,000 and over

SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000
40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)
41. 2,500-9,999
42. 10,000-29,999
43. 30,000-49,999
44. 50,000-99,999
45. 100, 000-149,999
46. 150, 000 and over
"NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"
50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
51. 2,500-9,999
52. 10, 000-29,999
53. 30, 000-49, 999
00. Telephone sample (RDD)

```
==============================
V000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Sample. 19.
    Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)
    NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
    Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
    identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
    This variable has been blanked to protect the
    confidentiality of the Respondent.
    0. Enumeration district
    1. Tracted
    9. Telephone sample (RDD)
    ==============================
V000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 20.
2000 Sampling Error code
See Sampling documentation in this codebook.
The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum
code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or
Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

| V000098 | Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA <br> Numeric |
| :--- | :--- |

Pre-Sample. 21.
2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
520. Atlanta, GA

```
560. Atlantic City, NJ
720. Baltimore, MD
875. Bergen-Passiac, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040
    Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
1000. Birmingham, AL
1123. Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
1125. Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
1280. Buffalo, NY
1600. Chicago, IL
1680. Cleveland OH
1800. Columbus, GA-AL
1920. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
2000. Dayton, OH
2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
2120. Des Moines, IA
2160. Detroit, MI
2400. Eugene-Springfield, OR
2760. Fort Wayne, IN
2800. Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
2840. Fresno, CA
3000. Grand Rapids, MI
3360. Houston, TX
3600. Jacksonville, FL
3760. Kansas City, MO-KS
3840. Knoxville, TN
3965. Lake County, IL PMSA
3980. Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
4480. Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
4720. Madison WI
4763. Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
4880. McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh, TX
5000. Miami, FL
5015. Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA (former code and
        title: 5460 New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville NJ)
5080. Milwaukee, WI
5120. Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
5350. Nashua, NH PMSA
5380. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
5480. New Haven-West Haven, CT
5483. New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
5600. New York, NY-NJ
5640. Newark, NJ
5700. Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
5775. Oakland, CA PMSA
6160. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
6200. Phoenix, AZ
6280. Pittsburgh, PA
6453. Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
6760. Richmond, VA
6780. Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
6920. Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
6960. Saginaw, MI
7040. St. Louis, MO-IL
7160. Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
7360. San Francisco-Oakland,CA
7600. Seattle-Everett, WA
8003. Springfield, MA NECMA
8080. Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV
8200. Tacoma, WA
```

```
8800. Waco, TX
8840. Washington, DC-MD-VA
9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
9243. Worcester-Fitchburn-Leominster, MA NECMA
0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
```

=============================
V000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 22.
2000 CMSA - interview location
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were
made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added
34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made
separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
91. Seattle-Tacoma WA
96. Telephone sample (RDD)
00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32
(Dayton Springfield, OH) which is no longer
consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are
combined in a single MSA in 1990)
==============================
V000099x Pre.Sample.22x. 2000 MSA
MD1: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22x.

2000 MSA - interview location

This is the 2000 MSA for the full sample, including the the RDD telephone component. This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Metropolitan Statistical Area code and:
00. INAP, Location not in MSA.

```
==============================
V000100 Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
    Numeric
    Pre-Sample.23.
    2 0 0 0 \text { Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)}
```

    This variable has been blanked to protect the
    confidentiality of the Respondent.
    =============================
V000101 Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Pre-Sample. 24.
2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)
NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census tract.

```
==============================
V000102 Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
            MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
            Numeric
```

Pre-Sample. 25.
2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

```
==============================
V000103 Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
            MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
            Numeric
```

Pre-Sample. 26.
2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census block.

```
V000104 Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    Pre-Sample.27.
    2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)
    This variable has been blanked to protect the
    confidentiality of the Respondent.
==============================
V000105 Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
    Numeric
    Pre-Sample.28.
    2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)
    -
    This variable has been blanked to protect the
    confidentiality of the Respondent.
==============================
V000106 Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    Pre-Sample.29.
        2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)
        This variable has been blanked to protect the
        confidentiality of the Respondent.
==============================
V000107 Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.1.
```

    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the section as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
    Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
    999.99 NA
    =============================
V000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
MD: EQ 999.99

## Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary. 2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA

```
==============================
V000109 Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.3.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
```

999.99 NA

```
V000110 Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D
            MD: EQ 999.99
            Numeric DEC 2
```

    Pre-Summary. 4.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the section as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
    Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
    999.99 NA
    | ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000111 | Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 |
|  | Numeric DEC 2 |

Pre-Summary. 5.

PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION E

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：
999.99 NA

000．00 Section E selected for Post administration

| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000112 | Pre．Summary．6．Pre timing－section $F$ |
|  | MD：EQ 999．99 |

Pre－Summary． 6.

PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION F

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：

999．99 NA

```
V000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
            MD: EQ 999.99
            Numeric DEC 2
Pre－Summary． 7.
PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION G
Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，\(R\) digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：
```

999.99 NA

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000114 Pre．Summary．8．Pre timing－section $H$
MD：EQ 999．99
Numeric DEC 2
Pre－Summary． 8.

PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA

```
==============================
V000115 Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.9.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the section as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
    Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
```

    999.99 NA
    =============================
V000116 Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2
Pre-Summary. 10.
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
=============================
V000117 Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary. 11.
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：
999.99 NA

| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000118 | Pre．Summary．12．Pre timing－section $N$ |
|  | MD：EQ 999．99 |
|  | Numeric DEC 2 |

Pre－Summary． 12.
PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION N

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：

999．99 NA

```
V000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.13.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P
Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，\(R\) digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：
```

999.99 NA
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V000120 Pre．Summary．14．Pre timing－section Q
MD：EQ 999．99
Numeric DEC 2
Pre－Summary． 14.

PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION Q

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure，
application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：

999．99 NA

```
==============================
V000121 Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.15.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R
Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，\(R\) digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：
```

999.99 NA
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000122 Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2
Pre-Summary. 16.
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
=============================
V000123 Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section $X$
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre－Summary． 17.
PRE SECTION TIMING－SECTION X

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：

```
=ニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V000124 Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.18.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the section as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
    Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
    999.99 NA
=============================
V000125 Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z
    MD: EQ 999.99
    Numeric DEC 2
    Pre-Summary.19.
    PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
    between the beginning and ending of the section as
    recorded by the instrument application, and may be
    affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
    application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
    Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
    999.99 NA
```



```
V000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    Post-Admin.1.
    POST: Mode of Interview
    See Post-Admin.1a.
    5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF
    in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF,
    used telephone logic in the interview.
    1. Personal
    5. Telephone
    7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone
        but administered as Personal interview
```

```
0. No post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 693 & 857 & 5
\end{tabular}
```

```
=ニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニ=
```

=ニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニ=
V000126a Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
V000126a Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
Numeric
Numeric
Post-Admin.1a.
Post-Admin.1a.
POST - MODE SWITCH
POST - MODE SWITCH
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone
200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone
administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews.
administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews.
Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are
Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are
described here.
described here.
1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 805 | 802 | 200 |

==============================
Numeric
Post-Admin.2a.
POST: Form - section H administered in Post
Section E questions about R's personal financial situation
were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre
section E or Post section H.
Because assignment was set during Pre administration,
this randomization was unaffected by the randomization
failure which took place during a portion of the Post
field period.
0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E V000398-V000406]
1. Section H administered in Post [V000410-V000417]
9. No Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |

```

```

V000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format

```

MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Post-Admin. 2b.
POST: Form - K2 format

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [V001446a-V001454].
NOTE: see item Post-Summary. 124 (V000262) for description
of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.
0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
1. K2a-K2d administered with probes
9. No post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1025 & 530 & 252
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline V000128 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Post MD: \\
Nume
\end{tabular} & . 3 & IW & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Post-Admin. 3 .} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{POST: Month of interview} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{11. November} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{12. December} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{00. No Post IW} \\
\hline & & 0 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline & Count & 252 & 1147 & 408 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    Post-Admin. 4.
    POST: Day of interview

    Coded 1-31 and:
    0. No Post IW
```

V000130 Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character
Post-Admin.5.
POST: Month and day (MMDD)
This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA
==============================
V000131 Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Admin.6.

```
    POST: Number of days after election
    96. INAP, no Post IW
    99. NA
=============================
V000132 Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
        MD: EQ 9
        Numeric
    Post-Admin. 7.
        POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession
        Gore concession: December 13, 2000
        0 . Before
        1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
        2. After
        9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 9 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1462 & 16 & 77 & 252
\end{tabular}
==============================
v000133 Post.Admin.8. IW length
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
            Numeric DEC 2

Post-Admin. 8.
POST: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA; partial IW
000.00 No Post IW
```

==============================
V000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Admin.9.

```
        POST: Interview number
        Codes 1-44 and:
        99. NA
    00. INAP, no Post Interview
=============================
V000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    Post-Admin. 10.
        POST: Date of beginning VQ file
        This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began.
        Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in
        the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in
        use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview
        was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to
        the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some
        questions would not be the VQ coded here.
        1. \(11 / 7\)
        2. \(11 / 10\)
        3. 11/16 (1)
        4. 11/16 (2)
        5. 11/18
        6. 11/27
        0. No Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 252 & 140 & 351 & 13 \\
& 4 & 5 & 6 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 201 & 282 & 568 &
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
Post-Admin.11.
POST: Date of ending VQ file
This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview
concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application)
while in the field. Note that if an interview was
temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to
the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for
some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous
var).

1. $11 / 7$
2. $11 / 10$
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. $11 / 27$
7. INAP, partial IW; no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 256 | 105 | 352 | 13 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 158 | 318 | 605 |  |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000137 | Post. Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ |
|  | MD: EQ 9 |

Post-Admin. 12.
POST: Flag- change in VQ

```

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.
0. No change
1. Change in VQ
9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1429 & 122 & 256
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000138 Post.Admin.13. Release
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Admin.1a.
POST: Release
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the
Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released
in 3 releases.
1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15
3. Release 3 - November 21.
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1147 | 319 | 340 | ---- |


| ============================ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000139 | Post.Admin.14. Payment amt |
|  | MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99 |
|  | Numeric |

    Post-Admin.14.
        POST: Payment to R - amount
        ------------------------------------------------------------------------------
        00. R requested no payment
        20. Twenty dollars
        40. Forty dollars
        96. INAP, no Post IW
        99. NA
    |  | 0 | 20 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 4 | 1525 | 252 | ---- |

==============================
V000139a Post.Admin.14a. Interviewer incentive
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Post-Admin.14a.
INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE

```
        Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid \(\$ 10\) for each
completed interview.
0. Post interview date not in incentive period
1. Post interview date in incentive period.
9. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 9 \\
Count & ----1 & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Post-Admin. 15.
    POST: Payment to R - mode

    0. R requested no payment
    1. Check
    2. Cash
    9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 4 & 1511 & 14 & 278 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000141 Post.Admin.16. Payment date
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character
Post-Admin.16.
POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

```
```

==============================
V000142 Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Post-Admin.17.
POST: Tape recorded?
New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's

```
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.
1. Yes
5. No
०. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 252 & 78 & 1477
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000143 Post.Admin.18. Verification
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Post-Admin. 18.
    POST: Verification
    See notes in Pre-Admin. 17 (V000020).
    0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
    1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
    2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
    3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data
        does not match; everything else matches exactly)
    4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the
        respondent at the time of verification is different from that
        collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing
        the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3
        or code 4 as a final code)
    5. Unable to verify ( \(R\) is contacted but is unwilling to participate
        in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached;
        this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching
        the respondent, and includes sending the verification latter to a
        household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
    6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed
        the needed verification level).
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1332 & 55 & 142 & 18 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 4 & 2 & 254 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000144 & Post. Admin.19. Evaluation \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Admin. 19.
```

POST: Evaluation
0. Not evaluated

1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate
5. INAP, no Post IW
```

```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000145 Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Post-Admin.20.
POST: Refusal conversion indicator
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This refers to interviews initially refused by someone
when an interviewer was first attempting contact.
The source of this refusal may or may not have been the
Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or
46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final
result code) turns this indicator on.
Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or
another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the
initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the
interviewer obtaining the conversion.
0．Not a refusal convesion situation

1. Refusal conversion situation indicated
2. NA; INAP, no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1529 | 26 | 252 |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000146 | Post．Admin．21．Persuasion letter |
|  | MD：EQ 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Post－Admin． 21.
POST：Persuasion letter－flag
0．No persuasion letter sent
1．Persuasion letter sent

```
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 9 \\
Count & ----17 & ---- & ---- \\
& 1517 & 95 & 195
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character
Post-Admin.22.
POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

```
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================= \\
V000148 & Post.Admin.23. Date sent \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999 \\
& Character
\end{tabular}
    Post-Admin. 23.
    POST: Date persuasion letter sent
    '0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
    '9999' indicates NA.
```

==============================
V000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-Admin. 24.
        POST: Type of persuasion letter
        1. A. Too busy
    2. B. Too personal
    3. C. Not interested
    4. D. Too old
    5. E. Evasive
    6. F. Gatekeeper
    7. G. Not at home
    9. NA
    0. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1712 & 9 & 28 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000150 }\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ Post.Admin.25. Tel calls}}<br>{}<br>{\mathrm{ MD: EQ 99 }}<br>{\mathrm{ Numeric }}
Post-Admin.25.
POST: Number of telephone calls
99. NA; INAP, no Post IW
=============================
V000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls
MD: EQ 99
Numeric
Post-Admin.26.
POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls
99. NA; INAP, no Post IW
==============================
V000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result
Numeric
Post-Admin.27.
POST: Final result code
----------------------------------------------------------------------
0 1 ~ I W ~ c o m p l e t e
0 5 ~ I W ~ p a r t i a l ~
5 1 ~ R e f u s a l ~ b y ~ R
5 2 Refusal by person other than R
54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
6 1 ~ N o ~ c o n t a c t
6 3 Noninterview, permanent reason
6 6 ~ I n t e r v i e w ~ b e g u n ~ t h e n ~ t e r m i n a t e d , ~ i n s u f f i c i e n t l y ~ c o m p l e t e
6 7 Noninterview, other reason
6 8 Could not Locate R

|  | 1 | 5 | 51 | 52 | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1551 | 4 | 99 | 5 | 20 |
|  | 61 | 63 | 66 | 67 | 68 |
| Count | 23 | 5 | 1 | 79 | 20 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000153 | Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Character EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999 |

Post-Admin. 28.

```
```

    POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)
    '0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.
    =ニニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
Numeric
Post-Admin. 29.
POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch
Built from V000004 and V000126.
Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered
by phone in the Post.
1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone
0. INAP, no post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 252 | 698 | 693 | 164 |

===============================
Numeric
Post-Admin.30.
POST: Language of interview (all English)

```
        All interviews were conducted entirely in English
        1. English
            1
            -----
        Count 1807
=============================
V000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
            Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 1.
        POST - COVERSHEET:
        Flag- missing CS
        -----------------------------------------------------------------------
        0. Coversheet not missing
1. Coversheet missing
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 1780 & 27
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-CSheet. 2 .
        POST - COVERSHEET:
        Color of coversheet
        Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.
        NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were
        administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.
        1. Blue
        2. Yellow
        3. Pink
        9. NA
        0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 27 & 936 & 831 & 13
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000158 Post.CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-CSheet. 3.
    POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
    Does (NAME) still live here?
    R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS
    Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. NA
    0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
        Count
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
---------- & --- \\
27 & 1512 & 41 & 227
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.4.
IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:
POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:
New phone number available
1. Available
2. Not available
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-
CSheet.1); FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1793 | 8 | 2 |  |

==============================
V000160 Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.5.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:
POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:
Address is:
STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS
Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from
FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned
and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was
retained.

1. Out of range
2. Within range
3. Can't find
4. NA
5. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet. 3; coversheet missing (1 in PostCSheet.1); Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |

```
```

==============================
V000161 Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.6.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent
live (sample address)

```
    ----------------------------------------------------------------------
    Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from
    FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned
    and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
    data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was
    retained.
1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 926 & 26 & 501 & 31 \\
& 4 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 106 & 35 & 24 & 158
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000162 Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.7.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other
gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain
access to the R's housing unit?

```

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.
1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 898 & 58 & 21 & 637 & 193 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.8.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

```
        Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from
        FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned
        and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
        data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was
        retained.
    1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the
        buiding (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then
        you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
    2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from
        someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact
        with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
    0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone
        mode

=============================
V000164 Post. CSheet.9. Resist from contact
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 9.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 27 & 222 & 1454 & 104 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000165 Post.cSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.10.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

```
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
        9. NA
        0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1585 & 10 & 202 & 10
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V000166 } & \text { Post. CSheet. } 11 \text {. Contct resist-too personal } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 9\end{array}\)
    Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 11.
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
        Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1585 & 2 & 210 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000167 Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-CSheet. 12 .
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
        Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY
        ----------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
        9. NA
        0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1585 & 1 & 211 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000168 Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.13.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
POLITICS
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

```
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1585 & 20 & 192 & 10
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000169 Post.CSheet. 14. Contct resist-too busy
```

        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-CSheet.14.
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
        Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
        9. NA
        0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
        Count
        -----
        -----
        5
        9
        1585 91 122
    ==============================
V000170 Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.15.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH
----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1585 | 16 | 196 | 10 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
V000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
            Numeric
    Post-CSheet.16.
    Post-CSheet.16.
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
    IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:
        POST - COVERSHEET
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
        Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)
```

        Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)
    ```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1585 & 111 & 107 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-CSheet. 17.
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
        Did R refuse initially?
        1. Yes
        5. No
        9. NA
        0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 27 & 98 & 1573 & 109
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1444 & 273 & 90
\end{tabular}
```

=============================== ( Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.19.
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the
respondent the contact person who gave resistance?
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1585 | 152 | 15 | ---- |

==============================
V000175 Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.20.
SUMMARY: did R resist (built)
This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-cSheet. }2
or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked"
throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet. }33\mathrm{ may have
been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the
inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.
1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 131 | 152 | 1454 | 70 |

```

```

V000176 Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.21.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

```

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet. 20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 9 \\
Count & ------ \\
\hline 1792 & 15
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000177 Post.CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.22.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

|  | 0 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ |  |
| 1792 | 15 |  |

```
=============================
V000178 Post.CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 23.
    IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
        POST- COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
        Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet. 20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 9 \\
Count & ------ \\
\hline 1792 & 15
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000179 Post.cSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.24.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet. 20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 9 \\
Count & ----- & --- \\
& 1792 & 15
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.25.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

```
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet. 20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 1792 & 15
\end{tabular}
```

=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V000181 Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.26.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
0 9
Count 1792 15
==============================
V000182 Post.CSheet.27. R resist- other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.27.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

```
        1. Marked
        5. Not marked
        9. NA
        0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
        missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 9 \\
Count & ----- & --- \\
& 1792 & 15
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
v000183 & Post．CSheet． 28. Ever make contact（noninterview） \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    Post-CSheet. 28.

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:
Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this
housing unit?
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --------- & ---1760 & 35
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000183a Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.28a.
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:
Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing
(1 in Post-CSheet.1)

```

=============================
V000184 Post. CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 29.
    IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:
        POST- COVERSHEET
        CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
        What was the reason for no contact?
1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
3. Nobody answered door but think somone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
4. Could not obtain access to HU
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet. 28; coversheet missing (1 in PostcSheet.1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1770 & 14 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 4 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 15 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Post-CSheet.30.
IF FTF MODE:
POST- COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

```
        1. Under \$10,000
        2. \$10, 000-25, 000
        3. \$25, 000-50, 000
        4. \$50, 000-105,000
        5. \$105,000 and above
        8. DK
        9. NA
        0. INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 98 & 201 & 263 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 247 & 72 & 49 & 76 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    Post-CSheet. 31 .
    IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables \(Y 30(1)-Y 30(3)\) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ. 2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet. 35.
1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 801 & 759 & 133 & 4 \\
\hline & 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 17 & 36 & 2 & 55 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000184c Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-CSheet. 32 .
    IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
    IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:
        POST - COVERSHEET
        CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
        (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
        Is this definite or probable?
        1. Definite
        5. Probable
        9. NA
        0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheet. 31
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & --- & ---- \\
\hline & 858 & 912 & 31 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000184d & Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

    Post-CSheet.33.
    IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
    POST - COVERSHEET
    CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
    (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
    Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?
    ----------------------------------------------------------------------
    See also V000068, V000069 (Pre version of these variables)
    ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.
    1. Yes
    5. No
    8. DK
    9. NA
    0. INAP, Phone mode
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 85 | 860 | 6 |  |

===============================
V000184e Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.34.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:
POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?
1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet. }3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 862 | 908 | 33 |  |

==============================
V000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

```

Post-IWR. 1.

POST：Interviewer of record ID

4－digit ID
9999．NA
```

==============================
V000186 Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

```
    Post-IWR. 2.
    POST: Supervisor ID
    4-digit ID
    9999. NA
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000187 Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
    Post-IWR. 3.
        POST: Interviewer gender
        1. Male
        2. Female
        9. NA
        0. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 200 & 1606 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
V000188 & Post．IWR．4．Interviewer education \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post－IWR． 4.
POST：Interviewer education

1．1－8 grades
2．Some high school
3．High school graduate
4．Some college
5．College degree
6．Master＇s degree
7．Ph．D．
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 385 & 596 & 533 & 254 & 39
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-IWR. 5.
        POST: Interviewer race
        1. White
        2. Black
        3. Native American
        4. Asian
        7. Other
        9. NA
        0. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 7 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1733 & 46 & 28
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000190 Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Post-IWR. 6.
        POST: Interviewer ethnicity
        0. No ethnic identity coded
        1. Hispanic
        7. Other
        9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 1577 & 67 & 63 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { ============ニ================= } \\ & \text { V000191 } \text { Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages } \\ & \text { Numeric }\end{aligned}\)
    Post-IWR. 7.
        POST: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.
\[
\begin{array}{cc} 
& 0 \\
& ----- \\
\text { Count } & 1807
\end{array}
\]
```

==============================
V000192 Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD: EQ 99
Numeric
Post-IWR.8.
POST: Years Interviewer experience

```
        Interviewer's years of experience and:
        99. NA; INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 445 & 391 & 161 & 205 & 20 & 176 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline Count & 66 & 68 & 36 & 68 & 37 & 28 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 17 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 42 & 19 & 3 & 29 & 12 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
V000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-IWR.9.
        POST: Interviewer age (bracketted)
        1. 18-34 years
        2. 35-40 years
        3. 41-45 years
        4. 46-50 years
        5. 51-55 years
        6. 56-60 years
        7. 51-64 years
        8. 65-79 years
        9. NA
        0. INAP, no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Count & 114 & 187 & 260 & 297 & 255 \\
& 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
Count & 311 & 142 & 240 & 1 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000194 & Post. Cand.1. House race type \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Cand. 1.

House race type

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
96. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

99 NA
00 Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 4 & 632 & 3 & 111 & 12 \\
\hline & 21 & 23 & 24 & 29 & 31 \\
\hline Count & 717 & 9 & 126 & 8 & 3 \\
\hline & 32 & 55 & 65 & 96 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 25 & 152 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000194a Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
Character
Post-Cand.1a.
Retiring House Representative name
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.
==============================
V000194b Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.1b.
Retiring House Representative code
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.
41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 41 | 42 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| count | 1626 | 25 | 152 | 3 |  |

```
```

V000194c Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Post-Cand.1c.
Retiring House Representative gender
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

```
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
©. No retiring House representative; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1626 & 141 & 36 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

```
    Post-Cand.1d.
    Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of
    interview
    30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
    31. Democratic candidate in open race
    32. Republican candidate in open race
    33. Democratic incumbent
    34. Republican incumbent
    35. Democratic challenger
    36. Republican challenger
    39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate
    99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
    00. Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\
\hline Count & 4 & 42 & 135 & 753 & 823 \\
\hline & 35 & 36 & 39 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 37 & 5 & 4 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
        Numeric
    Post-Cand.1e.
    Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of
    interview
    09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
    10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
    08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
    11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
    12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
```

13 Democratic Senate incumbent
14 Republican Senate incumbent
15 Democratic Senate challenger
16 Republican Senate challenger
99．NA（R＇s correct district not determined， 96 or 99 in Pre－Sample．1） 00．No race in state；Washington CD

|  | 0 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 417 | 251 | 5 | 420 | 411 | 159 |
|  | 16 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 99 |  |
| Count | 91 | 2 | 36 | 11 | 4 |  |

```


```

V000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD

```
V000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD
                    MD: EQ 9
                    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Post-Cand.1f.
    Post-Cand.1f.
    Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's
    Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's
    district
    district
    1 9 9 8 \text { districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning}
    1 9 9 8 \text { districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning}
    margin of the elected candidate.
    margin of the elected candidate.
    Least competitive
    Least competitive
2.
2.
3.
3.
4.
4.
5. Most competitive
5. Most competitive
9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1);
9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1);
    Washington D.C.
    Washington D.C.
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 375 & 300 & 361 & 362 & 401 & 8
\end{tabular}
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
Post－Cand．1g．
Open seat in R＇s district in 2000？
0．NO
1．YES
9．NA（R＇s correct district not determined， 96 or 99 in Pre－Sample．1） Washington D．C．
```


8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 140 | 1367 | 296 | 3 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
            Character
    Post-Cand.5.
        House Republican candidate name
        3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
        are blank in this variable.
```

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V000199 } & \text { Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 96\end{array}$
Numeric
Post-Cand. 6.
House Republican candidate code
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.
32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 121 | 178 | 860 | 644 | 3 |  |


MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Post-Cand. 7.
House Republican candidate gender
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

```
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 121 & 1574 & 108 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
V000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
Character
Post-Cand. 8.
House Independent/third party candidate name
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.
==============================
V000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.9.
House Independent/third party candidate code
** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 30 | 39 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1767 | 32 | 4 | 3 |  |

==============================
V000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
Character
Post-Cand.9a.
House Independent/third party candidate party

```

This is an alpha variable
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{V000203} & Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Post-Cand. 10.
House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1767 & 36 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

Rhode Island
Tennessee
Texas
Utah
Vermont
Virginia
Washington
West Virginia
Wisconsin
Wyoming

```

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
```

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
1 4 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
5 2 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
5 3 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ O T H E R ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
5 7 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
5 9 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
NO RACE IN STATE
81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99 NA
00 Washington DC

|  | 0 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 4 | 256 | 255 | 584 | 13 |

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 24 & 55 & 69 & 81 & 82 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 22 & 164 & 92 & 58 & 165 \\
\hline & 85 & 96 & 99 & & \\
\hline Count & 190 & 3 & 1 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000205 Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
Character
Post-Cand.12.
Senate Democratic candidate name
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.
==============================
V000206 Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.13.

```
        Senate Democratic candidate code
        For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
        this is a Pre-only case.
        11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
        13. Democratic Senate incumbent
        15. Democratic Senate challenger
        96. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
        99. NA
        00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 11 & 13 & 15 & 96 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 452 & 256 & 511 & 584 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000207 & Post. Cand.14. Senate Democr cand gender \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

Post-Cand. 14.
Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1．Male
2．Female

8． 1 in Post－Summary． 22 （error in CD identification； 3 cases）
9．NA
0．No race in state；no Democratic Senate candidate；Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 452 & 924 & 427 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー \\
V000208 & Post．Cand．15．Senate Repub cand name
\end{tabular}

Post－Cand． 15.
Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post－Summary． 22 （error in CD identification） are blank in this variable．
```

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.16.
Senate Republican candidate code
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 96 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 417 & 256 & 619 & 511 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000210 Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    Post-Cand. 17.
        Senate Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 417 & 1245 & 141 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
Character
Post-Cand.18.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name
----------------------------------------------------------------------
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.
==============================
V000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.19.

```
        Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code
        ** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
        For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
        this is a Pre-only case.
        09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
        10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **
        96. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
        99. NA
        00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
        Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 10 & 96 & 99 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1443 & 360 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
============================
V000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
        Character
    Post-Cand.19a.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.
```

==============================
V000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Post-Cand. 20.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1443 & 109 & 251 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(=============================\)
v000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name Character

Post-Cand. 21.
Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.
==============================
V000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand. 22.
Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
96. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 8 & 96 & 99 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1612 & 191 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000215a Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
Character

```

Post-Cand.22a.
Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.
=============================
V000216 Post. Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Post-Cand. 23.
Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary. 22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 2 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1612 & 191 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(==========\) & \(==================\) \\
V000217 & Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name \\
& Character
\end{tabular}

Post-Cand. 24.
House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample. 8 (V000086) does not have the exact \(C D\) of outside vote identified.
```

==============================
V000218 Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.25.
House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW
------------------------------------------------------------------------
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 1785 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 8 |

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Post-Cand.26.
House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW
1. Male
2. Female
8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1785 | 11 | 3 | ----- |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000220 | Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name |
|  | Character |

Post-Cand. 27.
House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

```

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample. 8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.
```

==============================
Numeric
Post-Cand.28.
House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW
32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger
96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1785 | 3 | 2 | 9 |  |

```

```

V000222 Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Post-Cand.29.
House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW
1. Male
2. Female
8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1785 | 11 | 3 | 8 |


| V000223 | Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name Character |
| :---: | :---: |

    Post-Cand.30.
        House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote
        outside CD of IW
        This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have
        the exact CD of outside vote identified.
    ```

```

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
32. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
33. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
34. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
35. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1785 | 14 | 8 |

==============================
Numeric
Post-Cand.32.
House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote
outside CD of IW
--------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female
8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

|  | 0 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000226 | Post. Cand.33. Outside Hse race type |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 |
|  | Numeric |

Post-Cand. 33.
House race type - Vote outside state of IW
DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

```
```

    12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
    13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
    14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
    19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
    REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
    21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
    23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
    24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
    29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
    OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
5 1 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
5 2 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ R E P U B L I C A N ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
5 3 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ O T H E R ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
5 7 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
5 9 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C , ~ R E P U B L I C A N , ~ O T H E R ~ C A N D S
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

```
    96. Washington DC
    99. 1 in Pre-Sample. 7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample. 8 (V000086) does not
        have the exact \(C D\) of outside vote identified (outside state).
    00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample. 7
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 12 & 21 & 55 & 65 & 99 \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline Count & 1785 & 9 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

            23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
            24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
            29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
    OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
5 1 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
5 2 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ R E P U B L I C A N ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
5 3 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ O T H E R ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
5 5 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C ~ A N D ~ R E P U B L I C A N ~ C A N D S ~
5 6 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
5 7 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
5 9 ~ D e m ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C , ~ R E P U B L I C A N , ~ O T H E R ~ C A N D S ~
6 1 ~ R e p ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ D E M O C R A T I C ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
6 2 ~ R e p ~ i n c u m b e n t ~ n o t ~ r u n n i n g ~ - - ~ R E P U B L I C A N ~ C A N D I D A T E ~ U N O P P O S E D ~
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
6 6 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
6 7 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
NO RACE IN STATE
81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
96. Washington DC
99. R vote in another district but not another state 00. $0,8,9$ in Pre-Sample. 7

|  | 0 | 12 | 19 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1785 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 69 | 85 | 99 |  |
| Count | 1 | 3 | 12 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
V000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
    Character
    Character
    Post-Cand.34.
    Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of
    IW
This variable is blank if \(R\) did not vote outside state of IW.
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
V000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

```
    Numeric
```

Post-Cand. 35.
Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of IW
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1785 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 |

```
==============================
V000229 Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-Cand.36.
```

    Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state
    of IW
    1. Male
    2. Female
    9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
    0 . R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
        but not another state; Washington DC
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1797 | 4 | 3 | 3 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000230 | Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name |
|  | Character |

Post-Cand. 37.
Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of IW
$\qquad$

This variable is blank if $R$ did not vote outside state of IW.

```
==============================
V000231 Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
        Numeric
```

Post-Cand. 38.
Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger
96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1785 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |

```
==============================
V000232 Post.Cand.39. Outside Sen Rep cand gendr
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-Cand.39.
        Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state
        of IW
        --------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. Male
        2. Female
        9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
        0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
            but not another state; Washington DC
```


=============================
V000233 Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
Character
Post-Cand. 40.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW
This variable is blank if $R$ did not vote outside state of IW or
if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside'
state.

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000234 | Post. Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 |
|  | Numeric |

Post-Cand. 41.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

- Vote outside state of IW

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate - -nonincumbent
96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 10 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 1785 | 2 | 8 | 12 |

```
==============================
V000235 Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Ind1 cand gend
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
    Post-Cand.42.
```

        Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
        - Vote outside state of IW
        1. Male
        2. Female
        9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
        0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
        but not another state; Washington DC
    
=============================
V000236 Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name
Character
Post-Cand. 43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if $R$ did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000237 | Post. Cand. 44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 |
|  | Numeric |

Post-Cand. 44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

- Vote outside state of IW

8. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
10. R voted in another district but not another state
11. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

|  | 0 | 8 | 96 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1785 | 1 | 9 | 12 |



|  | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | -------- | ---1797 | 1 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000239 | Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 |
|  | Numeric |

```
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
```

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

```
=============================== 
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
                Numeric
    Post-Summary.2.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000241 Post. Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 3.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 4.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

```
=============================== 
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
                Numeric
    Post-Summary.5.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000244 Post. Summary.6. Post timing - section $F$
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 6.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION F
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
==============================
V000245 Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 7.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note：section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application，and may be affected by suspension of interview，power failure， application failure，$R$ digressions and interruptions etc． Number of minutes（2 decimal places）is coded，except：

```
=============================== 
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
        Numeric
    Post-Summary.8.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW
    ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000247 Post. Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 9.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
=============================
V000248 Post. Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 10 .
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

```
==============================
V000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
        Numeric
    Post-Summary.11.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 12.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

```
==============================
V000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
            Numeric
    Post-Summary.13.
        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N
    Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
```

```
==============================
V000252 Post.Summary.14. Post timing - section P
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
                    Numeric
    Post-Summary.14.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    =============================
V000253 Post. Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 15.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
=============================
V000254 Post. Summary.16. Post timing - section $R$
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 16.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

```
==============================
V000255 Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
                Numeric
    Post-Summary.17.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000256 Post. Summary.18. Post timing - section T
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 18.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
=============================
V000257 Post. Summary.19. Post timing - section V
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 19.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

```
===============================
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
                    Numeric
    Post-Summary.20.
```

        POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
        Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
        between the beginning and ending of the section as
        recorded by the instrument application, and may be
        affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
        application failure, \(R\) digressions and interruptions etc.
        Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
        999.99 NA
        000.00 No Post IW
    =============================
V000259 Post. Summary.21. Post timing - section Z
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric
Post-Summary. 21.
POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, $R$ digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
=============================
V000260 Post. Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Post-Summary. 22.
POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?
NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs $900,1016,1114$ ) were misidentified in
the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New
Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined.
Variables for survey questions which were administered according
to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to
missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. V000079, V000080
etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated
with segment are correct for the actual segment location（if FTF mode）．

0．No misidentification of CD
1．Wrong CD identified（administered）
9．No Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000261 Post．Summary．23．Type error in preload
MD：EQ 9
Numeric

Post－Summary． 23.
POST：Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 （note： 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded）．For the 3 cases coded 1，the preload information for the Senate Independent／3rd party candidate was not preloaded．

0．No error in Preload
1．Wrong Senate type race preloaded（WV， 3 cases）
2．Wrong CD（1 in Post－Summary．22）
9．No Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1550 | 3 | 3 | 251 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V000262 Post．Summary．24．Flag－Post rand failure
MD：EQ 9
Numeric

Post－Summary． 24.
POST：Flag－randomization failure in Post admin

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）．

The randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.
0. No randomization failure

1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview
2. No Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1051 | 504 | 252 |

```
==============================
V000301 A1. Attention R paid to campaigns
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A1.
Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
2. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
3. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
4. DON'T KNOW
5. RF
6. NA

=============================
V000302 A2. Does $R$ care about Pres election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A2.
Generally speaking, would you say that you personally
care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

1. CARE A GOOD DEAL
2. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1402 | 397 | ---- |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000303 A3. Did R vote in 1996 election
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

A3．
In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans，and Ross Perot as an independent candidate．
Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election？
［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇RESPONSE］
1．YES，VOTED
5．NO，DIDN＇T VOTE－－＞SKIP TO A4／A5
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP A4／A5
9．RF
0．NA



A3a．
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996：

Which one did you vote for？
［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇RESPONSE］
1．BILL CLINTON
3．BOB DOLE
5．ROSS PEROT
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）
8．DON＇T KNOW
9．RF
0．NA；INAP， $0,5,8,9$ in $A 3$

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 543 | 665 | 408 | 138 |


|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | --- | ---- |

A4.
(Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.)

```
==============================
V000305 A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A4a.
Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO A4c
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4C
4. RF

0 . NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 912 | 878 | 13 | ---- |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ===========ー================= } \\ \text { V000306 A4b(1). \#1 detail about Gore R likes } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 9995\end{array}$ Numeric

A4b(1).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

```
==============================
V000307 A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    A4b(2).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
    Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
    Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'
```

    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001, 9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
        further mentions
    
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

```
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
        further mentions
==============================
V000309 A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    A4b(4).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
    Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
    Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'
    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
        further mentions
======ニ=====ニ=================
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    A4b(5).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
    Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
        Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
        further mentions
==============================
V000311 A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

A4c.
Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
2. No --> A5a Or A6
3. Don't Know --> A5a Or A6
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 860 | 934 | 10 | 3 |

```
==============================
V000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
    Numeric
```

    A4d(1).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
Is there anything in particular about $A l$ Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

```
V000313
A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    A4d(2).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
    Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
    make you want to vote against him?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
        further mentions
==============================
V000314 A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    A4d(3).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
        Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
        make you want to vote against him?
        (What is that?)
    MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
        further mentions
==============================
V000315 A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    A4d(4).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
        Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
        make you want to vote against him?
```

(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001, 9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

```
==============================
V000316 A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
            MD: EQ 0
```

            Numeric
    A4d(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions
=============================
V000317 A5a. Does $R$ like anything about Bush
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
A5a.
Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George $W$. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO A5c
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A5c
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 822 | 970 | 13 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000318 A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
            Numeric
    A5b(1).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
            Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
            George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
            (What is that?)
            MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
            [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
            See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
            Codes 1-1304 and:
            8999. Other miscellaneous
            9001. R has been influenced by spouse
            9002. R has been influenced by someone else
            9995. NA
            9998. DK
            9999. Refused to say
            0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a
```

============================
V000319 A5b(2). \#2 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
A5b(2).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George $W$. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

```
==============================
V000320 A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    A5b(3).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
    Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
    George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
```

    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001, 9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
        further mentions
    =============================
V000321 A5b(4). \#4 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(4).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001, 9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions
=============================

V000322 A5b(5). \#5 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0

Numeric
A5b (5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions
=============================
V000323 A5c. Does $R$ dislike anything about Bush MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

A5c.
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
$\qquad$

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP T0 A4a or A6
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 885 | 899 | 20 | 3 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000324 | A5d(1). \#1 detail about Bush R dislikes |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001 |
|  | Numeric |

A5d(1).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c
$\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V000325 } & \text { A5d(2). \#2 detail about Bush R dislikes } \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 0\end{array}$ Numeric

A5d(2).
IF R SAYS there is something that would make R vote against bush:
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions
==============================
V000326 A5d(3). \#3 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
A5d(3).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush

```
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
        further mentions
==============================
V000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    A5d(4).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions
=============================
V000328 A5d(5). \#5 detail about Bush R dislikes MD: EQ 0 Numeric
A5d(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
```

8999. Other miscellaneous
9000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions
```
==============================
V000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
    MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
```

A6.
How many days in the past week did you watch the national network news on TV?

0. NONE --> SKIP TO A7

1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 483 | 165 | 201 | 179 | 99 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Count | 135 | 27 | 515 | 3 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000330 | A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

A6a/A6a.T.
IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:
FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
6. DON'T KNOW
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, $0,8,9$ in $A 6$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 486 | 235 | 364 | 513 | 190 | 19 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000331 | A7. Days R watched early local news |
|  | MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

A7.
How many days in the past week did you watch the local
TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?
0. NONE

1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 562 | 110 | 176 | 140 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |



V000332 A8. Days $R$ watched late local news
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

A8.
How many days in the past week did you watch the local
TV news shows in the late evening?
0. NONE

1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ----- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 727 | 130 | 182 | 157 | 106 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
==============================
V000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    A8a/A8a.T.
    IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:
    FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
6. DON'T KNOW
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, $0,8,9$ in $A 7$ and $A 8$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 327 | 192 | 316 | 558 | 347 | 67 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000334 | A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

A9.

Do you have either cable or satellite television?

1. Yes
2. No
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 1418 | 389 |

```
V000335 A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
    MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
```

    A10.
    How many days in the past week did you read a daily
    newspaper?
    0. NONE --> SKIP TO A11
    1. ONE DAY
    2. TWO DAYS
    3. THREE DAYS
    4. FOUR DAYS
    5. FIVE DAYS
    6. SIX DAYS
    7. EVERY DAY
    8. DON'T KNOW
    9. RF; NA
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 468 | 216 | 181 | 130 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Count | 74 | 92 | 36 | 610 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000336 | A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

A10a.
IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

```
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A11
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 468 & 790 & 548 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
=========-=-===-=-_=-=-=-=-=-=-
```

=========-=-===-=-_=-=-=-=-=-=-
V000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
V000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
A10b/A10b.T.
IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:
IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:
FTF:
(Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?
TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A10a

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1017 | 121 | 202 | 352 | 107 | 8 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000338 | A11. Did $R$ see ads for candidates on tv |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

A11.

```

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates on television this fall?
1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't Know
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ------- & ---- \\
& 1377 & 427 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000339 A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?
1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 1177 & 565 & 55 & 10
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000340 A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    A12a.
    IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
    IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:
    Strongly or not strongly?
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

```

==============================
Numeric
A12x.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling his job as president?
Strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT
Built from A12 and A12a.
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
0. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 745 | 425 | 162 | 0 | 63 |  |

==============================
V000342 B1. Did R care about House election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
B1.

```

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?
1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
3. NOT VERY MUCH
4. NOT AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 501 & 669 & 475 & 155 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000343 B2. Does R remember names of House cands
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
B2．
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who are running in the November election from this district？
1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP TO B3
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO B3
9．RF
0．NA；Washington D．C．

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----1277 | ----- |  |
|  | 526 | 1277 |  |

=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニ=
V000344 B2a. \#1 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
B2a．
IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES：
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who are running in the November election from this district？
Who are they？
1ST RECALLED NAME－CANDIDATE CODE
［PROBE：ANY OTHERS？］
＊＊codes $30,38,39$ used only if name appears on candidate
list（if name not on candidate list，code 97 is used）
30．Third party or independent House candidate－－nonincumbent＊＊
31．Democratic candidate in open House race
32．Republican candidate in open House race
33．Democratic House incumbent
34．Republican House incumbent
35．Democratic House challenger
36．Republican House challenger
38．Third party or independent House candidate－－2nd nonincumbent＊＊
39．Third party or independent House candidate－－incumbent
97．Name not on candidate list for this race
98．DK
99．RF
00．NA；Inap，$R$ says he／she does not recall names；Washington D．C．

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1281 & 6 & 15 & 104 & 133 \\
\hline & 35 & 36 & 97 & 98 & \\
\hline Count & 8 & 11 & 234 & 15 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
V000345 B2a1. \#1 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    B2a1.
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
    Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
    for Congress -- that is, for the House of
    Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
    November election from this district?
    Who are they?
    What is [NAME'S] party?
    1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, \(R\) says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1281 & 245 & 238 & 20 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000346 B2ax1. \#1 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

B2ax1.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY
1. Democratic candidate \((31,33,35)\)
2. Republican candidate \((32,34,36)\)
3. Other \((30,39)\)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1281 & 118 & 159 & 234 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000347 B2ax2. \#1 House cand recall- accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
\(\qquad\)
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1281 & 241 & 25 & 11 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & \\
\hline Count & 222 & 12 & 15 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
                B2b. \#2 recalled name, House cand
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
                Numeric

B2b.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?
2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes \(30,38,39\) used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list or this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 1520 & 6 & 4 & 16 & 14 \\
& 35 & 36 & 97 & 98 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & \\
& 23 & 16 & 141 & 67 &
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000349 B2b1. \#2 recall party, House cand MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

B2b1.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?

What is［NAME＇S］party？
2ND RECALLED NAME－RECALLED PARTY
\(\qquad\)

1．Democrat
2．Republican
7．Other Party（specify）
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；Inap，\(R\) says he／she does not recall names；Washington D．C．； no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1521 & 121 & 120 & 35 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000350 B2bx1. \#2 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

B2bx1．
IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES：
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who are running in the November election from this district？
Who are they？
2ND RECALLED NAME－ACTUAL PARTY
\(\qquad\)
1．Democratic candidate \((31,33,35)\)
2．Republican candidate \((32,34,36)\)
3．Other \((30,39)\)
7．Name given not on candidate list（97）
8．DK name but know party
9．DK name and DK／NA party；NA；RF
0．INAP，5，8，9，0 in B2；no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1520 & 45 & 34 & 141 & 67 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000351 B2bx2．\＃2 House cand recall－accuracy MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9 Numeric

B2bx2．

IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES：

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who are running in the November election from this district？
Who are they？
What is［NAME＇S］party？
2ND RECALLED NAME－ACCCURACY

1．Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2．Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3．Valid candidate name given，DK or NA for party associated with name
4．Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5．Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6．No candidate name given（DK or NA）－－any party mention
7．No candidate name given（DK）－－DK or NA for party
9．NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0．Inap，5，8，9，0 in B2；no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1520 & 68 & 9 & 2 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & \\
\hline Count & 132 & 9 & 67 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000352 B2c．\＃3 recalled name，House cand MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 98
Numeric

B2c．
IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES：
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who are running in the November election from this district？
Who are they？
3RD RECALLED NAME－CANDIDATE CODE
［PROBE：ANY OTHERS？］
＊＊codes \(30,38,39\) used only if name appears on candidate list（if name not on candidate list，code 97 is used）

30．Third party or independent House candidate－－nonincumbent＊＊
31．Democratic candidate in open House race
```

    32. Republican candidate in open House race
    33. Democratic House incumbent
    34. Republican House incumbent
    35. Democratic House challenger
    36. Republican House challenger
    38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
    39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
    97. Name not on candidate list for this race
    98. DK
    99. RF
    00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
        no further mention
    |  | 0 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1728 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 35 | 97 | 98 |  |
| Count | 1 | 38 | 33 |  |

```
```

*000353

```
*000353
V000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand
V000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    B2c1.
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
    Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
    for Congress -- that is, for the House of
    Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
    November election from this district?
    Who are they?
    What is [NAME'S] party?
    3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
    1. Democrat
    2. Republican
    7. Other Party (specify)
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
        no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1732 & 28 & 14 & 31 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000354 & B2cx1. \#3 House cand recall-actual party \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY


1. Democratic candidate $(31,33,35)$
2. Republican candidate $(32,34,36)$
3. Other $(30,39)$
4. Name given not on candidate list (97)
5. DK name but know party
6. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
7. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1728 | 2 | 6 | 38 | 33 |

## =============================

V000355 B2cx2. \#3 House cand recall-accuracy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

B2cx2.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
-----------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1728 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 6 | 33 |

```
==============================
V000356 B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B3.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
2. DISAPPROVE
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 933 | 617 | 246 | 11 |

```
==============================
V000357 B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B3a.
IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:
(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
Congress has been handling its job?)
Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 257 | 603 | 947 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { V000358 } & \text { B3x. Summary } R \text { approval of US Congress } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$

B3x.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?
Strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
3. Disapprove not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. DK (in B3 or B3a)
6. RF (in B3 or B3a)
7. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 285 | 648 | 299 | 318 | 246 | 11 |

C1/C1.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 2 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the
person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

```
==============================
V000359 C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
    MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
    Numeric
    C1a/C1a.T.
    FTF:
    (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
    (How would you rate:)
    Bill Clinton
    TELEPHONE:
    The first person is:
    Bill Clinton
    Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill
    Clinton?
    THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON
    [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
    YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
    SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
    After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
    administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
    C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
    C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
    C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.
    0-100
    995. NA
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
```



```
V000360 C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
        MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
        Numeric
    C1b/C1b.T.
    FTF:
    (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
    (How would you rate:)
    Al Gore
    TELEPHONE:
    The next person is:
    Al Gore
    (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
```

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the $2 n d-5 t h$ names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7 th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=============================
V000361 C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush
TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
George W. Bush
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the $2 n d-5 t h$ names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6 th or 7 th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9 th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand．A4／A5］．
0－100

995．NA
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000362 C1d／C1d．T．Thermometer Buchanan MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 997 Numeric

C1d／C1d．T．
FTF：
（Looking at page 2 of the booklet）
（How would you rate：）
Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE：
The next person is：
Pat Buchanan
（Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him
THERMOMETER－PAT BUCHANAN
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY DON＇T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
After C1a was administered as the first name，C1b－C1e were administered in random order as the $2 n d-5 t h$ names；C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6 th or 7 th names； C1h－C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8 th or 9 th names． C1k was administerd as the last（10th）name．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000363 C1e／C1e．T．Thermometer Nader MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 997 Numeric

C1e／C1e．T．
FTF ：

```
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Ralph Nader
TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER
```

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the $2 n d-5 t h$ names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6 th or 7 th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8 th or 9 th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
$==========================$
V000364 C1f/C1f.T. Thermometer Mccain MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Numeric

C1f/C1f.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain
TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the $2 n d-5 t h$ names; C1f and

```
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
```

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000365 C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric
C1g/C1g.T.
FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley
TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Bill Bradley
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY DON＇T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］ After C1a was administered as the first name，C1b－C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd－5th names；C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7 th names； C1h－C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8 th or 9 th names． C1k was administerd as the last（10th）name．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

```
==============================
V000366 C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
    MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
    Numeric
    C1h/C1h.T.
    FTF:
    (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
    (How would you rate:)
    Joseph Lieberman
    TELEPHONE:
    The next person is::
    Joseph Lieberman
    (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
    THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN
    [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
    YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
    SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
    After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
    administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
    C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
    C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
    C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
==============================
V000367 C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
        MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
        Numeric
    C1j/C1j.T.
    FTF:
    (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
    (How would you rate:)
    Dick Cheney
    TELEPHONE:
    The next person is::
    Dick Cheney
    (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
```

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9 th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

```
==============================
V000368 C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
    MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
    Numeric
C1k/C1k.T.
FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton
TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)
THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON
```

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7 th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

C2.

Still using the thermometer:

```
==============================
V000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
        MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
        Numeric
    C2a.
    (How would you rate:)
    the Democratic Party
    THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY
```

    C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
    administered last.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
        collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
        the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
        variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
        "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
        variable Rand.A4/A5].
        0-100
        995. NA
        997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
        998. DK WHERE TO RATE
        999. RF
    V000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2b.
(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party
THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

```
==============================
V000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
        MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
        Numeric
```

    C2c.
    (How would you rate:)
    Reform Party
    THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY
    C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; c2d was
    administered last.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
    V000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2d.
(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general
THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D0.
I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties.

```
==============================
V000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    D1.
    Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO D2
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D2
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ------- | ---- |
|  | 1018 | 766 | 20 | 3 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000374 | D1a(1). \#1 like Dem Party |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001 |
|  | Numeric |
|  | D1a(1). |

IF $R$ SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING $R$ LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
$\qquad$
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

```
    UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    9001. R has been influenced by spouse
    9002. R has been influenced by someone else
    9995. NA
    9998. DK
    9999. Refused to say
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1
==============================
V000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    D1a(2).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
    Is there anything in particular that you like about the
    Democratic party?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
        UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
==============================
V000376 D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    D1a(3).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
        Is there anything in particular that you like about the
        Democratic party?
        (What is that?)
        MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
        UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
```

0. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
```
==============================
V000377 D1a(4). #4 like Dem Party
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    D1a(4).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000378 D1a(5). \#5 like Dem Party
V000378 D1a(5). \#5 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Numeric
D1a(5).

```
    D1a(5).
```

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000379 | D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

D2.

Is there anything in particular that you don＇t like about the Democratic party？

The order of the D1／D2 series（＇likes＇and＇dislikes＇about Democratic Party）and the D3／D4 series（＇likes＇and ＇dislikes＇about the Republican party）was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP TO D3 or D5
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO D3 or D5
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 782 | 996 | 25 |  |

```
==============================
V000380 D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
    Numeric
    D2a(1).
    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
    Is there anything in particular that you don't like
    about the Democratic party?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
    UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    9001. R has been influenced by spouse
    9002. R has been influenced by someone else
    9995. NA
    9998. DK
    9999. Refused to say
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000381 D2a(2). \#2 dislike Dem Party
MD: EQ 0

Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

```
==============================
V000382 D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

D2a(3).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000383 | D2a(4). \#4 dislike Dem Party |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |

D2a(4).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

```
==============================
V000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

D2a(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5, 8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions
============================
V000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D3.
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO D4
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D4
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 808 | 975 | 20 | 4 |

```
==============================
V000386 D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
        Numeric
    D3a(1).
```

    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
    Is there anything in particular that you like about the
        Republican party?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
    [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
    UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
    See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
    Codes 1-1304 and:
    8999. Other miscellaneous
    9001. R has been influenced by spouse
    9002. R has been influenced by someone else
    9995. NA
    9998. DK
    9999. Refused to say
    0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3
    ==============================
V000387 D3a(2). \#2 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

```
==============================
V000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    D3a(3).
```

    IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
    Is there anything in particular that you like about the
    Republican party?
    (What is that?)
    MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
        UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions
    ===ニ============ニ=============
V000389 $\begin{aligned} & \text { D3a(4). \#4 like Rep Party } \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 0\end{aligned}$
Numeric
D3a(4).
IF $R$ SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING $R$ LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions
=============================
V000390 D3a(5). \#5 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

D4.
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ------- | ---- |
|  | 915 | 867 | 21 | 4 |

```
==============================
V000392 D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
    Numeric
```

D4a(1).
IF R SAYS there is something r dislikes about republican party:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4
$\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V000393 } & \text { D4a(2). \#2 dislike Rep Party } \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 0\end{array}$
Numeric
D4a(2).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
------------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
===============================
V000394 D4a(3). \#3 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
D4a(3).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

```
==============================
V000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
D4a(4).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
```

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000396 | D4a(5). \#5 dislike Rep Party |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

D4a(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999．Other miscellaneous
0000．Inap 5，8，9，0 in D4；no further mentions

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000397 D5．Preference for divided government MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
D5．
Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress，better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans，or doesn＇t it matter？
$\qquad$
1．BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
3．BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
5．IT DOESN＇T MATTER
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 420 | 926 | 420 | 38 |  |

＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000398 E1／E1．T．Better／worse off in last year MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
E1／E1．T．
FTF：
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days．Would you say that you（and your family living here）are better off，worse off，or just about the same financially as you were a year ago？

TELEPHONE：
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days．Would you say that you（and your family）are better off，worse off，or just about the same financially as you were a year ago？

A half－sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the $E$ section．

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post－administration variables （V001410－V001417）

1．BETTER

3．WORSE－－＞Skip to E1b
5．THE SAME $\quad->$ Skip to E2
8．DK－－＞Skip to E2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R not selected for half－sample

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000399 E1a. How much better off- last year
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    E1a.
    IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:
        Much better or somewhat better?
```


1. Much Better --> E2
5. Somewhat Better --> E2
8. DK --> E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1495 | 117 | 194 | 1 |

```
============================== 
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    E1b.
    IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:
        Much worse or somewhat worse?
    1．Much Worse
5．Somewhat Worse

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，5，8，9，0 in E1／E1．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- |
| Count | 1677 | 41 | 89 |

```
================================ 
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    E1x.
Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living
here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same
financially as you were a year ago?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
Built from E1/E1.T and E1a/E1b.
Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)
```

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
6. DK (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
7. RF (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
8. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 880 | 117 | 194 | 482 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 89 | 41 | 4 |  |

==============================
V000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

E2/E2.T.

FTF:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for
administration of the $E$ section.
Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 880 | 278 | 649 |

```
==============================
V000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    E3/E3.T.
    FTF:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the $E$ section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> Skip to E3B
3. THE SAME $\quad->$ Skip to F1
4. DK $\quad->$ Skip to F1
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 880 | 369 | 53 | 479 | 26 |

=============================
V000404 E3a. How much better off- next year

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

E3a.
IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
3. DK --> SKIP to F1
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1438 | 117 | 251 | 1 |

```
-=============================
V000405 E3b. How much worse off- next year
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    E3b.
    IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:
    Much worse off or somewhat worse off?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1754 | 18 | 33 | ---- |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000406 | E3x. Summary R econ situation next year |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

E3x.
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a／b．
Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post－administration variables （V001410－V001417）

1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．Just about the same
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse
8．DK（in E3 or E3a／E3b）
9．RF（in E 3 or E3a／E3b）
0．NA；INAP，R not selected for half sample

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 906 | 117 | 251 | 479 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 33 | 18 | 3 |  |

F0．
Now we would like to know something about the feelings
you have toward the candidates for President．I
am going to name a candidate，and I want you to tell me whether something about that person，or something he has done，has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride．
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000407 F1a．Angry－Gore affect
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

F1a．
（Think about Al Gore．）Has Al Gore（because of the kind of person he is，or because of something he has done）－－ever made you feel：
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series（Gore F1 series，GW Bush F2 series，and Buchanan F3 series）is randomized；for each candidate，the order of affects is also randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO $-->$ SKIP to next F1 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 524 | 1272 | 9 | 2 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000408 | F1aa. Angry- how often Gore affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F1aa.
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:
How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1a

|  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1283 | 94 | 102 | 221 | 107 |

=============================
V000409 F1b. Hopeful- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
F1b.
(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 853 | 934 | 18 | 2 |

=============================
V000410 F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect

F1bb.
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 954 | 141 | 254 | 379 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ----1 | ---- |  |
|  | 77 | 1 | 1 |  |

$==========================$
V000411 F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F1c.
(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind
of person he is，or because of something he has done）－－ever made you feel：
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series（Gore F1 series，GW Bush F2 series，and Buchanan F3 series）is randomized；for each candidate，the order of affects is also randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．Yes
5．No－－＞Next F1 In Randomization
8．DK－－＞Next F1 In Randomization
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 333 | 1462 | 10 | 2 |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000412 F1cc．Afraid－how often Gore affect MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

F1cc．
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID：
How often would you say you＇ve felt afraid－－very often，fairly often，occasionally，or rarely？

1．Very Often
2．Fairly Often
3．Occasionally
4．Rarely
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in F1c

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1474 | 73 | 74 | 134 | ---- |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000413 | F1d．Proud－Gore affect |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F1d.
(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000414 | F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F1dd.
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:
How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1199 | 111 | 198 | 250 | 48 |  |


| $===========================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000415 | F2a. Angry- Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2a.
(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
4. RF

0 . NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 487 | 1303 | 14 | ---- |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000416 | F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2aa.
IF R SAYS GEORGE $W$. BUSH HAS MADE $R$ ANGRY:
How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?


1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{cccccr}\text { Count } & 1320 & 79 & 97 & 209 & 101\end{array}$

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000417 | F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2b.
(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 774 | 1014 | 17 | 2 |

==============================
V000418 F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

F2bb.
IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
$\qquad$

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1033 | 124 | 227 | 348 | 74 | 1 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000419 | F2C. Afraid- Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2c.
(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 418 | 1374 | 13 | 2 |

===============================
V000420 F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

F2cc.
IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1389 | 73 | 93 | 176 | 75 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000421 | F2d. Proud- Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2d.
(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 542 | 1239 | 24 | ---- |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000422 | F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F2dd.
IF R SAYS GEORGE $W$. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:
How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 1265 | 96 | 148 | 250 | 46 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000423 F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

F3a.
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
2. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, question dropped

Count

| 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ---- | --- |
| 1037 | 197 |

5
----
505

| 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ---- |  |
| 67 | -- |

```
==-==-=
V000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:
How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1610 | 53 | 40 | 82 | 22 |

```
==============================
V000425 F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

F3b.
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
2. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, question dropped

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1037 | 114 | 588 | 67 | ----1 |

```
==============================
V000426 F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    F3bb.
    IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
    How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very
    often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
    1. Very often
    2. Fairly often
    3. Occasionally
    4. Rarely
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1693 & 10 & 17 & 61 & ----
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
F3c.
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID
The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. Yes
5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
```

0. NA; INAP, question dropped

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1037 | 153 | 551 | 65 | 1 |


==============================
V000429 F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3d.
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
2. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
3. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, question dropped



| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000431 | F6(1). \#1 most important problem |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 991 |
|  | Numeric |

F6(1).
What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
992. "There was no campaign in my district"
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, $R$ not selected for half-sample administration (see Pre-Admin. 2b, V000005b=0)

```
==============================
V000432 F6(2). #2 most important problem
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

F6(2).
What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention
=============================
V000433 F6(3). \#3 most important problem MD: EQ 0 Numeric

F6(3).
What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code. Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention
==============================
V000434 F6(4). \#4 most important problem MD: EQ 0 Numeric

F6(4).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

```
==============================
V000435 F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
        MD: EQ 9
        Numeric
```

    F6x.
    CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R
    [IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE MORE THAN 1.]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))

1. One problem mentioned
2. Two or more problems mentioned
3. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 31 | 167 | 707 | 902 |

```
==============================
V000436 F7. Choice - most important problem
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
        Numeric
```

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:
Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code. If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here. Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
998. DK
999. RF; NA
000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000437 | F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

F8.
IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:
How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F .

1. A GOOD JOB
2. ONLY FAIR
3. A POOR JOB
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 944 | 84 | 358 | 411 | 9 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000438 | F9. Party performance on most imp problem |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F9.
IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOSt IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:
Which political party do you think would be most likely
to get the government to do a better job in
dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the
Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference
between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section $F$.

1. REPUBLICANS
2. DEMOCRATS
3. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
4. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 944 | 201 | 237 | 382 | 26 | 17 |

G1/G1.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000439 | G1a. Self placement lib-con scale |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7 -point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7 -point scale, see G1a.T;
for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (V000442).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
--> Skip to G2
--> Skip to G2
--> Skip to G2
--> G1b
--> Skip to G2
--> Skip to G2
--> Skip to G2
--> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 107 | 9 | 29 | 48 | 125 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
==============================
V000439a G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

G1a. T.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
$\qquad$
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G1a; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (V000442)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
--> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL
--> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
8. DK
--> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 77 | 8 | 48 | 37 | 90 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
V000440 G1ax. Summary: combined FTF/ph
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    G1ax.
    IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    FTF:
    Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
    you thought much about this?
    TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative,
or haven't you thought much about this?
COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT
Built from G1a and G1a.T.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 184 & 17 & 77 & 85 & 215 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 113 & 140 & 26 & 9 & 941 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000441 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
G1b .
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
```

$\qquad$

1. LIBERAL
2. CONSERVATIVE
3. MODERATE
4. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. DK
6. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 1399 | 123 | 174 | 51 | 52 | 8 |

```
-=-===-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-====
V000441a G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

G1bx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:
$\qquad$

Built from G1ax and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
2. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
3. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
4. Refused to choose $(0 / 8,7)$
5. DK $(0 / 8,8)$
6. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)

0 . NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)


G6．E．Self placement lib－con branching MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G6．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives．
When it comes to politics，do you usually think of yourself as a liberal，a conservative，a moderate，or haven＇t you thought much about this？

See Form description item Pre－Admin．2d（V000005e）for a general description of the administration of liberal－ conservative placements．
See also：G1a and G1a．T（V000439 and V000439a）． Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 －point scale（G1－G5／G1．T－G5．T）or branching format （G6．E－G10．E）

1．A LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G6b．E
3．A CONSERVATIVE－－＞SKIP TO G6a．E
5．A MODERATE－－＞SKIP TO G6a．E
7．NEITHER［VOL］－－＞SKIP TO G6a．E
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO G6a．E
9．RF；NA；INAP，R selected for 7－point scale version
0．HAVEN＇T THOUGHT MUCH［DO NOT PROBE］－－＞SKIP TO G6a．E

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
|  | 314 | 146 | 229 | 216 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 29 | 4 | 869 |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000443 G6a．E．Had to choose lib－con branching MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G6a．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
IF SELF－PLACEMENT IS DK／NEITHER／MODERATE／NO THOUGHT：
If you had to choose，would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative？

1．LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G7．E
3．CONSERVATIVE－－＞SKIP TO G7．E
5．MODERATE［VOL］－－＞SKIP TO G7．E
7．R REFUSES TO CHOOSE［VOL］－－＞SKIP TO G7．E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO G7.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1244 | 143 | 241 | 58 | 111 | 10 |

```
==============================
V000444 G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G6b.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:
    Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very
    strong liberal?
    1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
    5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
    8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1661 | 65 | 79 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000445 G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    G6c.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:
        Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not
        very strong conservative?
    1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
2. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1578 | 119 | 105 |  |

```
==============================
V000446 G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

G6x1.

## BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you
usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal,
slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING


Built from:

- branching data in G6.E, G6a.E, G6b.E, G6c.E.
- 7-pt scale data in G1ax, G1b.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction
of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in G1ax and the "had to choose" data in G1b were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-toface 7 -point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
10. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1 | 82 | 158 | 351 | 109 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 528 | 250 | 145 | 18 | 165 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000446a | G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7 -pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | --- | ------------ |  |  |


| Count | 801 | 51 | 77 | 212 | 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 314 | 129 | 77 | 9 | 80 |

```
==============================
V000446b G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G6x1b.
    IF PHONE MODE:
    BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
    7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on
    this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
    If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
    liberal or a conservative?
    BRANCHING (PHONE):
    When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
    yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or
    haven't you thought much about this?
    If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
    liberal or a conservative?
    Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very
    strong liberal?
    Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not
    very strong conservative?
```

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7 -pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
10. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1007 | 31 | 81 | 139 | 52 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 214 | 121 | 68 | 9 | 85 |

=============================

V000447 G6x2. Comb.7pt \& branching summ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

## Numeric

G6x2.
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? 7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/ conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Collapsed from G6x1.

1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
2. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
3. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
4. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)
5. DK (8 in G6x1)
$0 . N A(0$ in G6x1)

=============================
V000448 G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7 －point scale（G1－G5）or branching format（G6．E－G10．E）．
For the telephone version of the 7 －point scale，see G2．T．；
for branching format，see G7．E（V000450）．

1．EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2．LIBERAL
3．SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4．MODERATE；MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5．SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6．CONSERVATIVE
7．EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，Phone mode；R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1339 | 78 | 120 | 91 | 85 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

＝＝ニニニ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ー＝＝＝＝ニ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000448a G2．T．Clinton plcmnt lib－con scale phone MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G2．T．
IF R SELECTED FOR 7－POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：

## TELEPHONE：

What about Bill Clinton？
Do you think he is extremely liberal，liberal，slightly liberal，moderate or middle of the road，slightly conservative，conservative，or extremely conservative？
［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇］
See Form description item Pre－Admin．2d（V000005e）for a general description of the administration of liberal－ conservative placements．
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 －point scale（G1－G5）or branching format（G6．E－G10．E）．
For the telephone version of the 7－point scale，see G2．T．；
for branching format，see G7．E（V000450）．
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be
administered the 7 －point scale（G1．T－G5．T）or branching format（G6．E－G10．E）．
For the FTF version of the 7－point scale，see G2；for branching format，see G7．E（V000450）．

1．EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2．LIBERAL
3．SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4．MODERATE；MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5．SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1407 | 76 | 106 | 55 | 85 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 10 | 24 | 4 | 38 | 2 |

```
V000449 G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
G2x.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT
```

Built from G2/G2.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 939 | 154 | 226 | 146 | 170 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 40 | 55 | 8 | 67 | 2 |

```
V000450 G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    G7.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    What about Bill Clinton?
    Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
    [DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
    See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
    general description of the administration of liberal-
    conservative placements.
    Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
    the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
    (G6.E-G10.E)
    1. LIBERAL --> G7a.E
    2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7b.E
    3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
    8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 868 & 434 & 78 & 285 & 139 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000451 G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
G7a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP T0 G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
8. DK --> SKIP T0 G8.E, G9.E OR G10.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1373 & 280 & 150 & 4
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
V000452 G7b.E. Clinton strong conservtive or not
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G7b.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSEVATIVE:
    Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a
    not very strong conservative?
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
    5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E
```



```
V000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
```

V000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
G7x1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?
SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.
1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G7.E
9. RF in G7.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 868 | 280 | 154 | 285 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |

```
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V000454 & G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

G7x2.
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you
think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?
COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from G2x and G7x1.E
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 434 & 380 & 641 & 88 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 53 & 206 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000455 G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```

Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7 -point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7 -point scale, see G3.T;
for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1339 & 40 & 131 & 98 & 94 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 40 & 25 & 8 & 32 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 -point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7 -point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1407 & 35 & 98 & 68 & 86 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 19 & 32 & 5 & 54 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000456 G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G3x.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T
```

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 939 | 75 | 229 | 166 | 180 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 59 | 57 | 13 | 86 | 3 |

```
G3a.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
V000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

```
\(\qquad\)
```

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
2. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
4. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1371 | 92 | 210 | 134 |

=============================
V000458 G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
G3a.T.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

```

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1464 & 90 & 190 & ---- \\
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000458a G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

G3ax

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT

This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1028 & 182 & 400 & 97 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
============================
V000459 G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
What about Al Gore？
（Is Al Gore a liberal，a conservative，or a moderate？）

［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇］
See Form description item Pre－Admin．2d（V000005e）for a general description of the administration of liberal－ conservative placements．
See also G3 and G3．T（V000455 and V000455a）．
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 －point scale（G1－G5／G1．T－G5．T）or branching format
（G6．E－G10．E）
The order in which G8．E，G9．E，and G10．E were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．LIBERAL－－＞G8a．E
2．CONSERVATIVE－－＞SKIP TO G8b．E
3．MODERATE－－＞SKIP TO G8c．E
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for 7 －point scale version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 868 & 386 & 150 & 254 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000460 G8a．E．Gore strong liberal or not
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

G8a．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL：
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal？

1．STRONG LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G8c．E
5．NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G8c．E
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，2，3，8，9，0 in G8．E

\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Count & 1421 & 250 & 130 & 5 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000461 G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G8b.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:
Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not
very strong conservative?

```

    1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
    5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
    8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1657 & 89 & 58 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000462 G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    G8x1.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
        What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a
        conservative, or a moderate?)
        Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or
        a not very strong liberal/conservative?
        SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
        Built from G8.E/G8a.E/G8b.E
        1. Strong liberal
        2. Not very strong liberal
        3. Moderate
        4. Not very strong conservative
        5. Strong conservative
        8. DK in G8.E
        9. RF in G8.E
        0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
    \(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Count & 868 & 250 & 136 & 254 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
Count & 61 & 89 & 149 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000463 & G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?) BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:) What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 325 & 365 & 659 & 118 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 102 & 235 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000464 G8c.E.Gore-certain lib-con placement br
G8c.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK
--> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1026 | 224 | 373 | 183 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
V000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    G8cx.
    BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
    How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
    certain or not very certain?
    COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING
    Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.
    1. VERY CERTAIN
    3. PRETTY CERTAIN
    5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 247 & 406 & 773 & 380 & 1
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000465 G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
```

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Numeric

G4
IF R SELECTED FOR 7－POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：

FTF：
Where would you place George W．Bush on this scale？
［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇］
See Form description item Pre－Admin．2d（V000005e）for a general description of the administration of liberal－ conservative placements．
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7 －point scale（G1－G5）or branching format（G6．E－G10．E）．
For the telephone version of the 7 －point scale，see G4．T；
for branching format see G9．E（V000469）．
The order in which G3，G4，and G5 were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2．LIBERAL
3．SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4．MODERATE；MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5．SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6．CONSERVATIVE
7．EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，Phone mode；R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1339 | 8 | 23 | 37 | 58 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 105 | 164 | 36 | 35 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000465a G4．T．Bush placement lib－con scale phone MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G4．T．

IF R SELECTED FOR 7－POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
TELEPHONE：

```
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
```


[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be
administered the 7 -point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching
format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for
branching format see G9.E (V000469).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered
was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1407 | 6 | 32 | 18 | 49 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 61 | 146 | 35 | 51 | 2 |

=============================
V000466 G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4x

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

```
Built from G4/G4.T
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 939 & 14 & 55 & 55 & 107 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 166 & 310 & 71 & 86 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
V000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
```

V000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
G4a.
G4a.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
FTF :
FTF :
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?
certain or not very certain?
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1376 | 93 | 208 | 129 |  |

```

```

V000468 G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G4a. T.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

```

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1460 & 101 & 175 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000468a G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
        Numeric

G4ax.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1029 & 194 & 383 & 200 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000469 & G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
What about George W．Bush？
（Is George W．Bush a liberal，a conservative，or a moderate？）
［DO NOT PROBE＇DK＇］
See Form description item Pre－Admin．2d（V000005e）for a general description of the administration of liberal－ conservative placements．
See also G4 and G4．T（V000465 and V000465a）．
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 －point scale（G1－G5／G1．T－G5．T）or branching format （G6．E－G10．E）
The order in which G8．E，G9．E，and G10．E were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．LIBERAL－－＞G9a．E
2．CONSERVATIVE \(-->\) SKIP TO G9b．E
3．MODERATE－－＞SKIP TO G9c．E
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for 7－point scale version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 868 & 113 & 464 & 209 & 151 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V000470 G9a．E．Bush strong liberal or not
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
G9a．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL：
Would you call George \(W\) ．Bush a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal？
\(\qquad\)

1．STRONG LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G9c．E
5．NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL－－＞SKIP TO G9c．E
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，2，3，8，9，0 in G9．E
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
\hline 1694 & 70 & 43
\end{tabular}
```

V000471 G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:
Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & --- \\
& 1343 & 287 & 162 & 14 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000472 G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9x1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/ conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G9.E/G9a.E/G9b.E
1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong cosnervative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G9.E
9. RF in G9.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7 -point scale version
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 868 & 70 & 43 & 209 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 177 & 287 & 151 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000473 & G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G9x2.
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?) BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/ conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G4x and G9x1.E
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
8. DK
9. RF

0 . NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 84 & 98 & 537 & 487 \\
& 5 & 8 & 9 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Count & 358 & 237 & 6
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000474 G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    G9c.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
    IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
    How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
    certain or not very certain?
    1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
    3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
    5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
    8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 1036 & 198 & 376 & 196 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
===ニ==========================
V000474a G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
    G9cx.
    BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        certain or not very certain?
        COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING
        Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9,or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 258 & 392 & 759 & 396 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000475 G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G5.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version
see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was
randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1339 & 14 & 15 & 26 & 57 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 38 & 55 & 115 & 145 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000475a G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

G5．T．

IF \(R\) SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 -point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
For the FTF version of the 7 -point scale version see G5;
for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered
was randomized.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
--> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1407 & 3 & 17 & 8 & 35 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 10 & 61 & 108 & 154 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000476 G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5x.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

Built from G5/G5.T
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & -------- & ---- & ----- \\
& 939 & 17 & 32 & 34 & 92 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000477 G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G5a.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

```

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN \(-->\) NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1487 & 100 & 113 & 107
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000478 & G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G5a. T.
```

    IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
    TELEPHONE:
    How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
2. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
4. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1565 | 93 | 89 | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000478a G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
V000478a G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
            Numeric
    G5ax.
    G5ax.
        FTF:
        FTF:
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        certain or not very certain?
        certain or not very certain?
        TELEPHONE:
        TELEPHONE:
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        certain or not very certain?
        certain or not very certain?
        COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT
        COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT
        This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.
        1. VERY CERTAIN
        3. PRETTY CERTAIN
        5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1245 & 193 & 202 & 167
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000479 G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

G10.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.
See also G5 and G5.T (V000475 and V000475a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7 -point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL
2. CONSERVATIVE
3. MODERATE
4. DON'T KNOW
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for 7 -point scale version
==============================

V000480 G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G10a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
2. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
4. RF

0．NA；INAP，2，3，8，9，0 in G10．E

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1742 | 38 | 24 | 3 |

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000481 G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10b．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB－CON SERIES：
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE：
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative？
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－
1．STRONG CONSERVATIVE－－＞G10c．E
5．NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE－－＞G10c．E
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，3，8，9，0 in G10．E


```
==============================
V000482 G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    G10x1.E.
    IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
        What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a
        conservative, or a moderate?)
        Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/
        conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?
        SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
        Built from G10.E/G10a.E/G10b.E
        1. Strong liberal
        2. Not very strong liberal
        3. Moderate
        4. Not very strong conservative
        5. Strong conservative
        8. DK in G10.E
        9. RF in G10.E
    0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 868 | 38 | 27 | 107 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 64 | 325 | 372 | 6 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000483 | G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)
What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?
COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G5x and G10x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 55 | 59 | 281 | 180 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |

Count $548 \quad 671$

```
===============================
V000484 G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    G10c.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
    IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
    IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
        How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
        certain or not very certain?
        1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
        3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
        5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1252 & 225 & 181 & 149
\end{tabular}
```

```
V000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan l-c crt
```

V000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan l-c crt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
G10cx.
G10cx.
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?
COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING
------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 690 | 418 | 383 | 316 |

```
```

==============================
V000485 G11. Who does R think will be elected
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    G11.
    Who do you think will be elected President in November?
    1. AL GORE
    2. GEORGE W. BUSH
    3. PAT BUCHANAN
    4. RALPH NADER
    7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
    8. DK --> SKIP to G11b
    9. RF
    0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 848 & 783 & 5 & 3 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 39 & 126 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11a.
IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:
Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will
[NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

```
        1. WILL BE CLOSE \(-->\) SKIP to H1 or H1.E
        5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
        8. DK --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 129 & 1449 & 213 & 16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000487 & G11b. Race close or not - cand not named \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G11b.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:
Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?
1. WILL BE CLOSE
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1678 & 108 & 8 & 13
\end{tabular}
```

V000488a H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H1.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E
1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b
8. DK
--> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 899 & 369 & 380 & 147 & 11 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000488b H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E
1. GOTTEN WORSE. --> SKIP TO H1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 908 & 155 & 405 & 331 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000489 H1a. How much better US econ past year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H1a.
IF R THINKS ECONOMY BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR:
Much better or somewhat better?

```
\(\qquad\)
```

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
3. DK --> SKIP TO H2
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, $3,5,8,9$ or NA in H1; $1,3,8,9$ or NA in H1.E
```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1107 & 251 & 448 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


H1b.
IF R THINKS ECONOMY WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. SOMEWHAT WORSE
5. MUCH WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1505 & 232 & 70 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000491 H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H1x.
STANDARD VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a
whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the
nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the
same, or gotten better?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a
whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the
nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the
same, or gotten better?
BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat
better/worse?
COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

```
    Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.
    1. Much better
    2. Somewhat better
    3. Stayed about the same
    4. Somewhat worse
    5. Much worse
    8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
    9. RF in \(\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 1 . \mathrm{E}\) or \(\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
    0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 251 & 448 & 785 & 70 \\
& & & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
```

V000492a H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H2.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?
\(\qquad\)

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H 2 or H2.E
1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
8. DK --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 906 & 125 & 313 & 447 & 16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000492b H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H2.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

```

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E
1. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
3. ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN HARDER
8. DK
--> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 901 & 454 & 300 & 129 & 23
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000493 H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```

Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:
Much harder or a little harder?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH HARDER --> H4
5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4
8. DK --> H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1553 & 123 & 131
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000494 & H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H2b.
IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?
1. MUCH EASIER
5. A LITTLE EASIER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(1,3,8,9\) or NA in \(\mathrm{H} 2 ; 3,5,8,9\) or NA in H2.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 906 & 493 & 404 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000495 H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten
harder for people across the country to find enough
work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people
to find enough work?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough
work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?
BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little
harder/easier?
COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.
1. Much harder
2. Somewhat harder
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. Much easier
8. DK in \(\mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2 . E\) or \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
9. RF in \(\mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2 . E\) or \(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 123 & 131 & 613 & 404 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 493 & 42 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000496a H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?
\(\qquad\)

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E
1. GET BETTER --> SKIP TO H4a
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 896 & 181 & 535 & 163 & 29 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
V000496b H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
V000496b H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
H4.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E
1. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET BETTER
8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 911 & 166 & 499 & 196 & 34 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
==============================
V000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
V000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
H4a.
IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:
Much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(3,5,8,9\) or NA in \(\mathrm{H} 4 ; 1,3,8,9\) or NA in H4.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1430 & 113 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000498 H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
H4b

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
\(\qquad\)
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(1,3,8,9\) or NA in \(\mathrm{H} 4 ; 3,5,8,9\) or NA in H4.E
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1478 & 69 & 260
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000499 H4x. Summary US econ in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H4x.
STANDARD VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse? EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a, H4b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK in \(\mathrm{H} 4 / \mathrm{H} 4 . \mathrm{E}\) or \(\mathrm{Ha} / \mathrm{b}\)
9. RF in \(\mathrm{H} 4 / \mathrm{H} 4 . \mathrm{E}\) or \(\mathrm{Ha} / \mathrm{b}\)
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 113 & 264 & 1034 & 260 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 69 & 63 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000500 H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
Numeric

H5.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?
1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H5b
8. DK \(-->\) SKIP to H6
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1304 & 387 & 106 & 10
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000501 & H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H5a.
IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
8. DK --> SKIP to H7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 503 & 783 & 516 & 4 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000502 & H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H5b.
IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1420 & 237 & 150 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000503 & H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H5x.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from H 5 and \(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~b}\).
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in H 5 or \(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
9. RF in H 5 or \(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 783 & 516 & 150 & 237 & 110 & 11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
================-============
V000504 H6. Is R invested in stock market MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

H6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now --
either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
--------------1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Count & 1004 & 780 & 6 & 17
\end{tabular}
```

V000505 H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
    Numeric

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 527 & 406 & 794 & 28 & 50 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
V000506 & H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H8.
Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?
[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. ABOUT THE SAME BY BOTH
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 373 & 324 & 1043 & 24 & 42 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000507 H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H9.
Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?
1. WEAKER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
5. STRONGER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 500 & 874 & 403 & 28 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===-==========================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H10.
Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?
1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 172 & 785 & 785 & 60 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000509 H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/
IF \(R\) THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:
A little or a lot?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LITTLE
5. A LOT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 850 & 360 & 588 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000510 H10x. Summary immigration level
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H10x.
Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

Built from H10 and H10a.
1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 68 & 100 & 785 & 260 \\
& & & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000511a H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H11.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E
1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO H12
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO H12
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO H12
8. DK --> SKIP TO H12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 897 & 245 & 263 & 385 & 17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{=============================}

V000511b H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E
1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 910 & 390 & 408 & 95 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { V000512 } & \text { H11x. Combined versions import limits } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 7\end{array}\) Numeric

H11x.
STANDARD VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS

Built from H11 and H11.E
1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 635 & 671 & 385 & 112 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000513a & H12. US better off to stay at home stan \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12
or H12.E
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. AGREE } & -->\text { SKIP to H13 } \\ \text { 5. DISAGREE } & -->\text { SKIP to H13 } \\ \text { 8. DK } & -->\text { SKIP to H13 }\end{array}\)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 916 & 235 & 640 & 15 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000513b H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    H12.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
    Do you think this country would be better off if we just
        stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems
        in other parts of the world, or do you think that this
        country would be better off trying to solve some
        problems in other parts of the world?
        Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12
        or H12.E
        1. better off if we just stayed home
        5. Better off trying to solve some problems
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 891 & 267 & 601 & 44 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000514 H12x. Combined versions isolationism
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

H12x.
```

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other
parts of the world.
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you think this country would be better off if we just
stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems
in other parts of the world, or do you think that this
country would be better off trying to solve some
problems in other parts of the world?
COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

```

Built from H12 and H12.E
1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

```

==============================
V000515 H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

H13.
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?
1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H13b
8. DK --> SKIP to K1
9. RF
0. NA

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000516 & H13a. Strength appove Clinton frgn rel \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY \(-->\) SKIP TO K1
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
8. DK --> SKIP TO K1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 646 & 605 & 553 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000517 H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H13b.
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

| Count | 1273 | 328 | 202 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

==============================
H13x.

```
        Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
        handling our relations with foreign countries?
        Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?
        SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
        Built from H13 and H13a/b.
        1. Approve strongly
        2. Approve not strongly
        4. Disapprove not strongly
        5. Disapprove strongly
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 605 & 553 & 202 & 328 & 108 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000519 & K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a
Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN --> SKIP TO K1b
3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP T0 K1c
5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c
8. DK --> SKIP TO K1C
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 620 & 451 & 496 & 27 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 204 & 5 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000520 K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K1a.
IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

```
1. STRONG \(-->\) SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1187 & 346 & 273 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    K1b.
    IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?
1. STRONG \(-->\) SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1356 & 236 & 214 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
========== & \(==================\) \\
V000522 & K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K1c.
IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:
Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican
Party or to the Democratic party?
1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
3. NEITHER [VOL]
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1075 & 230 & 224 & 269 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000523 & K1x. Party ID summary \\
& MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K1x.
```

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what? Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/ Republican?
Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican
Party or to the Democratic party?

```

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.

Code 8 （apolitical）was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 （no preference）in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions：PRE question A1．（interest in campaigns），PRE question B1（care about Congressional race outcome），POST question C1（voted），POST question F5（follow public affairs）；respondents who showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

0．Strong Democrat（1，1，0 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
1．Weak Democrat（1，5／8／9，0 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
2．Independent－Democrat（3／4／5／8，0，5 in K1，K1a／b，K1c
3．Independent－Independent（3，0，3／8／9 in K1，K1a／b，K1c； 8，0，3 and 5，0，3／8／9 if not apolitical）
4．Independent－Republican（3／4／5／8，0，1 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
5．Weak Republican（2，5／8／9，0 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
6．Strong Republican（2，1，0 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
7．Other；minor party；refuses to say（4，0，3／8／9 in K1，K1a／b，K1c）
8．Apolitical（8，0，3 or 5，0，3／8／9 in E6，E6a／b，E6c and no interest in politics
9．NA（8／9，0，0 in E6，E6a／b，E6c）
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 346 & 274 & 269 & 206 & 230 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 215 & 236 & 9 & 17 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

K1z／K1z．T．

FTF：
Please look at page 4 of the booklet．I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures．For each，tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name．

TELEPHONE：
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures．For each，please tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name．

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000524 K2a．Gore trait－moral MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

K2a．
Think about Al Gore．
In your opinion，does the phrase＇he is＜trait＞＇
describe Al Gore extremely well，quite well，not too
well，or not well at all？
（What about＜trait＞？［Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - MORAL
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 423 & 820 & 287 & 133 & 143 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
============================
V000525 K2b. Gore trait - really cares MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K2b.
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])
GORE TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 277 & 713 & 464 & 232 & 119 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
===========ー================= \\
V000526 & K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K2c.
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 461 & 997 & 212 & 59 & 77 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000527 K2d. Gore trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K2d.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 258 & 728 & 522 & 185 & 113 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000528 K2e. Gore trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K2e.
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 133 & 312 & 629 & 557 & 173 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

K2f.
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL


K3a.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 340 & 841 & 330 & 98 & 196 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000532 & K3b. Bush trait - really cares \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K3b.
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 155 & 625 & 562 & 342 & 122 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000533 K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K3c.
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 274 & 933 & 379 & 133 & 87 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K3d.
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 266 & 842 & 407 & 166 & 125 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{V000535} & K3e. Bush trait - dishonest \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

K3e.
Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 70 & 288 & 653 & 574 & 220 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================ \\
V000536 & K3f. Bush trait - intelligent \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K3f.
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 329 & 967 & 306 & 110 & 94 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V000537 & \begin{tabular}{l}
K3g. Bush trait - out of touch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

K3g.
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George \(W\). Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\(\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Count } & 207 & 495 & 638 & 348 & 118 & 1\end{array}\)
```

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K4a.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])
BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1037 & 94 & 240 & 120 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 47 & 268 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000539 & K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K4b.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1037 & 31 & 137 & 197 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 150 & 254 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000540 K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K4c.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1037 & 50 & 304 & 139 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 41 & 235 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(==========\) & K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader \\
V000541 & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K4d.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．EXTREMELY WELL
2．QUITE WELL
3．NOT TOO WELL
4．NOT WELL AT ALL
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1037 & 23 & 136 & 227 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 138 & 245 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000542 K4e．Buchanan trait－dishonest MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

K4e．
Think about Pat Buchanan．
In your opinion，does the phrase＇he is＜trait＞＇ describe Pat Buchanan extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？
（What about＜trait＞？［Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？］）

BUCHANAN TRAIT－DISHONEST
［DO NOT PROBE＂DK＂］
The order in which the 3 series K2，K3，and K4 were administered was randomized．Within each series，the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized． Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period （09／28／00）

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．EXTREMELY WELL
2．QUITE WELL
3．NOT TOO WELL
4．NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1037 & 17 & 65 & 224 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 175 & 287 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
========== & =================== \\
V000543 & K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K4f.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1037 & 82 & 323 & 98 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Count
```

==============================
V000544 K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K4g.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])
BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1037 & 85 & 183 & 177 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 79 & 244 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

L1/L1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 5 of the booklet.
```

Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6 .
TELEPHONE:
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000545 L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
V000545 L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
        Numeric
    L1a.
        FTF:
        Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
    you thought much about this?
    For telephone (branching) version of this question see L1a.T.
        1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> L1b
        2. --> L1b
        3. --> L1b
        4. --> L1b
        5. --> L1b
        6. --> L1b
        7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> L1b
        8. DK
        --> L1b
        9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
        0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 142 & 46 & 60 & 102 & 251 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 184 & 115 & 98 & 7 & 802
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000546 L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    L1a.T.
        TELEPHONE:
```

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see L1a.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1a1.T
2. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L2.T
3. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> L1b.T
4. DK
5. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 141 | 179 | 378 | 93 | 7 |  |

=============================
V000547 L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1a1.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?


1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
2. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
3. DK --> L1b.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1628 | 74 | 05 |

=============================
V000548 L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
2. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
3. DK --> L1b.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1429 | 141 | 233 | 3 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000549 L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
    Numeric
```

    L1ax1.T.
    TELEPHONE:
    Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
        thought much about this?
        Should the government reduce/increase services and
        spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and
        spending) only some?
            SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
        Built from L1a.T and L1a1.T/L1a2.T
            1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
            2. Reduce spending and services only some
            3. Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
            4. Increase spending and services only some
            5. Increase spending and services a great deal
            7. Haven't thought much
            8. DK in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
            9. RF in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
            0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a.T; FTF mode
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 74 | 105 | 93 | 233 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 141 | 141 | 10 | 4 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000550 | L1ax2. Comb. $7 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{br}$ summ of self on serv/spend |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L1ax2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1a and L1ax1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a or L1a.T
8. Haven't thought much

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 283 | 120 | 165 | 630 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 348 | 239 | 17 | 5 |

```
==============================
V000551 L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

L1b.
FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the $2 n d$ or $3 r d$ names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2.
--> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

```
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
    MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 20 & 23 & 73 & 149 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 255 & 240 & 152 & 92 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==========================-==-
```

==========================-==-
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
L1b. T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING
--> L1b1.T
2. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING
--> L1b2.T
3. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
4. DK
--> NEXT NAME
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 108 | 522 | 59 | 109 |  |

$===========================~$
V000553 L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1b1.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1699 & 33 & 74 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V000554 } & \text { L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\)
Numeric
L1b2.T.
IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME \(-->\) NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1285 & 246 & 275 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
===========ー================== \\
V000555 & L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L1bx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?
SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 33 & 74 & 59 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 275 & 246 & 111 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000556 & L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L1bx2.
FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1b and L1bx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 53 & 97 & 536 & 515 \\
& 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ---1 & ---- & \\
& 398 & 203 & 5 &
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000557 L1c. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1c.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

FEWER SERVICES
2.
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. \(-->\) NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 801 & 9 & 27 & 62 & 154
\end{tabular}

```

==============================
V000558 L1c.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1C．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about Al Gore？（Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending？）
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
PHONE：L1b．T was administered first，then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names．L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names． For the FTF version of this question，see L1c．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞L1c1．T
5．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞L1c2．T
7．SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW［VOL］－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK
－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 91 & 553 & 41 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000559 L1c1．T．Gore－how much red serv／spend ph MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L1c1．T．

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING：
TELEPHONE：
（Do you think he would like to）reduce services and spending a great deal or（reduce services and spending） only some？

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1006 & 35 & 56 & 41 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 286 & 264 & 117 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000562 L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1cx2.
FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
\(\qquad\)

Built from L1c and L1cx1
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& Count & 44 & 83 & 519
\end{tabular}

L1d.
FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES
2.
3. - -> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. - - \(\operatorname{NEXT}\) NAME /PARTY OR \(-->\) L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE

MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 801 & 58 & 145 & 253 & 213 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================ \\
V000564 & L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

Numeric
L1d．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？（Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending？）
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
PHONE：L1b．T was administered first，then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the \(2 n d\) or \(3 r d\) names．L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names． For the telephone version of this question，see L1d．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞L1d1．T
5．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞L1d2．T
7．SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW［VOL］－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK \(-->N E X T\) NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1006 & 410 & 223 & 38 & 128 & 2
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V000565 L1d1．T．Bush－how much reduce serv／spend ph MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L1d1．T．
IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING：

TELEPHONE：
（Do you think he would like to）reduce services and spending a great deal or（reduce services and spending） only some？

1．A GREAT DEAL－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；5，7，8，9，0 in L1d．T
\begin{tabular}{llll}
0 & 1 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
v000566 & L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
    Numeric

L1d2.T.
IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1584 & 69 & 153 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
v000567 L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L1dx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 208 & 200 & 38 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 153 & 69 & 131 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000568 & L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L1dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1d and L1dx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1d.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 266 & 345 & 619 & 226 \\
& & 5 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000569 L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L1e.
    FTF:
    Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
    issue)?
    [DO NOT PROBE DK]
    FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
    randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f
were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
    FEWER SERVICES \(-->\) NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
                                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
                                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
                                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
    GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
    MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 15 & 14 & 51 & 143 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 267 & 266 & 142 & 106 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000570 & L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L1e. T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in
order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending？）
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
PHONE：L1b．T was administered first，then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names．L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names．
For the telephone version of this question，see L1e．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞L1e1．T
5．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞L1e2．T
7．SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW［VOL］－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
\multirow{3}{c}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1006 & 84 & 556 & 46 & 115
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000571 L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1e1．T．
IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES／SPENDING：

TELEPHONE：
（Do you think they would like to）reduce services and spending a great deal or（reduce services and spending） only some？
\(\qquad\)

1．A GREAT DEAL－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；5，7，8，9，0 in L1e．T
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & ------- & ---- \\
& 1723 & 31 & 53
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V000572 L1e2．T．Dem Party－how much inc srv／sp ph
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8

Numeric

L1e2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2
8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1251 & 291 & 264 & 1
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000573 L1ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1ex1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase
services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase
services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1e.T and L1e1.T/L1e2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
9. RF in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 31 & 53 & 46 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } 264 & 291\end{array}\)
```

===============================
V000574 L1ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
L1ex2

FTF ：
Where would you place the Democratic Party（on this issue）？

TELEPHONE：
What about the Democratic Party？（Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending？）
（Do you think they would like to）reduce／increase services and spending a great deal or（reduce／increase services and spending）only some？

SUMMARY：5PT SERV／SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE／BRANCH

Built from L1e and L1ex1

Note：when using 5－point combined－mode summaries（combining collapsed face－to－face 7 －point scales with 5 －point telephone branching summaries），users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions，administration，and summary construction by mode；it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes．

1．SCALE：1；BRANCHING：Reduce spending and services a great deal
2．SCALE 2；BRANCHING：Reduce spending and services only some
3．SCALE：3，4，5；BRANCHING：Stay same as now（3 in L1e．T）
4．SCALE：6；BRANCHING：Increase spending and services only some
5．SCALE：7；BRANCHING：Increase spending and services a great deal
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，DK／RF／NA in L1e or L1e．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 46 & 67 & 507 & 530 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 433 & 222 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=
V000575 L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1f.
FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. \(-->\) NEXT NAME /PARTY OR \(-->\) L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 86 & 182 & 226 & 208 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 110 & 52 & 20 & 121 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000576 L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2 nd or \(3 r d\) names．L1e and L1f were administered as the 4 th or 5 th names．
For the telephone version of this question，see L1f．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞L1f1．T
5．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞L1f2．T
7．SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW［VOL］－－＞Next Party or L2
8．DK－－＞Next Party Or L2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000577 L1f1．T．Rep Party－how much red srv／sp ph MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L1f1．T．
IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES／SPENDING：
TELEPHONE：
（Do you think they would like to）reduce services and spending a great deal or（reduce services and spending） only some？

1．A GREAT DEAL \(-->\) NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；5，7，8，9，0 in L1f．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1356 & 229 & 221 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000578 L1f2．T．Rep Party－how much inc srv／sp ph MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L1f2．T．
IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES／SPENDING：

TELEPHONE：
（Do you think they would like to）increase services and spending a great deal or（increase services and spending）only some？
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－

1．A GREAT DEAL－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；1，7，8，9，0 in L1f．T

```

==============================
V000579 L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L1fx1.T.

TELEPHONE：
What about the Republican Party？（Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending？）
（Do you think they would like to）reduce／increase services and spending a great deal or（reduce／increase services and spending）only some？

SUMMARY：5PT SERV／SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1f．T and L1f1．T／L1f2．T
1．Reduce spending and services a great deal
2．Reduce spending and services only some
3．Stay same as now（3 in L1f．T）
4．Increase spending and services only some
5．Increase spending and services a great deal
8．DK in L1f．T or L1f1．T／L1f2．T
9．RF in L1f．T or L1f1．T／L1f2．T
0．NA；INAP，DK／RF／NA in L1f．T；FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 1006 & 229 & 221 & 37 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 131 & 54 & 129 &
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000580 L1fx2．Comb．7pt／br summ Rep Party srv／sp
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L1fx2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1f and L1fx1
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 315 & 403 & 581 & 183 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 74 & 250 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

L2/L2.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 6 of the booklet.
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are
at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points \(2,3,4,5\) or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.
```

==============================
V000581 L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L2a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING & \(-->\) & L2b \\
2. & & \(-->\) & L2b \\
3. & & \(-->\) & L2b \\
4. & & \(-->\) & L2b \\
5. & & \(-->\) & L2b \\
6. & & & \\
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING & \(-->\) & L2b \\
8. DK & & \\
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode & \\
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 161 & 35 & 33 & 72 & 238 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 229 & 144 & 85 & 8 & 802 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000582 L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L2a.T.
TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you
thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.
1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) --> L2b.T
8. DK --> L2b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 589 & 207 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000583 L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L2a1.T.

IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
\(\qquad\)
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1a.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1b.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1213 & 110 & 331 & 146 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000584 L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce defense spending a lot
or a little?
\(\qquad\)
1. A LOT --> L2bT/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1697 & 54 & 56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000585 & L2a1b. T. How much incres def spending ph \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L2a1b.T.
IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase defense spending
a lot or a little?
1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1476 & 188 & 141 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V000586 & L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L2ax1.T.
TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ----- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 1006 & 54 & 56 & 146 & 141 \\
& & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000587 L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L2ax2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEFENSE SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode 0. Haven't thought much
\begin{tabular}{llll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 368 & 89 & 89 & 685 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 285 & 273 & 14 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニ=ニニニニ=
V000588 L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L2b.
    FTF:
    Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
    [DO NOT PROBE DK]
    FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or
    2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1．GOVT SHOULD DECREASE
    DEFENSE SPENDING \(-->\) NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE
    DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 801 & 29 & 72 & 169 & 239 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 186 & 83 & 32 & 195 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000589 L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3 rd or 4 th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
--> L2b1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
--> L2b1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
©. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 157 & 167 & 273 & 203 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000590 & L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1483 & 137 & 183 & 4
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000591 L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L2bx1.T.
    TELEPHONE:
    What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should
    decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or
    that Government spending on defense is about the right
    amount now?
    A lot or a little?
    SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
    Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T
    1. Decrease defense spending a lot
    2. Decrease defense spending a little
    3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
    4. Increase defense spending a little
    5. Increase defense spending a lot
    8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
    9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
    0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1006 & 78 & 76 & 273 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}

V000592 L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    L2bx2.
        FTF:
        Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
        TELEPHONE:
        What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should
        decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or
        that Government spending on defense is about the right
        amount now?
        A lot or a little?
        SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
        Built from L2b and L2bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 107 & 148 & 867 & 190 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 91 & 402 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000593 L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L2c.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as
the \(3 r d\) or 4 th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3.
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ----- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 801 & 12 & 26 & 60 & 164 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 247 & 221 & 97 & 179 &
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000594 L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L2c. T.
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3 rd or 4 th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 48 & 452 & 111 & 189 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000595 & L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L2c1.T.
IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE - -> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1307 & 304 & 186 & 10
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000596 L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
L2cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T
1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount ( 5 in L2c.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1006 & 29 & 18 & 111 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

V000597 L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend
L2cx2.
FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we
should decrease defense spending, increase defense
spending, or that Government spending on defense is
about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?
SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
Built from L2c and L2cx1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 41 | 44 | 582 | 389 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 372 | 378 | 1 |  |

==============================
V000598 L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L2d.
FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6). Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this

```
issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as
the 3 rd or 4 th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3.
\(\rightarrow\) NEXT NAME/PARTY OR
. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK
9. RF
©. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 801 & 26 & 107 & 180 & 240 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 168 & 85 & 39 & 160 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the
1st or 2 nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
－－＞L2d1．T
3．INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
－－＞L2d1．T
5．ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L3．T
8．DK
－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L3．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 1006 & 197 & 171 & 248 & 185
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================- \\ \text { V000600 } & \text { L2d1．T．Dem Party－how much red／inc ds ph } \\ & \text { MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE } 8\end{array}\)

L2d1．T．
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING／
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING：
TELEPHONE：
A lot or a little？

1．A LOT \(-->\) NEXT PARTY OR \(-->\) L3．T
5．A LITTLE－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L3．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L3．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；5，8，9，0 in L2d．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1439 & 151 & 212 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000601 L2dx1．5－pt br summary Dem Party def sp MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L2dx1．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about the Democratic Party？（Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending，increase defense spending，or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now？ A lot or a little？

SUMMARY：5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T
1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1006 & 90 & 103 & 248 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000602 & L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L2dx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6) Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2d and L2dx1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 116 & 210 & 836 & 194 \\
\hline & 5 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 100 & 350 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


L2e.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?
\(\qquad\)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 801 & 12 & 25 & 77 & 169 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Count & 238 & 234 & 86 & 165
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000604 L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L2e.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they
believes we should decrease defense spending,
increase defense spending, or that Government spending on
defense is about the right amount now?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the
1st or 2 nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly
administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1006 & 68 & 441 & 106 & 86 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000605 L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

L2e1.T.
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?
1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
8. DK \(\quad->\) NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1298 & 288 & 217 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000606 L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L2ex1.T.
    TELEPHONE:
        What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they
        believes we should decrease defense spending,
        increase defense spending, or that Government spending on
        defense is about the right amount now?)
        A lot or a little?
        SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES
    Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T
    1. Decrease defense spending a lot
    2. Decrease defense spending a little
    3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
    4. Increase defense spending a little
    5. Increase defense spending a lot
    8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
    9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
    0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 1006 & 36 & 32 & 106 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 185 & 252 & 190 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000607 & L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    L2ex2.
        FTF:
        (Still looking at Page 6).
        Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
        issue)?
        TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?
SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2e and L2ex1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 48 & 57 & 590 & 419 & 338 & 355 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\author{
L3/L3.E/L3.T/L3.TE.
}

L3. FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Please look at page 7 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.
L3.E. FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 8 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital
expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6 .

L3.T TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans.
L3.TE. TELEPHONE VERSION 2 :
here is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone.
```

V000608 L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric

```
    L3(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7 -point scale (with endpoints reversed).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question is coded in reverse.
1. FTF standard version
2. FTF experimental version
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 515 & 491 & 376 & ----
\end{tabular}
=============================
v000608a \begin{tabular}{l} 
L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

L3a.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline GOVT INSURANCE PLAN & --> SKIP & TO L4 \\
\hline & -> SKIP & TO L4 \\
\hline & -> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline & --> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline & --> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline & -> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN & -> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline DK & -> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1) & & \\
\hline HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH & --> SKIP & T0 L4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 25 & 74 & 42 & 83 & 105 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 72 & 46 & 59 & 9 & 1292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000608b L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L3a.E.
    IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN & \(-->\) & L4 \\
2. & & \(-->\) & L4 \\
3. & & \(-->\) & L4 \\
4. & \(-->\) & L4 \\
5. & \(-->\) & L4 \\
6. & \(-->\) & L4 \\
7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN & \(-->\) & L4
\end{tabular}

8．DK－－＞L4
9．RF；NA；INAP，1，3， 4 in L3（1）
0．HAVEN＇T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS－－＞L4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 35 & 41 & 48 & 62 & 89 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 74 & 57 & 83 & 2 & 1316 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝ \\
V000609 & L3ax．Comb．FTF versions R insurnc scale \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L3ax．

FTF：
Where would you place yourself on this scale，or haven＇t you thought much about this？

COMBINED FTF：STANDARD／EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a／L3a．E．

1．GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.

7．PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
8．DK
9．RF；NA；INAP，phone mode［3， 4 in L3（1）］
0．HAVEN＇T THOUGHT MUCH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 60 & 157 & 99 & 157 & 194 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 134 & 94 & 100 & 11 & 801 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000610a L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L3a.T.
    IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.
1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES

THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 44 & 149 & 155 & 20 & 8 & 1431 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L3a.TE.
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.TE for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.
1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES

THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 47 & 146 & 188 & 30 & 14 & 1382 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000611 L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L3ax.T.
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE
Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
2. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
3. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]
4. DK
5. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
```

```

==============================

```
==============================
V000612 L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
V000612 L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    L3a1/a2.T.
    BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
    IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/
    IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:
        TELEPHONE:
        Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
        be a government insurance plan?/
        Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals
        should pay through private insurance plan?
        1. STRONGLY --> L4.T
        5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T
        8. DK --> L4.T
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1169 | 507 | 127 | 3 |  |

V000613 L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L3x1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals
should pay through private insurance plan?
SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

1. Strongly - government insurance plan
2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
3. Stay the same as it is now
4. Not strongly - individual insurance
5. Strongly - individual insurance
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 91 | 282 | 54 | 50 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 73 | 225 | 25 | 1007 |

## =============================

V000614 L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of $R$ on pri/govt insurance MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L3x2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance
6. DK
7. RF; NA
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 151 | 439 | 153 | 535 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

L4/L4.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000615 | L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b.
2. $-->$ L4b.
3. --> L4b.
4. --> L4b.
5. --> L4b.
6. --> L4b.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4b.
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 93 | 74 | 48 | 93 | 173 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | -----1 | ---- |
|  | 189 | 195 | 137 | 4 | 801 |

```
==============================
V000616 L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    L4a.T.
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
For the FTF version of this question see L4a.
1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4a1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4a2.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK
--> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.T/L4c.T
```


==============================
V000617 L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
2. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
3. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

$\begin{array}{ll}========================== \\ \text { V000618 } & \text { L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 }\end{array}$ Numeric

L4a2.T.
IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:
TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
2. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
3. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

```
==============================
V000619 L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L4×1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to
it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let
each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?
SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 108 | 152 | 40 | 55 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 93 | 346 | 4 | 1009 |

=============================
V000620 L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L4x2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to
it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let
each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?
SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4a and L4x1.
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF; NA
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 201 | 226 | 88 | 510 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 288 | 483 | 8 | 3 |

```
==============================
V000621 L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L4b .

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3 rd or 4 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 801 | 74 | 128 | 206 | 208 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 110 | 58 | 38 | 182 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000622 L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L4b.T.

## TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2 nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT Should See to jobs and STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b1.T
2. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
--> L4b2T
3. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR -->L5.T
4. DK
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 434 | 180 | 20 | 165 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000623 L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING／
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN：
TELEPHONE：
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living？
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own？

1．STRONGLY－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L5．T
5．NOT STRONGLY－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY OR－－＞L5．T
8．DK $-->$ NEXT NAME．PARTY OR $-->$ L5．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 in L4b．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1193 | 444 | 162 |  |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000624 L4bx1．5－pt br summary Gore guar job
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
L4bx1．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about Al Gore？Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living，or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own？ Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living？
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own？

SUMMARY：5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4b．T and L4b1．T／L4b2．
1．Strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
2．Not strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
3．Other／depends／neither
4．Not strongly－govt leave people on own
5．Strongly－govt leave people on own
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Count | 1006 | 338 | 94 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 68 | 106 | 173 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000625 L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4bx2．

FTF：
（Still looking at Page 9） Where would you place Al Gore on this issue？

TELEPHONE：
What about Al Gore？Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living，or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own？ Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living？
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own？

SUMMARY：5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE／BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1．
Note：when using 5－point combined－mode summaries（combining collapsed face－to－face 7－point scales with 5－point telephone branching summaries），users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions，administration，and summary construction by mode；it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes．

1．SCALE： 1 ／BRANCHING：Strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
2．SCALE： 2 ／BRANCHING：Not strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
3．SCALE：3，4，5／BRANCHING：Other／depends／neither
4．SCALE： 6 ／BRANCHING：Not strongly－govt leave people on own
5．SCALE： 7 ／BRANCHING：Strongly－govt leave people on own
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 412 | 222 | 544 | 126 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 9 |

```
==============================
V000626 L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L4c.
    FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 23 | 22 | 80 | 169 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 205 | 220 | 98 | 187 | 2 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000627 | L4C.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the $3 r d$ or 4 th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4c.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND

STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET

AHEAD ON OWN
--> L4C1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 122 | 494 | 15 | 168 | 2 |


| V000628 | L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

1. STRONGLY
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
2. NOT STRONGLY $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR $-->$ L5.T
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1191 | 463 | 143 | 10 |

```
V000629 L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L4cx1.T.
    TELEPHONE:
    What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels
    government should see to it that every person has a
    job and a good standard of living, or that government
    should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
    Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 80 | 40 | 15 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 103 | 383 | 178 | 2 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000630 | L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?) Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: $6 /$ BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 103 | 62 | 469 | 323 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 481 | 365 | 4 |  |


| V000631 | L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4d.
FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the $3 r d$ or 4 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand．A4／A5］．
1．GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.

7．GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 82 | 163 | 217 | 180 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 108 | 51 | 43 | 161 | 1 |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V000632 L4d．T．Dem Party－guar job／std liv scl ph MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L4d．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about the Democratic Party？（Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living，or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own？）
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
PHONE：L4b．T and L4C．T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names；L4e．T and L4f．T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names． For the FTF version of this question see L4d．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND
STANDARD OF LIVING－－＞L4d1．T
5．GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET
AHEAD ON OWN
7．OTHER／IT DEPENDS／NEITHER［VOL］－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L5．T

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 450 | 161 | 22 | 167 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000633 L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L4d1/d2.T.
    IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
    IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:
    TELEPHONE:
    Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
    that the government should see to jobs and a good
    standard of living?
    Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
    that the government should just let each person get
    ahead on their own ?
    
1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4d.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1196 | 436 | 173 | 2 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000634 | L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4dx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 347 | 101 | 22 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 72 | 89 | 169 | 1 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000635 | L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L4dx2.
FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person
has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4d and L4dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 429 | 264 | 527 | 123 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 132 | 330 | 2 |  |

```
==============================
V000636 L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    L4e.
    FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 13 | 24 | 65 | 167 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |


| Count | 204 | 228 | 130 | 174 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
===============================
V000637 L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L4e.T.
    TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job
and a good standard of living, or that government should
just let each person get ahead on their own?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the
1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly
administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD
OF LIVING－－＞L4e1．T

5．GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L5．T
7．OTHER／IT DEPENDS／NEITHER［VOL］－－＞L4e1．T
8．DK
－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L5．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 96 | 502 | 21 |  |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000638 L4e1／e2．T．Rep Party－strength guar job MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L4e1／e2．T．
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING／
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN：
TELEPHONE：
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living？
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own？


1．STRONGLY－－＞NEXT PARTY OR L5．T
5．NOT STRONGLY－－＞NEXT PARTY OR L5．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR L5．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 in L4e．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1209 | 427 | 167 | ---- |

```
V000639 L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L4ex1.T.
    TELEPHONE:
    What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
    government should see to it that every person has a job
    and a good standard of living, or that government should
    just let each person get ahead on their own?)
    Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
    that the government should see to jobs and a good
    standard of living?
    Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
    that the government should just let each person get
    ahead on their own?
    SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4e．T and L4e1．T／L4e2．T
1．Strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
2．Not strongly－govt see to jobs \＆std living
3．Other／depends／neither
4．Not strongly－govt leave people on own
5．Strongly－govt leave people on own
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ----- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1006 | 66 | 30 | 21 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 137 | 361 | 185 | 1 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000640 L4ex2．Comb．7pt／br summ Rep Party guar job

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```


## L4ex2

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?) Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs \& std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 79 | 54 | 457 | 365 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 491 | 359 | 2 |  |

L5/L5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 10 of the booklet.
Some people feel that the government in Washington
should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6 .

TELEPHONE:
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

```
==============================
V000641 L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

L5a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
$\qquad$
See L5 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

| 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS | $-->$ L5b. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $-->$ L5b. |
| 3. | $-->$ L5b. |
| 4. | $-->$ L5b. |
| 5. | $-->$ L5b. |
| 6. | $-->$ L5b. |
| 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES | $-->$ L5b. |
| 8. DK | $-->$ L5b. |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode |  |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS | $-->$ L5b. |


|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 69 | 52 | 40 | 79 | 250 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 150 | 160 | 193 | 4 | 810 |


| ============================= |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000642 | L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5a.T.
TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5a1.T
2. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T
3. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T
4. DK
--> L5b.T
5. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 151 | 196 | 339 | 104 | 2 | 10 |

```
==============================
V000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L5a1/a2.T.
    IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
    IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:
        TELEPHONE:
        Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
        only to some extent?
        Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
        or only to some extent?
        1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T
        5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T
        8. DK --> L5b.T
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T
    
=============================
V000644 L5ax1. 5-pt br summary $R$ aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this? Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
8. Haven't thought much about it

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 151 | 86 | 110 | 104 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 8 |

=============================
V000645 L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5a and L5ax1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
8. Haven't thought much about it

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 220 | 138 | 150 | 583 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 241 | 449 | 8 | 18 |

```
==============================
V000646 L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5b .
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the $2 n d$ or $3 r d$ names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
|  | 801 | 108 | 153 | 205 | 202 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L5b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2 nd or 3 rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
--> L5b1.T
2. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b1.T
3. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
4. DK
--> NEXT NAME
5. RF
©. NA; INAP, FTF mode

$\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V000648 } & \text { L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$

L5b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?


1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME
2. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
3. DK --> NEXT NAME
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

| 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Count
1250

```
v000649 L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5bx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort
to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1006 | 267 | 176 | 46 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

==============================
V000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5bx2.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve
the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 375 | 329 | 541 | 107 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 81 | 363 | 11 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000651 | L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5c.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2 nd or $3 r d$ names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR $->$ L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR $-->$ L6.
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 96 | 135 | 189 | 224 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 68 | 58 | 27 | 204 | 5 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000652 | L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5c.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5c.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5c1.T
2. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5c1.T
3. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8．DK－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 420 | 110 | 33 | 232 | 6 |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000653 L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5c1／c2．T．

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS／
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES：

TELEPHONE：
（Do you think he feels the government should） help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent？
（Do you think he feels blacks should have to）
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent？


1．GREAT EXTENT－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
8．DK－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 in L5c．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1277 | 284 | 245 | 1 |

＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000654 L5cx1．5－pt br summary Gore aid to blks MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L5cx1．T．

TELEPHONE：
What about Al Gore？（Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks，or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves？） （Do you think he feels the government should） help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent？
（Do you think he feels blacks should have to）
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent？
SUMMARY：5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 234 | 185 | 33 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 60 | 50 | 233 | 6 |

$=============================$
V000655 L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING


Built from L5c and L5cx1.
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 330 | 320 | 514 | 118 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 77 | 437 | 11 |  |

```
V000656 L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5d.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].


```
==============================
V000657 L5d.T. Bush-aid to blacks scale phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5d．T．

TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？
（Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks，or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves？）
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
See L5．T for complete text．
TELEPHONE：L5b．T is administered first，then L5c．T and
L5d．T are randomly administered as the 2 nd or 3 rd names． L5e．T and L5f．T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5 th names．
For the FTF version of this question see L5d．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS－－＞L5d1．T
5．BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES－－＞L5d1．T
7．OTHER／IT DEPENDS／NEITHER［VOL］－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
8．DK－－＞NEXT NAME／PARTY
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 151 | 1 | 44 | 47 |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000658 L5d1／d2．T．Bush－strength aid to blacks MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

L5d1／d2．T．

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS／
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES：

TELEPHONE：
（Do you think he feels the government should）help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent？
（Do you think he feels blacks should have to）help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?


1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
2. ONLY SOME
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY
3. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1305 | 255 | 245 | ---- |

```
V000659 L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L5dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 45 | 106 | 44 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 139 | 210 | 249 | 8 |

=============================
V000660 L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

L5dx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help
themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5d and L5dx1.
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 64 | 128 | 548 | 282 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |


| V000661 | L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5e.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
$\qquad$
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the $2 n d$ or $3 r d$ names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4 th or 5 th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 106 | 164 | 214 | 198 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 70 | 45 | 29 | 176 | 4 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000662 | L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and

L5d．T are randomly administered as the 2 nd or 3 rd names． L5e．T and L5f．T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5 th names．
For the FTF version of this question see L5e．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
－－＞L5e1．T
5．BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
－－＞L5e1．T
7．OTHER／IT DEPENDS／NEITHER［VOL］
－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L6．
8．DK
－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L6．
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 437 | 112 | 26 | 220 | 6 |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ | ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000663 | L5e1／e2．T．Dem Party－strgth aid to blks |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5e1／e2．T．
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS／
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES：
TELEPHONE：
（Do you think they feel the government should）help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent？ （Do you think they feel blacks should have to）help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent？


1．GREAT EXTENT－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L6．
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L6．

8．DK－－＞NEXT PARTY OR－－＞L6．
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 in L5e．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1258 | 301 | 247 | 1 |


| ＝＝ニ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ーニ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000664 | L5ex1．5－pt br summary Dem Party aid blks |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5ex1．T．
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1006 | 256 | 181 | 26 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 66 | 45 | 221 | 6 |

$============================$
V000665 L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex2.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5e and L5ex1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | -----1 | ---- |
| Count | 362 | 345 | 508 | 111 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 9 |



L5f.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4 th or 5 th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. 

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. $-->$ NEXT NAME/PARTY OR $->$ L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 801 | 15 | 19 | 66 | 186 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 218 | 196 | 116 | 186 | 4 |


| ===ニ========================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000667 | L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5f. T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2 nd or 3 rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5 th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5f.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
2. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T
3. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
4. DK
5. RF
©. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 151 | 369 | 43 | 232 |  |

```
==============================
V000668 L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L5f1/f2.T.
    IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
    IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:
    TELEPHONE:
    (Do you think they feel the government should) help
    blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
    (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
    themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
    1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
    5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
    8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1287 | 271 | 49 |

==============================
V000669 L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5fx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
that government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.
1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1006 | 44 | 107 | 43 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 142 | 227 | 232 | 6 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000670 | L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L5fx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10) Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION
--> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
--> GO TO L7
8. DK
--> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 880 | 397 | 429 | 50 | 43 |  |



L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
2. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
3. DK --> GO TO L7
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ------- | ---- |
|  | 979 | 601 | 221 | 6 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000673 | L6b. Strength against affirmative action |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L6b.
IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1040 | 560 | 204 | 2 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000674 | L6x. Summary affirmative action |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L6x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program? EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
2. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
3. Other
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 828 | 767 | 109 | 85 | 18 |


| =============================- |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000674a | L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7 |
|  | Numeric |

L6x1.

```
STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of
discriminating against blacks when making hiring
decisions, then they should be required to have an
affirmative action program that gives blacks preference
in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have
discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative
action program?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of
discriminating against blacks when making hiring
decisions, then they should be required to have an
affirmative action program that gives blacks
preference in hiring. What do you think? Should
companies that have discriminated against blacks
have to have an affirmative action program or should
companies not have to have an affirmative action program?
BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should
not have to have affirmative action)?
SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
```

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
3. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
4. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
5. Other
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | .---- |
|  | 601 | 221 | 204 | 560 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 109 | 93 | 19 |  |

L7.
Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs.
For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending increased or decreased.
The first program is:
=============================
V000675 L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7a.
BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS
(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this
year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING
HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 677 | 135 | 969 | 6 | 0 |

=============================
V000676 L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L7b.
What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?
(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 302 | 669 | 782 | 22 | 30 |  |

```
==============================
V000677 L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L7c.
    What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH?
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 911 | 151 | 697 | 11 | 37 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000678 | L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L7d.
What about FOREIGN AID?
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 162 | 787 | 792 | 22 | 42 |  |


| $==========================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000679 | L7e. Inc/dec food stamps |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

L7e.
What about FOOD STAMPS?
(Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 285 | 549 | 890 | 32 | 49 | 2 |


| ========== | =================== |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000680 | L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L7f.
What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE?
(Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 916 | 154 | 693 | 9 | 29 |  |

=============================
V000681 L7g. Inc/dec Social Security MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7g.
What about SOCIAL SECURITY?
(Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1120 | 66 | 572 | 11 | 38 |

```
==============================
V000682 L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    L7h.
    What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION?
    (Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be
    increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
    See L7 intro.
    The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0 . NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 913 | 164 | 694 | 2 | 33 |  |

=============================
V000683 L7j. Inc/dec public schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L7j.
What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS?
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased,
decreased, or kept about the same?)
See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1368 | 87 | 330 | 13 | 9 |

=============================
V000684 L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7k.
What about DEALING WITH CRIME?
(Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1180 | 89 | 514 | 7 | 17 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000685 | L7m. Inc/dec child care |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L7m.
What about CHILD CARE?
(Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro．
The order of L7b－L7p was randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．INCREASED
3．DECREASED
5．KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7．CUT OUT ENTIRELY［VOL］
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1108 | 128 | 515 | 16 | 39 |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000686 L7n．Inc／dec against illegal immigrants MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

L7n．
What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION？
（Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY
TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased，decreased，
or kept about the same？）

See L7 intro．
The order of L7b－L7p was randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．INCREASED
3．DECREASED
5．KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7．CUT OUT ENTIRELY［VOL］
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA
5

Count

| ----- | ---- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 931 | 173 |

11
47
4

| V000687 | L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L7p.
What about AID TO BLACKS?
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
$\qquad$

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
4. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 293 | 415 | 971 | 39 | 75 | 14 |

```
==============================
V000688 L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L8.
Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to spend the extra money the federal government is likely to have in the near future. Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes.
Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

1. APPROVE
2. DISAPPROVE
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1111 | 637 | 56 | 3 |

```
==============================
V000689 L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

L8a/b.
IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 59 | 1216 | 529 | 3 |

```
==============================
V000690 L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

L8x.
Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not
strongly?
SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
3. Disapprove not strongly
4. Disapprove strongly
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 811 | 298 | 231 | 405 | 59 | 3 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000691 | L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L9.
Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

1. APPROVE
2. DISAPPROVE
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
4. RF
5. NA

=============================
V000692 L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L9a/b.
IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY \& MEDICARE/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY \& MEDICARE:
```
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not
    strongly?/
    Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not
    strongly?
```

    ---------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 42 | 1309 | 454 |  |

```
==============================
```

V000693 L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medcare MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L9x.
Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove
of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not
strongly?
SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
3. Disapprove not strongly
4. Disapprove strongly
5. DK
6. RF

- . NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 1153 | 298 | 156 | 156 | 41 | 3 |


| $==========================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000694 M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement |  |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

M1/M1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.

3．THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE， INCEST，OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN＇S LIFE，BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED．
4．BY LAW，A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE．
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）［VOL］
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 215 | 525 | 265 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 753 | 28 | 11 | 7 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text {＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝} \\ \text { V000695 } & \text { M1a．Importance of abortion to } R \\ & \text { MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE } 8 \\ & \text { Numeric }\end{array}$
M1a．
ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS：
How important is this issue to you personally？Not at
all important，not too important，somewhat important， very important，or extremely important？

1．NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2．NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3．SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4．VERY IMPORTANT
5．EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 76 | 193 | 499 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 640 | 389 | 3 | 4 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000696 M1b／M1b．T．Gore－abortion scale
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
M1b／M1b．T．

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
6. DK
--> SKIP TO M1c OR M2
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 84 | 228 | 253 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 762 | 21 | 450 | 6 |

=============================
V000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M1b1.
IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 459 | 426 | 540 | 380 | 2 |



```
V000698 M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    M1c/M1c.T.
    FTF:
    Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?
    TELEPHONE:
    What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion
    best agrees with his views?
    [DO NOT PROBE DK]
    The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T
    were administered was randomized.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
        collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
        the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
        variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
        "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
        variable Rand.A4/A5].
    1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
    2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST,
        OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
    3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE,
INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR
the abortion has been clearly established.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN ShOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS
A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
6. DK --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 3 | 285 | 655 | 227 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 119 | 23 | 488 | 7 |

=============================

V000699 M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1c1.
IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:
How certain are you of George $W$. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 498 | 315 | 560 | 433 |  |

```
==============================
V000700 M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

M2.
Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

1. FAVOR
2. OPPOSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA

```
===============================
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
M2a.
IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:
```

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 59 | 1461 | 286 |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000702 | M2x. Summary abortion parental consent |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M2x.
Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her
parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?
Strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS

Built from M2 and M2a.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
3. Oppose not strongly
4. Oppose strongly
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1233 | 162 | 124 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 228 | 45 | 12 |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000703 | M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M3.
There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.
Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1．FAVOR
5．OPPOSE

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1236 | 444 | 108 | 16 |

```
=ニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=
V000704 M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    M3a/b.
    IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/
    IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:
        Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that
        would that would make these types of abortions illegal?
```


1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 127 | 1386 | 289 |  |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000705 | M3x．Summary partial－birth abortion ban |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M3x．
There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late－term abortions，sometimes called partial birth abortions．
Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal？
Do you strongly or not strongly favor／oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal？

SUMMARY：BAN ON LATE－TERM ABORTIONS

Built from M3 and M3a／b．
1．Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF
-. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1097 | 137 | 152 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 289 | 113 | 16 |  |

M4/M4.E/M4.T/M4.TE.
FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 12 of the booklet
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point number 1) Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6).

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living.
=============================
V000706 M4. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric
M4(1).
CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option). Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard version (M4a)
2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)

3．PHONE version 1 （M4a．T）
4．PHONE version 2 （M4a．TE）

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 502 | 504 | 373 | 428 |

```
==============================
V000707a M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    M4a.
    IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
        FTF:
        Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
        you thought much about this?
    
See M4 for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T
and M4a.TE.
1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB
\& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. $-->$ SKIP TO M4b
3. $-->$ SKIP TO M4b
4. --> SKIP TO M4b
5. --> SKIP TO M4b
6. --> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT
THAN ENVIRONMENT
--> SKIP TO M4b
8. DK
--> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO M4b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 66 | 66 | 66 | 70 | 131 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 60 | 26 | 16 | 1 | 1305 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000707b M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
M4a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T
and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB
\& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
--> SKIP TO M4b
.
--> SKIP TO M4b
--> SKIP TO M4b
--> SKIP TO M4b
--> SKIP TO M4b
--> SKIP TO M4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1305 | 44 | 62 | 92 | 160 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 70 | 41 | 18 | 13 | 2 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000708 | M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |
|  | M4ax. |

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?
COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

Built from M4a, M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 66 | 110 | 128 | 162 | 291 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

$============================$
V000709a M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4.T for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
2. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
3. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
--> M4a1.T
4. OTh $\quad-->$ SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
5. DK
--> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
6. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 110 | 177 | 52 | 29 | 5 | 1434 |

==============================
V000709b M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M4a.TE.
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

See M4.TE for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
2. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT

THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK $\quad-->$ SKIP TO M4b/M4C.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1380 | 245 | 102 | 46 | 28 | 6 |

```
V000710 M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

            Numeric
    M4ax. T.
TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2 :
Which is closer to the way you feel?
COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS

Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING
2. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
3. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
4. DK
5. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000711 | M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M4a1/a2.T.

```
    BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
    IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
    IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:
```

    TELEPHONE:
    Is protecting the environment much more important (than
    maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only
    somewhat more important?/
    Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more
    important (than protecting the environment) or
    only somewhat more important?
    

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
2. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
3. DK --> M4b.T/M4c.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; $0,7,8$ or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1231 | 336 | 240 |

```
V000712 M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

M4a1x1.T

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than
maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only
somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more
important (than protecting the environment) or only
somewhat more important?
SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
8. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 110 | 270 | 152 | 75 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 88 | 66 | 33 | 13 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000713 | M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M4a1x2.
FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?
TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
6. DK
7. RF; NA
8. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Count | 176 | 380 | 280 | 658 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 155 | 100 | 47 | 11 |

```
==============================
V000714 M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    M4b .
    FTF:
    Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \&
STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4C. OR M5.
2. 
3. --> M4C. OR M5.
4. --> M4C. OR M5.
5. --> M4C. OR M5.
6. --> M4C. OR M5.
7. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT
THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4C. OR M5.
8. DK --> M4c. OR M5.
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 803 | 132 | 161 | 171 | 178 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 79 | 45 | 22 | 213 | 3 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000715 | M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M4b.T.

## TELEPHONE：

What about Al Gore？Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs，OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living？
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
See M4．T for complete text．
TELEPHONE：M4b and M4C were administered in random order．
For the FTF version of this question see M4b．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．PROTECT ENVIRONMENT，EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \＆STANDARD OF LIVING
5．JOBS \＆STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT－－＞SKIP TO M4c．T OR－－＞M5．
7．OTHER，IT DEPENDS，NEITHER［VOL］－－＞SKIP TO M4c．T OR－－＞M5．
8．DK $-->$ SKIP TO M4C．T OR－－＞M5．
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1007 | 461 | 101 | 21 | 215 |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000716 M4b1／b2．T．Gore－strength jobs／envir MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

M4b1／b2．T．
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT／
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT：
TELEPHONE：
Does he think protecting the environment much more important（than maintaining jobs and standard of living）or only somewhat more important？／ Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important（than protecting the environment）or only somewhat more important？

1．MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5．SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
8．DK
9．RF

0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 or NA in M4b．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1245 | 324 | 234 | 4 |

```
==============================
V000717 M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    M4bx1.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is
        important to protect the environment even if it costs
        some jobs, \(O R\) that protecting the environment is not as
        important as maintaining jobs and our standard of
        living?
        Does he think protecting the environment much
        more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of
        living) or only somewhat more important?
        Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of
        living much more important (than protecting the
        environment) or only somewhat more important?
        SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
    Built from M4b．T and M4b1．T／M4b2．T．
1．Environment much more important
2．Environment somewhat more important
3．Other／depends／neither
4．Jobs somewhat more important
5．Jobs much more important
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1007 | 298 | 161 | 21 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 73 | 26 | 219 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000718 M4bx2．Comb．7pt／br summ Gore jobs／envir MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M4bx2．
FTF：
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue？

```
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is
important to protect the environment even if it costs
some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as
important as maintaining jobs and our standard of
living?
Does he think protecting the environment much
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of
living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of
living much more important (than protecting the
environment) or only somewhat more important?
```

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 3 | 430 | 322 | 449 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

=============================
V000719 M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M4C.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING
--> M4b. OR M5.
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4b. OR M5.
8. DK --> M4b. OR M5. --> M4b. OR M5. --> M4b. OR M5.
--> M4b. OR M5.
--> M4b. OR M5.
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 803 | 23 | 18 | 73 | 199 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 209 | 168 | 63 | 249 | 2 |

=============================
V000720 M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
M4C.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, $O R$ that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see M4c.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS

JOB \& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
5. JOBS \& STANDARD OF LIVING MORE


TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important? Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of
living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1007 | 78 | 114 | 39 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 159 | 164 | 243 | 3 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000723 | M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M4cx2.
FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is
important to protect the environment even if it
costs some jobs, $O R$ that protecting the environment is
not as important as maintaining jobs and our
standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of
living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4c and M4cx1.
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes．

1．SCALE： 1 ／BRANCHING：Environment much more important
2．SCALE： 2 ／BRANCHING：Environment somewhat more important
3．SCALE： 3 ／BRANCHING：Other／depends／neither
4．SCALE： 4 ／BRANCHING：Jobs somewhat more important
5．SCALE：5／BRANCHING：Jobs much more important
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 101 | 132 | 520 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 327 | 227 | 492 | 5 |

＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000724 M5．Fav／oppose homosexuals in military MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M5．
Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don＇t you think so？
$\qquad$
1．HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE
5．HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE
8．DK
9．RF
©．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1285 | 414 | 86 | 19 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000725 M5a．Strngth fav homosexuals in military MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M5a．
IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES：
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve？

1．STRONGLY－－＞M6a
5．NOT STRONGLY－－＞M6a
8. DK --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 522 | 871 | 410 |  |

=============================
V000726 M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M5b .
IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1393 | 322 | 89 |  |

=============================

V000727 M5x. Summary homosexuals in military MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M5x.
Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so? Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve? Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
3. Not strongly - should not be allowed to serve
4. Strongly - should not be allowed to serve
5. DK
```
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 3 & 871 & 410 & 89 \\
& & & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V000728 & M6a. R-gun control scale \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
M6a.
Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
1. MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a1
3. MAKE IT EASIER --> SKIP T0 M6a2
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 1060 & 75 & 659 & 8 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000729 & M6a1. R-strength more gun control \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
M6a1.
IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?
```



```
1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK --> SKIP T0 M6a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 747 & 861 & 199 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
V000730 M6a2. R-strength less gun control
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:
A lot easier or somewhat easier?

1. A LOT EASIER
2. SOMEWHAT EASIER
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |

==============================
V000731 M6ax. Summary gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6ax.
Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 861 | 199 | 659 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |

Count 36

39
===============================
V000732 M6a3. R-importance of gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6a3.
ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:
How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?
$\qquad$

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 3 | 37 | 139 | 461 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 716 | 448 | 3 |  |

===============================
V000733 M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M6b.
Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1．MORE DIFFICULT
3．MAKE IT EASIER
5．KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME－－＞SKIP TO M6b3

8．DK
－－＞SKIP TO M6c
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1139 | 19 | 350 | 294 | 2 |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000734 M6b1／b2．Gore－strength more／less gun ctl MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M6b1／b2．
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN／
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN：
A lot easier or somewhat easier？／
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult？
$\qquad$
1．A LOT $-->$ M6b3
5．SOMEWHAT－－＞M6b3

8．DK－－＞SKIP TO M6c
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in M6b

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 649 | 718 | 437 | 3 |

＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000735 M6bx．Summary Gore gun control MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M6bx．

Where would you place Al Gore？Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun，make it easier for people to buy a gun，or keep
these rules about the same as they are now？
A lot easier／more difficult or somewhat easier／more difficult？

SUMMARY：GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6b and M6b1／b2．
1．A lot more difficult
2．Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 709 | 427 | 350 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 10 | 9 | 297 | 2 |

```
V000736 M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:
How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- |
| Count | 652 | 393 | 542 | 219 | 1 |

==============================
V000737 M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
2. MAKE IT EASIER
3. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> M6c2
4. DK
--> N1/n1.e
5. RF
6. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 375 | 315 | 806 | 306 | 2 |


| V000738 | M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

M6c1/c2.
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:
A lot easier or somewhat easier?
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?


1. A LOT --> M6c3
2. SOMEWHAT --> M6c3
3. DK --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1117 | 318 | 370 | ---- |

==============================
V000739 M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6cx.
Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

Built from M6c and M6c1／c2．
1．A lot more difficult
2．Somewhat more difficult
3．Keep rules about the same
4．Somewhat easier
5．A lot easier
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 182 | 193 | 806 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 177 | 136 | 308 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000740 M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                Numeric
```

    M6c3.
    IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
    IF STRENTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:
            How certain are you of George \(W\). Bush's position? Very
            certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
    
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，8，9，0 in M6c1／M6c2

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1119 | 190 | 318 | 180 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000741a N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
N1.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice，even if it were a private school？
$\qquad$
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1（standard）or N1．E（experimental）．

1．FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM－－＞SKIP TO NIa
5．OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM－－＞SKIP TO N1a
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO N2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for N1．E

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 925 | 424 | 394 | 62 | 2 |

$=============================$

V000741b | N1．E．Fav／opp school voucher program ex |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |

N1．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION：
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice，even if it were a private school，or haven＇t you thought much about this？

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1（standard）or N1．E（experimental）．

1．FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM－－＞N1a
5．OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM－－＞N1a
8．DK
9．RF；NA；INAP，R selected for N1
0．HAVEN＇T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS－－＞SKIP TO N2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 317 | 332 | 259 | 12 | 887 |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー
V000742 N1x．Combined versions school vouchers
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
N1x．
STANDARD VERSION：
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that

```
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their
children to the school of their choice, even if it were
a private school?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their
children to the school of their choice, even if it were a
private school, or haven't you thought much about this?
COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS
```

Built from N1 and N1.E.

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
2. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
3. DK
4. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
5. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 317 | 756 | 653 | 74 | 7 |

```
V000743 N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    N1a/b
    IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/
    IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:
```

    Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or
    not strongly?
    Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded
    for this question respondents from the standard version;
    only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.
    1. STRONGLY
    5. NOT STRONGLY
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, \(0,8,9\) or NA in N1.E; R selected
        for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b
    
=============================
V000744 N1ax. Summary school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

## Numeric

N1ax.

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this? BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Build from N1x and N1a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
3. Oppose not strongly
4. Oppose strongly
5. DK
6. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
|  | 317 | 245 | 87 | 65 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 194 | 12 | 887 |  |

=============================
V000745 N2. R fav/opp English official language MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

N2.

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?
$\qquad$

1. FAVOR
2. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
3. OPPOSE
4. DON'T KNOW
5. RF
6. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 3 | 1202 | 130 | 446 | 24 | 2 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000746 | N3. R interest in school integration |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

N3.
Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business.
Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO N4
3. DK --> SKIP TO N4
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1195 | 580 | 27 | 2 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000747 | N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

N3a.
IF R HAS OPINION WHETHER GOVT SEE TO BLACK/WHITE KIDS IN SAME SCHOOLS:
Do you think the government in Washington should see to
it that white and black children go to the same schools
or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?


1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
2. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
3. OTHER; DEPENDS
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Count } & 612 & 596 & 531 & 56 & 10 & 2\end{array}$

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー
V000748 N4．Fav／opp h－sexual couples adopt chldn MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

N4．
Do you think gay or lesbian couples，in other words， homosexual couples，should be legally permitted to adopt children？

1．YES
5．NO
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA


| V000749 | N5．Fav／opp death penalty |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

N5．
Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder？

| 1．FAVOR | $-->$ | SKIP T0 N5a |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5．OPPOSE | $-->$ | SKIP TO N5b |  |
| 8．DK | $-->$ | SKIP T0 P1 |  |
| 9．RF |  |  |  |
| 0．NA |  |  |  |


|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1240 | 462 | 87 | 15 |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000750 | N5a．How much favor death penalty |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

N5a．
IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER：
（Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of
murder）strongly or not strongly？

1．STRONGLY－－＞P1／P1．E
5．NOT STRONGLY－－＞P1／P1．E
8．DK－－＞P1／P1．E
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in N5

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 567 | 925 | 314 | ---- |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V000751 N5b．How much oppose death penalty
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
N5b ．
IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER：
（Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder）strongly or not strongly？

1．STRONGLY
5．NOT STRONGLY
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，8，9，0 in N5

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1345 | 283 | 177 | 1 | 1 |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000752 | N5x．Summary $R$ position on death penalty |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

N5x．
Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder？
（Do you favor／oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder）strongly or not strongly？

SUMMARY：R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a／b．

1．Favor strongly
2．Favor not strongly
4．Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 925 | 314 | 177 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 283 | 89 | 16 |  |

P1/P1.T.
FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS:
Please look at page 13 of the booklet.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government.
(Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that a woman's place is in the home.

```
==============================
```

V000753 P1. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp. Numeric

P1(1).
CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7 -point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard
2. FTF experimental
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 520 | 486 | 382 | 419 |

```
==============================
V000754a P1a. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

P1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P1 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either P1a (standard) or P1a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see P1a.T and
P1a.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES $-->$ SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2. $-->$ SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
3. $-->$ SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
4. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
5. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
6. $-->$ SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME $-->$ SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH AB0UT THIS --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 18 | 296 | 80 | 31 | 44 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
V000754b P1a.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

P1a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

```
    See P1 for complete text.
    FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
    either P1a (standard) or P1a.E (experimental).
    For the telephone versions of this question see P1a.T and
    P1a.TE.
    1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
    2. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
    3. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
4. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
5. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
6. --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK --> SKIP T0 P1.b/P1c.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1323 & 276 & 62 & 39 & 60 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 18 & 9 & 13 & 6 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
V000755 P1ax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
```

V000755 P1ax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
P1ax.
FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?
COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE
Built from P1a and P1a.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (P1a only)
```

\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Count & 18 & 572 & 142 & 70 & 104 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 38 & 23 & 29 & 7 & 804
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000756a P1a.T. R equal role branch stan
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

P1a．T．

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1：
TELEPHONE：
Which is closer to the way you feel，or haven＇t you thought much about this？

See P1．T for complete text．
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either P1a．T（version 1）or P1a．TE（version 2）．
For the FTF versions of this question see P1a and P1a．E．
1．WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES－－＞P1a1．T
5．A WOMAN＇S PLACE IS IN THE HOME－－＞P1a1．T
7．OTHER，IT DEPENDS，NEITHER［VOL］－－＞P1b．T／P1c．T
8．DK
－－＞P1b．T／P1c．T
9．RF；NA；INAP，1，2，4 in P1（1）
0．HAVEN＇T THOUGHT MUCH
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 19 & 328 & 18 & 14 & 3 & 1425 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V000756b P1a．TE．R equal role branch ex MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

P1a．TE．

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2：
TELEPHONE：
Which is closer to the way you feel？

See P1．T for complete text．
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either P1a．T（version 1）or P1a．TE（version 2）．
For the FTF versions of this question see P1a and P1a．E．
1．WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES－－＞P1a1．T
5．A WOMAN＇S PLACE IS IN THE HOME－－＞P1a1．T
7．OTHER，IT DEPENDS，NEITHER［VOL］－－＞P1b．T／P1c．T
8. DK --> P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1389 & 367 & 23 & 24 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { =============================} \\ \text { V000757 } & \text { P1ax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions } \mathrm{R} \text { eql role } \mathrm{br} \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

P1ax.T.
TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from P1a.T and P1a.TE.
1. WOMEN AND men should have equal roles
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (P1a.T only)
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 19 & 695 & 41 & 38 & 6 & 1008
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(============================\) \\
V000758 & P1a1/a2.T. R strength equal roles \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

P1a1/a2.T.
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:
TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women
should have equal roles?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's
place is in the home?
----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY --> SKIP T0 P1b.T/P1c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
8. DK --> SKIP T0 P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; \(0,7,8\) or RF/NA in P1a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in P1a.TE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1071 & 680 & 55 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000759 P1a1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P1a1x1.T.
TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

```
    SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
    Built from P1ax.T and P1a1/a2.T
    1. Strongly - women equal role
    2. Not strongly - equal role
    3. Other/depends/neither
    4. Not strongly - place is in the home
    5. Strongly - place is in the home
    8. DK
    9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
    0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 19 & 652 & 42 & 38 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 13 & 28 & 7 & 08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000760 P1a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

P1a1x2.
```

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?
TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

```
SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1ax and P1a1x1
Note: when using 5 -point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 37 & 1224 & 184 & 250 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 36 & 57 & 14 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000761 & P1b. Gore-equal role scale FTF \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

P1b.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1b.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES & \(-->\) SKIP T0 \\
2. & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2 \\
3. & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2 \\
4. & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2 \\
5. & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2 \\
6. & \\
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2 \\
8. DK & \(-->\) SKIP T0 & P1c./P2
\end{tabular}
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 803 & 273 & 185 & 145 & 127 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 36 & 24 & 19 & 193 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000762 P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

P1b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random
order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1b.
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
--> P1b1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
--> P1b1.T

8 DK
--> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK

0．NA；INAP，FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1007 & 552 & 40 & 19 & 187 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000763 P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

P1b1／b2．T．
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES／ IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN＇S PLACE IS IN THE HOME：

TELEPHONE：
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role？／
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
woman＇s place is in the home？

1．STRONGLY－－＞P1c．T OR－－＞P2．T
5．NOT STRONGLY－－＞P1c．T OR－－＞P2．T
8．DK－－＞P1c．T OR－－＞P2．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；7，8，9，0 in P1b．T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1215 & 506 & 78 & 8
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000764 P1bx1．5－pt br summary Gore equal role
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
P1bx1．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about Al Gore？Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business，industry and government，OR that a woman＇s place is in the home？
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role？
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman＇s place is in the home？

SUMMARY：5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
\(\qquad\)

Built from P1b．T and P1b1／b2．T
1．Strongly－women equal role
2．Not strongly－equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1007 & 480 & 64 & 19
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 14 & 26 & 195 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000765 & P1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

P1bx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1b and P1bx1
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 3 & 753 & 249 & 327 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 38 & 45 & 388 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000766 P1c. Bush-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

P1c.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1c.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
2. \(-->\) SKIP T0 P1c./P2
3. \(-->\) SKIP T0 P1c./P2
4. --> SKIP T0 P1c./P2
5. --> SKIP T0 P1c./P2
6. \(-->\) SKIP T0 P1c./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
8. DK --> SKIP T0 P1b./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 803 & 154 & 99 & 153 & 172 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 114 & 60 & 30 & 220 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000767 & P1c.T. Bush-equal role scale phone \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

P1c. T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1c.
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 1007 & 440 & 112 & 30 & 216 & 2
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000768 P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    P1c1/c2.T.
    IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
    IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:
    TELEPHONE:
    Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
    and women should have equal roles?/
    Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
    woman's place is in the home?
    1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR \(-->\) P2.T
    5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
    8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1c.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & --- & ---- \\
& 1255 & 412 & 133 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000769 & P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

P1cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1c.T and P1c1/c2.T
1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1007 & 344 & 90 & 30 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 43 & 68 & 223 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000770 & P1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
        Numeric

P1cx2.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that
women should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?
SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1c and P1cx1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 3 & 498 & 189 & 469 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 103 & 98 & 443 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

P2/P2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.)

TELEPHONE:
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business.
```

V000771 P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

P2a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
```

----------------------------

```

See P2 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T
. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 192 & 172 & 149 & 159 & 167 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 76 & 48 & 36 & 5 & 803 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000772 P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

P2a.T.
TELEPHONE: Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see P2a.
1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 243 & 337 & 132 & 73 & 11 & 1011
\end{tabular}
```

V000773 P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P2a1.T.
IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:
TELEPHONE:
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2a3
2. SOMEWHAT --> P2a3
3. DK --> P2a3
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |
|  | 1470 | 217 | 120 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
V000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
P2a2.T.
IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:
TELEPHONE:
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?
1. WAY TOO MUCH
5. SOMEWHAT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ----1 & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
V000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Numeric
```

    P2ax1.T.
    TELEHPONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

```
thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the
environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?
```

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
8. Haven't thought much about it

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ----- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 243 | 217 | 120 | 73 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

=============================
V000776 P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2ax2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEHPONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this? Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat? Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2a and P2ax1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7 -point scales with 5 -point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: $6 /$ BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
6. DK
7. RF; NA
8. Haven't thought much about it

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 435 | 389 | 269 | 475 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 122 | 94 | 16 | 7 |

```
V000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

P2aa.
ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 129 | 223 | 648 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 563 | 219 | 21 | 1 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000778 | P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

P2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS

NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
2. --> P2bb
3. --> P2bb
--> P2bb
--> P2bb
--> P2bb
REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK --> SKIP TO P2C OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 803 | 153 | 232 | 178 | 138 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 45 | 24 | 10 | 223 | 1 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000779 | P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

P2b.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, $O R$ that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
3. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 1007 | 483 | 71 | 19 | 225 | 2 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000780 | P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

P2b1.T.
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
$\qquad$

1. A LOT --> P2bb
2. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
3. DK --> P2C.T OR $-->$ P3.
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1324 | 331 | 151 | 1 |

=============================
V000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P2b2.T.
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or
just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2bb
2. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
3. DK --> P2C.T OR -->P3.
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 1736 | 7 | ---- |

V000782 P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul
V000782 P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul
Numeric
Numeric
P2bx1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, $O R$ that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?
SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1007 | 331 | 151 | 19 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 64 | 7 | 226 | 2 |

V000783 P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

P2bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, $O R$ that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2b and P2bx1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 3 | 484 | 383 | ---- |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 88 | 17 | 449 | 3 |

==============================
V000784 P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P2bb.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:
How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?


1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |  |
|  | 473 | 420 | 548 | 364 | 1 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000785 P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

P2c.
FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT

$$
-->P 2 c c
$$

2. 
3. 

.
5. --> P2cc
6. --> P2cc
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
--> P2cc
8. DK
--> P2b OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 803 | 26 | 40 | 93 | 203 |


|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 182 | 148 | 63 | 248 |  |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000786 P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

P2C．T．
TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment，OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business？
［DO NOT PROBE DK］
See P2．T for complete text．
P2b．T and P2c．T were administered in random order．
For the FTF version of this question see P 2 c ．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5．REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7．OTHER，IT DEPENDS，NEITHER［VOL］
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1007 | 5 |  | 43 | 41 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー

V000787 P2c1．T．Bush－strength tougher regulation MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

P2c1．T．

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED：

TELEPHONE：
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot，or just somewhat？

1．A LOT－－＞P2CC
5．SOMEWHAT－－＞P2CC
8．DK－－＞P2b．T OR－－＞P3．
9．RF
०．NA；INAP，FTF mode；5，7，8，9，0 in P2c．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 1648 | 68 | ---- |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000788 | P2c2．T．Bush－strength regulation burden |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |

P2c2．T．

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN：

TELEPHONE：
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden？
$\qquad$

1．WAY TOO MUCH
－－＞P2cc
5．SOMEWHAT－－＞P2cc
8．DK－－＞P2b．T OR－－＞P3
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；1，7，8，9，0 in P2c．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1452 | 136 | 216 | 3 |

## ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝

V000789 P2cx1．5－pt br summary Bush envir regul
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

P2cx1．T．

TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment，OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business？
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot，or just somewhat？
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden？

SUMMARY：5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c．T and P2c1／c2．T

1．Toughen regulations a lot
2．Toughen regulations a little
3．Other／depends／neither
4．Regulations somewhat of a burden
5．Regulations way too much burden
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1007 | 68 | 91 | 43 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 216 | 136 | 244 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000790 P2cx2．Comb．7pt／br summ Bush envir regul MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

P2cx2．
FTF：
Where would you place George W．Bush（on this issue）？
TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment，OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business？
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot，or just somewhat？
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden？

SUMMARY：5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE／BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1．
Note：when using 5－point combined－mode summaries（combining collapsed face－to－face 7－point scales with 5－point telephone branching summaries），users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions，administration，and summary construction by mode；it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes．

1．SCALE： 1 ／BRANCHING：Toughen regulations a lot
2．SCALE： 2 ／BRANCHING：Toughen regulations a little
3．SCALE：3，4，5／BRANCHING：Other／depends／neither
4．SCALE： 6 ／BRANCHING：Regulations somewhat of a burden
5．SCALE： 7 ／BRANCHING：Regulations way too much burden
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 94 | 131 | 521 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 364 | 199 | 492 | 3 |

```
==============================
V000791 P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    P2cc.
    IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:
        How certain are you of George \(W\). Bush's position? Very
        certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
        1. VERY CERTAIN
        3. PRETTY CERTAIN
        5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2C.T
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 541 | 255 | 570 | 440 | 1 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000792 P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P3．

So far as you know now，do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not？

1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP TO P3c
8．DON＇T KNOW－－＞SKIP TO P3c
9. RF
0. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 1547 | 230 | 27 |

```
==============================
V000793 P3a. Who will R vote for President
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    P3a.
    IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:
    Who do you think you will vote for in the election for
    President?
    [PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE
    UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D
    LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL
    VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]
    1. AL GORE
    2. GEORGE W. BUSH
    3. PAT BUCHANAN
    4. RALPH NADER
    5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3C
    7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
    8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 260 | 740 | 604 | 5 | 41 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 3 | 70 | 63 | 21 |  |


P3b.
IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:
IF INTENDED PRESIDENTIAL VOTE IS NOT NONE OR DK/RF:
Would you say that your preference for
[GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?

```
1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
8. DK --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a
\begin{tabular}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
---- & --- \\
347 & 1114
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
5 & 8 \\
----- \\
339 & 7
\end{tabular}
```

P3c.
IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/ IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:
If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?
[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WOULD VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
6. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
7. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
8. RF
9. NA; INAP, 0,9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a
```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
V000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
            Numeric
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 1547 & 89 & 104 & 2 & 4 \\
& & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
V000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
P3d.
IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:

```

IF R NAMES PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HE WOULD VOTE FOR IF VOTING：
Would you say that your preference for＜CANDIDATE NAMED＞ is strong or not strong？
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－
1．STRONG
5．NOT STRONG
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，0，8，9 in P3C
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1602 & 105 & 97 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000797 P4．Which party will control the House MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

P4．

The next couple of questions are about what you think will happen as a result of the upcoming elections．
After the November election，which party do you think
will have the most members in the U．S．House of Representatives－－the Republicans or the Democrats？
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－
1．REPUBLICANS
5．DEMOCRATS
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 815 & 604 & 384 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000798 P5．Which party will control the Senate MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
P5．
Again，after the November election，which party do you think will have the most members in the U．S．Senate， －－the Republicans or the Democrats？

1．REPUBLICANS
5．DEMOCRATS
8．DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 814 & 557 & 430 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000799 P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P6.
Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?
1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION --> P6a
5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST --> P7
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 1192 & 585 & 18 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000800 P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtment in jobs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P6a.
IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:
How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?

1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS
5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
- ->P7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P6

\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Count & 615 & 625 & 519 & 46 & 1 & 1
\end{tabular}


P6x.
How do you feel? Should the government in Washington
see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs
OR is this not the federal government's business?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?
SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6,P6a,P6a1.
1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
4. Not strongly - not the government's business
5. Strongly - not the government's business
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 588 & 524 & 100 & 92
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 427 & 46 & 20 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000803 P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P7．
Some people say that because of past discrimination， blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion．Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven＇t earned．
What about your opinion－－are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks？

```

1．FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS－－＞P7a
5．AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS－－＞P7b
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）－－＞section Q as randomly selected
8．DK－－＞section Q as randomly selected
9．RF
0．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 4 & 262 & 1366 & 94 & 66 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

P7a．

IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS：
Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly
or not strongly？


1．STRONGLY
5．NOT STRONGLY

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，7，8，9，0 in P7
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1545 & 174 & 87 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000805 P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P7b.
IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:
Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or not strongly?
--------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 441 | 1021 | 342 | 3 |

```
```

=============================

```
=============================
V000806 P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs
V000806 P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
        Numeric
        Numeric
    P7x.
What about your opinion- are you for or against
preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?
Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS
Built from P7 and P7a／b．
1．Favor strongly
2．Favor not strongly
4．Oppose not strongly
5．Oppose strongly
7．Other
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 4 & 174 & 87 & 342 \\
\hline & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 1021 | 94 | 70 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q0.
Now I'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton

```
==============================
V000807 Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Q1.
    As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
2. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2
4. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15
sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 496 | 126 | 203 | 61 | 1 |

===============================
V000808 Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q1a.
IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER $-->$ SKIP TO Q2
2. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
3. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1311 | 240 | 248 | 8 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000809 | Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?


1. MUCH LARGER
2. SOMEWHAT LARGER
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1681 | 44 | 78 | 4 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000810 | Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992 |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q1x.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/ larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, $R$ selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 240 | 248 | 203 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 78 | 44 | 73 | 1 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000811 | Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992 |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q2.
Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1 INCREASED
3 DECREASED
5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3
8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Count

| 0 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 920 | 339 | 158 |
| much inc/dec aid to poor |  |  |
| -, | GE |  |

Q2a.
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:
A lot or somewhat?
$\qquad$

1. A LOT
2. SOMEWHAT

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in Q2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1310 | 130 | 362 | 5 |

```
V000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

    Q2x.
            Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
            increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
            in 1992?
            A lot or somewhat?
            SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
            Built from Q2 and Q2a.
            1. Increases a lot
            2. Increased somewhat
            3. Stayed about the same
            4. Decreased somewhat
            5. Decreased a lot
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 81 | 256 | 275 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 106 | 49 | 119 | 1 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q3．
Would you say that compared to 1992，the nation＇s
economy is better，worse，or about the same？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（Q1－Q11）or the affects and traits battery（Q14－Q15）．If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q3b
3. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q4
4. DK
--> SKIP TO Q4
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 633 | 59 | 185 | 10 |

==============================
V000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q3a.
IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
3. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1174 | ---- | ----- |
|  |  | 372 | 261 |

$\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V000816 } & \text { Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$
Numeric

Q3b.
IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | --- |
|  | 1748 | 22 | 37 |

```
==============================
V000817 Q3x. Summary economy since 1992
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    Q3x.
    Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's
    economy is better, worse, or about the same?
    (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/
    worse?
```

    SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992
    Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.
    1. Much better
    2. Somewhat better
    3. About the same
    4. Somewhat worse
    5. Much worse
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, \(R\) selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 372 | 261 | 185 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | 37 | 22 | 10 |  |

$==============================$
V000818 Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
2. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO Q4b
3. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO Q5
4. DK
--> SKIP TO Q5
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 502 | 41 | 321 | 23 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000819 | Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q4a.
IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:
Much better or somewhat better?


1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
3. DK $-->$ SKIP TO Q5
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1305 | 242 | 260 |

```
==============================
V000820 Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
Q4b.
IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
```

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1766 | 15 | 26 |

```
==============================
V000821 Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Q4x.
```

    Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the
    nation's economy better, made the economy worse,
    or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
    Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
    SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY
    Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.
    1. Much better
    2. Somewhat better
    3. No effect
    4. Somewhat worse
    5. Much worse
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 920 | 242 | 260 | 321 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 26 | 15 | 23 |  |

```
V000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

Q5.
Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?
$\qquad$

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
2. HURT
3. NOT AFFECTED

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q14－Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 920 | 189 | 75 | 608 | ----- |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニーニニニーニーニニニニ＝
V000823 Q6．U．S．more／less secure since 1992
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

Q6．
Would you say that compared to 1992，the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies，less secure，or hasn＇t this changed very much？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（Q1－Q11）or the affects and traits battery（Q14－Q15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post（S1－S11）and vice versa．

1．MORE SECURE
3．LESS SECURE－－＞SKIP TO Q6b
5．NO CHANGE
－－＞SKIP TO Q7
8．DK
－－＞SKIP TO Q7
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q14－Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 215 | 261 | 379 | 32 |

＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000824 Q6a．U．S．much more secure from enemies MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Q6a．
IF R SAYS U．S．MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992：
（Would you say）much more secure or somewhat more secure？

1．MUCH MORE SECURE－－＞SKIP TO Q7
5．SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE $-->$ SKIP TO Q7
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO Q7
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9，0 in Q6

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $---{ }_{c}$ | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1592 | 71 | 144 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000825 | Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q6b.
IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
2. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1546 | 107 | 153 |  |


| ========== | $===================$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000826 | Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q6x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States
is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
(Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/
less secure?
SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Not changed very much
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, $R$ selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 920 | 71 | 144 | 379 |



```
==============================
V000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat less secure
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    Q7b.
    IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:
    Much less secure or somewhat less secure?
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. MUCH LESS SECURE
    5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& ----1 & --- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
V000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
Q7x.
Would you say that Clinton administration has made the
United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less
secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?
SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY
Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 920 | 73 | 119 | 449 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 119 | 99 | 28 |  |

```
```

==============================
V000831 Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since }199
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Q8.
    Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime
    rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about
    the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 920 & 327 & 276 & 260 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000832 Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Q8a.
IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1480 & 82 & 244 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000833 Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Q8b .
IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
\hline 1531 & 138 & 138
\end{tabular}
```

-=-================-=====-=-=-
V000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Q8x.
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/
worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992
\(\qquad\)

Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 920 & 82 & 244 & 260 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 138 & 138 & 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V000835
Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q9.
Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If $R$ did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q9b
3. NO DIFFERENCE
--> SKIP T0 Q10
4. DK
--> SKIP T0 Q10
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
```

```

V000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr

```
V000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
Q9a.
IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:
Much better or somewhat better?
```

$\qquad$

```
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1573 & 72 & 161 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000837 Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
```

Q9b.
IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:
Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1735 | 36 | 36 |

```
==============================
V000838 Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    Q9x.
            Would you say that Clinton administration has made the
            nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made
            much difference either way?
            Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
            SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE
            Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.
            1. Much better
            2. Somewhat better
            3. Hasn't made much difference
            4. Somewhat worse
            5. Much worse
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, \(R\) selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 72 | 161 | 543 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | 36 | 36 | 39 |  |

```
==============================
V000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since }199
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

Q10．
Would you say that compared to 1992，the nation＇s moral climate has gotten better，stayed about the same，or gotten worse？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（Q1－Q11）or the affects and traits battery（Q14－Q15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post（S1－S11）and vice versa．

1．BETTER
3．WORSE
－－＞SKIP TO Q10b
5．THE SAME
－－＞SKIP T0 Q11
8．DK
－－＞SKIP T0 Q11
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q14－Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 87 | 470 | 314 | 15 | 1 |


| V000840 | Q10a．Moral climate much／smwhat better MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 <br> Numeric |
| :---: | :---: |

Q10a．
IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992：
（Would you say）much better or somewhat better？

1．MUCH BETTER－－＞SKIP TO Q11
5．SOMEWHAT BETTER－－＞SKIP TO Q11
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO Q11
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9，0 in Q10

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1720 | 28 | 59 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V000841 Q10b．Moral climate much／smwhat worse MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Q10b．
IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992：
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1337 | 260 | 209 | ---- |

==============================
V000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q10x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or
gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/
worse?
SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 28 | 59 | 314 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 209 | 260 | 15 | 2 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000843 | Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q11.
Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral
climate better，worse，or hasn＇t it made much difference either way？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（Q1－Q11）or the affects and traits battery（Q14－Q15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post（S1－S11）and vice versa．

1．BETTER
3．WORSE－－＞SKIP TO Q11b
5．NO DIFFERENCE－－＞SKIP TO Q14
8．DK
－－＞SKIP TO Q14
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q14－Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 65 | 373 | 425 | 21 |  |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000844 Q11a．Clinton made moral climate much／swht btr MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Q11a．
IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER：
Much better or somewhat better？
$\qquad$

1．MUCH BETTER－－＞SKIP TO Q14
5．SOMEWHAT BETTER－－＞SKIP TO Q14
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO Q14
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9，0 in Q11

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text {＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝} \\ \text { V000845 } & \text { Q11b．Clinton made moral climate much／swht wrse }\end{array}$ Numeric

Q11b．
IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE：
Much worse or somewhat worse？

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | $---{ }_{c}$ | ---- |
|  | 1434 | 214 | ----159 |

```
==============================
V000846 Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    Q11x.
    Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral
    climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference
    either way?
    Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
    SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE
```

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 920 | 23 | 42 | 425 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 159 | 214 | 21 | 3 |


| ============================ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000847 | Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

Q14a.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
ANGRY

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next affect
3. DK --> SKIP to next affect
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 617 | 297 |  |

```
==============================
V000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Q14a1.
    IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:
        How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
        fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
        1. VERY OFTEN
        2. FAIRLY OFTEN
        3. OCCASIONALLY
        4. RARELY
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1190 | 138 | 143 | 263 | 73 |

=============================
V000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14b.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO $\quad->$ SKIP to next affect
3. DK --> SKIP to next affect
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 891 | 469 | 444 | ---- |


| V000850 | Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1338 | 90 | 135 | 203 | 41 |

```
=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V000851 Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Q14c.
    (Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
AFRAID
Clinton affects were asked in random order．
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．
1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP to next affect
8．DK－－＞SKIP to next affect
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q1－Q11 in the Pre
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 891 & 232 & 682 & 2
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text {＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝} \\ \text { V000852 } & \text { Q14c1．Afraid－how often Clinton affect } \\ & \text { MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric
Q14c1．
IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID：
How often would you say you＇ve felt afraid－－very often，fairly often，occasionally，or rarely？
1．VERY OFTEN
2．FAIRLY OFTEN
3．OCCASIONALLY
4．RARELY
```

8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1575 | 40 | 55 | 96 | 41 |


| $===========================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000853 | Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

Numeric

Q14d.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD
$\qquad$
Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next affect
3. DK --> SKIP to next affect
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 891 | 367 | 544 | 4 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Q14d1.
    IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:
    How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often,
    fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
```

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14d

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1440 | 80 | 101 | 150 | 35 | 1 |


[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL

2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 891 | 34 | 111 | 293 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
| Count | 456 | 20 | 2 |  |

## V000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares

 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 NumericQ15b/Q15b.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
$\qquad$
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 128 | 316 | 280 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 165 | 26 | 1 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000857 | Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q15c/Q15c.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Q1－Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 330 | 462 | 90 | 18 | 16 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000858 Q15d／Q15d．T．Clinton trait－strong leader MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

Q15d／Q15d．T．
FTF：
Please look at page 4 of the booklet．
In your opinion，does the phrase＇he is＜trait＞？＂
describe Bill Clinton extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？
（What about＜trait＞？［Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？］）

TELEPHONE：
In your opinion，does the phrase he（is）＇＜TRAIT＞＇ describe Bill Clinton extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？
（What about＜TRAIT＞？［Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？］）

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
［DO NOT PROBE＂DK＂］
Clinton traits were asked in random order．
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（Q1－Q11）or the affects and traits battery（Q14－Q15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post（S1－S11）and vice versa．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL

3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 207 | 374 | 213 | 106 | 6 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000859 | Q15e/Q15e.T. Clitnon trait-dishonest |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q15e/Q15e.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

```
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
```

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 284 | 304 | 223 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 76 | 26 | 3 |  |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000860 | Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q15f/Q15f.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])
TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 376 | 412 | 88 | 30 | 10 |

```
V000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

Q15g/Q15g.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 107 | 245 | 351 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 183 | 29 | 1 |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000862 | R1. How opinionated is $R$ |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R1.
Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions.
What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?

1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
2. MANY THINGS
3. SOME THINGS
4. VERY FEW THINGS
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 348 | 633 | 693 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |

==============================
V000863 R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

R1a.
Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?

1. FEWER OPINIONS
2. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS
3. MORE OPINIONS
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 5 | 244 | 969 | 558 | 27 | 4 |

```
==============================
V000864 R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
R1a1.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:
Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?
1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
8. DK --> SKIP T0 R2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in R1a
```



|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1249 | 255 | 303 |

=============================
V000865 R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

R1a2.
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:
Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just somewhat fewer opinions?


1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
2. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1563 | 58 | 186 |

```
V000866 R1x. Summary degree R opinionated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
R1x.
Compared to the average person do you have fewer
opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the
same number of opinions, or more opinions?
Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or
just somewhat more/fewer opinions?
SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED
Built from R1a and R1a1/R1a2.
1. A lot fewer
2. Somewhat fewer
3. About the same
4. Somewhat more
5. A lot more
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 5 & 58 & 186 & 969 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 303 & 255 & 27 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000867 & R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
R2.
Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that. What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?
1. LIKE
3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3
8. DK
--> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 1068 | 243 | 485 | 6 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000868 | R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R2a.
IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:
Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> SKIP TO R3
2. SOMEWHAT --> SKIP TO R3
3. DK --> SKIP TO R3
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 739 | 707 | 360 |  |


| ===ニ========================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000869 | R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:
Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
2. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1564 | 74 | 169 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000870 | R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R2x.

```
What about you? Do you like having responsibility for
handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do
you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?
Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?
SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT
Built from R2 and R2a/b.
1. Like it a lot
2. Like it somewhat
3. Neither like it nor dislike it
4. Dislike it somewhat
5. Dislike it a lot
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 5 & 707 & 360 & 485 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 169 & 74 & 7 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems
V000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
R3.
Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of
complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve
more complex problems.
Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or
complex?
1. SIMPLE
5. COMPLEX
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 889 | 872 | 34 |  |

```
```

-===========-=-==-==-=-=-=====

```
-===========-=-==-==-=-=-=====
V000872 S1. Is religion important to R
V000872 S1. Is religion important to R
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Numeric
    ```

S1.
Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

1. IMPORTANT
5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000873 S2. How much guidance from religion
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S2.
IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:
Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

```
1. SOME
3. QUITE A BIT
5. A GREAT DEAL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & --234 & 292 & 395 & 685 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000874 S3/S3.T. How often does R pray
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S3/S3.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 15 of the booklet.
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:

People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?
1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 5 & 567 & 402 & 312 & 284 \\
\hline & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 192 & 27 & 2 & 16 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000875 S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S4/S4.T.
FTF:
Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the
Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a
week, once a week or less or never?
TELEPHONE:
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the
Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a
week, once a week or less or never?

```
    1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
    2. ONCE A DAY
    3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
    4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
    5. NEVER
    7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 5 & 64 & 187 & 245 & 556
\end{tabular}

```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000876 S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S5/S5.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 16 of the booklet.
Which of these statements comes closest to describing
your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me
the number of your choice.
TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a short list of statements.
Please tell me which of these statements comes closest to
describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just
give me the number of the statement you choose.

```

1．THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY，WORD FOR WORD．
2．THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY，WORD FOR WORD．
3．THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD．
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）［VOL］
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 5 & 600 & 869 & 247 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 44 & 21 & 21 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000877 X1. Attend religious services
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

X1．

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to．Thinking about your life these days，do you ever attend religious services，apart from occasional weddings，baptisms or funerals？
\(\qquad\)

1．YES－－＞SKIP TO X2
5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
8. DK --> SKIP T0 X1a
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 5 & 1249 & 545 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000878 & X1a. Part of a church or denomination \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

X1a.
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Regardless of whether you now attend any religious
services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

1. YES --> X3
5. NO --> GO TO Y1
8. DK --> GO TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & -------- & ---1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(===========================\) \\
V000879 & X2. Attend religious services how often \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

X2.
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?
1. EVERY WEEK --> X2a
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK \(-->\) X3
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH --> X3
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR \(-->\) X3
5. NEVER --> X3
8. DK --> X3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(0,5,8,9\) in X 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 558 & 479 & 205 & 270 \\
\hline & & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline & Count & 282 & 8 & 1 & 4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
V000880 X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week \\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

X2a.
IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':
Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week?
1. ONCE A WEEK
2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline Count & 1328 & 270 & 209 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
===ニ=========ー================ \\
V000881 & X3. Attend church checkpoint \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

X3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE
1. YES
--> X3a
5. NO (5 in X1) \(-->\) X3b
9. RF (9 in X 1 )
0. INAP, 0 in X1

```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
x3a.
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:
Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?
1. PROTESTANT --> X4
2. CATHOLIC --> X7
3. JEWISH --> X6a
7. OTHER --> X4
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 567 | 543 | 359 | 28 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 303 | 2 | 5 |  |

```
```

=============================

```
=============================
V000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
V000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    X3b.
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
    DENOMINATION:
    Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
    Jewish, or something else?
    1. PROTESTANT --> X4
    2. CATHOLIC --> SKIP TO X7
    3. JEWISH --> SKIP TO X6b
    7. OTHER --> X4
    8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1504 & 123 & 100 & 15 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 62 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| V000884 | X4. Denomination/other specify |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 |
|  | Numeric |

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?


| Count | 75 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 63 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Count | 17 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 16 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| Count | 5 | 39 | 4 | 5 | 52 | 12 |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Count | 10 | 18 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 30 | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| Count | 2 | 85 |  |  |  |  |

```
==============================
V000885 X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
    Character
```

    X4(1).
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
        OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
    IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':
        What church or denomination is that?
            TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)
    This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000886 | X4a. Baptist group |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

x4a.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American
Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist
Association, an independent Baptist church or some other
Baptist group?

1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION --> X7
2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --> X7
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION --> X7
4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST --> X7
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> X7
6. DK --> X7
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1500 | 160 | 19 | 18 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Count | 49 | 44 | 17 |  |

```
==============================
V000887 X4b. Independent Baptist group
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    X4b.
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
        OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:
    IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
```

        Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
        this strictly a local church?
    ------------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY] --> X7
        2. LOCAL \(-->\times 7\)
        8. DK --> X7
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 1-3, \(7,8,9,0\) in X 4 a
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 1758 | 3 | ---- |

=============================
V000888 X4c. Lutheran group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
X4c.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:
Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in
American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran
group?

1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH --> X7
2. MISSOURI SYNOD
--> X7
3. OTHER [SPECIFY]
--> X7
4. DK

- -> X7

9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X 4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1706 | 52 | 27 | 15 | 7 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000889 | X4d. Methodist group |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

X4d.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:
Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?

1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7
3. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
4. DK --> X7
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X 4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1696 | 96 | 8 | 5 | 2 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000890 | X4e. Presbyterian group |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

X4e.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:
Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
2. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
3. DK --> X7
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X 4

|  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | --- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1732 | 63 | 10 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000891 X4f. Reformed group
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    X4f.
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:
```

Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH --> X7
2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA --> X7
3. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
4. DK

- -> X7

9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X 4

|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ |  |
|  | 1805 | 2 |

```
==============================
V000892 X4g. Brethren group
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
X4g.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:
```

Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN --> X7
2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN --> X7
```
    7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
    8. DK --> X7
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4
        C
==============================
V000893 X4h. Christian group
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    X4h.
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4:
            When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination
            called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or
            some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say
            "I am just a Christian"?
            1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
                                    --> X7
            2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN --> X7
            7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
            8. DK --> X7
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1744 & 12 & 37 & 12 & 2
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000894 X4i. Church of Christ group
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    X4i.
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
        OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:
Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
1. CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7
2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7
```

```
    8. DK --> X7
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1790 & 16 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
V000895 & X4j. Church of God group \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
X4
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
        OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4:
Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
1. ANDERSON, INDIANA
--> X7
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE --> X7
3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK
--> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 1795 & 4 & 3 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================== } \\ \text { V000896 } & \text { X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal }\end{array}\) Character
X4k.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINIESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:
What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
Is that part of a larger church or denomination?
What is the church called?
HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)
```

[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET

AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

```
==============================
V000897 X4a-j. (blanked) other
    Character
```

    X4a-j.
    IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:
TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:

This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

```
==============================
```

V000898 X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
Character

X4m.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand coded into the religion summary variable.

```
V000899 X4m1. Is other group christian
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    X4m1.
    IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
        OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:
    IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:
```

    Is that group Christian?
    
1. YES --> X7
5. NO --> X7
8. DK $-->$ X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

| 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Count } & 1722 & 77 & 7 & 1\end{array}$

```
==============================
V000900 X6a. Attend Jewish group
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
X6a.
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?
1. ORTHODOX - --> X7
2. CONSERVATIVE \(-->\times 7\)
3. REFORM --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1779 & 6 & 11 & 11
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
v000901 & X6b. Jewish denomination \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
X6b.
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?
```

$\qquad$

```
1. ORTHODOX --> X7
2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
3. REFORM --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 2 & 3 & 7 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1792 & 2 & 9 & 4
\end{tabular}
```

```
V000902 X7. Member place of worship
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    X7.
    IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
    IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
    CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
            Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation,
            temple or other place of worship?
            1. YES --> X8 or Y1
            5. NO --> X8 or Y1
            8. DK --> X8 or Y1
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 264 & 1030 & 509 & 2 & ----
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000903 X8. Born-again christian
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
X8.
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:
Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?
```

$\qquad$

```
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b; \(5,8,9\) or NA in \(X 4 m 1\)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
\hline & 336 & 594 & 865 & 8 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000904 & X9x. Religion summary \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

X9x.

```
Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
Jewish, or something else?
JEWISH:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is
Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,
or what?
PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:
What church or denomination is that?
    IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church
    associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the
    American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American
    Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or
    some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are
    you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
    this strictly a local church?
    IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical
    Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or
    some other Lutheran group?
    IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United
    Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some
    other Methodist group?
    IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in
    the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
    IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church,
    the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed
    group?
    IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the
    Plymouth Brethren, or what?'
    IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that
    mean the denomination called the "Christian Church
    Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian
    denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a
    Christian"?
    IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or
    the United Church of Christ?
    IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of
    Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland,
    Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other
    Church of God?
    IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is
    that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a
    larger church or denomination? What is that church
    called?
    IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church
    part of a denomination?
    IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?
```

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this

```
        summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious
        group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further
        probing, to be Roman Catholic.
            Codes 010-990 and:
        995. NA
        998. DK
        999. RF
        000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does
        not attend religious services)
==============================
V000905 Y1(1). Month of birth
            MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
            Numeric
    Y1(1).
        What is the month, day and year of your birth?
            MONTH
        01-12
        98. DK
        99. RF; NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 164 & 123 & 159 & 143 & 143 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline Count & 133 & 174 & 147 & 156 & 124 \\
\hline & 11 & 12 & 98 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 146 & 163 & 1 & 31 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000906 Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
        Numeric
    Y1(2).
        What is the month, day and year of your birth?
        DAY (BLANKED)
        This variable is blanked.
==============================
V000907 Y1(3). Year of birth
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9998
    Numeric
```

Y1 (3).
What is the month, day and year of your birth?
YEAR

9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000908 | Y1X. Respondent age |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |

Y1x.
What is the month, day and year of your birth?
SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where $R$ refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of $R$ was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:
97. 97 and older
00. NA

|  | 0 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 9 | 12 | 16 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|  | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| Count | 23 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 28 | 29 |
|  | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| Count | 22 | 34 | 30 | 36 | 33 | 40 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| Count | 41 | 45 | 48 | 40 | 44 | 46 |
|  | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 |
| Count | 42 | 48 | 37 | 41 | 34 | 35 |
|  | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| Count | 38 | 36 | 32 | 39 | 35 | 26 |
|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 |


| Count | 31 | 30 | --- 26 | 36 | 40 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| Count | 25 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 29 | 16 |
|  | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Count | 24 | 17 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
|  | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 |
| Count | 11 | 16 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
|  | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 |
| Count | 9 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 15 |
|  | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 |
| Count | 6 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
|  | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 95 |
| Count | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 96 | 97 |  |  |  |  |
| Count | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000909 | Y2. Marital status |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y2.
Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Note: in cases $8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212$, 1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]
7. DK
8. RF
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 935 | 168 | 238 | 55 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 348 | 49 | 1 | 8 |  |

```
V000910 Y3. Highest grade completed
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
```

Y3.
What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?
$\qquad$

00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
98. DK
99. RF; NA

|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 12 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 30 | 26 | 52 | 66 | 483 | 181 |
|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 99 |  |
| Count | 240 | 106 | 316 | 259 | 9 |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V000911 } & \text { Y3a. Diploma/GED } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$
Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
2. DK --> SKIP T0 Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
3. RF
4. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1107 | 519 | 180 | 1 |

```
V000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned
    Y3b.
    IF HIGHEST GREADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:
        What is the highest degree that you have earned?
```

    01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
    02. MASTER'S DEGREE
    03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
    04. LLB, JD
    05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
    06. JDC, STD, THD
    07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
    96. NO DEGREE EARNED
    98. DK
    99. RF
    00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in \(Y 3\)
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 705 | 373 | 139 | 27 | 7 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 96 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000913 | Y3x. R educ summary |
|  | MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Y3x.
What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that you have earned?
SUMMARY: R EDUCATION


Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
[0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3, 1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) ( 07 in Y 3 b )
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]
8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in $Y 3 b]$
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 64 | 116 | 519 | 377 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| Count | 168 | 373 | 183 | 7 |


| $===========================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000914 | Y4. Highest grade of partner |
|  | MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99 |
|  | Numeric |

Y4.
IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:
What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
98. DK
99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

|  | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 21 | 12 | 23 | 23 | 285 | 73 |
|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 98 | 99 |
| Count | 141 | 39 | 205 | 140 | 9 | 823 |

============================
V000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y4a.
IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:
Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y5
2. NO --> SKIP TO Y5
3. DK --> SKIP TO Y5
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y 4

```
==============================
V000916 Y4b. Partner highest degree
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
```

Y4b.
IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:
What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

1. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
2. MASTER'S DEGREE
3. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
4. LLB, JD
5. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
6. JDC, STD, THD
7. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
8. NO DEGREE EARNED
9. DK
10. RF
11. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1209 | 218 | 88 | 17 | 1 | 10 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 96 | 98 | 99 |  |
| Count | 1 | 85 | 174 | 2 | 2 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000917 | Y4x. Sp educ. Summary |
|  | MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Y4X.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed? Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school
years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2
here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where $R$ indicated 12 years of schooling
but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4, 1 in Y4a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
8. DK [98 in $Y 4$, 8 in $Y 4 a ; 98$ in $Y 4 b]$
9. NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in $Y 4 b]$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 29 | 56 | 297 | 174 | 85 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 218 | 117 | 6 | 825 |  |

Y6.
Occupation/employment variables represent 5 series of questions. The question series initially assigned to $R$ in $Y 6(1)$ is determined by R's self-identification of employment status. The series occupation data are:
"UNEMPLOYED" - past occupation
"RETIRED" - past occupation
"DISABLED" - past occupation
"HOMEMAKER" (nonworking) - past occupation
"STUDENT" (nonworking) - past occupation
"WORKING NOW" - current occupation
"TEMPORARILY LAID OFF" - current occupation
Note: The final employment status summaries Y6(2)-Y6(3) are built using both R's initial self-identification per Y6(1) and additional information provided by $R$ during questioning.

The retired who are also currently working have data in both the RETIRED and WORKING NOW series; the disabled may have data in both DISABLED and WORKING NOW series. For homemaker and student Rs, the 1st question in the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series asks whether R is currently working; if so, R is immediately transferred out of that series to the WORKING NOW series (the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series not completed).

Since many questions are asked across multiple series, data for common questions across series are "stacked" into variables V000979-V000989; for these stacked variables the following data are included:

PAST employment of all retirees [15,50,51 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of the unemployed [40 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of nonworking disabled [60 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of working disabled [16,61 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of Rs working now only [10,20 in Y6(1)] or working homemaker/student [17,18,71,81 in Y6(2)]
NO data for nonworking homemakers/students [70,75,80 in Y6(1)]

```
V000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
```

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

See notes Y6.
This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's selfidentification of employment status. By contrast, the $Y 6(2)$ and $Y 6(3)$ employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).
Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given
by $R$ for his or her own employment status is as follows:
RET ONLY or (RET and any others) -->retired series
HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) -->hmkr/st series
DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO) -->disabled series
WN/TLO ONLY -->wrkg now series
Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the $R$ was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series

```
appears last in codebook variables:
- V000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- V000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- V000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- V000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- V000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]
1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)
0．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 7 & 1035 & 17 & 59 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 336 & 74 & 183 & 96 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000919 Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

Y6（2）．
Please look at page 17 of the booklet．
We＇d like to know if you are working now，temporarily laid off，or are you unemployed，retired，permanently disabled，a homemaker，a student，or what？

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

See notes Y6．
Built using Y5，Y12g，Y14g，Y15，Y7e．
This is a summary which describes R＇s occupation status
as categorized AFTER all information has been collected．
Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18
although number of hours worked（V000974）was coded as
DK；for this case，information in the thumbnail indicated that $R$ worked only a few hours a week．

10．WORKING NOW only
15．WORKING NOW and retired（R volunteers），currently working 20 or more hours per week
16．WORKING NOW and permanently disabled，currently working 20 or more hours per week
17．WORKING NOW and homemaker（R volunteers），currently working 20 or more hours per week
18．WORKING NOW and student（R volunteers），currently working 20 or more hours per week
20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
40. UNEMPLOYED
50. RETIRED, no other occupation
51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
80. STUDENT, no other occupation
81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
00. NA

|  | 0 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 7 | 1035 | 18 | 2 | 55 | 51 |
|  | 20 | 40 | 50 | 51 | 60 | 70 |
| Count | 17 | 59 | 300 | 18 | 72 | 114 |
|  | 71 | 75 | 80 | 81 |  |  |
| Count | 14 | 2 | 25 | 18 |  |  |

=============================
V000920 Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Y6(3).
Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY

Built from Y6(2)

1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
3. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
4. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [50,51 in Y6(2)]
5. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
6. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students-70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
7. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week
([80,81 in Y6(2)]
8. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 7 | 1161 | 17 | 59 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Count | ---- | ----18 | 72 | 130 |


| ==================ー=========== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000921 | Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
Have you ever done any work for pay?


1. YES
2. NO --> Y10g
3. DK --> Y10g
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1748 | 58 | 1 |


| =========================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000922 | Y10a(1). 2-digit occup |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 |
|  | Numeric |

Y10a(1).
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

|  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1749 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 32 | 33 |
| Count | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 37 | 42 | 48 | 54 |
| Count | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 61 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 70 |
| Count | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

```
==============================
V000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
    Numeric
    Y10a(2).
    IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
    What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
    (What was your occupation?)
    What were your most important duties or activities?
    R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
    See the OCCUPATION master code.
    The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
    R employment section.
    The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
    been recoded into }71\mathrm{ subgroups, in order to protect
    respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
    information about a procedure by which it is possible to
    gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
    Codes 1-905 and:
    995. NA
    998. DK
    999. RF
    000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
```

V000923 Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 Numeric

Y10a(3).
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
15. NA
16. DK
17. RF
18. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1749 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 9 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y10a(4).
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at $\mathrm{Y} 17(3)$, at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1, 2, 4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

```
=======================-=-=-- v10b. R unemp: past industry code
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
        Numeric
    Y10b.
    IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
    What kind of business or industry was that?
    R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
```

    The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
    R employment section.
    See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
    Codes 10-932 and:
    995. NA
996. DK
997. RF
998. INAP, $1,2,4,5,6,7,0$ in $\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 5,8,9$ or NA in Y9
=============================
V000926 Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y10c.
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?


1. SOMEONE ELSE
2. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
3. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y10e
4. DK
--> Y10e
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, $0,5,8,9$ in $Y 9$

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1749 | 48 | 4 | ---- |

```
==============================
V000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Y10d.
    IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
    IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
        Were you employed by a federal, state or local
        government?
```

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $---{ }_{c}$ | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1755 | 5 | 47 |

```
==============================
V000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Y10e.
    IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
```

Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
2. NO --> Y10g
3. DK --> Y10g
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, $0,5,8,9$ in Y 9

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1749 | 28 | 0 |



About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000930 | Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y10g.
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
Are you looking for work at the present time?


1. YES
2. NO --> Y25
3. DK --> Y25
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, $1,2,4,5,6,7,0$ in $\mathrm{Y} 6(1)$

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1748 | ---- | ----- |
|  | --- | 36 | 23 |

```
==============================
V000931 Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                Numeric
    Y10h.
    IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
    IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:
    How worried are you about not being able to find a job
    in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. A LOT --> Y25
    3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
    5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
    8. DK --> Y25
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
\hline & 1771 & 8 & 11 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V000932 Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
V000932 Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Numeric
Y11(1).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
When did you retire?
MONTH

```

        MONTH:
        01. JANUARY
        02. FEBRUARY
        03. MARCH
        04. APRIL
        05. MAY
        06. JUNE
        07. JULY
        08. AUGUST
        09. SEPTEMBER
        10. OCTOBER
        11. NOVEMBER
        12. DECEMBER
        96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
        98. DK
        99. RF
00. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 53 & 15 & 22 & 47 & 16 \\
& 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 42 & 27 & 25 & 19 & 17 \\
& 11 & 12 & 96 & 98 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & \\
& 6 & 30 & 1471 & 17 &
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000933 Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
Numeric
Y11(2).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
When did you retire?
YEAR
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA
==============================
V000934 Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric
Y12a(1).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into }71\mathrm{ subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF

```

00．INAP，1，2，3，5，6，7，0 in Y6（1）
```

==============================
V000934a Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric
Y12a(2).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into }71\mathrm{ subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニ=
V000935 Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric

```
    Y12a(3).
    IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
        What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
        (What was your occupation?)
        What were your most important duties or activities?
        R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
        Collapsed from Y10a(2).
        The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
        R employment section.
        1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
        categories 001-039)
        2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
        040-199)
        3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
        categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, \(1,2,4,5,6,7,0\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 5,8,9\) or NA in Y9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1471 & 59 & 58 & 9 & 27 & 54 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 8 & 34 & 4 & 31 & 24 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 95 & & \\
\hline Count & 14 & 5 & 2 & 4 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000936 & Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y12a(4).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section. Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
```

    December 1991.
    Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
    respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
    information about a procedure by which it is possible to
    gain access to this data.
    99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)
    00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
    ```
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================} \\ \text { V000937 } & \text { Y12b. R ret: industry code } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 }\end{array}\)
        Numeric

Y12b.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
What kind of business or industry was that?
R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at \(Y 17(4)\), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
Codes 10-932 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
=============================
V000938 Y12c. R ret: self employed MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y12c.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
```

---------------------------------------------------------------------

```
1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y12e
8. DK
--> Y12e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1471 & 281 & 9 & 45 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y12d.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
what?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1517 | 87 | 202 | 1 |

==============================
V000940 Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y12e.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
Have you had a job in the last six months?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y12g
8. DK --> Y12g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
Count 1471 46 290
==============================
V000941 Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Y12f.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN LAST 6 MOS:

```

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================ \\
V000942 & Y12g. R ret: Working for pay \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y12g.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(1,2,3,5,6,7,0\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1471 & 36 & 300
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000943 & Y12h. R ret: looking for work now \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y12h.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
Are you looking for work at the present time?
1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1507 & 8 & 292
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000944 Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y12j.
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:
How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
all?
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h
0 5
Count 1799 8
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y13.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
Have you ever done any work for pay?
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y14h
8. DK --> Y14h
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

```

```

==============================
V000946 Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric
Y14a(1).

```

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6（1）CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED：
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY：
```

    What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
    (What was your occupation?)
    What were your most important duties or activities?
    R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
    ```
    See the OCCUPATION master code.
    The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
    R employment section.
    The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
    been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
    respondents' anonymity.
    95. NA
    98. DK
    99. RF
    00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 5,8,9\) or NA in Y13
============================
V000946a Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
        Numeric
    Y14a(2).
    IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
        What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
        (What was your occupation?)
        What were your most important duties or activities?
        R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
        See the OCCUPATION master code.
        The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
        R employment section.
        The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
        been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
        respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
        information about a procedure by which it is possible to
        gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
        Codes 1-905 and:
        995. NA
        998. DK
        999. RF
        000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 5,8,9\) or NA in Y13
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V000947 Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
        Numeric

Y14a(3).
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
\(\qquad\)

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.
1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1739 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 7 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1 & 1 & 21 & 1 & 6 \\
\hline & 11 & 12 & 13 & 95 & \\
\hline Count & 3 & 5 & 5 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
    Numeric

Y14a(4).
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED: IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at \(\mathrm{Y} 17(3)\), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)
00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000949 Y14b. R dis: industry code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 Numeric

Y14b.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
What kind of business or industry was that?
R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at \(\mathrm{Y} 17(4)\), at end of R employment section. See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y14c.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y14e
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& Count & ---- & -------- & ---- \\
& 1739 & 57 & 3 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y14d.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
Were you employed by a federal, state or local
government?

```
        1. YES
        5. NO
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1747 & 11 & 47 & ----
\end{tabular}


IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY：
Have you had a job in the last six months？

1．YES
5．NO－－＞Y14g
8．DK－－＞Y14g
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in Y13
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & \(---{ }_{c}\) & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000953 Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996

```
            Numeric
    Y14f.
    IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
    IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
    IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week？

1－168
996．INAP，5，8，9，0 in Y14e
998．DK
999．RF
000．NA
－ーー－ー－＝＝－－－
V000954 Y14g．R dis：working for pay now MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Y14g．
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6（1）CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED：
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY：
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS：
Are you doing any work for pay at the present time？
\(\qquad\)
Note：Rs who respond＂yes＂to this question are also asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment．

1．YES－－＞SKIP TO Y7（below）
5．NO
8．DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1801 & 2 & 4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
==ニ=========================== \\
V000955 & Y14h. R dis: looking for work now \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y14h.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1735 & 6 & 66
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V000956 & Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y14j.
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:
How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
\(\qquad\)
1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1801 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000957 & Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y16.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1528 & 138 & 141
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000958 & Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y16a.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?
1. YES
5. NO --> Y16h
8. DK --> Y16h
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1666 & 35 & 106 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000959 \begin{tabular}{l} 
Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu \\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}
Y16a(1).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in \(Y 6(1) ; 1,8,9\) or NA in \(Y 16 ; 5,8,9\) or NA in Y16a
=============================
V000959a Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 Numeric

Y16a(2).
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3 -digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a
```

V000960 Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric
Y16a(3).

```
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.
1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, \(1,2,4,5,6,7,0\) in \(Y 6(1) ; 5,8,9\) or NA in Y9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1772 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 7 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 12 & 13 & 95 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 2 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000961 Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric
Y16a(4).
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
==============================
V000962 Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric
Y16b.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
What kind of business or industry was that?
R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
Codes 10-932 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF

```
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a
```

==============================
V000963 Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y16c.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

```
    1. SOMEONE ELSE
    3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
    5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f
    8. DK --> Y16f
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & --- \\
& 1772 & 33 & 1 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000964 Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    Y16d.
    IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
    IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
    IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
    IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
    Were you employed by a federal, state or local
        government?

        1. YES
        5. NO
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c
            \(\begin{array}{cccc} & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ & \text { Count } & -------- & --- \\ & 1774 & 7 & 26\end{array}\)
```

V000965 Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Y16f.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
About how many hours did you work on your job in the
average week?
1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA
==============================
V000966 Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y16h.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
Are you looking for work at the present time?
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
2. NO --> Y25
3. DK --> Y25
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1666 | 19 | 122 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V000967 | Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y16j.
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:
How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

```
1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16h
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1788 & 8 & 7 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000968 & Y7a(1). 2-digit occup \(R\) work now \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?
R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working \([40,50,60,70,75,80\) or 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2)]\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 579 & 125 & 47 & 34 & 33 & 8 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 10 & 50 & 20 & 76 & 4 & 25 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 5 & 30 & 16 & 13 & 4 & 24 \\
\hline & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\
\hline Count & 71 & 24 & 50 & 1 & 14 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 29 & 30 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 35 & 14 & 6 & 28 & 2 & 7 \\
\hline & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 & 36 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 11 & 26 & 24 & 4 & 21 & 40 \\
\hline & 37 & 38 & 39 & 40 & 42 & 43 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 18 & 29 & 30 & 5 & 8 & 2 \\
\hline & 44 & 45 & 46 & 47 & 48 & 50 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 16 & 16 & 5 & 16 & 37 & 13 \\
\hline & 51 & 52 & 53 & 54 & 56 & 57 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline & 58 & 59 & 60 & 61 & 62 & 63 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 12 & 8 \\
\hline & 64 & 65 & 66 & 67 & 68 & 69 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 7 & 31 & 1 & 8 & 5 & 10 \\
\hline & 70 & 71 & 95 & & & \\
\hline Count & 14 & 5 & 6 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================= \\
V000968a & Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wh now \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y7a(2).
IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?
R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2)]\)
```

============-=-==-=-=-=-===
V000969 Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric

```

Y7a(3).
IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?
R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
------------------------------------------------------------------------
Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.
1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 579 & 172 & 295 & 57 & 146 & 169 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline Count & 4 & 21 & 117 & 15 & 114 & 38 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 95 & & \\
\hline Count & 40 & 29 & 5 & 6 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000970 Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Y7a(4).
IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?
R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.
98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

```
=============================
V000971 Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
        Numeric
    Y7b.
    IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
    IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
        What kind of (business/industry) is that?
        R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at \(Y 17(4)\) ，at end of R employment section．
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code．
Codes 10－932 and：

995．NA
998．DK
999．RF
000．INAP， 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working ［40，50，60，70，75，80 or 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2)]\)
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V000972 Y7c．Work now／TLO：self employed MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Y7c．
IF WORKING NOW／TLO IS HIGHEST Y6（1）CATEGORY／
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER／STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING：
Do you work for someone else，are you self－employed or what？
\(\qquad\)

1．SOMEONE ELSE
3．BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5．SELF－EMPLOYED－－＞Y7e
8．DK－－＞Y7e
9．RF
0．NA；INAP， 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 579 & 1041 & 28 & 158 & ----
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V000973 Y7d．Work now／TLO：work for govt MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

Y7d．
IF WORKING NOW／TLO IS HIGHEST Y6（1）CATEGORY／
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER／STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING：
IF NOT SELF－EMPLOYED ONLY：

Are you employed by a federal，state or local government？

1．YES
5．NO

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9，0 in Y7c


Y7g.
IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working

=============================
V000977 Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y7h.
IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 597 & 108 & 1102 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V000978 } & \text { Y7j. Work now: reduction in } \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{pay} \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

Y7j.
IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time
```

for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

```

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1) ; 3,4,5,6,7\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(1)\) and not also working
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 597 & 97 & 1113
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000979 Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric
Y17(1).

```
IF \(R\) IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
\(\qquad\)
See notes Y 6 .
Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If \(R\) is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if \(R\) is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity. See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2) ; 5,8,9, N A\) in Y 9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 155 & 177 & 62 & 43 & 35 & 9 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 11 & 63 & 22 & 100 & 7 & 30 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 6 & 31 & 20 & 13 & 5 & 27 \\
\hline & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 85 & 27 & 72 & 1 & 21 & 3 \\
\hline & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 29 & 30 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 48 & 19 & 8 & 38 & 2 & 9 \\
\hline & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 & 36 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 15 & 31 & 37 & 8 & 31 & 65 \\
\hline & 37 & 38 & 39 & 40 & 41 & 42 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 31 & 44 & 38 & 9 & 1 & 10 \\
\hline & 43 & 44 & 45 & 46 & 47 & 48 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 2 & 18 & 22 & 7 & 20 & 45 \\
\hline & 49 & 50 & 51 & 52 & 53 & 54 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1 & 20 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 2 \\
\hline & 56 & 57 & 58 & 59 & 60 & 61 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 3 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline & 62 & 63 & 64 & 65 & 66 & 67 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 21 & 17 & 11 & 37 & 4 & 19 \\
\hline & 68 & 69 & 70 & 71 & 95 & \\
\hline Count & 8 & 15 & 18 & 7 & 11 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked) \\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
S WORKING NOW OR TLO/ : \\
S RETIRED:/ \\
S UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: / \\
S DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?
STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
currently working have their current occupation from
Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.
Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
==============================
V000980 Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric
Y17(2).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?
STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If $R$ is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if $R$ is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

```
1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2) ; 5,8,9, N A\) in \(Y 9\); 5,8,9,NA in Y13
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 155 & 239 & 357 & 65 & 185 & 231 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline Count & 8 & 31 & 178 & 22 & 148 & 69 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 95 & & \\
\hline Count & 60 & 41 & 7 & 11 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Y17(3).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

```

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?
STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).
This variable represents employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are not used).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a represented here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If \(R\) is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if \(R\) is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.
98.00 903,904,905 in \(\mathrm{Y} 7 \mathrm{a}(2) / \mathrm{Y} 10 \mathrm{a}(2) / \mathrm{Y} 12 \mathrm{a}(2) / \mathrm{Y} 14 \mathrm{a}(2)\)
99.99. 995,998,999 in \(Y 7 a(2) / Y 10 a(2) / Y 12 a(2) / Y 14 a(2)\)
00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2) ; 5,8,9, N A\) in Y 9 ; \(5,8,9, N A\) in Y13
=============================
V000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric
Y17(4).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?
STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.
This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If \(R\) is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if \(R\) is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
```

V000983 Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y17(5).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you
self- employed, or what?
STACKED - WORK FOR SELF
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking
homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment
in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
currently working have description of their current occupation
from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently
working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded
here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,
or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 155 | 1403 | 44 | 203 | 1 |  |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V000984 | Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y17(6).
IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED: /

```

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7d.
If \(R\) is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if \(R\) is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 360 & 312 & 1127 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Y17(7).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

```

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK
\(\qquad\)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.
This variable combines data for all Rs currently working or who have worked within the past six months:
- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job bur rather an interim position.

1-168
996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5, 8,9,NA in Y9; 5, 8,9,NA in Y13; \(5,8,9, N A\) in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA
```

V000986 Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y17(8).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:
How worried are you about losing your job/ not being
able to find a job in the near future:
A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?
STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB

```
    See notes Y6.
    Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.
    This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
    - Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working
        retired and working disabled)
    - Unemployed [Y10h],
    - Retired and not working [Y12j],
    - Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].
    Note that homemakers or students without current outside
    employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].
    1. A LOT
    3. SOMEWHAT
    5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in
        Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h

- Unemployed [Y10g],
- Retired, if \(R\) is not currently working [Y12h],
- Permanently disabled, if \(R\) has ever worked but is not currently working [Y14h].
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h are NOT included.
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, \(0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2)\);
\(1,8,9, N A\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 12 \mathrm{~g} ; 1,8,9, N A\) in Y 14 g
\(\begin{array}{cccc} & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ \text { Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- \\ & 1376 & 50 & 381\end{array}\)
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y17(11).
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED:
Have you ever done any work for pay?
STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY

```
            See notes Y4.
            Built from Y9 and Y13.
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(10,15,17,18,20,50,51,70,71,75,80,81,0\) in \(\mathrm{Y} 6(2)\)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& ------- & --- \\
Count & 1674 & 126 & 7
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V000990 & Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y25.
Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y26
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y26
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 6 & 250 & 1545 & 5 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000991a Y25a1. Who belongs to union \#1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y25a1.
    IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:
        Who is it that belongs?
        MENTION 1
        ------------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. RESPONDENT
        2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
        3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
        4. SOMEONE ELSE
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, \(0,5,8,9\) in \(Y 25\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1557 & 150 & 30 & 49 & 19 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000991b Y25a2. Who belongs to union \#2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION
Who is it that belongs?
MENTION 2
1. RESPONDENT
2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE
. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(0,5,8,9\) in Y 25 ; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1789 & 6 & 8 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union \#3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Y25a3.
IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION
Who is it that belongs?
MENTION 3
1. RESPONDENT
2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(0,5,8,9\) in \(Y 25\); no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 4 \\
Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V000992 & Y26. IWR chkpt: \# of Persons age 14+ \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y26.
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers.
In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked. For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: V000992 recoded to 5; V000993 [HH income] and V000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; V000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in V000996; V000996 recoded to 96 Inap.
1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 6 & 491 & 1310 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{V000993} & Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+ \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Y27/Y27.T.
IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:
FTF:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the. total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income.
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \(\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999\)
3. C. \$10, 000-\$14, 999
4. D. \$15, 000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50, 000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65, 000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84, 999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105, 000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124, 999
14. Q. \$125, 000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135, 000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145, 000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165, 000-\$174, 999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185, 000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195, 000-\$199, 999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 67 & 10 & 26 & 48 & 100 & 134 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 167 & 164 & 109 & 90 & 56 & 43 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 35 & 23 & 13 & 12 & 12 & 9 \\
\hline & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 96 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 7 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 11 & 497 \\
\hline & 98 & 99 & & & & \\
\hline Count & 58 & 105 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHS MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Y27x.
IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the. total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income.
TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all icome categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?] This variables combines data for Respondents from households with other members age 14 and older and data for Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \(\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999\)
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15, 000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25, 000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35, 000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95, 000-\$104, 999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114, 999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195, 000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 73 & 48 & 81 & 102 & 174 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 199 & 238 & 200 & 122 & 94 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 61 & 50 & 36 & 24 & 17 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 12 & 13 & 11 & 7 & 6 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 98 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 5 & 14 & 71 & 148 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

```
Y27a/Y27a.T.
IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:
FTF:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the
income that you yourself received in 1999, not
including any of the income received by (your spouse and
the rest of your family). Please look at this page and
tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
TELEPHONE:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself
received in 1999, not including any of the income
received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family.
Please tell me which category best describes the income
you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I
get to your income category.
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10, 000-\$14, 999
4. D. \$15, 000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50, 000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65, 000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125, 000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135, 000-\$144, 999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155, 000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184, 999
20. W. \$185, 000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195, 000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 140 & 101 & 116 & 175 & 210 & 169 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 94 & 43 & 37 & 21 & 15 & 5 \\
\hline & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 10 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 1 \\
\hline & 21 & 22 & 96 & 98 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 2 & 7 & 497 & 37 & 113 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V000996 & Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+ \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y28/Y28a.T.
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:
FTF:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of all icome categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \(\$ 4,999\)
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10, 000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50, 000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65, 000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105, 000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145, 000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164, 999
18. U. \$165, 000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185, 000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
96. INAP, 5,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 38 & 55 & 54 & 74 & 65 & 71 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 36 & 13 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline & 13 & 14 & 16 & 17 & 19 & 22 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\
\hline & 96 & 98 & 99 & & & \\
\hline Count & 1316 & 13 & 43 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Y28x.
IF NO, \(R\) IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I
get to your income category.
TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all icome categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \(\$ 5,000-\$ 9,999\)
3. C. \$10, 000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15, 000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85, 000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105, 000-\$114, 999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135, 000-\$144, 999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185, 000-\$194, 999
21. \(X\). \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 6 & 178 & 156 & 170 & 249 & 275 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 240 & 130 & 56 & 41 & 26 & 22 \\
\hline & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 6 & 11 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline & 19 & 21 & 22 & 98 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 2 & 2 & 10 & 50 & 156 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
Numeric

Y29.
(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
1. YES
5. NO --> Y29b
8. DK --> Y29b
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 6 & 1230 & 557 & 10 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V000999 Y29a. Which one (workng or middle class)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
    Numeric

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Which one?

1. MIDDLE CLASS --> Y29c
5. WORKING CLASS --> Y29e
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> Y30
8. DK --> Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 577 & 710 & 497 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(===========================\) \\
V001000 & Y29b. If had to choose class \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y29b.
IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:
Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 879 & 585 & 322 & 18 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
V001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y29e.
IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:
Would you say that you are about average working class
or that you are in the upper part of the working class?
--------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AVERAGE WORKING
5. UPPER WORKING
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1024 & 624 & 145 & 11 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

=============================
V001004 Y29f. Working class -feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y29f.
IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:
Would you say you feel pretty close to working class
people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than
to people in other classes?

```
    ----------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. CLOSE
    5. NOT CLOSE
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1024 & 508 & 250 & 24 & 1
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { V001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

Y29x.
(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
(IF YES:) Which one?
(IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?
(IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?
(IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS

Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b,Y29c,Y29e.
0. Lower class (VOLUNTEERED)
1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class - NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Upper class (VOLUNTEERED)
8. Refused to accept idea of class
9. Other, DK, RF, NA in Y29b
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 18 & 624 & 15 & 145 & 660 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 17 & 256 & 2 & 28 & 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001006a Y30(1). Racial group \#1 self-description
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Y30(1).
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP
10. BLACK
```

            20. ASIAN
            30. NATIVE AMERICAN
            40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
            50. WHITE
    60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
61. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
62. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
63. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
64. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
65. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.
66. DK
67. RF
68. NA

|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 6 | 208 | 32 | 20 | 93 |
|  | 50 | 60 | 75 | 76 | 79 |


| Count | 1393 | 9 | 4 | 4 | ---- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
```

V001006b Y30(2). Racial group \#2 self-description

```
V001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
            MD: EQ 0
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
            Numeric
    Y30(2).
    What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP
10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.
00. NA; INAP, no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 10 & 20 & 30 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1757 & 6 & 2 & 11 \\
\hline & 40 & 50 & 60 & 80 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 4 | 24 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
==============================
V001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

    Y30(3).
    What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
    MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP
    10. BLACK
    20. ASIAN
    30. NATIVE AMERICAN
    40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
    50. WHITE
    60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
    70. JEWISH
    75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
    76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
    79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
    80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
    90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.
    00. NA; INAP, no further mention
    |  | 0 | 20 | 30 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1799 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 60 | 76 | 80 |  |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001007 | Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.? |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

    Y30a.
    Were both of your parents born in this country?
    1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA

```
==============================
V001008 Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
```

Y30b(1).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:
90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 9 | 55 | 8 | 5 | 17 | 4 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Count | 5 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 |
| Count | 121 | 162 | 33 | 9 | 16 | 19 |
|  | 26 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Count | 2 | 48 | 265 | 25 | 4 | 11 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 9 | 2 | 26 | 25 | 11 | 10 |
|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 49 |
| Count | 10 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 58 | 18 |
|  | 50 | 55 | 57 | 60 | 61 | 62 |
| Count | 4 | 7 | 1 | 105 | 4 | 12 |
|  | 64 | 65 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Count | 17 | 1 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
|  | 71 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 82 |


| Count | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 |
| Count | 16 | 3 | 1 | 72 | 153 | 51 |
|  | 89 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 96 |
| Count | 2 | 124 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 82 |
|  | 97 | 98 | 99 |  |  |  |
| Count | 7 | 40 | 7 |  |  |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001009 | Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group \#2 |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:
90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
00. NA; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1411 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 59 | 12 |
|  | 21 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 29 |
| Count | 7 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 62 |
|  | 31 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 38 | 40 |
| Count | 7 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 41 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 49 |
| Count | 11 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 5 |
|  | 51 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 60 | 62 |


| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 64 | 67 | 69 | 80 | 86 | 87 |
| Count | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
|  | 88 | 93 | 96 | 97 |  |  |
| Count | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 |  |  |

```
==============================
V001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
Y30bx1.
```

INTERVIEWER CHECKPPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS

Respondents who have identified more than one group are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.
0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED

1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
3. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 124 | 1230 | 397 | 5 |

```
==============================
V001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
    MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
```

    Y30bx2.
    NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R

This is the exact number of mentions given by $R$
00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)

1. One mention
2. Two mentions

- 

6. Six mentions
7. Ethnicity question NA
8. R responded DK to ethnicity question
9. R refused ethnicity question


| Count | 124 | 1230 | 299 | 70 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 96 | 98 | 99 |
| Count | 6 | 5 | 9 | 40 | 7 |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001011 | Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 |
|  | Numeric |

Y30c.

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:
With which of these groups do you most closely identify?
CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.
Rs giving only 1 mention to $Y 22$ were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.
Note that this variable was coded according to IWR indentification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:
90. None; neither
95. Both/all of them
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; 0,9 in $Y 30 b x 1$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 204 | 55 | 8 | 5 | 19 | 4 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Count | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 |
| Count | 122 | 155 | 29 | 7 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 32 |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 39 | 240 | 24 | 4 |
|  | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 40 |


| Count | 2 | 8 | 2 | 22 | 20 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 49 |
| Count | 10 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 51 | 17 |
|  | 50 | 55 | 57 | 60 | 61 | 62 |
| Count | 4 | 7 | 2 | 101 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 64 | 65 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Count | 15 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
|  | 71 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 82 |
| Count | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 |
| Count | 16 | 3 | 1 | 71 | 150 | 51 |
|  | 89 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 95 |
| Count | 2 | 45 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 29 |
|  | 96 | 97 | 98 |  |  |  |
| Count | 88 | 12 | 10 |  |  |  |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001012 | Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Y30x/Y31.
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP?
(IF NO:)
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?
IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that $R$ had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded $4,5,8,12$ or 16 in $Y 30 b(1)$ or $Y 30 b(2)$ contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint. Note that, if $R$ gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30. See also Pre-Csheet. 37 and Pre-Csheet. 28 (V000068, V000069) and Post-Csheet. 32 and Post-Csheet. 33 (V000184d, V000184e).

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO Z1
3. DK --> SKIP TO Z1
4. RF
5. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 6 | 132 | 1659 | 7 | 3 |

```
==============================
V001013 Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    Y31a/Y31a.T.
    IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:
    FTF:
    Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which
    category best describes your Hispanic origin.
    TELEPHONE:
    Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican,
    Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American,
    or Spanish?
```

    [CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a
    MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]
    1. MEXICAN
    2. PUERTO RICAN
    3. CUBAN
    4. LATIN AMERICAN
    5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
    6. SPANISH
    7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in \(Y 30 x / Y 31\)
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1675 | 52 | 16 | 6 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |

```
==============================
V001014 Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
    Numeric
```

Z1.
Where was it that you grew up?
[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]
Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes
101-997 and:
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

```
==============================
V001015 Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
        Numeric
```

    Z2.
        FTF:
        Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
        Looking at this list, please tell me where you were
        mostly brought up?
        For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T
        1. ON A FARM
        2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
        3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
        4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000-100,000)
        5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000-500,000)
        6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
        7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
        8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
        9. DK
        0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 804 | 123 | 114 | 282 | 134 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 147 | 98 | 71 | 33 | 1 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001016 | Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Z2.T.
TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
[READ ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A CITY
4. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, FTF mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1009 | 119 | 136 | ---- |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 240 | 1 | 3 |

```
==============================
V001017 Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Z2a.T.
    IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:
        TELEPHONE:
        Were you mostly brought up in.
            [READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
            For FTF format, see Z2.
            1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
            3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
            5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
            7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500, 000
    8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1508 | 104 | 62 | 73 | 57 | 3 |

```
==============================
V001018 Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

Z2b.T.

## IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
Were you mostly brought up in.
$\qquad$
[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
2. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1567 | 172 | 62 | ---- |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| v001019 | Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Z2x.
FTF:
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were
mostly brought up?
TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
Were you mostly brought up in.
SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000-500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
9. DK
10. RF; NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 9 | 242 | 250 | 386 | 196 |


|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 220 | 270 | 128 | 105 | 1 |


| V001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community <br> MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97 <br> Numeric |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z3(1). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Not using the booklet) How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96. ALL MY LIFE <br> 97. NA <br> 98. DK <br> 99. RF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Count | 1403 | 29 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 9 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 63 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 12 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| Count | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
|  | 96 | 97 | 98 |  |  |  |
| Count | 191 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |

```
===============================
            MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
            Numeric
    Z3(2).
            (Not using the booklet)
            How long have you lived in your present
            (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?
            YEARS
            ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
            0-89 and:
            995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)
```

997. NA
998. DK
999. RF
```
V001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
    MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
    Numeric
```

Z3x.
(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?
SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).
0. 0-11 months

1. 12-23 months
2. 2 years

- 

89. 89 years
90. 'All my life'
91. NA
92. DK
93. RF

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 79 | 77 | 71 | 95 | 63 | 67 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 48 | 28 | 51 | 39 | 68 | 40 |
|  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Count | 28 | 25 | 27 | 53 | 24 | 17 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| Count | 26 | 9 | 74 | 18 | 24 | 25 |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Count | 23 | 40 | 24 | 23 | 12 | 9 |
|  | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| Count | 60 | 11 | 27 | 14 | 12 | 26 |
|  | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 15 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 20 | 14 |


|  | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 5 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
|  | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| Count | 8 | 7 | 21 | 1 | 9 | 4 |
|  | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 |
| Count | 5 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 60 | 61 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 66 |
| Count | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 67 | 68 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 |
| Count | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 74 | 75 | 76 | 79 | 81 | 82 |
| Count | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 83 | 89 | 96 | 97 |  |  |
| Count | 2 | 1 | 191 | 6 |  |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001021a | Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home |
|  | MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97 |
|  | Numeric |

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.
FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?
TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?
MONTHS

0-23 and:
96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1386 | 63 | 41 | 49 | 19 | 16 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 105 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 12 |


97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 227 | 175 | 161 | 133 | 82 | 105 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 71 | 49 | 58 | 44 | 68 | 24 |
|  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Count | 40 | 34 | 29 | 47 | 24 | 16 |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| Count | 19 | 11 | 39 | 16 | 20 | 18 |
|  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Count | 13 | 29 | 19 | 12 | 9 | 5 |
|  | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| Count | 34 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 24 |
|  | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 5 |
|  | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| Count | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 58 | 60 |
| Count | 3 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 64 | 65 | 73 | 76 | 79 | 96 |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
|  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Count | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001022 | Z5. Does R family own/rent home |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Z5.
(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

1. OWN HOUSE
2. PAY RENT
```
            7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 6 & 1205 & 531 & 56 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
v001023 & Z8. Does R have children \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Z8.
These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics.
How about you? Do you have any children?
(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)
1. YES
3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
9. RF
0. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001024 & Z8a. How many children R has under 18 \\
& MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Z8a.
IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:
How many children do you have under \(18 ?\)
0. --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.
95. NA
96. INAP, \(0,5,8,9\) in \(Z 8\)
98. DK
99. RF
```

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Count | 672 | 251 | 264 | --- | 34 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 95 |
| Count | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 482 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001025 | Z8b. R's children under 18 living $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{R}$ |
|  | MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96 |
|  | Numeric |

Z8b.
IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
How many of them live with you at least half of the time?
0. --> SKIP TO Z9

1-25.
95. NA
96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 44 | 243 | 245 | 86 | 28 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |

```
V001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
            MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 98
            Numeric
```

    Z8c(1).
    IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
    What are the ages of your children?
    MENTION 1
    1-17
    95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
    98. DK
    99. RF
    |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 80 | 43 | 39 | 36 | 21 |



95．INAP，0，95－99 in Z8a； 98 in Z8c（1）；no further mention

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 11 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Count | 7 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 11 |
|  | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 95 |
| Count | 10 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1681 |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ==
V001026d Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
            MD: EQ 95
            Numeric
    Z8c(4).
    IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER }18\mathrm{ YEARS OLD:
    What are the ages of your children?
    MENTION 4
    1-17
    95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 5 & 7 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline & 12 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 95 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1766 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
V001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
MD: EQ 95
Numeric
Numeric
Z8c(5).

```
    Z8c(5).
```

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD：
What are the ages of your children？
MENTION 5

1-17
95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 95 |  |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1795 |  |

```
============================== 
            MD: EQ 95
            Numeric
    Z8c(6).
    IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER }18\mathrm{ YEARS OLD:
        What are the ages of your children?
        MENTION 6
        1-17
        95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 11 & 16 & 95 & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 1 & 1800 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
===============================
```

    IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
    What are the ages of your children?
    MENTION 7
    1-17
    95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention
        \(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 5 & 9 & 95\end{array}\)
    $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Count } & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1804\end{array}$

```
==============================
V001026h Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
            MD: EQ 95
            Numeric
    Z8c(8).
    IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
            What are the ages of your children?
            MENTION 8
1-17
95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 10 & 95 \\
Count & ------ \\
& 1 & 1806
\end{tabular}
```

```
V001027 Z9. How many miles R drives per day
```

V001027 Z9. How many miles R drives per day
MD1: EQ 998, MD2: GE 999
MD1: EQ 998, MD2: GE 999
Numeric

```
            Numeric
```

Z9.
(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a typical day?


0-996
997. 997 and more
998. DK
999. NA
=============================
V001028 ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
ZZ0.
IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:
ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100\% ACCURATE, PLEASE GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND EXPLAIN]

```
            1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
            2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
            3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
            4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
            0. NA; INAP, education data not missing
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ------ \\
\hline 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001029 ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
V001029 ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
Numeric
Numeric
ZZ1.
R's sex is:
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------- |  |
|  | 790 | 1017 |

==============================
Numeric
ZZ2.
FTF:
R's race is:
1. WHITE
2. BLACK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 804 | 863 | 137 | 1 |  |

==============================
V001031a ZZ3(1). FTF: \#1 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
ZZ3(1).

```

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:
MENTION 1
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
0. NONE
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 590 & 125 & 71 & 124 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 33 & 60 & 804 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001031b ZZ3(2). FTF: \#2 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    ZZ3(2).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:
MENTION 2
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 4 & 26 & 42 & 11 & 16 & 1708
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001031c & ZZ3(3). FTF: \#3 others present during IW \\
& MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

ZZ3(3).
FTF:

Others present at time of interview:
MENTION 3
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 1 & 12 & 3 & 10 & 1780 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001031d ZZ3(4). FTF: \#4 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
ZZ3(4).
FTF:
Others present at time of interview:
MENTION 4

```
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 2 & 1804 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001031e ZZ3(5). FTF: \#5 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    ZZ3(5).
        FTF:
        Others present at time of interview:
        MENTION 5
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
```

        2. OLDER CHILDREN
        3. SPOUSE
        4. OTHER RELATIVES
        5. OTHER ADULTS
        8. DK
        9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention
            9
        Count 1807
    ```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
V001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
    MD: EQ 0
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    Numeric
    ZZ4.
        R's cooperation was:
        1. VERY GOOD
        2. GOOD
        3. FAIR
        4. POOR
        5. VERY POOR
        0. NA
```



```
==============================
V001033 ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
```

    ZZ5.
    R's general level of information about politics and
public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA

```
==============================
V001034 ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ6.
R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 23 | 404 | 601 | 671 | 98 | 0 |

```
==============================
V001035 ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ7.
How suspicious did $R$ seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
3. VERY SUSPICIOUS
4. NA

```
==============================
V001036 ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ8.
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 7 | 515 | 604 | 537 | 124 | 20 |

```
==============================
V001037 ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
```

    ZZ9.
            How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?
            1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ10
            3. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ9a
            5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ9a
            0. NA
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 8 | 1584 | 185 | 30 |

```
==============================
V001038 ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part(blanked)
            Character
```

    ZZ9a.
    IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:
        Were there any particular parts of the interview for
        which you doubted R's sincerity?
        [IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
        This variable is blanked.
    ==============================
V001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
ZZ10.
FTF:
Do you feel $R$ reported income accurately?
1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's
0. NA; INAP, phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 44 | 1550 | 26 | 5 | 182 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001040 | ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97 |
|  | Numeric |

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOR REPORT CORRECTLY:
If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10, 000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15, 000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35, 000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65, 000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75, 000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115, 000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134, 999
15. R. \$135, 000-\$144, 999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175, 000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195, 000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over
23. DK
24. Y. Impossible to estimate
25. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1701 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| Count | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 16 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 98 |

Count

$4 \quad 27$

```
==============================
V001041a ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    ZZ11(1).
    R's reaction to interview:
    MENTION 1
    10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
14. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
15. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
16. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
17. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
18. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
19. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
20. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
21. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
22. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
23. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
24. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
25. NA

|  | 0 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 8 | 25 | 79 | 7 | 11 | 15 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 20 | 48 | 78 | 184 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 45 | 50 | 70 | 80 |  |  |
| Count | 20 | 4 | 1050 | 247 |  |  |

```
==============================- R RZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    ZZ11(2).
    R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2
$\qquad$
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, $R$ MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

|  | 0 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1432 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 40 |
| Count | 3 | 16 | 28 | 66 | 6 |
|  | 41 | 45 | 50 | 70 | 80 |
| Count | 4 | 16 | 2 | 157 | 43 |

=============================
V001041c ZZ11(3). Mention $3-\mathrm{R}$ reaction to IW
$\quad \mathrm{MD}: \mathrm{EQ} 0$ MD: EQ 0
Numeric
ZZ11 (3).
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3
$\qquad$
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

|  | 0 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1686 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 40 |
| Count | 2 | 5 | 10 | 22 | 6 |
|  | 41 | 45 | 50 | 70 | 80 |
| Count | 3 | 4 | 2 | 38 | 16 |


| $=============================~$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001041d | ZZ11(4). Mention $4-\mathrm{R}$ reaction to IW |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

ZZ11 (4).
R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 4
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,

OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

|  | 0 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1765 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 30 | 31 | 40 | 41 | 45 |
| Count | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 50 | 70 | 80 |  |  |
| Count | 2 | 14 | 4 |  |  |

==============================
V001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11 (5).
R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 5
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention


MENTION 7

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
        MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
        EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS
    SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
        KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 40 & 45 & 80 \\
\hline Count & 1804 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001041h & ZZ11(8). Mention \(8-R\) reaction to IW \\
& MD: EQ 0 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

ZZ11 (8).
R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 8
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, $R$ MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,

OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

|  | 0 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ |  |
| 1806 | 1 |  |

```
==============================
V001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

        ZZ11 (9).
        R's reaction to interview:
        MENTION 9
        10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
        11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
        12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
        13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
        15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
        MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
        20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
        EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
        22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, \(R\) WAS
        SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
    30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
        KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
    31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
        POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
        OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
        40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
        41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
        45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
        50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
        70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
        COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
    80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
    00. NA; no further mention
    |  | 0 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1806 | 1 |

=============================
V001042 Pre.Rand.A4/A5. Order-Gore, GWB lik/dis

```
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.A4/A5.
            Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes
            1. Gore first
            2. GW Bush first
            9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 915 & 887 & 5
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001043 & Pre.Rand.C1b. Pos-Gore in therms \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    Pre-Rand.C1b.
        Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers
        C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
    Clinton's name was always first.
    Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 446 & 478 & 455 & 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001044 & Pre.Rand.C1c. Pos-GW Bush in therms \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    Pre-Rand.C1c.
        Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers
        C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
        Clinton's name was always first.
        Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
            9. NA
```



```
==============================
V001045 Pre.Rand.C1d. Pos-Buchanan in therms
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.C1d.
    Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers
    C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
    Clinton's name was always first.
    Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 466 & 414 & 474 & 453 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001046 Pre.Rand.C1e. Pos-Nader in thermoms
        MD: EQ 9
        Numeric
    Pre-Rand.C1e.
        Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers
        C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
        Clinton's name was always first.
        Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
        9. NA
```



```
==============================
V001047 Pre.Rand.C1f/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.C1f/g.
        Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers
        C1f-C1g / C1f.T-C1g.T
        1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
        2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name
```

9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 901 | 906 |

```
==============================
V001048 Pre.Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Liebermn ther
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.C1h/k.
        Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers
        C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T
```

1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name
3. NA

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 901 | 906 |

```
==============================
V001049 Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Rand.C2.
        Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers
        C2a-C2c
        1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
        2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
        3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
        4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
        5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
        6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd
        9. NA
    
=============================
V001050 Pre.Rand.D1/D3. Order-parties in lik/dis
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.D1/D3.

Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes' D1-D2/D3-D4

1. Democratic party first (D1-D2), Republican party 2nd (D3-D4)
2. Republican Party first (D1-D2), Democratic party 2nd (D3-D4)
3. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 897 | 905 | 5 |


| V001051 Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn <br> MD: EQ 9 <br> Numeric <br> Pre-Rand.F1-F3. <br> Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series F1, F2, F3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd <br> 2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd <br> 3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd <br> 4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd <br> 5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd <br> 6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd <br> 9. NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Count } & 284 & 316 & 277 & 326\end{array}$ |
|  5 6 9 <br> Count ---- ---- --- <br>  285 290 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```V001052 Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects MD: EQ 9 Numeric``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Rand.F1. <br> Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects F1a-F1d |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Codes 1-4 and: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 424 & 443 & 467\end{array}$ |

2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd
7. NA

Pre-Rand.F1.
Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

```
==============================
V001053 Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Rand.F1b.
    Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects F1a-F1d
    Codes 1-4 and:
    9. NA
    |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 479 | 479 | 428 | 421 |

V001054 Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.F1c.
Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects F1a-F1d
Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 450 | 450 | 459 | 448 |

=============================
V001055 Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.F1d.
Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects F1a-F1d
Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 454 | 435 | 453 | 465 |

```
V001056 Pre.Rand.F2a. Pos-angry -GW Bush affects
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.F2a.
    Pre: Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
    Codes 1-4 and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 434 & 442 & 478 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001057 Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
V001057 Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Rand.F2b.
Pre: Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 436 | 457 | 456 | 458 |

==============================
V001058 Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.F2c.
Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 454 | 454 | 460 | ---- |

==============================
V001059 Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 483 & 454 & 413 & 457
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001060 Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.F3a.
Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d
Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 463 | 413 | 461 | 446 | 24 |

```
```

==============================
V001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand.F3b.
        Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d
        Codes 1-4 and:
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 464 & 464 & 441 & 414 & 24
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V001062 & Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.F3c.
```

Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

```

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 422 & 480 & 437 & 444 & 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001063 Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand.F3d.
        Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d
        Codes 1-4 and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 434 & 426 & 444 & 479 & ----24
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V001064 } & \text { Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore, GWB, Buch lib/con } \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 9\end{array}\)
    Pre-Rand.G3-G5.
    Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre
    Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5 / G3.T-G5.T
    Clinton placement was always first.
    1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
    2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
    3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
    4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
    5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
    6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 87 & 91 & 69 & 69 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 67 & 85 & 1339 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001065 Pre.Rand.G8-G10.E. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/c
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.
Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre
Liberal-Conservative placements G8.E-G10.E
Clinton placement was always first.
1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 166 | 138 | 163 | 165 |


|  | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 156 | 51 | 68 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001066 Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits
V001066 Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits
            MD: EQ 9
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.K2-K4.
    Pre-Rand.K2-K4.
    Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series
    Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series
    K2,K3,K4
```

    K2,K3,K4
    ```
    --------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 138 & 122 & 134 \\
& & 5 & 6
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(==============================\) \\
V001067 & Pre.Rand.K2a. Order-moral -Gore traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    Pre-Rand.K2a.
    Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g
    Codes 1-7 and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 257 & 291 & 237 & 274 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 255 & 249 & 244 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V001068 & Pre.Rand.K2b. Order-cares -Gore traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    Pre-Rand.K2b.
        Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 281 & 231 & 256 & 257 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 246 & 300 & 236 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001069 & Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:
```

9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 254 | 249 | 261 | 269 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Count | 258 | 243 | 273 |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001070 | Pre.Rand.K2d. Order-leader -Gore traits |
|  | MD: EQ 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-Rand.K2d.
Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 239 | 238 | 260 | 266 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Count | 271 | 264 | 269 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
V001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
    MD: EQ 9
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.K2e.
        Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 271 & 248 & 254 & 232 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 274 & 269 & 259 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001072 Pre.Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits
    MD: EQ 9
```

Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand.K2f.
    Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g
    Codes 1-7 and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 246 & 285 & 256 & 249 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 260 & 244 & 267 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001073 & Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

    Pre-Rand.K2g.
    Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g
    Codes 1-7 and:
    9. NA
    |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 260 | 264 | 283 | 259 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Count | 244 | 238 | 259 |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001074 | Pre.Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GWB traits |
|  | MD: EQ 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-Rand.K3a.
Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 275 | 219 | 251 | 267 |

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 272 & 270 & 253 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001075 & Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Pre-Rand.K3b.
Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g
```

$\qquad$

```
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 239 & 267 & 281 & 248 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
Count & ---- & ----1 & ---- & \\
& 236 & 262 & 274 &
\end{tabular}
```



```
Pre-Rand.K3c.
Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 249 & 277 & 264 & 274 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 264 & 234 & 245 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
============================== 
```

==============================
MD: EQ 9
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Rand.K3d.
Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

```

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ----- & ---- & ---- \\
& 277 & 290 & 245 & 248 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 229 & 267 & 251 &
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V001079 & Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.K3f.
Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 258 & 245 & 233 & 299 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & \\
\hline Count & 257 & 262 & 253 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001080 Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.K3g.
Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 240 | 250 | 266 | 269 |


| Count | 286 | 231 | 265 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

==============================
V001081 Pre.Rand.K4a. Ord-moral -Buchanan traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.K4a.
Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 110 | 100 | 112 | 116 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 7 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001082 | Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits |
|  | MD: EQ 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Pre-Rand.K4b.
Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

```

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 97 & 130 & 100 & 99 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 124 & 101 & 119 & 1037 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001083 \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}.

Pre-Rand.K4c.
Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 131 & 100 & 119 & 102 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 112 & 116 & 90 & 1037 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
===ニ===============ー========== \\
V001084 & Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.K4d.
Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped
\(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 109 & 108 & 120 & 124 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 103 & 105 & 101 & 1037
\end{tabular}

    Pre-Rand.K4e.
        Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
        Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
        (09/28/00).
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA; Question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 115 & 116 & 106 & 97 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 115 & 111 & 110 & 1037 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001086 & Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.K4f.
Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 111 & 93 & 125 & 129 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 113 & 101 & 98 & 1037 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

=============================- (
Pre-Rand.K4g.
Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 98 | 122 | 88 | 103 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| Count | 99 | 125 | 135 | 1037 |

==============================
V001088 Pre.Rand.L1c/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending
placements L1c-L1d / L1c-L1d.T
Clinton's name was always first.
1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |

==============================
V001089 Pre.Rand.L1e/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.
Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending
placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T

```
```

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
3. NA

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 880 | 927 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
V001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
    MD: EQ 9
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.
        Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending
        placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T
        1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
        2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 \\
\hline Count & 910 & 897 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001091 Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp
    MD: EQ 9
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.
        Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending
        placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T
        1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
        2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 2 \\
Count & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V001092 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore, GWB guarjob MD: EQ 9 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.
```

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T
$\qquad$

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
3. NA

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- |
|  | 886 | 921 |

```
==============================
V001093 Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.
        Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs
        placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T
        1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
        2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
        9. NA
            C
==============================
V001094 Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks
            MD: EQ 9
            Numeric
    Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.
        Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks
        placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T
        Clinton's name was always first.
        1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
        2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 2 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 880 & 927
\end{tabular}
```

```
V001095 Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks
    MD: EQ 9
        Numeric
    Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.
    Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks
    placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T
    Clinton's name was always first.
    1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
    2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 1 & 2 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 927 & 880
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001096 Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
V001096 Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
MD: EQ 9
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7b.
Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 165 | 164 | 136 | 141 | 161 | 139 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 160 | 130 | 135 | 156 | 163 | 157 |

==============================
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7c.
Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:

```
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 135 & 161 & 154 & 163 & 144 & 167 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 142 & 154 & 160 & 134 & 146 & 147 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001098 & Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Pre-Rand.L7d.
Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 163 & 144 & 164 & 158 & 137 & 156 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 148 & 155 & 158 & 133 & 146 & 145 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

==============================
V001100 Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7f.
Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 181 | 133 | 143 | 150 | 168 | 137 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 145 | 137 | 165 | 166 | 143 | 139 |

```

```

    Pre-Rand.L7g.
    Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
    'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
    Codes 2-13 and:
    9. NA
    |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 156 | 141 | 156 | 131 | 157 | 149 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Count | 141 | 139 | 127 | 170 | 150 | 190 |


| $============================$ |
| :--- |
| V001102 |
| Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend |
| MD: EQ 9 |
| Numeric |

Pre-Rand.L7h.
$\quad$ Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

```
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 133 & 154 & 164 & 141 & 143 & 169 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 151 & 147 & 151 & 149 & 161 & 144 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{=============================}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { V001103 } & \text { Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend } \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 9\end{array}\)
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7j.
Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 143 & 144 & 148 & 146 & 142 & 153 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 186 & 162 & 152 & 160 & 141 & 130 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 141 & 137 & 154 & 150 & 148 & 163 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 131 & 163 & 172 & 152 & 145 & 151 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001105 Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand.L7m.
        Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spendinging items L7b-L7p
        'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
        Codes 2-13 and:
        9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 164 & 146 & 171 & 141 & 155 & 137 \\
& & & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V001106 } & \text { Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend } \\ & \text { MD: EQ } 9 \\ & \text { Numeric }\end{array}\)
    Pre-Rand.L7n.
    Order of Border Security amng Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
    'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
    Codes 2-13 and:
    9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 154 & 144 & 165 & 142 & 147 & 140 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 153 & 153 & 150 & 139 & 180 & 140 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001107 Pre.Rand.L7p. Order-aid blacks-fed spend
    MD: EQ 9

Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7p.
Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 152 & 173 & 119 & 160 & 157 & 137 \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\hline Count & 168 & 147 & 133 & 161 & 144 & 156 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 921 & 883 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
============================
V001109 \(\quad\) Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 920 & 884 & 3\end{array}\)
```

==============================
V001110 Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction
placement M6b-M6c
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

|  | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 926 | 878 |  |

==============================
Numeric
Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role
placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

```

```

==============================
V001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental
regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

```
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 905 & 899 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001113 Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affcts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```

Pre-Rand. Q14a.
Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 891 & 232 & 245 & 205 & 234
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affcts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```

Pre-Rand. Q14b.
Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 891 & 220 & 215 & 227 & 254
\end{tabular}
\(==========================\)
V001115 \begin{tabular}{l} 
Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affcts \\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}
Pre-Rand. Q14c.
Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 891 & 228 & 240 & 234 & 214 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affcts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```

Pre-Rand.Q14d.
Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 891 & 236 & 216 & 250 & 214
\end{tabular}
```

================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand. Q15a.
    Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
    Codes 1-7 and:
    9. NA
    0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 891 & 136 & 142 & 125 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 120 & 132 & 123 & 138 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Pre-Rand. Q15b.
        Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 891 & 129 & 131 & 151 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 120 & 131 & 121 & 133 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15c.
Pre: Order of Knowledgeable amng Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 134 | 121 | 112 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Count | 140 | 137 | 143 | 129 |

```
```

V001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits

```
V001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Pre-Rand.Q15d.
        Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
        ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA
        0. Question administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 891 & 133 & 132 & 130 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 148 | 129 | 113 | 131 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
==============================
V001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
                Numeric
```

    Pre-Rand. Q15e.
        Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA
        0. Question administered in Post
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 122 | 121 | 136 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Count | 134 | 107 | 158 | 138 |

```
V001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Rand.Q15f.
        Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
        Codes 1-7 and:
        9. NA
        0. Question administered in Post
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 891 | 133 | 124 | 124 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |

```
==============================
V001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
```

    Pre-Rand.Q15g.
        Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
    Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 891 | 129 | 145 | 138 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Count | 117 | 133 | 140 | 114 |

```
==============================
V001201 A1. R interest in campaigns
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A1.
Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
2. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
3. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 643 | 687 | 225 |

=============================
V001202 A2. R watched programs about campaign MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

A2.
Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?
$\qquad$

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO A3
3. DK --> SKIP TO A3
4. RF --> SKIP TO A3
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 1287 | 268 |

```
==============================
V001203 A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A2a.
IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

1. A GOOD MANY
2. SEVERAL
3. JUST ONE OR TWO
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

| Count | 520 | 387 | 565 | 333 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

```
==============================
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A3.
Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO A4
3. DK $-->$ SKIP TO A4
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 1258 | 97 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001205 | A3a. How often does $R$ discuss politics |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 |
|  | Numeric |

A3а.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:
How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

1-7
96. NONE (NO DAYS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 549 | 63 | 149 | 145 | 97 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 96 | 98 |
| Count | 110 | 23 | 648 | 21 | 2 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001206 | A4. Does $R$ recall names of House candidates |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

A4.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
--------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES, REMEMBER
2. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
3. DK --> SKIP TO B1
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 256 | 673 | 877 | 1 |

=============================
V001207 A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
A4a1.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for

```
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
district?
Who were they?
1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
    no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\
\hline Count & 1134 & 12 & 25 & 164 & 182 \\
\hline & 35 & 36 & 39 & 97 & 98 \\
\hline Count & 17 & 12 & 1 & 250 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001208 A4b1. Hse party recall 1
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

A4b1．

IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：
IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES：
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who ran in the November election from this district？
Who were they？
What is＜NAME＇S＞party？
1ST RECALLED NAME－RECALLED PARTY

1．DEMOCRAT
5．REPUBLICAN
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）

```
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
        no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1134 & 322 & 319 & 4 & 26 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001209 A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    A4(1)x1.
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
    Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
        Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
        Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
        district?
        Who were they?
        1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE
        -------------------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. Democrat
        5. Republican
        7. Other
        0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 1394 & 193 & 219 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001210 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
    A4(2)x2.
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
        Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
        Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
        Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
        district?
        Who were they?
        What is <NAME'S> party?
        1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
```

Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention

0 . Inap, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1134 | 382 | 21 | 10 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Count | 240 | 10 | 2 | 8 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001211 | A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 |
|  | Numeric |

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes $30,38,39$ used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; $R$ says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

|  | 0 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1469 | 17 | 9 | 16 | 27 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 39 | 97 | 98 |
| Count | 43 | 30 | 1 | 191 | 4 |

```
==============================
V001212 A4b2. Hse party recall 2
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    A4b2.
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
    Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
    Congress - that is, for the House of Representatives in
    Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
    district?
    Who were they?
    What is <NAME'S> party?
    2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
    
1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; $R$ says he/she does not recall names;
Washington D.C.; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1469 | 154 | 166 | 12 | 5 |  |

```
==============================
V001213 A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    A4(2) \(\times 1\).
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
    ```
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
district?
Who were they?
2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE
```

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Other
4. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1664 | 76 | 66 | 1 |

```
V001214 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall }
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
    Numeric
    A4(2)\times2.
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
```

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?
2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
9. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1469 | 129 | 14 | 185 | 6 | 4 |

```
==============================
V001215 A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

A4a3．
IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：
IF R SAYS HE／SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES：
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress－－that is，for the House of Representatives in Washington－－who ran in the November election from this district？
Who were they？
3RD RECALLED NAME－CANDIDATE CODE
$\qquad$
［PROBE：ANY OTHERS？］
＊＊codes 30，38，39 used only if name appears on candidate list（if name not on candidate list，code 97 is used）

30．Third party or independent House candidate－－nonincumbent＊＊
31．Democratic candidate in open House race
32．Republican candidate in open House race
33．Democratic House incumbent
34．Republican House incumbent
35．Democratic House challenger
36．Republican House challenger
38．Third party or independent House candidate－－2nd nonincumbent＊＊
39．Third party or independent House candidate－－incumbent
97．Name not on candidate list for this race
98．DK
00．NA；Inap，no Post interview；$R$ says he／she does not recall names； Washington D．C．；no further mention

|  | 0 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | -------- | ----- |  |
|  | 1755 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 97 | 98 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 1 | 1 | 41 | 3 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001216 A4b3．Hse party recall 3 MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

A4b3．
IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?
3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY


1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (SPECIFY)
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview; $R$ says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1755 | 14 | 24 | 9 | 3 | 2 |

=============================
V001217 A4(3) x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A4(3) $\times 1$.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Other
4. INAP, $00,97,98$ in $A 4 a 3$

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1799 | 3 | 5 |


| ===========ー================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001218 | A4(3) x2. Accuracy of recall 3 |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

A4(3) $\times 2$.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
9. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1755 | 7 | 1 | 37 | 4 |  |

```
==============================
V001219 B1. Party talked to R about campaign
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B1.
As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate.
Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?
$\qquad$

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO B1b
3. DK --> SKIP TO B1b
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 587 | 966 | 2 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001220 | B1a. Party that spoke to R about campgn |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

B1a.
IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:
Which party was that?

1. DEMOCRATS
2. REPUBLICANS
3. BOTH
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1220 | 153 | 199 | 199 |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001221 | B1b. Anyone else talk to $R$ about cands |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

B1b.
Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 175 | 1378 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001222 B1c. Party send R mail about campaign
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B1c.
Did anyone from one of the political parties send you mail about the campaign this year?

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO B1D
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
V001223 B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

            Numeric
    B1c1.
    IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:
        Which party was that?
        1. DEMOCRATS
        5. REPUBLICANS
        6. BOTH
        7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1C
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 804 | 158 | 197 | 560 | 28 | 60 |

============================
V001224 B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B1d.
Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific

```
candidates in this last election?
```

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 400 | 1138 | 17 |

```
==============================
V001225 B2. Did R try to influence vote of others
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

B2.
We would like to find out about some of the things
people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 546 | 1009 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V001226 } & \text { B3. Did } R \text { display button/sticker/sign } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3.
Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 156 | 1398 | ---- |

```
==============================
V001227 B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B4.
Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 85 | 1470 |


| ====ニ===== | $===================$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001228 | B5. Did R do any other campaign work |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

B5.
Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 43 | 1512 |

$\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V001229 } & \text { B6. Did } \mathrm{R} \text { contribute to candidate } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$ Numeric

B6.
During an election year people are often asked to make a

```
contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to
```

an individual candidate running for public office?

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO B7
3. DK --> SKIP TO B7
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 103 | 1450 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001230 B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    B6a.
    IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:
        Which party did that candidate belong to?
        1. DEMOCRATS
        3. REPUBLICANS
        5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
        6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1704 | 33 | 54 | 9 | 5 | 2 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V001231 } & \text { B7. Did } R \text { give money to party } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$
Numeric

B7.
Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP TO B8
3. DK --> SKIP TO B8
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 99 | 1456 |

```
==============================
V001232 B7a. Party - R contribution
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
B7a.
IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:
To which party did you give money?
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7
```



|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1708 | 34 | 51 | 8 |  |

```
V001233 B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

B8 .
Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview


| ====ニ========================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001234 | B9. Did anyone talk to $R$ about registering or voting |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

B9.

```
During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you
about registering to vote or getting out to vote?
--------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES, SOMEONE DID
5. NO, NO ONE DID
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 672 & 882 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001235 B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R
V001235 B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
B10.
Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
2. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 252 | 1300 |  |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001236 | B11. Clergy provide election information |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

B11.
Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?
[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

1. YES
2. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
3. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL] --> C1
4. DK --> C1
```

9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 191 & 1125 & 218 & 19 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V001237 B12．Did clergy encourage \(R\) to vote for cand or prty MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

B12．
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON－ATTENDANCE IN B11：
Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate or party？


1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP TO C1
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO C1
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，7，8，9， 0 in B11
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 491 & 84 & 1228 & 4
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001238 B12a1．Clergy endorsement 1
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 98
Numeric
B12a1．
IF CLERGY／CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE／PARTY：
Which candidate？
MENTION 1 －CANDIDATE CODE
［IF R SAYS CAN＇T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME，PROBE：WHICH
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE？ANY OTHERS？］
PRESIDENT：
01．Democratic Presidential candidate（2000：Gore）
02．Republican Presidential candidate（2000：GW Bush）
03．Independent／3rd party Presidential candidate（2000：Buchanan，Nader）
05．Anti－Democratic Presidential candidate（2000：Anti－Gore）
06．Anti－Republican Presidential candidate（2000：Anti－Bush）
SENATE：
11．Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12．Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline Count & 1723 & 20 & 30 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 33 & 71 & 81 & 82 & 90 & 91 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline & 94 & 95 & 98 & 99 & & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 2 & 4 & 4 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001239 B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

```
    B12a2.
    IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:
    Which candidate?
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]
PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan, Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate-any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 12 & 34 & 74 \\
\hline Count & 1795 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 81 & 90 & 96 & 97 & \\
\hline Count & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
v001240 & B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3 \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

B12a3.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?
MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]
PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan, Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate-any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate-any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate-any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12a; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 82 \\
Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001241 & C1. Did R vote \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

C1.
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted?
[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]
1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).
2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.
3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.
4. I AM SURE I VOTED --> SKIP TO C3
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 185 & 103 & 84 & 1182 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001242 C2. Was R registered
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C2.
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
Were you registered to vote in this election?
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO C9(2)
6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE --> SKIP TO C9(2)
8. DK --> SKIP TO C9(2)
9. RF --> SKIP TO C9(2)
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

```

```

V001243 C3. Registered in county of IW?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C3.
IF R IS REGISTERED/
IF R VOTED:
Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County.
Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? /
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

```
    1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY) --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4,
        /WASHINGTON D.C ELSE GO TO C9(1))
    5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY --> SKIP TO C3a
    8. DK --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
    9. RF --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
    0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 471 & 1269 & 67
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001244 & C3a1. State of registration \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

C3a1.
IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:
In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
ICPSR state code
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 5 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 21 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1741 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline & 22 & 23 & 25 & 40 & 41 & 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 49 | 54 | 62 |
| Count | 7 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 65 | 67 | 71 | 72 | 73 |  |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)
V001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)
            Character
            Character
    C3a2.
    IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:
            In what county and state are you registered?
            COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)
            This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality
            of the respondent.
==============================
V001245 C4. Did R vote on election day or before
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    C4.
    IF R VOTED:
            Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th
            2000, or did you vote at some time before this?
            -----------------------------------------------------------------------
            1. ELECTION DAY
                --> SKIP TO C5
            5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS
                        --> C4a
            8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
            9. RF --> SKIP TO C5
            0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ------- & ---- \\
& 625 & 1000 & 182
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001246 & C4a. How long before election did \(R\) vote \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
c4a.
```


## IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7 th did you vote?
[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

1. Less than one week, 1-6 days
2. One week; 7 days
3. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
4. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
5. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
6. One month; 29-31 days
7. More than one month; 32-60 days
8. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
9. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
10. More than a few days -- NFS
11. More than a few weeks --NFS
12. Other
13. DK; not sure
14. RF
15. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1626 | 58 | 41 | 53 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 6 | 11 | 12 | 91 | 98 |  |
| Count | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 1 |  |

```
V001247 C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    c4b.
    IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:
    Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?
    1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5
    5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5
    7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1625 | 61 | 102 | 19 |

==============================
V001248 C5. Did R vote for President?

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Numeric

C5.
IF R VOTED:
How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT
2. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9
3. DK --> SKIP T0 C9
4. RF --> SKIP TO C9
5. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

Count

| 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------- | --- |  |
| 625 | 1178 | 4 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001249 C6. R vote cast for President |  |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

C6.
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
Who did you vote for?

1. AL GORE
--> SKIP TO C6a
2. GEORGE W. BUSH --> SKIP TO C6a
3. PAT BUCHANAN --> SKIP TO C6a
4. RALPH NADER --> SKIP TO C6a
5. HOWARD PHILLIPS - CONSTITUTION PARTY CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
6. HARRY BROWN - LIBERTARIAN CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
7. R REPORTS VOTING FOR SELF --> SKIP TO C6a
8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
10. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 629 | 590 | 530 | 3 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 33 | 9 | 3 | 10 |


| $============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001250 | C6a. How strong $R$ support Pres cand |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

c6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:
Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN:
<candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate)
was strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
2. NOT STRONG
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 642 | 876 | 286 | ---- |

## ==============================

V001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

C7.
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:
How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?
[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?]

1. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/ Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
2. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"
3. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few months";"2-3 months"
4. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
5. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
6. Five to seven weeks before the election
7. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
8. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
9. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
10. On election day
11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
12. After the vice-presidential debate
13. Other
14. DK
15. RF
16. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 645 | 147 | 257 | 87 | 21 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
==============================
V001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
    C8(1).
    IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:
        If you could have cast more than one vote in the
        Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
        other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
        voted for?
```

        MENTION 1
        1. AL GORE
        3. GEORGE W BUSH
        5. PAT BUCHANAN
        6. RALPH NADER
        7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
        8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
        98. DK
        99. RF
        00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 642 | 33 | 29 | 45 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 98 |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 159 | 105 | 792 | 2 |


| $==========$ | $===================$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001252b | C8(2). If $R$ cld have cast more than one vote $-2 n d$ |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 |
|  | Numeric |

```
C8(2).
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:
    If you could have cast more than one vote in the
    Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
    other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
    voted for?
    MENTION 2
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
& Count & --- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1798 & 4 & 2 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd
V001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Numeric
C8(3).
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:
If you could have cast more than one vote in the
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
voted for?
MENTION 3
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention
0
Count 1807

```
```

==============================
V001253a C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
C9(1).
CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERIVEW
1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
4. WASHINGTON DC
9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 1130 | 48 | 73 | 4 |

==============================
V001253b C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
C9(2).
IF R VOTED:

```
    CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES
This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference,
the order in which names appeared on the FTF
ballot card or the order in which names were read in
Telephone administration.
NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent
candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in
the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the
candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate
Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name,
and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a
Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01
and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05).
All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the
FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear
last in order.
NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in
response codes/question wording whenever they have been
preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for
candidates who have been identified as especially viable.
See note concerning randomization failure in V000262.
1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 629 & 406 & 772
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001254 C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    c9a/C11a.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]
1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 677 & 952 & 154 & 24
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001255 C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    c9b(1)/C11bx1.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where \(R\) volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where \(R\) insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.
CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where \(R\) resided in county of interview, \(R\) did not select one of the names from the district of the interview location but provided a name which was later identified as belonging to another congressional district. These cases have been coded 6 in \(V 001255\) but the candidate code and party identification according to the other district are coded in V001256 and V001257. In Pre-Sample. 7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample. 8 (V000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside CD of interview.
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 856 & 454 & 1 & 425 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 8 & 36 & 21 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001256 C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.
IF R VOTED:
```

IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

```

FTF:
Who did you vote for?
TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
\(\qquad\)
** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see c9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 856 & 40 & 45 & 291 & 296 & 128 \\
& 36 & 39 & 97 & 98 & 99 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 87 & 1 & 36 & 21 & 6 &
\end{tabular}
```

V001257
C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C9b(3)/C11bx.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
FTF:
Who did you vote for?
TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
4. Name not on candidate list for this race
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 856 | 459 | 428 | 1 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 36 | 21 | 6 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
V001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    C10a/C12a.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
```

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1759 | 32 | 16 |

```
================================ 
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
    C10b1/C12b1.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
    Who did you vote for?
    Which party was that?
    OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
```

    If \(R\) gave the name of a candidate from within his district
    of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes
    \(30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39)\).
    VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate
DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger
ALL DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat--no name given
92. Republican--no name given
97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or
candidate or CD not identified)
98. DK

99．RF
00．NA；INAP，5，7，8，9， 0 in C10a／C12a．T

|  | 0 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1775 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 82 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 91 |
| Count | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 92 | 97 | 98 |  |  |
| Count | 6 | 2 | 6 |  |  |

```
=ニ=ニニ=ニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニ=
V001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    C10b2/C12b2.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
        Who did you vote for?
        Which party was that?
        OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
```

    ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1．DEMOCRAT
2．REPUBLICAN
3．OTHER（third party or independent candidate）
7．Name not on candidate list for this race
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，7，8，9， 0 in C10a／C12a．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1775 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 6 |

```
V001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    C10c/C12c.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
```

Which party was that?
PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER: SPECIFY
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1775 | 12 | 19 | ---- |

```
==============================
V001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
    C10\times1/C12\times1.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
```

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.
** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger

ALL OUT OUT-OF-COUNTY DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat--no name given
92. Republican--no name given
97. Name not on candidate list
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA in C10a/C12a.T

|  | 0 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 824 | 40 | 47 | 291 | 300 | 128 |
|  | 36 | 39 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 |
| Count | 88 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 86 | 91 | 92 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| Count | 1 | 5 | 6 | 38 | 27 | 6 |

```
==============================
V001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    C10x2/C12\times2.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
    IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
    IN-COUNTY FTF:
    Who did you vote for?
    OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
    Who did you vote for?
    Which party was that?
    IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
    Did you vote for
    (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
```

```
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
Built from C10x1/C12x1.T
1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 824 & 467 & 444 & 1 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 38 & 27 & 6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
V001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
MD: EQ 0
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Numeric
C13(1).
C13(1).
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED:
SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW
SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW
For identification of states without races, see the Senate type
For identification of states without races, see the Senate type
race variable (V000204).
race variable (V000204).
1. Race in state of interview
1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of inerview
2. No race in state of inerview
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC
0 1 2
0 1 2
Count 629 900 278
Count 629 900 278
==============================
V001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
C13(2).
SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

```
1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
4. WASHINGTON DC
9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 1130 & 48 & 73 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C13a/C15a.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?
FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]
1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

```
=============================
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 949 & 775 & 78 & ---- \\
& & &
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    c13b1/C15bx1.T.
    IF R VOTED:
    IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
    IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
    IF VOTED FOR SENATE:
        FTF:
        Who did you vote for?
        TELEPHONE:
        Did you vote for
```

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)

```

See \(\mathrm{C} 9(2)\) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card／read over the phone．
The names of independent／3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate＇s name was on the candidate list（preloaded into the instrument）．
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he／she voted＂a straight ticket＂but no candidate for R＇s party ran；it also includes cases where \(R\) insists that he／she voted for a specific party＇s candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R＇s district．
MISSOURI NOTE：In 4 cases in Missouri（IDs 581，1071，1072，1507）
Rs voted for Mel Carnihan（deceased）．Because this name was not included in the preload，these responses were coded 7. TELEPHONE：The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized［see C9（2）］．
If an independent／3rd party candidate was on the candidate list， his／her name was read last．

1．＜PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME＞－－＞D1
3．＜PRELOAD 1ST IND／3RD－PARTY CAND NAME＞－－＞D1
4．＜PRELOAD 2ND IND／3RD－PARTY CAND NAME＞－－＞D1
5．＜PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME－－＞D1
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）－－＞D1
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in C13a／C15a．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) & 1 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1032 & 403 & 6 & 4 \\
\hline & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 331 & 16 & 9 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001268 C13b2／C15bx2．T．In county－Sen vote－cand code MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 98
Numeric

C13b2／C15bx2．T．

IF R VOTED：
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE：
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE：
IF VOTED FOR SENATE：

FTF：
Who did you vote for？
```

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.
08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

|  | 0 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1032 | 4 | 6 | 80 | 55 | 196 |
|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| Count | 185 | 127 | 91 | 16 | 9 | 6 |

=ニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C13b3/C15bx3.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

```
    FTF:
    Who did you vote for?
```

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)

```
IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent／3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate＇s name was on the candidate list（preloaded into the instrument）．
TELEPHONE：The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized［see C9（2）］． If an independent／3rd party candidate was on the candidate list， his／her name was read last．

1．DEMOCRAT
2．REPUBLICAN
3．OTHER（third party or independent candidate）
7．Name not on candidate list for this race
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in C13a／C15a．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1032 & 403 & 331 & 10 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 16 & 9 & 6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

C14a／C16a．T．
IF R VOTED：
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE：
How about the election for the United States Senate？
Did you vote for a candidate for the U．S．Senate？
\(\qquad\)
FTF：［DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD］
1．YES，VOTED FOR SENATE
5．NO，DIDN＇T VOTE FOR SENATE－－＞D1
7．R VOLUNTEERS：NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE－－＞D1
```

    8. DK --> D1
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1765 | 32 | 8 | 2 |

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
C14b1/C16b1.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?
OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district
of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes
08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).
CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not
identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

|  | 0 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1775 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 91 | 92 | 97 | 98 |  |
| Count | 4 | 2 | 5 | 9 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001272 | C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

```

C14b2/C16b2.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?
OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1775 & 9 & 9 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C14c/C16c.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:
Which party was that?
PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)
1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1775 & 14 & 15 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001274 C14\times1/C16\times1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

```

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
\(5,8,9, N A\) in C13a/C15a.T or \(5,7,8,9, N A\) in C14a/C16a.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 8 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline Count & 1000 & 4 & 6 & 81 & 58 \\
\hline & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 91 \\
\hline Count & 200 & 189 & 127 & 91 & 4 \\
\hline & 92 & 97 & 98 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 2 & 21 & 18 & 6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001275 C14\times2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
C14\times2/C16x2.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:
SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
Built from C14x1/C16x1.T
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1000 | 412 | 340 | 10 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 21 | 18 | 6 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
V001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    C17.
    IF R DID NOT VOTE:
        How about the election for President?
        Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?
    ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. YES
        5. NO
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1434 & 260 & 110 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
V001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    C17a.
    IF R DID NOT VOTE:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
    Who did you prefer?
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. AL GORE
    3. GEORGE W. BUSH
    5. PAT BUCHANAN
    6. RALPH NADER
    7. OTHER
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1547 & 129 & 117 & 1 \\
& 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 8 & 4 & 1 &
\end{tabular}
```

```
V001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
```

V001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
C17b.
C17b.
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:
IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:
Would you say your preference for this candidate was
Would you say your preference for this candidate was
strong or not strong?
strong or not strong?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG
2. NOT STRONG
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1548 | 163 | 95 | 1 |

```
```

================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C18/C18.T.
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this
district. How about the election for the House of
Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the
candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?
TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives
in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for
the U.S. House of Representatives?
FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1434 | 74 | 292 | 7 |

==============================
V001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C18a/C18a.T.
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

```

\section*{FTF:}
```

Which candidate did you prefer?
TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

```

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent／3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate＇s name was on the candidate list（preloaded into the instrument）．
TELEPHONE：The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized［see c9（2）］．
If an independent／3rd party candidate was on the candidate list，his／her name was read last．

1．＜preload Democratic candidate name＞
3．＜preload Ind／3rd－party cand name＞
5．＜preload Republican candidate name＞
7．Other－specify

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in C18／C18．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1733 & 39 & 28 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001281 C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
    Numeric

C18a1／C18a1．T．

IF R DID NOT VOTE：
IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：
IF R SAYS HE／SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES：
FTF：
Which candidate did you prefer？
TELEPHONE：
Did you prefer
（the Democrat，＜NAME＞，or）
（the Republican，＜NAME＞）
（IF IND／3RD PARTY CANDIDATE：or the＜PARTY＞candidate， ＜NAME＞？）

NONVOTER：HOUSE PREFERENCE－CANDIDATE CODE
＊＊The names of independent／3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate＇s name was on the candidate list（preloaded into the instrument）．
TELEPHONE：The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized［see C9（2）］．
If an independent／3rd party candidate was on the candidate list， his／her name was read last．

30．Third party or independent House candidate－－nonincumbent＊＊
31．Democratic candidate in open House race
32．Republican candidate in open House race
33．Democratic House incumbent
```

34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
39. Name not on candidate list for this race
40. DK
41. RF
42. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

|  | 0 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1733 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 18 |
|  | 35 | 36 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 |

==============================
V001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C18a2/C18a2.T.
IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:
FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?
TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
4. Name not on candidate list for this race
5. DK
6. RF
```
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 1733 & 39 & 28 & 5 & 1 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    C19a/C19.T.
    IF R DID NOT VOTE:
    IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
        How about the election for the United States Senate?
        Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?
        FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]
        1. YES
        5. NO
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1518 & 90 & 195 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    C19b/C19a.T.
    IF R DID NOT VOTE:
    IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
    IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:
        FTF:
        Which candidate did you prefer?
        TELEPHONE:
        Did you prefer
        (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
        (the Republican, <NAME>)
        (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
        candidate, <NAME>?)
        (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
        candidate, <NAME>?)

See \(\mathrm{C} 9(2)\) for the order in which names were presented on the
ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
7. OTHER; SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1717 & 45 & 1 & 41 \\
& 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ------7 & \\
& 1 & 1 & 1 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001285 & C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?
TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE
** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
```

candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.
08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 8 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline Count & 1717 & 1 & 14 & 9 & 22 & 27 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 97 & 98 & 99 & \\
\hline Count & 9 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:
FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1717 & 45 & 41 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 7 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ----1 & ----- & --1
\end{tabular}
```

V001287 (Blank)
Numeric

```

\section*{THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK}

\section*{}

0
Count 1807
===================
V001288 (Blank)

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK
\(\qquad\)

0 1807
====================
V001289 (Blank)
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
================= \\
V001290 & (Blank) \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK
\(\qquad\)
                0
                ----
Count 1807
====================
V001291 C20. How fair was November election
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

C20.
Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. VERY FAIR
2. SOMEWHAT FAIR
3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
5. VERY UNFAIR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 357 & 322 & 415 & 155 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}

D1/D1.T.
FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.
```

==============================
V001292 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

```
    D1a/D1a.T.
        FTF :
        (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
        BILL CLINTON
        TELEPHONE:
        Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling
        thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?
        THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON
        [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
        DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
        YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
        D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
        administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
        as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
        as the 7th-12th names.
    0-100
```

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=ニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V001293 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D1b/D1b.T.
FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
AL GORE
TELEPHONE:
The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)
THERMOMETER - AL GORE
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
```

```

V001294 D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D1c／D1c．T．
FTF：
（Looking at page 1 of the booklet）（How would you rate：） GEORGE W．BUSH
TELEPHONE：

```

The next person is GEORGE W．BUSH．（Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him／her？）

THERMOMETER－GEORGE W．BUSH
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
D1a was administered first，and D1b－D1d were randomly administered as the \(2 n d-4\) th names．D1e and D1f were administered as the 5 th and 6th names，and D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the 7th－12th names．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100
995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001295 D1d／D1d．T．Thermometer Nader MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996 Numeric

D1d／D1d．T．

FTF：
（Looking at page 1 of the booklet）（How would you rate：）
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE：
The next person is RALPH NADER．（Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him／her？）

THERMOMETER－RALPH NADER

［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
D1a was administered first，and D1b－D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd－4th names．D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names，and D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the 7th－12th names．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization
```

variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

```
```

=============================
V001296 D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D1e／D1e．T．
FTF：
（Looking at page 1 of the booklet）（How would you rate：） JESSE JACKSON
TELEPHONE：
The next person is JESSE JACKSON．（Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him／her？）
THERMOMETER－JESSE JACKSON

```
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
D1a was administered first，and D1b－D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd－4th names．D1e and D1f were administered as the 5 th and 6 th names，and D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the 7th－12th names．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001297 D1f／D1f．T．Thermometer former Pres Bush
MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996
Numeric

D1f／D1f．T．

FTF ：
（Looking at page 1 of the booklet）（How would you rate：）
```

FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH
TELEPHONE:
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)
THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

```
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001298 D1g／D1g．T．Thermometer Dem House cand MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996 Numeric

D1g／D1g．T．
IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE：

FTF：
（Looking at page 1 of the booklet）（How would you rate：） ＜DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE＞

TELEPHONE：
The next person is＜DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE＞．（Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him／her？）

THERMOMETER－＜DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE＞
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
D1a was administered first，and D1b－D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd－4th names．D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names，and D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the \(7 \mathrm{th}-12 \mathrm{th}\) names．
```

    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Democratic House candidate; no Post interview;
        Washington DC
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
==============================
V001299 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D1h/D1h.T.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:
FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)
THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
1000. NA
1001. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview;
Washington DC
1002. DON'T RECOGNIZE
1003. DK WHERE TO RATE
```

999．RF
```

==============================
V001300 D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D1j/D1j.T.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:
FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>
TELEPHONE:
The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate
him/her?)
THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

```
        [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
        DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
        YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
        D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
        administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
        as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
        as the 7th-12th names.
        Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
        collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
        the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
        variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
        "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
        variable Rand.A4/A5].
        0-100
        995. NA
        996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview;
        Washington DC
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001300a D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
        MD: EQ 9
        Numeric
    D1jx.
        CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO
        THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?
        0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
```

1．House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
2．House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate
9．Washington D．C．；no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1535 | 11 | 5 | 256 |

```
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=
V001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
V001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
    MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
    MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
    Numeric
    Numeric
    D1k/D1k.T.
    D1k/D1k.T.
    IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
    IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
    IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
    IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
    IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
    IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
    IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:
    IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:
    FTF:
    (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
    <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>
    TELEPHONE:
    The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>.
    (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate
    him/her?)
    THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>
    [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
    DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
    YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
    D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
    administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
    as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
    as the 7th-12th names.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview;
        Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
V001302 D1m／D1m．T．Thermometer Rep Senate cand
```

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1m/D1m.T.
IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:
FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the $7 \mathrm{th}-12 \mathrm{th}$ names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
============================

V001303 D1n/D1n.T. Thermometer Ind House cand MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D1n/D1n.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:
FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

```
The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT
CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you
rate him/her?)
THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>
```

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05);
no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in D1nx.
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=============================
V001303a D1nx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
D1nx.
CHECKPOINT: VT01 OR VA05
0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05
1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01
2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05
9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1547 | 1 | 3 | 256 |

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

```
V001304 D2a. Thermometer supreme court
```

```
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
```

Numeric

D2a.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF


V001305 D2b. Thermometer Congress MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2b.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - CONGRESS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview

997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

```
V001306 D2c. Thermometer military
                MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
                Numeric
```

D2c．
（How would you rate：）
THERMOMETER－THE MILITARY
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001307 D2d．Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996 Numeric

D2d．
（How would you rate：）
THERMOMETER－THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand．A4／A5］．
0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

```
ニニニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks
            MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
            Numeric
    D2e.
（How would you rate：）
THERMOMETER－BLACKS
```

［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001309 D2f．Thermometer whites MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996 Numeric

D2f．
（How would you rate：）
THERMOMETER－WHITES
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

```
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Post interview
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives
V001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Numeric
D2g.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
============================
V001311 D2h. Thermometer liberals MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric
D2h.

```
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
```

    [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
    DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
    YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
    The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
    was randomized.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
    collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Post interview
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
    ==============================
V001312 D2j. Thermometer labor unions
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2j.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
==============================
V001313 D2k. Thermometer big business
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

```

D2k.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
```

==============================
V001314 D2m. Thermometer poor people
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

```

D2m.
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
```

==============================
V001315 D2n. Thermometer people on welfare
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2n.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001316 D2p. Thermometer Hispanics
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2p.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．
0－100

```
```

    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Post interview
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
    =ニ=ニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V001317 D2q. Thermometer Chrstn Fundamentalists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2q.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニ=====
V001318 D2r. Thermometer women's movement
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2r.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

```
```

    the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
    variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
    "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
    variable Rand.A4/A5].
    0-100
    995. NA
    996. INAP, no Post interview
    997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
    998. DK WHERE TO RATE
    999. RF
    =ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001319 D2s. Thermometer older people
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2s.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

```

```

V001320 D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2t.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO

```

YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001321 D2u. Thermometer homosexuals MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2u.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=============================
V001322 D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2v.
(How would you rate:)

\section*{THERMOMETER－THE CHRISTIAN COALITION}
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001323 D2W．Thermometer Catholics MD1：EQ 995，MD2：GE 996 Numeric

D2W．
（How would you rate：）
THERMOMETER－CATHOLICS
［PROBE FOR DON＇T KNOW RESPONSE：WHEN YOU SAY＂DON＇T KNOW＂ DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON＇T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND？］
The order in which thermometers D2a－D2z were administered was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

0－100

995．NA
996．INAP，no Post interview
997．DON＇T RECOGNIZE
998．DK WHERE TO RATE
999．RF

V001324 D2x．Thermometer Jews
```

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

```
Numeric

D2x.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - JEWS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=============================
V001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2xx.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview

\section*{997. DON'T RECOGNIZE}
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
```

==============================
V001326 D2y. Thermometer feminists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2y.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

```
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
=============================
V001327 D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2z.
(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
```

variable Rand.A4/A5].
0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

```

E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S. House of Representatives.
=============================
V001328 E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:
Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
1. YES & \(-->\) SKIP TO E1a \\
5. NO & \(-->\) SKIP TO E2 \\
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT & \\
THIS CANDIDATE & \(-->\) E3 or E5a \\
8. DK & \\
9. RF \\
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House \\
race
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 370 & 395 & 551 & 470 & 12 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001329 E1a(1). \#1 like Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric
E1a(1).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no Post IW
==============================
V001330 E1a(2). \#2 like Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E1a(2).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001331 E1a(3). \#3 like Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E1a(3).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

```
(What was that?)
MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E1a(4).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW
```

V001333 E1a(5). \#5 like Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E1a(5).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

```
        (What was that?)
        MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW
```

==================================- Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
E2.
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VTO1:
Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01:
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
Representatives?
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP,0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate
in House race; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 843 | 182 | 760 | 14 |  |

==============================
V001335 E2a(1). \#1 dislike Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric
E2a(1).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

```
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW
```

==============================
V001336 E2a(2). \#2 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E2a(2).

```

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
```

==============================
V001337 E2a(3). \#3 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E2a(3).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

```

        [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
=============================
V001338 E2a(4). \#4 dislike Dem House candidate
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric

E2a(4).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
=============================
V001339 E2a(5). \#5 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E2a(5).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001340 E3. Like anything- Repub House cand MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

E3.
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE \(\quad-->\) SKIP TO E3 or E5a
8. DK
--> SKIP TO E4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House race; Washington D.C.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 357 & 381 & 573 & 474 & 12 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001341 E3a(1). \#1 like Repub House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric
E3a(1).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW
==============================
V001342 E3a(2). \#2 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E3a(2).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

```
(What was that?)
MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
=============================

V001343 E3a(3). \#3 like Repub House candidate MD: EQ 0 Numeric

E3a(3).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
=============================
V001344 E3a(4). \#4 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E3a(4).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
```

V001345 E3a(5). \#5 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E3a(5).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001346 E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
E4
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:
Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05:
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
Representatives?
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E4a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E5
8. DK --> SKIP TO E5a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

```

\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Count & 831 & 194 & 762 & 8 & 12
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001347 E4a(1). \#1 dislike Repub House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric
E4a(1).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

```
        [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        9001. R has been influenced by spouse
        9002. R has been influenced by someone else
        9995. NA
        9998. DK
        9999. Refused to say
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW
V001348 E4a(2). \#2 dislike repun House candidate
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    E4a(2).
    IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
        (What was that?)
        MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
        [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    E4a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
```

==============================
V001350 E4a(4). \#4 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
E4a(4).

```
    IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
        (What was that?)
        MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
        [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
        0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
=============================
V001351 E4a(5). \#5 dislike Repub House candidate
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    E4a(5).
    IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
        (What was that?)
        MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
        [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
        UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
        Codes 1-1304 and:
        8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
```

==============================
V001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cands
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
E5.

```

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES
1. One House candidate in candidate list
2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list
9. Washington DC
0. No Post IW
```

==============================
V001353a E5a(1). 2 cands- either cand incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
E5a(1).
IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:
Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?
[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

```
1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> --> E6
2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6
3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6
4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6
5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> E6
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 471 & 368 & 399 & 3 \\
& 4 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 17 & 57 & 491 & 1
\end{tabular}
============================

V001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race MD: EQ 0

Numeric
E5a(2).
IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

> Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND ) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?
> INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE
[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.
30. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
39. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
90. "BOTH"
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1020 & 11 & 18 & 348 & 374 \\
\hline & 35 & 36 & 39 & 90 & \\
\hline Count & 9 & 7 & 3 & 17 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

E5b(1).
IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?
[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]
```

    1. YES
    5. NO
    7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1592 | 120 | 20 | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001355 E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race
V001355 E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race
    MD: EQ 0
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    Numeric
    E5b(2).
    E5b(2).
    IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
    IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
    Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR
    Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR
        REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of
        REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of
        Representatives before the election?
        Representatives before the election?
        INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE
        INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE
        [PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T
        KNOW"?]
        31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
        32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
        33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
        34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
        00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)
        Count
\begin{tabular}{cc}
0 & 33 \\
---- & - \\
1687 & 59
\end{tabular}
                            34
        6 1
==============================
V001356 E6. Party control House before election
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    E6.
        Do you happen to know which party had the most members
        in the House of Representatives in Washington
        BEFORE the election (this/last) month?
        (IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
        [DON'T PROBE DK]
        1. THE DEMOCRATS
```

5. THE REPUBLICANS
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 185 | 849 | 521 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001357 | E7. Party control Senate before election |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

E7.
Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?
(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. THE DEMOCRATS
2. THE REPUBLICANS
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 148 | 776 | 631 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001358 | F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F1.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

1. APPROVE --> SKIP T0 F1a
2. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP T0 F1b
3. DK --> F2
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 256 | 912 | 172 | 455 | 12 |

```
==============================
V001359 F1a. Strength approve House incumbent
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    F1a.
    IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:
    Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
    1. APPROVE STONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
    5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
    8. DK --> SKIP TO F2
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 895 & 481 & 426 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001360 F1b. Strength disappr House incumbent
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
F1b.
IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & ---- & --- & ---- & ----- \\
& 1635 & 87 & 82 & 3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001361 & F1x. Summary-approve/disapp Hse incumb \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

F1x.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
3. Disapprove not strongly
4. Disapprove strongly
5. DK in F1 or F1a/b
6. RF in F1a or F1a/b
7. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 256 | 481 | 426 | 82 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 87 | 463 | 12 |  |

============================
V001362 F2. Special action by incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2.
Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT
NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district
while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 256 | 297 | 1099 | 152 |  |

=============================
V001363 F3. R know \#yrs Hse incumbent has serv MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

F3.
Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

1. YES, R KNOWS $-->$ SKIP TO F3a
2. NO --> SKIP TO F3b
3. DK --> SKIP TO F3b
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 256 | 532 | 901 | 116 | 2 |

=============================
V001364 F3a. \#yrs House incumbent has been in of MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

F3a.
IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:
(About how many?)

Actual number coded.
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1275 | 2 | 39 | 4 | 147 | 7 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Count | 78 | 2 | 109 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
|  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 |
| Count | 66 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 20 | 25 | 26 | 32 | 98 |  |
| Count | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 |  |

```
==============================
V001365 F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

F3b.
IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or more than 12 years?
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
2. ABOUT 12 YEARS
3. MORE THAN 12 YEARS
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 790 | 450 | 140 | 55 | 372 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001366 | F4. House incumb kept in touch w/distric |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

F4.
How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME> does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

1. VERY GOOD
2. FAIRLY GOOD
3. FAIRLY POOR
4. VERY POOR
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 256 | 300 | 559 | 236 |
|  |  | 4 | 8 | 9 |

```
==============================
V001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    F5.
    Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't thatinterested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government
and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?

1. MOST OF THE TIME
2. SOME OF THE TIME
3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
4. HARDLY AT ALL
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 339 | 569 | 423 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 212 | 11 | 1 |  |

G1/G1. T.

FTF:
Please look at page 2 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

```
==============================
V001368 G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
G1a/G1a.T.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or
haven't you thought much about this?
[DO NOT PROBE]
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b
2. LIBERAL --> G2b
```

3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
--> G2b
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
--> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
--> G2b
6. CONSERVATIVE
--> G2b
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
--> G2b
8. DK
--> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 308 | 33 | 169 | 139 | 386 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 197 | 264 | 50 | 6 | 255 |

=============================
V001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G1b.
IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?


1. LIBERAL
2. CONSERVATIVE
3. MODERATE [VOL]
4. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in G1a/G1a.T; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1104 | 78 | 109 | 413 | 91 |  |

=============================
V001370 G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G1x .
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:

```
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or
haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY
```

Built from G1a/G1a.T and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF
administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order:
G1a/G1a.T,G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
2. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
3. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
4. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)
5. $\operatorname{DK}(0 / 8,8)$
6. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
7. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,NA); No Post IW

=============================
V001371 G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G2/G2.T.
FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 202 | 487 | 286 | 259 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 68 | 71 | 29 | 150 | 3 |

```
==============================
V001372 G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    G3/G3.T.
    FTF:
    Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
    TELEPHONE:
    What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 162 | 505 | 295 | 202 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 94 | 99 | 29 | 166 | 3 |

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001373 G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G3a/G3a.T.
    IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:
    How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
    certain or not very certain?
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. VERY CERTAIN
    3. PRETTY CERTAIN
    5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G3/G3.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 421 & 387 & 685 & 313 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```



```
V001374 G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
G4／G4．T．
FTF：
Where would you place George W．Bush on this scale？
TELEPHONE：
What about George W．Bush？
（Do you think he is extremely liberal，liberal，slightly liberal，moderate or middle of the road，slightly conservative，conservative，or extremely conservative？）
［DON＇T PROBE DK］
The order in which G3－G5／G3．T－G5．T were administered was randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．
1．EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2．LIBERAL
3．SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4．MODERATE；MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5．SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6．CONSERVATIVE
```

7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 18 | 94 | 75 | 165 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 273 | 647 | 107 | 173 | 3 |

============================
V001375 G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 428 | 326 | 735 | 318 |

=============================
V001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G5/G5.T.
FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was
randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2．LIBERAL
3．SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4．MODERATE；MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5．SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6．CONSERVATIVE
7．EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8．DK－－＞NEXT PRES．CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6／G6．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 24 | 72 | 54 | 154 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 62 | 211 | 471 | 504 | 3 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001377 G5a／G5a．T．Buchanan－certain lib－con MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G5a／G5a．T．

IF LIB－CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK：
How certain are you of this？Very certain，pretty certain or not very certain？
$\qquad$

1．VERY CERTAIN
3．PRETTY CERTAIN
5．NOT VERY CERTAIN
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，8，9， 0 in G5／G5．T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 759 | 365 | 395 | 288 |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001378 | G6．Ckpt：number of cands／VT01／VA05 |
|  | MD：EQ 9 |
|  | Numeric |

G6.
CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
6. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | .---- |

=============================
V001378a G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G6(1)/G6(1).T.
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):
FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was
administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and
G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 648 | 24 | 182 | 162 | 187 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 54 | 56 | 9 | 484 | 1 |

=============================
V001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. \#1 incum Ind cand place MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.
IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):
FTF:
Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDPENDENT HOUSE
CANDIDATE> on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 3 \\
Count & ------ \\
\hline 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

```
=============================
```

=============================
V001379a G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
V001379a G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
G6a1/G6a1.T.
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except
VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1133 | 135 | 314 | 224 |  |

```
```

V001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. \#1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib

```
V001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
            Numeric
G6a2/G6a2.T.
IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
```

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(2)/G6(2).T

| 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ---- | -- |
| 1806 | 1 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001380a | G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G7(1)/G7(1).T.
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):
FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 655 | 11 | 43 | 40 | 155 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 164 | 250 | 24 | 463 | 2 |

=============================
V001380b G7.(2)/G7(2).T. \#2 incum Ind cand-lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G7(2)/G7(2).T.
IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):
FTF:
Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except
VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was
administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

|  | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | --- |
| Count | 1805 | 1 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001381a G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    G7a1/G7a1.T.
    IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
    IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
    How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
    certain or not very certain?
```

    Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except
    VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.
    1. VERY CERTAIN
    3. PRETTY CERTAIN
    5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
    8. DK
    9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1120 | 136 | 327 | 224 |

=============================
V001381b G7a2/G7a2.T. \#2 incum Ind cand lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.
IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2. T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in $\mathrm{G} 7(2) / \mathrm{G} 7(2) . \mathrm{T}$

|  | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ |  |
|  | 1806 | 1 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001382 | G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G8/G8.T.
FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 87 | 602 | 301 | 196 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 65 | 91 | 28 | 183 | 2 |

```
V001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G9/G9.T.
    FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal,
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely
conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was
randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 252 & 14 & 84 & 70 & 152
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
V001384 G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    G10/G10.T.
        FTF:
        Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?
```

TELEPHONE:
What about the Reform Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 42 | 99 | 80 | 264 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

G11/G11.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 3 of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Still others feel it is
important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

```
==============================
V001385 G11a. R placement- services/spend scale
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    G11a.
```

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| 3. | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| 4. | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| 5. | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| 6. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING | $-->$ G11b or G11c |
| 7. M1b or G11c |  |
| 8. DK | $-->$ G11b |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. |  |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS no Post interview |  |


|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 73 | 21 | 59 | 98 | 198 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 151 | 50 | 41 | 4 | 1112 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001386 | G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G11a. T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING $-->$ G11a1.T
2. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL --> G11a3.T
3. DK --> G11a3.T
4. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
5. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11a3.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 58 | 163 | 289 | 340 | 8 | 949 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001387 | G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G11a1.T.
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
2. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T
3. DK --> G11b.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | --- |
|  | 1644 | 73 | 90 |


| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001388 | G11a2.T. How much increase servics/spend |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G11a2.T.
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
2. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T
3. DK --> G11b.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

| 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Count } & 1518 & 121 & 167 & 1\end{array}$

| ============================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001389 | G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:
TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE
2. DECREASE
3. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G11a.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1399 | 175 | 13 | 84 | 9 |  |

```
===============================
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

G11ax1.T.
TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a.T, G11a1.T, G11a2.T, G11a3.T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL $(1,1,0,0)$
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA, 0, 0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE ( $0 / 5 / 8,0,0,3$ )
4. STAY THE SAME ( $5,0,0,5 / 8 / 9 / \mathrm{NA})$
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE $(0 / 5 / 8,0,0,1)$
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL $(3,0,5 / 8 / 9 / N A, 0)$
8. DK ( $8,0,0,5,8 / 9 / N A)$
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW

0 . Haven't thought much ( $0,0,0,5 / 8 / 9 / N A$ )

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 20 | 73 | 90 | 139 | 69 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 175 | 168 | 121 | 4 | 948 |

=============================
V001390a G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11ax2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a and G11ax1.
Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-toface 7 -point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE

4．SCALE：4／BRANCHING：STAY THE SAME
5．SCALE：5／BRANCHING：NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6．SCALE：6／BRANCHING：INCREASE ONLY SOME
7．SCALE：7／BRANCHING：INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 93 | 94 | 149 | 237 | 267 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 326 | 218 | 162 | 8 | 253 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001391 G11b．Ckpt：number of cands／VT01／VA05
MD：EQ 9
Numeric
G11b ．
CHECKPOINT：
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES／VT01 OR VA05 FOR SERVICES／SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01，services／spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement． In VA05，services／spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement．

1．Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2．Democratic candidate only in House race
3．Republican candidate only in House race
4．VT01：independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate；Republican challenger
5．VA05：independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate；Democratic challenger

9．INAP，no Post interview；Washington D．C．

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1332 | 101 | 114 | 1 | 3 | 256 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001391a G11b1．Dem Hse candidate on services／spending MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G11b1．

IF NOT WASHINGTON D．C．：
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE：
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER：

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?
$\qquad$
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2). Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

| FEWER SERVICES; | REDUCE SPENDING | --> G12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -> G12 |
|  |  | --> G12 |
|  |  | --> G12 |
|  |  | --> G12 |
|  |  | --> G12 |
| MORE SERVICES; | INCREASE SPENDING | --> G12 |
| DK |  | --> G12 |
| RF |  |  |
| NA; INAP, Phone candidate coded | mode; 3, 4, 9 in 997 in thermomet |  |


|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1258 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 77 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Count | 114 | 53 | 14 | 252 |  |

```
==============================
V001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

G11b2.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:
FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?
[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].


|  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

==============================
V001392a G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:
TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T. Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode．In several of these cases，the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞G11b1．T
3．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞G11b2．T
5．CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL－－＞G11b3．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；3，4， 9 in G11b；
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1197 | 36 | 182 | 93 | 298 |  |

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001392b G11b（2）．T．\＃1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv／spend MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

G11b2．T．

IF VT01：
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER：
TELEPHONE：
What about（VT01：＜INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE＞）？
Do you think＜HE／SHE＞would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending， provide many services even if it means an increase in spending，or continue services at the present level？
［DON＇T PROBE DK］
PHONE：The order in which G11b（1）．T／G11b（2）．T and G11c（1）．T／G11c（2）．T were administered was randomized． In VT01，the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name： in districts other than VT01，Phone respondents were administered G11b（1）．T

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．FEWER SERVICES；REDUCE SPENDING－－＞G11b1．T
3．MORE SERVICES；INCREASE SPENDING－－＞G11b2．T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

|  | 0 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | --- |
|  | 1806 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    G11b1(1).T.
    IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
        TELEPHONE:
        Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
        spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
        only some?
    ----------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
    5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
    8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1771 & 11 & ----
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001393b G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
G11b1(2).T.
IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T
```

```
            0
        -----
Count
        1807
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrsease ser/sp
V001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrsease ser/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
G11b2(1).T.
IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1625 | 77 | 104 | 1 |

```

```

            0
        Count 1807
    ```
```

===============================
Numeric
G11b3(1).T.
IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T
Count

| 0 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------- | --- |  |
| 1714 | 57 | 19 |


| 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ----- |  |
| 14 | 3 |

==============================
V001395b G11b3(2).T. \#1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11b3(2).T.
IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

|  | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ------ |  |
|  | 1806 | 1 |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001396 | G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

```

G11bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11b1/G11b2.T, G11b1(1)/G11b1(2).T, G11b2(1).T/G11b2(2).T, G11b3(1).T/G11b3(2).T.
1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL \((1,1,0,0)\)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA, 0, 0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE \((5 / 8,0,0,3)\)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE \((5 / 8,0,0,1)\)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME \((3,0,1,0)\)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL \((3,0,5 / 8 / 9 / N A, 0)\)
8. \(\operatorname{DK}(8,0,0,5,8 / 9 / N A)\)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1196 & 11 & 25 & 20 & 17 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 57 & 105 & 77 & 298 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(============================\) \\
V001396a & G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G11bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01
INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?
TELEPHONE:
```

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT
INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would
like the government to provide fewer services in order
to reduce spending, provide many services even if it
means an increase in spending, or continue services at
the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce
services and spending a great deal or (reduce services
and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to
increase services and spending a great deal or (increase
services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were
to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in
favor of an increase or a decrease?

```

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.
Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-toface 7 -point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 647 & 13 & 32 & 50 & 94 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 171 & 158 & 91 & 550 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001397a G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?
\(\qquad\)
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)
were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 1295 & 6 & 36 & 98 & 94 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 49 & 15 & 3 & 211 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(==============================\) \\
V001397b & G11c2. \#2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G11c2.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(1).
```

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

|  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Count | $0---$ |
|  |  |

==============================
V001398a G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c1.T.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:
TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase
in spending, or continue services at the present level?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/ G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
2. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
3. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
4. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 1167 | 169 | 59 | 114 | 298 |

```
```

==============================
V001398b G11c(2).T. \#2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c2.T.
IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:
TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE
    CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,
provide many more services even if it means an increase
in spending, or continue services at the present level?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/
G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were
administered G11c(1).T
1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | --- |

==============================
V001399a G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c1(1).T.
IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
2. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
```

8．DK－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9， 0 in G11c（1）．T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1638 & 53 & 114 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001399b G11c1(2).T. \#2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c1（2）．T．
IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES／SPENDING：
TELEPHONE：
Do you think＜HE／SHE＞would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or（reduce services and spending） only some？

```


1．A GREAT DEAL－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，5，8，9， 0 in G11c（2）．T
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & --- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001400a G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrsease serv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c2（1）．T．
IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES／SPENDING：
TELEPHONE：
Do you think＜HE／SHE＞would like to increase services and spending a great deal or（increase services and spending）only some？

```


1．A GREAT DEAL－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
5．ONLY SOME－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
8．DK－－＞NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12．T
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，5，8，9， 0 in G11c（1）．T
\begin{tabular}{lll}
0 & 1 & 5
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 1748 & 17\end{array}\)
```

=============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c2(2).T.
IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
2. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T
```
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
& 0 \\
Count & \(0---\) \\
& \\
&
\end{tabular}
\(===========================\)
V001401a G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de



MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11c3(1).T.
IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?
1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1693 & 32 & 55 & 22 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

V001401b G11c3(2).T. \#2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11c3(2).T.
IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 3 \\
Count & --- & --- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001402 & G11cx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G11cx1.T.

\section*{TELEPHONE:}

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11c1/G11c2.T, G11c1(1)/G11c1(2).T, G11c2(1).T/G11c2(2).T, G11c3(1).T/G11c3(2).T.
1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL \((1,1,0,0)\)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE \((5 / 8,0,0,3)\)
4. STAY THE SAME \((5,0,0,5 / 8 / 9 / N A)\)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE \((5 / 8,0,0,1)\)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL \((3,0,5 / 8 / 9 / N A, 0)\)
8. DK \((8,0,0,5,8 / 9 / N A)\)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1165 & 53 & 117 & 56 & 27 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 32 & 42 & 17 & 298 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001402a G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-toface 7 -point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
8. \(\operatorname{DK}(8,0,0,5,8 / 9 / N A)\)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 653 & 59 & 153 & 154 & 121 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001403 G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 172 & 458 & 277
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 612 & 24 & 8 & 4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(============================\) \\
V001404 & G12a. How important is abortion issue to \(R\) \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G12a.
ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?
1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 62 & 173 & 473 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 538 & 301 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001405 & G12b. Checkpoint: number of cands/VT01/VA05 \\
& MD: EQ 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.
1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1332 & 101 & 114 & 1 & 3 & 256 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(============================\)
V001405a \begin{tabular}{l} 
G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion \\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
\\
Numeric
\end{tabular}
G12b1/G12b1.T.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C. :
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF :
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was adminstered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
--> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
--> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. \(-->\) G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 648 & 18 & 66 & 96 \\
& 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 195 & 1 & 781 & 2
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001405b G12b2/G12b2.T. \#1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:
FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was adminstered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
--> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
--> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
--> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

=============================
V001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-cetainty of plcmt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.
    IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
    How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s
    position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very
    certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(1)/G12b(1).T

```

==============================
V001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. \#1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.
    IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
    How certain are you of <VT01 INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE
    CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or
    not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 1 \\
Count & ---- & ---- \\
& 1806 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001407a G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G12c1/G12c1.T.
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:
FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?
[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
--> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. \(-->\) G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 655 & －－－ & －－－－－ & 74 \\
\hline & 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 46 & 1 & 763 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001407b G12c2／G12c2．T．\＃2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric
G12c2／G12c2．T．
IF VA05：
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER：
FTF：
Where would you place（VA05：＜INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE＞）（on abortion）？
TELEPHONE：
What about（VA05：＜INDEPENDENT INCUMENT HOUSE CANDIDATE＞）（on abortion）？
Which opinion best agrees with＜HIS／HER＞views？
［DON＇T PROBE DK］
G12c（1）／G12c（1）．T was adminstered in all districts except
VA05；in VA05，G12c（2）／G12c（2）．T was administered．
FTF：The order in which G12b（1）／G12b（2）AND
G12c（1）／G12c（2）were administered was randomized．
TELEPHONE：The order in which G12b（1）．T／G12b（2）．T and
G12c（1）．T／G12c（2）．T were administered was randomized．
Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．BY LAW，ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED．－－＞G12b or G13
2．THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE，INCEST，OR WHEN THE WOMAN＇S LIFE IS IN DANGER．
－－＞G12b or G13
3．THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE，INCEST，OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN＇S LIFE，BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED．－－＞G12b or G13
4．BY LAW，A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE．－－＞G12b or G13
7．OTHER（SPECIFY）［VOL］－－＞G12b or G13
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，2，3，4， 9 in G12b； 997 in Dln
\begin{tabular}{lll}
0 & 2 & 3
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 1805 & 1\end{array}\)
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.
IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s
position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very
certain?
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except
VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
2. PRETTY CERTAIN
3. NOT VERY CERTAIN
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ----1 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
V001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
        Numeric
G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T.
IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T
```

| 0 | 1 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 1805 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
==============================
V001409 G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

G13.
Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. NEITHER [VOL]
4. OTHER [SPECIFY]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 706 | 522 | 253 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 62 | 10 | 2 |  |

```
V001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

H1.
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> SKIP H1b
3. THE SAME --> SKIP H2
4. DK --> SKIP H2
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered ' section $H$ in Post

| 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Count | 1042 | 247 | 79 | 435 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
==============================
V001411a H1a. How much better off in last yer financially
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    H1a.
    IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:
    Much better or somewhat better?
    1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H2
    5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H2
    8. DK --> SKIP H2
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1560 | 66 | 181 |

```
V001411b H1b. How much worse off last year financially
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
H1b.
IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
```

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1728 | 23 | 56 |

```
==============================
V001412 H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

H1x.
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your
family living here／there）are better off，worse off，or just about the same financially as you were a year ago？ Much better or somewhat better？
Much worse or somewhat worse？
SUMMARY：R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre（as section E）or Post．

1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．The same
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse
8．DK in H 1 or $\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$
9．RF in H1 or H1a／b
0．NA；INAP，no Post IW；R selected for administration in the Pre

|  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1042 | 66 | 181 | 435 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 56 | 23 | 2 | 2 |

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001412a H1x1．Pre／Post Summary of $R$ financial situation MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

H1x1．
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days．Would you say that you（and your family living here／there）are better off，worse off，or just about the same financially as you were a year ago？
Much better or somewhat better？
Much worse or somewhat worse？
COMBINED PRE／POST SUMMARY：R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre（as section E）or Post．
Built from Pre E1x and Post H1x

1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．The same
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 115 | 183 | 375 | 917 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 145 | 64 | 6 | 2 |

```
V001413 H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

H2.
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1042 | 179 | 585 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001413a H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 115 | 457 | 1234 | 1 |



H3.
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> Skip H3b
3. THE SAME --> Skip H4
4. DK --> Skip H4
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section $H$ in Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 1042 | 289 | 43 | 414 | 17 | 2 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001415 | H3a. How much better off next year financially |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

H3a.
IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:
Much better off or somewhat better off?
$\qquad$

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H4
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4
3. DK --> Skip H4
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1518 | 87 | 200 | ---- |

```
==============================
V001416 H3b. How much worse off next year financially
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    H3b.
    IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:
    Much worse off or somewhat worse off?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1764 & 6 & 37
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(===========================\) \\
V001417 & H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
H3x.
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK in H 3 or \(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}\)
9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1042 & 87 & 200 & 414 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 37 | 6 | 19 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$============================$

V001417a | H3x1．Pre／Po：Financial situation in next year |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |

H3x1．
Now looking ahead，do you think that a year from now you
（and your family living here／there）will be better off
financially，worse off，or just about the same as now？
Much better or somewhat better？
Much worse or somewhat worse？

PRE／POST SUMMARY：R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre（as section E）or Post．

1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．About the same
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 141 | 204 | 451 | 893 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 70 | 24 | 22 | 2 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー
V001418 H4．How afraid is $R$ of assault in next year MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

H4．

How afraid are you that a member of your family，or a close friend，or you yourself might be the victim of an assault during the coming year？Would you say you are very afraid，somewhat afraid，a little bit afraid， or not afraid？

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section $H$ in the Pre（as section E）or Post． NOTE：due to an error in the application logic，this variable was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of questions H1－H3．

1．VERY AFRAID
3．SOMEWHAT AFRAID
5．A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
7．NOT AFRAID
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，logic error；no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1042 | 41 | 142 | 244 | 338 |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001419 J1．R＇s attitude about serving on jury duty MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

J1．
If you were selected to serve on a jury，would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve？

1．HAPPY TO DO IT
5．RATHER NOT SERVE
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 957 | 585 | 12 |  |

J2．
Next，I am going to ask you to choose which of two
statements I read comes closer to your own opinion．
You might agree to some extent with both，but we want to
know which one is closer to your own views．
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V001420 J2a．Less govt，or more things government should do Numeric

J2a．
ONE，the less government，the better；OR
TWO，there are more things that government should be doing？
［IF NECESSARY，PROBE＂WHICH IS CLOSER＂］

1．THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
2．MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 639 | 888 | 25 | 3 |


| ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001421 | J2b．Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket |
|  | MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

J2b．
ONE，we need a strong government to handle today＇s
complex economic problems；OR
TWO，the free market can handle these problems without government being involved．
［IF NECESSARY，PROBE＂WHICH IS CLOSER＂］
1．NEED A STRONG GOV＇T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2．FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV＇T INVOLVEMENT
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 928 | 575 | 50 |  |

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001422 J2c．Reason govt is bigger－meddlesome or big prblms MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

J2c．
ONE，the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves；OR TWO，government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger．
$\qquad$
［IF NECESSARY，PROBE＂WHICH IS CLOSER＂］
1．GOV＇T BIGGER BECAUSE IT＇S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2．GOV＇T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 671 | 854 | 28 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001423 J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

J2d.
ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 852 | 662 | 34 | 7 |

==============================
V001424 J3. \# times R watched jeopardy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

J3.
Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
97. R VOLUNTEERS,"NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Count | 252 | 112 | 83 | 65 | 27 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 95 |
|  | Count | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 42 |
|  |  | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 |  |  |
|  | Count | 1122 | 32 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| V001425 J4. \# times R watched wheel of fortune MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES <br> 95. EVERY DAY <br> 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DON RF NA; | KNOW | st in | ; |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Count |  | 284 | 105 | 86 | 57 | 23 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 |  |
| Count |  | 61 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 95 | 96 | 98 | 99 |  |  |
| Count |  | 68 | 1097 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| ```V001426 J5. # times R watched morning news MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES <br> 95. EVERY DAY <br> 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 284 & 122 & 110 & 123 & 61 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 95 & 96 & 98 \\
\hline Count & 181 & 16 & 177 & 729 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
========-=-==-=-=-===-=-=-===
```

========-=-==-=-=-===-=-=-===
V001427 J6. \# times R watched daytime talk show
V001427 J6. \# times R watched daytime talk show
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Numeric
J6.
How many times in the last week have you watched daytime
television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie
O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?
1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 284 | 141 | 91 | 70 | 31 | 61 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 95 | 96 | 98 |
| Count | 2 | 5 | 1 | 36 | 1082 | 3 |

```

```

V001428 J7. Network news program R watches most
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
J7.
Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

```
\(\qquad\)
```

1. ABC
2. NBC
3. CBS
4. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
```
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 284 & 307 & 362 & 264 \\
& 6 & 7 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 184 & 394 & 12 &
\end{tabular}


J8.
How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?
1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
4. ALMOST NEVER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 110 & 642 & 669 & 132 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001430 J9. Does \(R\) listen to political talk radio MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

J9.
There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?
1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10
8. DK --> GO TO J10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 561 & 994 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001431 J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?
1. EVERYDAY
2. MOST DAYS
3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in 19

```

V001432 J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

J9b.
IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background while they do other things. How about you? When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?
1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{J9}\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 1246 & 107 & 258 & 145 & 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001433 J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

J10.
Do you have acces to the Internet or the World Wide Web?
1. YES
5. NO --> J11
8. DK --> J11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 973 & 581 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

V001434 J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
J10a.
IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:
Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

```
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J10
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----
\end{tabular}
```

=============================
V001435 J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

J11.

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?
1. YES, DIFFERENCES
5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 1066 & 457 & 31 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001436a J11a1(1). \#1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric

```
    J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11
============-================
V001436b J11a1(2). \#1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

J11a1(2).
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
```

7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
10. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

| 0 |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 741 | 350 |  | 11 | 10 |
| 5 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Count | 342 | 322 |  | 31 |  |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
V001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
            MD: EQ 0
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
            Numeric
    J11a2(1).
    J11a2(1).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
        What are those differences?
        What are those differences?
        MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE
        MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE
        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
        See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
        Codes 001-985 and:
        Codes 001-985 and:
        990. Other comments
        990. Other comments
        000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
        000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
        mention; no Post IW
        mention; no Post IW
==============================
==============================
V001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
V001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
        MD: EQ 0
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
        Numeric
    J11a2(2).
    J11a2(2).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
    What are those differences?
    What are those differences?
    MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE
    MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE
    [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        1. Democratic party mention
        2. Democratic Presidential candidate
        4. Republican Presidential candidate
        5. Republican Party mention
        6. Other party mentioned instead
```

7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
8. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

| 0 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 886 | 306 | 17 |


==============================
V001436e J11a3(1). \#3 difference b/w Reps/Dems MD: EQ 0
Numeric
J11a3(1).
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
What are those differences?
MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001436f J11a3(2). \#3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
J11a3(2).
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
What are those differences?
MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
3. Republican Presidential candidate
4. Republican Party mention
5. Other party mentioned instead
6. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
difference
7. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

| 0 |  |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1137 | 221 | 16 | 24 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Count | 245 | 1 | 163 |  |

=============================
V001436g J11a4(1). \#4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a4(1).
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
What are those differences?
MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

```
V001436h J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
J11a4(2).
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
```

What are those differences?
MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
3. Republican Presidential candidate
4. Republican Party mention
5. Other party mentioned instead
6. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
```
        0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
        mention; no Post IW
```



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5 & 6 & & \\
\hline Count & 189 & 1 & 93 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001436j J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    J11a5(1).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
        What are those differences?
        MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE
        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
        Codes 001-985 and:
        990. Other comments
        000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
        mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001436k J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    J11a5(2).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
        What are those differences?
        MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE
        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        1. Democratic party mention
        2. Democratic Presidential candidate
        4. Republican Presidential candidate
        5. Republican Party mention
        6. Other party mentioned instead
        7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
        difference
```

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | 1490 | - O $^{2}$ | 114 |


| 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 118 | 1 | 59 |

```
========
V001436m J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    J11a6(1).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
        What are those differences?
        MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE
        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
        Codes 001-985 and:
        990. Other comments
        000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
        mention; no Post IW
==============================
V001436n J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    J11a6(2).
    IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
        What are those differences?
        MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE
```

        [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
        1. Democratic party mention
    2. Democratic Presidential candidate
    4. Republican Presidential candidate
    5. Republican Party mention
    6. Other party mentioned instead
    7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
        difference
        0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
    mention; no Post IW


K1.
Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence.

```
==============================
V001437 K1a. Whites influence
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    K1a.
        The first group is WHITES.
        Would you say they have too much influence, just about
        the right amount of influence, or too little influence?
    1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
    2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
    3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
    8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 425 | 971 | 124 | 21 | 4 |

=============================
V001438 K1b. Blacks influence MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K1b.
What about BLACKS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 310 | 575 | 623 | 34 | 3 |

```
==============================
V001439 K1c. Hispanics influence
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    K1c.
    What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?
    (Would you say they have too much influence, just about
    the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
    1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
==============================
V001440 K1d. Asian-Americans influence
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K1d.
What about ASIAN AMERICANS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 85 | 586 | 771 | 100 | 13 |

```
V001441 K1e. Jews influence
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    K1e.
    What about JEWS?
    (Would you say they have too much influence, just about
    the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
    -------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
    2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
    3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 252 & 223 & 854 & 351 & 111 & 16
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001442 K1f. Protestants influence
V001442 K1f. Protestants influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
K1f.
What about PROTESTANTS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 135 | 1012 | 221 | 171 | 16 |

==============================
Numeric

```

K1g.
What about CATHOLICS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 250 & 1050 & 143 & 97 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
===============================
V001444 K1h. Men influence MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K1h.
What about MEN?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LItTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 830 & 608 & 81 & 27 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001445 & K1j. Women influence \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

K1j.
And the last group is WOMEN.
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}

K2.
Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.
```

==============================
V001446a K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
The first name is TRENT LOTT. What job or political office does he NOW hold?
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 782 & 85 & 260 & 680 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K2a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"] Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1．CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5．IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8．R makes no attempt to guess
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，R selected for K2a；no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1277 & 50 & 167 & 311 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

K2ax．

The first name is TRENT LOTT． What job or political office does he NOW hold？

SUMMARY：TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION
［DON＇T PROBE DON＇T KNOWS］／
［PROBE DON＇T KNOWS WITH，＂WELL，WHAT＇S YOUR BEST GUESS？＂］ Built from K2a／K2a．E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a－K2d battery without＂Don＇t Know＂probes or the K2a．E－K2d．E battery with＂Don＇t Know＂probes．

1．CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5．IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8．R makes no attempt to guess
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 135 & 427 & 991 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001448 K2a1．E．Identify Trent Lott－DK probe used MD：EQ 0
Numeric
K2a1．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS：
The first name is TRENT LOTT． What job or political office does he NOW hold？

CHECKPOINT：LOTT－PROBE USED？
［PROBE DON＇T KNOWS WITH，＂WELL，WHAT＇S YOUR BEST GUESS？＂］ Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a－K2d battery without＂Don＇t Know＂probes or the K2a．E－K2d．E battery with＂Don＇t Know＂probes．

1．YES
5．NO
0．NA；INAP，R selected for K2a；no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1279 & 241 & 287
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001449a K2b．Identify William Rehnquist－standard MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

K2b．
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS：
```

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b.E; no Post interview

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 782 & 98 & 297 & 630 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001449b K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

K2b．E．
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS：
WILLIAM REHNQUIST［PRON：Renn－kwist］
（What job or political office does he NOW hold？）
［PROBE DON＇T KNOWS WITH，＂WELL，WHAT＇S YOUR BEST GUESS？＂］
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
```

    K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
    battery with "Don't Know" probes.
    1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
    5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
    8.R makes no attempt to guess
    9.RF
    0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview
    ```


```

V001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K2bx.
WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2b/K2b.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 164 | 486 | 904 |  |

==============================
V001451 K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
K2b1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

```
```

    [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
        Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
        K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
        battery with "Don't Know" probes.
    1. YES
    5. NO
    0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----1279 | ---- | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001452a K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
V001452a K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    K2c.
    IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
    TONY BLAIR
    (What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
    [DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
    Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
    K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
    battery with "Don't Know" probes.
    1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
        BRITAIN
    5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
    8.R makes no attempt to guess
    9.RF
    0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview
```



```
V001452b K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
K2C.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
```

```
            [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
            Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
            K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
            battery with "Don't Know" probes.
            1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
                BRITAIN
                    5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
                    8.R makes no attempt to guess
                    9.RF
                        0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & --- & ---- \\
Count & 1277 & 189 & 69 & 271 & 1
\end{tabular}
==============================
                Numeric
    K2cx.
            TONY BLAIR
            (What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
            SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION
            [DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
            [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
            Built from K2c/K2c.E
            Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
            K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
            battery with "Don't Know" probes.
            1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
            BRITAIN
            5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
            8.R makes no attempt to guess
            9.RF
            0.NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 536 & 142 & 875 & 2
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001454 K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    K2c1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
```

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1278 | 218 | 311 |

```
==============================
V001455a K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K2d.
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
$\qquad$
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview


```
V001455b K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K2d.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"] Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES 5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 1277 | 296 | 108 | 125 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    K2dx.
    JANET RENO
    (What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
    SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION
    [DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
    [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
    Built from K2d/K2d.E
    Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
    K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
    battery with "Don't Know" probes.
    1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
    5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
    8.R makes no attempt to guess
    9.RF
    0.NA; INAP, no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 857 | 273 | 421 | 4 |

=============================
V001457 K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1278 | 130 | 399 |

K3.
Next, I'd like to ask you about the candidates who ran for President and their running mates. We're interested in some of the things that people may have heard about these candidates. The first candidate I'd like to ask you about is George W. Bush.

```
===============================
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K3a.
What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 2 | 17 | 1393 |
|  |  | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 1 | 36 | 105 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001459 K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
    K3a1.
        CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 145 & 1410 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001460 & K3b. What is Bush's religion \\
& MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
K3b.
What is George W. Bush's religion?
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 15 & 236 & 125 & 5 \\
& 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 621 & 552 & 253 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001461 & K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer \\
& MD: EQ 0
\end{tabular}
K3b1.
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
```

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 255 | 673 | 879 |

K4.
Now take Al Gore

```
==============================
V001462 K4a. What state is Gore from
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    K4a.
        What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?
            [PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
            1. CONNECTICUT
            2. TENNESSEE
            3. TEXAS
            4. WYOMING
            7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
    8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 19 | 1053 | 12 |
|  |  | 4 | 7 | 8 |


| $==========$ | V================== |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001463 | K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

K4a1.
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 300 | 1254 |


| ========== | $==================$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001464 | K4b. What is Gore's religion |
|  | MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

K4b.
What is Al Gore's religion?
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NONE

1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
5. DK
6. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 14 | 255 | 102 | 10 |


| V001465 K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview


K5.
What about Dick Cheney.

```
==============================
V001466 K5a. In what state does Cheney live
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    K5a.
            What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?
            --------------------------------------------------------------------
            [PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
            1. CONNECTICUT
            2. TENNESSEE
            3. TEXAS
            4. WYOMING
            7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 252 & 21 & 7 & 145 \\
& 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 288 & 270 & 823 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

```
V001467 K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

K5a1.
CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 253 | 751 | ---- |


| $===========================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001468 | K5b. What is Cheney's religion |
|  | MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 |

```
Numeric
    K5b.
    What is Dick Cheney's religion?
    [PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
    0. NONE
    1. BAPTIST
    2. METHODIST
    3. JEWISH
    7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
    8. DK
    9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 16 & 64 & 59 & 13 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 503 & 899 & 253 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001469 & K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer \\
& MD: EQ 0 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
    K5b1.
        CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
        1. YES
        5. NO
        0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 254 & 771 & 782
\end{tabular}
```

K6.

And Joseph Lieberman.

```
==============================
V001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K6a.

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 473 | 16 | 11 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 5 | 355 | 694 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001471 K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    K6a1.
    CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 614 | 941 |

==============================
V001472 K6b. What is Lieberman's religion MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

K6b.
What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NONE

1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
5. DK
6. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | -----18 | ---- |
|  | 2 | 18 | 6 | 1083 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |


| $==============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001473 | K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
2. NO
3. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 252 | 319 | 1236 |

```
==============================
V001474 K7. Volunteer work in last year
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K7.
Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 665 | 890 |

```
V001475
K8. Are people trustworthy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K8.
Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?
```

$\qquad$

```
1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 816 & 728 & 9 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly
V001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
K9.
Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
2. TRY TO BE FAIR
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 252 | 467 | 1072 | 15 | 1 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001477 K10. People helpful or selfish
V001477 K10. People helpful or selfish
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
        Numeric
K10.
Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?
1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES
```

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 1002 | 537 | 16 |

```
V001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrm homosexu
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

K11.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

1. FAVOR
2. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
3. DK --> SKIP TO K12
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | --- | ---- | ---- | --- |
| Count | 252 | 993 | 480 | 59 | 23 |

```
==============================
V001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    K11a.
    IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
            Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?
            1. FAVOR STRONGLY --> K12
            5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY --> K12
            8. DK --> K12
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K11
                \(\begin{array}{cccr}0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\ --- & --- & --- & ---\end{array}\)
            \begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
    <br>
Count \& ---- \& ----- \& ----- \& ---- <br>
\hline \& 814 \& 597 \& 394 \& 2
\end{tabular}

==============================
V001480 K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Numeric

K11b.
IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
2. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1327 | 278 | 200 | ---- |



Bult from K11 and K11a/b

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
3. Disapprove not strongly
4. Disapprove strongly
5. DK in K11 or K11a/b
6. RF in K11 or K11a/b
7. NA; INAP, no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 597 | 394 | 200 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 9 |

K12/K12.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6 .

TELEPHONE:
Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. Still others say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished.

```
==============================
V001482 K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

K12a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?
. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 39 | 76 | 70 | 80 | 146 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 83 | 64 | 134 | 1 | 1114 |


| $==========$ | $==================$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001482a | K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |

K12a.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?
$\qquad$

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME --> K12a1.T
2. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED --> K12a2.T
3. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN --> K12a3.T
4. DK
--> K13a3.T
5. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> K13a3.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 40 | 342 | 211 | 265 | 2 | 947 |

$\begin{array}{ll}============================= \\ \text { V001483 K12a1. T. How much btr is approach -adressing social } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}$ Numeric

K12a1.T.
IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1465 | 214 | 127 | 1 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001484 | K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:
TELEPHONE:
Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?


1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| count | 1596 | 106 | 102 | 2 |  |

```
V001485 K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

K12a3.T.
IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
3. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1500 | 156 | 107 | 37 |  |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001486 | K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

K12ax1.T.
TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure
criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this? (IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12a.T, K12a1.T, K12a2.T, K12a3.T.

1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADRESSING PROBLEMS
4. IN-BETWEEN
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
10. Haven't thought much

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 9 | 214 | 128 | 156 | 34 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 107 | 105 | 106 | 1 | 947 |

```
==============================
V001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

K12ax2.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure
criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that

```
we should do something in between, or haven't you
thought much about this?
(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that
addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather
than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and
punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to
reduce crime?
(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure
criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather
than addressing the social problems that cause crime is
a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce
crime?
(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to
choose, which way would you say is better at reducing
crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or
crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted,
and punished?
```

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12 and K12ax1
Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-toface 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
10. Haven't thought much

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 48 | 290 | 198 | 236 | 180 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 190 | 169 | 240 | 2 | 254 |

=============================
V001487 K13. How worried is $R$ about nuclear war
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K13.
How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried,
somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
2. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
3. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 947 | 69 | 306 | 484 | 1 |

=============================
V001488 K14. How worried is $R$ about conventional war MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K14.
How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?
$\qquad$

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
2. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
3. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1112 | 72 | 300 | 322 | 1 |

K15.
Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. Some people believe that we should protect government from excessive influence by campaign contributors. Others think that protecting the freedom of individuals to financially support political candidates and parties is more important.

```
V001489 K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    K15a.
    Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you
    thought much about this?
1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 561 & 740 & 239 & 14 & 253 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001490 K16. How should the financing of campaigns change
V001490 K16. How should the financing of campaigns change
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
K16.
In general, which of the following statements best
represents what you feel about the way political
campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be
completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it
needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it
is?
------------------------------------------------------------------
1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
3. MAJOR CHANGES
5. MINOR CHANGES
7. FINE THE WAY IT IS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 252 | 241 | 626 | 413 |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |

==============================
V001491 L5. Worked on community issue in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L5.

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & ------- & ---- \\
& 252 & 407 & 1146 & 2
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001492 L6. Contacted public official to express in last year
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

L6.
During the past twelve months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & --- & ---- \\
& 252 & 321 & 1232 & ---- \\
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001493 L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7.
During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 252 & 427 & 1127 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001494 L8. Is R a member of any organizations
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L8．

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to．There are labor unions，associations of people who do the same kinds of work，fraternal groups such as Lions or Kinterviewanis，hobby clubs or sports teams， groups working on political issues，community groups， and school groups．Of course，there are lots of other types of organizations，too．Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue，are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations？

1．YES
5．NO－－＞L9

8．DK－－＞L9
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & --- \\
& 252 & 645 & 910
\end{tabular}

V001495 L8a．How many organizations is R currently a member MD1：EQ 98，MD2：GE 99 Numeric

L8a．
How many organizations are you currently a member of？

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 15 & 20 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 1 & 1162 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001496 \begin{tabular}{l} 
L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org \\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}

L8b.
IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:
If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:
96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1526 & 147 & 37 & 16 & 7 & 10 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 12 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 8 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 10 & 3 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 20 & 24 & 40 & 80 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 96 & 98 & 99 & & & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 24 & 2 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001497 L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L8c.
IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:
Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?
----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1526 & 105 & 170 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

L8d.
IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:
Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?

1. YES --> L9
5. NO --> L9
8. DON'T KNOW --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & --- & ---- \\
& 1526 & 92 & 184 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001499 & L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L8bb .
IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:
If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?
\(\qquad\)

Number of hours coded and:
96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1443 & 110 & 47 & 33 & 30 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| Count | 28 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Count | 15 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 16 | 20 | 25 | 35 | 40 |
| Count | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 50 | 72 | 90 | 96 | 98 |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 6 |

```

```

L8cc.
IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |
|  | 1443 | 217 | 147 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001501 | L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce schools |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

L8dd.
IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

```
\(\qquad\)
```

1. YES
2. NO
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a
```



L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:
If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS

Number of hours coded and:
96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1162 & 257 & 84 & 49 & 37 & 38 \\
\hline & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 12 \\
\hline Count & 19 & 3 & 16 & 2 & 25 & 5 \\
\hline & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 20 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 1 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 13 & 1 \\
\hline & 25 & 35 & 40 & 50 & 72 & 80 \\
\hline Count & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline & 90 & 96 & 98 & 99 & & \\
\hline Count & 1 & 43 & 30 & 2 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001503 & L8x2. Summary org influence schools \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:
Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence

\section*{government?/}

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, \(0,98,99\) in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1162 & 322 & 317 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{V001504} & L8x3. Summary org influence government \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

L8x3.
IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:
Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools/
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1162 & 259 & 380 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V001505 } & \text { L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

L9.
Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 1234 & 320 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001506 L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    L10.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 252 & 49 & 1506
\end{tabular}
 Numeric

L10a.
IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:
About how many times was that -- once, two or three
times, or four times or more?
1. ONCE
2. TWO OR THREE
4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10


M3/M3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 6 in the booklet.
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular} Numeric

M3а/M3a.T.
'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& \(-1---\) & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 561 & 491 & 188 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001509 & M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

M3b/M3b.T.

FTF：
（Still looking at page 6 in the booklet．）
＇Over the past few years，blacks have gotten less than they deserve．＇
（Do you agree strongly，agree somewhat，neither agree nor disagree，disagree somewhat，or disagree strongly with this statement？）

TELEPHONE：
＇Over the past few years，blacks have gotten less than they deserve．＇
Do you agree strongly，agree somewhat，neither agree nor disagree，disagree somewhat，or disagree strongly with this statement？

1．AGREE STRONGLY
2．AGREE SOMEWHAT
3．NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4．DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5．DISAGREE STRONGLY

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 112 & 348 & 273 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001510 M3c／M3c．T．If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

M3c／M3c．T．

FTF：
（Still looking at page 6 in the booklet．）
＇It＇s really a matter of some people not trying hard enough；if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites．
（Do you agree strongly，agree somewhat，neither agree nor disagree，disagree somewhat，or disagree strongly with this statement？）

TELEPHONE：
＇It＇s really a matter of some people not trying hard enough；if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites．＇ （Do you agree strongly，agree somewhat，neither agree nor disagree，disagree somewhat，or disagree strongly with this statement？）
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 351 & 427 & 251 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 331 & 172 & 14 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
==========ー=================== \\
V001511 & M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

M3d/M3d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 170 & 447 & 168 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001512 N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
    Numeric

N1.
Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government. Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?
1. YES
5. NO --> N2
8. DK --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 960 & 583 & 10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

=============================
V001513 N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

N1a.
IF R HAS AN OPINION:
What is your feeling, do you think the government is getting too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL
--> SKIP TO N1b
5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO N2
8. DK
--> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 847 & 622 & 293 & 38 & 6 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001514 N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

N1a1．
IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG：

Do you think the government should become more powerful
or should it stay the way it is？
\(\qquad\)

1．BECOME MORE POWERFUL－－＞N1b
5．STAY THE WAY IT IS－－＞N2

8．DK－－＞N2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，7，8，9， 0 in N1a
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1514 & 62 & 229 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ニ=ニニ=ニニニ=ーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーーー
V001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

N1b．
IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a／
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1：
Which party do you think is more likely to favor a powerful government in Washington－－the Democrats，the Republicans，or wouldn＇t there be much difference between them on this？

1．DEMOCRATS
3．REPUBLICANS
5．NO DIFFERENCE
8．DON＇T KNOW
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，7，8，9， 0 in N1a；5，8， 9 or NA in N1a1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1123 & 324 & 105 & 247 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

N2／N2．T．
FTF：
Please continue looking at page 6 of the booklet．I＇d

> like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time and please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V001516 & N2a/N2a.T. Does \(R\) have good undrstdg of pol issues \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
        ND1: EQ 0, MD2. GE 8
        Numeric
    N2a/N2a.T.
    ' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the
    important political issues facing our country.
    Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
        disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
        this statement?
        1. AGREE STRONGLY
        2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
        3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
        4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
    5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
        8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ----- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
Count & 252 & 287 & 725 & 200 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
============================
V001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
\(\qquad\)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & \(-\ldots--\) \\
Count & 252 & 205 & 379 & 226 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    N2c/N2c.T.
    FTF:
    (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
    I feel that \(I\) could do as good a job in public office
as most other people. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I feel that \(I\) could do as good a job in public office as most other people. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
```

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

```
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 191 & 322 & 211
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 421 & 404 & 5 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


N2d/N2d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ----- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 147 & 381 & 299 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 428 & 292 & 7 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001520 & N3. Does R believe their vote matters \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

N3.
So many other people vote in the national election that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
question not implemented at time of interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 357 & 72 & 86 & 79 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}

P1/P1.T.
FTF:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Next, I'd like to ask you about equal rights.
I am going to read several more statements. After each
one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read several statements about equal
rights. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:
=============================
V001521 P1a/P1a.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P1a/P1a.T.
' Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. ' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
\(\qquad\)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
```

8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 253 | 905 | 392 | 91 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

```
```

========-====================

```
========-====================
V001522 P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
V001522 P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
            Numeric
        P1b/P1b.T.
        FTF:
        (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
        ' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this
        country.'
        (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
        disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
        this statement?)
        TELEPHONE:
        ' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this
        country.'
        Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
        disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
        this statement?
        --------------------------------------------------------------------
        1. AGREE STRONGLY
        2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
        3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
        4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
        5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 253 & 209 & 385 & 215 \\
& & & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001523 P1c/P1c.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
V001523 P1c/P1c.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Numeric
```

P1c/P1c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 267 | 458 | 174 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 444 | 204 | 5 | 2 |


| ========== | $===================$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001524 | P1d/P1d.T. Better if we worried less about equality |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

P1d/P1d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 253 | 248 | 428 | 224 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

```
==============================
V001525 P1e/P1e.T. OK if some people have more chances than
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

        P1e/P1e.T.
        FTF:
        (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
        ' It is not really that big a problem if some people
        have more of a chance in life than others.
        (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
        disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
        this statement?)
    TELEPHONE:
    'It is not really that big a problem if some people have
    more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 120 | 349 | 255 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 481 | 338 | 9 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001526 P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    P1f/P1f.T.
        FTF:
        (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
        ' If people were treated more equally in this country we
would have many fewer problems.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)
TELEPHONE:
'If people were treated more equally in this country we
would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 253 & 429 & 544 & 176 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

Q1Q1.T.
FTF:
(Again looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

TELEPHONE:
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001527 | Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q1a/Q1a.T.
Public officials don't care much what people like me think.
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
$\qquad$

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 286 | 564 | 163 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 436 | 98 | 6 | 1 |


| V001528 | Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 <br> Numeric |
| :---: | :---: |

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet) ' People like me don't have any say about what the government does.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does.
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?


1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 227 | 380 | 129 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 596 | 216 | 5 | 1 |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001529 | Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q1c/Q1c.T.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated
that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
----------------------------------------------------------------------

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 253 | 319 | 574 | 108 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

Q2/Q2.T.
FTF:
(Still on page 6)
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

TELEPHONE:
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, $I$ would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is .

```
==============================
V001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
                        Numeric
```

    Q2a/Q2a.T.
        ' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown
        of our society.
        Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
        disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
        this statement?
    -------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. AGREE STRONGLY
    2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
    3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
    4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
    5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
    8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 253 | 464 | 517 | 202 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

=============================
V001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 211 | 410 | 142 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 361 | 424 | 2 | 4 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001532 | Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q2c/Q2c.T.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 866 | 466 | 89 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 89 | 38 | 4 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Q2d/Q2d.T.
    FTF:
    (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
    ' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live
    according to their own moral standards, even if they are
    very different from our own. '
    (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
    disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
    this statement?)
    TELEPHONE:
    ' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live
    according to their own moral standards, even if they
    are very different from our own. '
    (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
    disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
    this statement?)
    
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 361 | 605 | 216 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 225 | 138 | 5 | 4 |

Q3/Q3.T.
FTF:
(Not using the booklet)
People have different ideas about the government in

Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

TELEPHONE:
People have different ideas about the government in Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For
example:

```
==============================
V001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

Q3a.
How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?

1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
4. NEVER [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ----- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 253 | 64 | 615 | 850 |
|  |  | 4 | 8 | 9 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste |  |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q4.
Do you think that people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

1. WASTE A LOT
2. WASTE SOME
3. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 922 | 585 | 34 | 12 | 1 |

```
==============================
V001536 Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

    Q5.
    Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few
big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
2. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 955 | 518 | 69 | 12 |

```
==============================
V001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

Q6.
Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
2. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
3. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 553 | 772 | 198 | 27 |  |

=============================
V001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

```
Numeric
```

Q7.
How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
2. SOME
3. NOT MUCH
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 253 | 735 | 613 | 96 | 10 |


| $=============================$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001539 | Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Q8.
Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
2. SOME
3. NOT MUCH
4. DK
5. RF
-. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 254 | 263 | 978 | 1 | 11 |

R1/R1.T.
FTF:
Looking at page 7 of the booklet, here is a list of groups. Please read over the list and tell me the number of those groups you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of groups. For each, please tell me if it is a group you feel particularly close to
-- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

```
==============================
V001540 R1a. Close to whites FTF
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    R1a.
    FTF:
    WHITES
CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----113 | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1113 | 463 | 231 |

```
==============================
V001541 R1a.T. Close to whites phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    R1a.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to
        whites?
    Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 948 | 743 | 97 | 9 | 10 |

[^0]```
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
```

R1b.
FTF:
POOR PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE


Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | ---- |
|  | 1113 | 208 | 486 |

```
===============================
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    R1b.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        (What about) poor people
        (Do you feel particularly close to them?)
            Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in
            the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
            although administered FTF.
            1. Yes
            5. No
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 530 | 310 | 10 |  |

=============================
V001544 R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1c.

FTF:
ASIAN AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $---{ }_{c}$ | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1113 | 67 | 627 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001545 | R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R1c.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) Asian Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 269 | 558 | 20 | 12 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001546 | R1d. Close to liberals FTF |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

R1d.
FTF:
LIBERALS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1113 | 118 | 576 |

=============================
V001547 R1d.T. Close to liberals phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

R1d.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) liberals
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
$\qquad$
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 322 | 492 | 37 | 8 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001548 | R1e. Close to elderly FTF |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

R1e.
FTF:
THE ELDERLY
CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by R
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
| 1113 | 311 | ---- |  |

```
V001549 R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

R1e.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |

```
V001550 R1f. Close to blacks FTF
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

R1f.

FTF :
BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1113 | 139 | 555 |

```
V001551 R1f.T. Close to blacks phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
R1f.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
```

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview



R1g.
FTF:
LABOR UNIONS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 1113 | 89 | 605 |

```
==============================
V001553 R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

R1g.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) labor unions
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

Count

| 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| --- | $--l^{2}$ |
| 948 | 217 |
| $===========$ |  |
| ose to feminists FTF |  |
| 0 |  |

```
==============================
V001554 R1h. Close to feminists FTF
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

R1h.
FTF:
FEMINISTS
CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

Count 111

| 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ---- | --- | ---- |
| 1113 | 85 | 609 |

============================
V001555 R1h.T. Close to feminists phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1h.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) feminists
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 245 | 577 | 27 | 10 |

```
==============================
V001556 R1j. Close to southerners FTF
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    R1j.
FTF:
SOUTHERNERS
CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by \(R\)
5. Not mentioned by \(R\)
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1113 & 113 & 81 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001557 R1j.T. Close to southerners phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
R1j.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
```

Note：cases coded 7 in Post－Admin． 1 （V000126）were administered in the wrong mode．In several of these cases，the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF．

1．Yes
5．No

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，FTF mode；no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 948 | 438 | 392 | 17 | 12 |

=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001558 R1k. Close to business people FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1k．

FTF：
BUSINESS PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP－BUSINESS PEOPLE

Note：cases coded 7 in Post－Admin．1（V000126）were administered in the wrong mode．In several of these cases，the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF．

1．Mentioned by $R$
5．Not mentioned by $R$
0．NA to entire question；INAP，Phone mode；no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニー
V001559 R1k．T．Close to business people phone MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

R1k．T．

TELEPHONE：
（What about）business people
（Do you feel particularly close to them？）

Note：cases coded 7 in Post－Admin．1（V000126）were administered in the wrong mode．In several of these cases，the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF．

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 521 | 323 | 7 | 8 |


| ===ニ========================== |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001560 | R1m. Close to young people FTF |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

R1m.
FTF:
YOUNG PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1113 | 318 | 376 |

=============================
V001561 R1m.T. Close to young people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1m.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) young people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 948 | 695 | 148 | 9 | 7 |



```
V001562 R1n. Close to conservatives FTF
        MD: EQ O
        Numeric
    R1n.
```

    FTF:
    CONSERVATIVES
    CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES
    Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
    the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
        although administered FTF.
    1. Mentioned by \(R\)
    5. Not mentioned by \(R\)
    0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----113 | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1113 | 187 | 507 |

```
==============================
V001563 R1n.T. Close to conservatives phone
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

    R1n.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        (What about) conservatives
        (Do you feel particularly close to them?)
            Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
        the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
        although administered FTF.
            1. Yes
            5. No
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
    | 0 |
| :---: |
|  |  |


| Count | 948 | 489 | 334 | 30 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
==============================
V001564 R1p. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
    R1p.
    FTF:
HISPANIC-AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS
```

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------ | ---- |
|  | 1113 | 117 | 577 |

```
==============================
V001565 R1p.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
```

    R1p.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        (What about) Hispanic-Americans
    (Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 948 | 354 | 470 | 23 | 12 |

[^1]```
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
```

R1q.
FTF:
WOMEN
CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN


Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1113 | 376 | 318 |

=============================
V001567 R1q.T. Close to women phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

R1q.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) women
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 750 | 95 | 7 | 7 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001568 | R1r. Close to working-class FTF |
|  | MD: EQ 0 |
|  | Numeric |

R1r.

FTF:
WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1113 | 437 | 57 |

```
V001569 R1r.T. Close to working-class phone
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    R1r.T.
        TELEPHONE:
        (What about) working-class people
        (Do you feel particularly close to them?)
```

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 948 | 799 | 48 | 7 | 5 |

```
V001570 R1s .Close to middle-class FTF
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
```

R1s.

FTF:
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- |
| Count | 1113 | 453 | 241 |

```
==============================
V001571 R1s.T. Close to middle-class phone
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    R1s.T.
    TELEPHONE:
    (What about) middle-class people
    (Do you feel particularly close to them?)
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & --- & --- \\
& 948 & 782 & 63 & 9 & 5
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001572 R1t. Close to men FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
R1t.
```

FTF:
MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by $R$
2. Not mentioned by $R$
3. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview


| ============================ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001573 | R1t.T. Close to men phone |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R1t.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about) men
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin. 1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 948 | 701 | 144 | 8 | 6 |

R2/R2.T.
FTF:
Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.
Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.
In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4
means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

TELEPHONE:
Now I have some questions about different groups in our
society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4
means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

```
==============================
V001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

R2a/R2a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1 to 7 , where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ----- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 255 | 193 | 338 | 329 | 536 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

=============================
V001575 R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7 ? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

## HARDWORKNG

2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 64 | 97 | 241 | 659 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 278 | 112 | 50 | 25 | 26 |

==============================
V001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
R2c/R2c.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7 ?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4
indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer
to one end or the other.)
HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 131 | 196 | 311 | 571 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 166 | 67 | 32 | 52 | 26 |

==============================
V001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
R2d/R2d.T.
FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 268 | 393 | 295 | 363 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

## R3/R3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent."

TELEPHONE:
The next set of questions ask about intelligence.
Again, please imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "intelligent." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "unintelligent." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

| Count | 79 | 39 | 23 | 64 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $============================$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001578 | R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R3a/R3a.T.
FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7 , where 1

```
indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4
indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the
other.
INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 255 & 210 & 345 & 344 & 528 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 45 & 17 & 8 & 23 & 32 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001579 R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    R3b/R3b.T.
FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where
1 indicates intelligent, }7\mathrm{ means unintelligent,
and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or
the other.)
INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS
The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. INTELLIGENT
2.
```

3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 89 | 132 | 275 | 692 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 207 | 68 | 32 | 25 | 32 |

=============================
V001580 R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-Americ MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7 ? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
|  | 255 | 85 | 117 | 279 | 701 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

```
V001581 R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7 ? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 208 | 337 | 330 | 469 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 72 | 28 | 17 | 57 | 34 |

## R4/R4.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 10 of the booklet. The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "trustworthy" or "untrustworthy."

TELEPHONE:
Thinking about trustworthiness as a general group characteristic, please imagine a seven point scale again. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be trustworthy. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are untrustworthy. A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

```
==============================
V001582 R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

R4a/R4a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7 , where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES
$\qquad$

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 128 | 283 | 373 | 594 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 73 | 30 | 13 | 25 | 33 |

```
==============================
V001583 R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    R4b/R4b.T.
        FTF:
        (Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
        Where would you rate blacks on this scale?
        TELEPHONE:
        Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where
        1 indicates trustworthy, }7\mathrm{ means untrustworthy, and 4
        indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the
        other.)
    TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS
```

    The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were
    administered was randomized.
    Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
        collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
        the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
        variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
        "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
        variable Rand.A4/A5].
            TRUSTWORTHY
        2.
        3.
        4.
        5.
        6.
        7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 57 | 114 | 260 | 713 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 201 | 93 | 47 | 34 | 33 |

=============================
V001584 R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
R4c/R4c.T.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet), Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7 ? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

## . TRUSTWORTHY

2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 255 | 61 | 130 | 262 | 726 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001585 | R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

R4d/R4d.T.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet), Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 84 | 211 | 308 | 677 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 105 | 38 | 25 | 70 | 34 |

R5.
Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

| V001586 | R5a. Independence or respect for elders MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric |
| :---: | :---: |

R5a.
Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS


1. INDEPENDENCE
2. BOTH [VOL]
3. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
4. NEITHER [VOL]
```
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 255 & 233 & 230 & 1084
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 7 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1 & 3 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001587 & R5b. Obedience or self-reliance \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
R5b.
(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE
1. OBEDIENCE
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. SELF-RELIANCE
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 255 & 814 & 214 & 516 & 2 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001588 R5c. Curiosity or good manners MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
R5c.
(Please tell me which one you think is more important
for a child to have:)
CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS
1. CURIOSITY
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. GOOD MANNERS
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 446 | 269 | 832 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |

```
==============================
V001589 R5d. Considerate or well behaved
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    R5d.
    (Please tell me which one you think is more important
    for a child to have:)
BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

1. BEING CONSIDERATE
2. BOTH
3. WELL BEHAVED
4. NEITHER [VOL]
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 255 | 804 | 355 | 389 |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Count | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |

S0.
Now we'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

```
==============================
V001590 S1. Budget deficit lar/smal since }199
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

S1.
As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

```
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO S1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S2
8. DK --> SKIP TO S2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- |
| Count | 1016 | 487 | 93 | 157 | 54 |

```
V001591 S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

S1a.
IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
$\qquad$

1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2
2. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2
3. DK --> SKIP TO S2
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ------- | ---- |  |
| Count | 1320 | 230 | 254 | 3 |

=============================

V001591a S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S1b.
IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

1. MUCH LARGER
2. SOMEWHAT LARGER
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1714 | 31 | 60 | 2 |

```
==============================
V001592 S1x. Summary - budget deficit since 92
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    S1x.
```

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from S1 and S2a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1016 | 230 | 254 | 157 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |



S1×1.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
（Would you say that the budget deficit is）much larger or somewhat larger？

COMB SUMMARY：BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x．
1．Much smaller
2．Somewhat smaller
3．About the same
4．Somewhat larger
5．Much larger

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 129 | 470 | 502 | 360 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 138 | 75 | 132 | 1 |

```
ニニニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニ=
V001593 S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
```

    S2.
    Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
    increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
    in 1992?
    Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
    retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
    and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
    for the retrospective battery in the Post election
    instrument they were assigned to administration in the
    Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
    1. INCREASED
    3. DECREASED
    5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S3
    8. DK --> SKIP TO S3
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
    |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1016 | 288 | 162 | 274 | 67 |

```
V001594 S2a. Spend poor a lot/somwhat more/less
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    S2a.
    IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/
    IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:
    A lot or somewhat?
    1. A LOT
    5. SOMEWHAT
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2
```



```
==============================
```

==============================
V001595 S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
V001595 S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
S2x.
Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A little or a lot?
SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
Built from S2 and S2a.
1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1016 | 64 | 222 | 274 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |  |
| Count | 125 | 37 | 69 |  |

```
```

================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S2\times1.
Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A little or a lot?
COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.
1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 129 | 145 | 478 | 549 |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 8 |

```

```

V001596 S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    S3.
    Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's
    economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S3b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1016 & 563 & 40 & 172 & 16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001597 S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S3a.
IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1244 & 310 & 252 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001598 & S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S3b.
IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & ------- & --- \\
& 1767 & 12 & 28
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001599 S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S3x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's
economy is better, worse, or about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1016 & 310 & 252 & ---- \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 28 & 12 & 172
\end{tabular}


S3x1.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Count & 129 & 682 & 513 & 357 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
Count & 65 & 34 & 27 &
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001600 S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    S4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK
--> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
& --- & ---- & --- & ---- & --- \\
Count & 1016 & 419 & 21 & 327 & 24
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V001601 & S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

S4a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:
Much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

```

V001602 S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse

```
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

S4b.
IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1786 & 6 & ----
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001603 & S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S4x.
Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & \begin{tabular}{c}
--- \\
1016
\end{tabular} & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& & 192 & 227 & 327 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 &
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 15 & 6 & 24\end{array}\)
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V001603a \begin{tabular}{l} 
S4x1．Summary pre \＆post－Clinton made econ \\
\\
\end{tabular} MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8

S4x1．
Since 1992，would you say President Clinton has made the nation＇s economy better，made the economy worse， or had no effect on the economy one way or the other？
Much better or somewhat better？／
Much worse or somewhat worse？
COMB．SUMMARY：CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x．
1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．No effect
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 129 & 434 & 487 & 648 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 41 & 21 & 47 &
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001604 S5．Clinton admin hurt／help \(R\) personally MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S5．
Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration，or hasn＇t it affected you one way or the other？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．

1．HELPED
3．HURT
5．NOT AFFECTED

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview；not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\multirow{3}{c}{ Count } & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1016 & 163 & 61 & 558 & 9
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001604a S5x．Clinton admin hurt／help R economically？
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

S5x．
Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration，or hasn＇t it affected you one way or the other？


Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5．
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．

1．HELPED
3．HURT
5．NOT AFFECTED
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 129 & 352 & 136 & 1166 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
V001605 S6．U．S．more／less secure since 1992
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
Numeric

S6．
Would you say that compared to 1992，the United States
is more secure from its foreign enemies，less
secure，or hasn＇t this changed very much？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects
and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．

1．MORE SECURE
3．LESS SECURE－－＞SKIP TO S6b
5．NO CHANGE－－＞SKIP TO S7

8．DK－－＞SKIP TO－＞S7
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview；not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1016 & 202 & 223 & 346 & 19 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001606 S6a．U．S．much／somewhat more secure MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S6a．
IF U．S．MORE SECURE SINCE 1992：
（Would you say）much more secure or somewhat more secure？

1．MUCH MORE SECURE－－＞SKIP TO S7
5．SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE－－＞SKIP TO S7
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO S7
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9， 0 in S6
Count \begin{tabular}{ccc}
---- & ---- & ---- \\
1605 & 61 & 141
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
V001607 & S6b．U．S．much／somewhat less secure \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
\end{tabular}

S6b．
IF U．S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992：
（Would you say）much less secure or somewhat less secure？

1．MUCH LESS SECURE
5．SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，5，8，9， 0 in S6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1584 & 99 & 124 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001608 & S6x. Summary - U.S. security \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S6x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less
secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?
SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1016 & 61 & 141 & 346 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S6x1.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States
is more secure from its foreign enemies, less
secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?
COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.

1．Much more secure
2．Somewhat more secure
3．No change
4．Somewhat less secure
5．Much less secure

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 129 & 132 & 285 & 725 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 277 & 206 & 52 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

V001609 S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies， less secure，or hasn＇t it made much difference either way？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．

1．MORE SECURE
3．LESS SECURE－－＞SKIP TO S7b
5．NO CHANGE－－＞SKIP TO S8
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO S8
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview；not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1016 & 185 & 198 & 382 & 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001610 S7a．Clinton made much／smwht more secure MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S7a．

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?
1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8
8. DK --> SKIP T0 S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Count } & 1622 & 63\end{array}\)
```

V001611 S7b. Clinton made much/smwhat less secur
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S7b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?
1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{S7}\)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1609 & 91 & 107
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}============================ \\ \text { V001612 } & \text { S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

S7x.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made
the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either
way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?
SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1016 & 63 & 122 & 382 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}

```

V001612a S7x1. Summ pre \& post- Clinton impact on secur
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S7x1.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?
COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ----- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 129 & 136 & 241 & 831 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001613 S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92

```
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Numeric

```

S8．
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation＇s crime rate has gotten better，gotten worse，or stayed about the same？

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．

1．BETTER
3．WORSE－－＞SKIP TO S8b
5．THE SAME \(-->\) SKIP TO S9

8．DK－－＞SKIP TO S9
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview；not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1016 & 294 & 220 & 256 & 21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝}

V001614 S8a．U．S．crime rate much／smwht better MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S8a．

IF U．S．CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992：
（Would you say）much better or somewhat better？

1．MUCH BETTER－－＞SKIP TO S9
5．SOMEWHAT BETTER－－＞SKIP TO S9
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO S9
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9， 0 in S8
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & --- & --- & ---- \\
& 1513 & 79 & 214 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
V001615 & S8b．U．S．crime rate much／smwht worse \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S8b．

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

Count
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline 1587 & 82 & 138 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{mmary - U.S. crime rate 0, MD2: GE 8}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

S8x.
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1016 & 79 & 214 & 256 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 138 & 82 & 22 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001616a & \begin{tabular}{l} 
S8x1. Summary pre \& post - U.S. crime rate \\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

S8x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q 8 x and Post S 8 x .
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 129 & 161 & 458 & 516 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
\hline Count & 276 & 220 & 47 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001617 & S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S9.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
\(\qquad\)

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Count & 1016 & 190 & 65 & 508 & 27 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001618 S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht bettr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S9a.
IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:
Much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

```
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1617 & 50 & 140
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001619 & S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht worse \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
s9b.
IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S 9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1742 & 24 & 41 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


S9x.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or
```

hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

```
SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE
Built from S9, S9a, S9b.
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1016 & 50 & 140 & 508 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 41 & 24 & 27 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V001620a & S9x1.Summ pre \& post - Clinton impact on crime \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

S9x1.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made
the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0 . NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 129 & 122 & 301 & 1051 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 77 & 60 & 66 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001621 S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

S10.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
\(\qquad\)

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP T0 S11
8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1016 & 58 & 412 & 307 & 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001622 & S10a.Moral climate much/smwht better \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S10a.
IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1749 & 11 & 47 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S10b.
IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | $---{ }_{c}$ | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1395 | 204 | 208 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
V001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
    Numeric
    Numeric
S10x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992
Built from S10, S10a, S10b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1016 & 11 & 47 & 307
\end{tabular}
```



1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b
3. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14
4. DK
--> SKIP TO S14
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1016 | 47 | 336 | 391 | 15 | 2 |



|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ------- | --- |
|  | 1760 | 13 | 34 |

```
==============================
V001627 S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    S11b.
```

    IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:
            Much worse or somewhat worse?
            -------------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1471 | 168 | 168 |

```
==============================
V001628 S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    S11x.
    Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral
        climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference
        either way?
        Much better or somewhat better?/
        Much worse or somewhat worse?
        SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE
        Built from S11, S11a, S11b.
        1. Much better
        2. Somewhat better
        3. No difference
        4. Somewhat worse
        5. Much worse
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1016 & 13 & 34 & 391 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001628a S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    S11x1.
    Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral
    climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference
    either way?
    Much better or somewhat better?/
    Much worse or somewhat worse?
    COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE
Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
```

4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 129 | 36 | 76 | 816 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 327 | 382 | 36 | 5 |

S14．
Has Bill Clinton－－because of the kind of person he is， or because of something he has done－－ever made you feel：
=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001629 S14a．Clinton makes $R$ angry MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S14a．
（Has Bill Clinton－－because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done，ever made you feel：）
ANGRY
$\qquad$

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery（S1－S11）or the affects and traits battery（S14－S15）．If R did not get selected for the affects／traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre（Q1－Q11）and vice versa．
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized．

Randomization：Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars．The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers，preceded by ＂Rand＂［e．g．for questions A4／A5 there is a randomization variable Rand．A4／A5］．

1．YES
5．NO－－＞SKIP to next affect
8．DK－－＞SKIP to next affect
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview；not administered in the Post

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1044 | 470 | 285 | 7 |  |

```
============================== 
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    S14ax.
            (Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
            or because of something he has done, ever made you
            feel:)
            ANGRY
            COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY
```

            Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.
            1. YES
            5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
            8. DK
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
    | V001630 | S14a1. How often Clinton makes $R$ angry |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

    S14a1.
    IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:
    How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
        fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
    
1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a


```
==============================
V001630a S14a1x. Summ pre & post - how often angry
                MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    S14a1x.
    How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
    fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
    COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY
    -------------------------------------------------------------------------
    Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.
    1. VERY OFTEN
    2. FAIRLY OFTEN
    3. OCCASIONALLY
    4. RARELY
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 720 & 210 & 243 & 481 & ----153
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001631 S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
S14b.
```

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
```
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1044 & 360 & 397 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001631a S14bx. Summ pre \& post Clinton -hopeful
V001631a S14bx. Summ pre \& post Clinton -hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
S14bx.
S14bx.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is
or because of something he has done, ever made you
feel:)
HOPEFUL
COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL
Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 128 | 829 | 841 | 6 |  |


| ============================== |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| V001632 | S14b1. How often Clinton make $R$ hopeful |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

S14b1
IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
5. DK
6. RF
```
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 1447 & 55 & 105 & 171 & 29 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001632a S14b1x. Summ pre \& post - how often hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S14b1x.
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL
Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 978 & 145 & 240 & 374 & 70 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================

V001633 S14C. Clinton makes \(R\) afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
AFRAID
\(\qquad\)

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & --- & --- & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1044 & 146 & 612 & 4 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001633a S14cx. Summ pre \& post Clinton - afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S14cx.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
AFRAID
COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

```
\(\qquad\)
```

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

1. YES
2. NO --> SKIP to next affect
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
```
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 128 & 378 & 1294 & 6 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes \(R\) afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S14c1.
IF \(R\) SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
1. VERY OFTEN
```

2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1661 | 36 | 29 | 57 | 24 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
V001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    S14c1x.
    S14c1x.
            How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
            How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
            often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
            often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
            COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID
            COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID
            Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.
            Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.
            1. VERY OFTEN
            1. VERY OFTEN
            2. FAIRLY OFTEN
            2. FAIRLY OFTEN
            3. OCCASIONALLY
            3. OCCASIONALLY
            4. RARELY
            4. RARELY
            8. DK
            8. DK
            9. RF
            9. RF
            0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
```

            0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
    ```

```

==============================
V001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S14d.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the

```

Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1044 & 308 & 450 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(==========\) & \(=================\) \\
V001635a & S14dx. Summ pre \& post Clinton - proud \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S14dx.
(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD
COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD
\(\qquad\)
Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 128 & 675 & 994 & 8 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(============================\)
V001636 S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S14d1.
IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you＇ve felt proud－－very often，fairly often，occasionally，or rarely？

1．VERY OFTEN
2．FAIRLY OFTEN
3．OCCASIONALLY
4．RARELY

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in S14d

＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001636a S14d1x．Summ pre \＆post－how often proud MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

S14d1x．
How often would you say you＇ve felt proud－－very often，fairly often，occasionally，or rarely？

COMB．PRE／POST：HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT－PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1．
1．VERY OFTEN
2．FAIRLY OFTEN
3．OCCASIONALLY
4．RARELY

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1132 & 117 & 191 & 308 & 58 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

S15／S15．T．

FTF：
Please look at page 11 of the booklet．
In your opinion，does the phrase＇he（is）＜trait＞？＇ describe Bill Clinton extremely well，quite well，not too well，or not well at all？

TELEPHONE：
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people
may use to describe Bill Clinton. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes him extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.
```

==============================
V001637 S15a. Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S15a.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])
MORAL
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was
randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1044 & 20 & 104 & 275 \\
& & 4 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001637a S15ax. Summ pre \& post-Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15ax.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL

Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 128 & 54 & 215 & 568 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 807 & 30 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S15b.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1044 & 96 & 283 & 238 & 129 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001638a S15bx. Summ pre \& post-Clinton trait-cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15bx.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 128 & 224 & 599 & 518 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 294 & 43 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
```

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

```
COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
©. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 128 & 620 & 856 & 143 & 31 & 29 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001640 S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S15d.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1044 & 164 & 333 & 178 & 82 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001640a S15dx. Summ pre \& post-Clinton leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15dx.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP

Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

```

============================== S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S15e.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill

```

Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1044 & 168 & 250 & 247 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 81 & 15 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001641a S15ex. Summ pre \& post-Clinton dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S15ex.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 128 & 452 & 554 & 470 \\
& 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 157 & 41 & 5 &
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S15f.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1044 & 318 & 367 & 51 & 19 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001642a \begin{tabular}{l} 
S15fx. Summ pre \& post-Clinton-intelligent \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
S15fx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 128 & 694 & 779 & 139 & 49 & 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
v001643 & S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

S15g.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1044 & 57 & 226 & 319 & 141 & 20
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001643a S15gx. Summ pre \& post-Clinton out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S15gx.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])
COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH
\(\qquad\)

Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 128 & 164 & 471 & 670 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 324 & 49 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

T1.
Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?
1. YES, WATCHED \(-->\) SKIP TO T1a
5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2
8. DK
--> SKIP TO T2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 255 & 1069 & 480 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

T1a.
IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:
Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?
1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
5. JUST PART OF IT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 738 & 455 & 614
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V001646 & T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?
1. YES \(-->\) SKIP TO T2a
5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 255 & 614 & 937 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001647 & T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches \& diss \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

T2a.
IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:
Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?

1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1193 & 136 & 244 & 234
\end{tabular}
==============================

V001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 12 in the booklet:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about


FTF:
(Still on page 12 in the booklet)
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about
the campaigns for election to congress that is, deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Count & 632 & 246 & 2
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001650 T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    T5/T5.T.

FTF：
Looking at page 13 of the booklet：
Here is a question about political parties．
Which of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen？You can just tell me the number of the outcome you choose．

TELEPHONE：
Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen？
ONE，a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans．
TWO，elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or
THREE，the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans？

1．．．A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS？
2．．．．ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS？
3．．．．THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS？

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 255 & 572 & 423 & 509 & 41 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001651 T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

T6．
On the whole，are you satisfied，fairly satisfied，not very satisfied，or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States？

This variable was added 11／10／2000．
```

1. SATISFIED
2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
interview administered before question added

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 360 | 454 | 688 | 216 |
|  |  | 4 | 8 | 9 |

=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニ=
V001652 V1. Bush effect on US economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V1.

```

As you will remember，former President George Bush （George W．Bush＇s father）was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President．
Would you say President Bush made the nation＇s economy
better，made the economy worse，or had no effect on the economy one way or the other？

1．MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3．MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE－－＞SKIP TO V1b
5．NO EFFECT－－＞SKIP TO V2
7．DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR．［VOL．］－－＞SKIP TO Y5
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO V2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
Count & 256 & 441 & 254 & 605 & 220 & 31
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
V001653 & V1a．How much better Bush made economy \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V1a．

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER：
Much better or somewhat better？

1．MUCH BETTER－－＞SKIP TO V2
5．SOMEWHAT BETTER－－＞SKIP TO V2
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO V2
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，7，8，9， 0 in V1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1366 & 113 & 328 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝}

V001654 V1b．How much worse Bush made economy MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 Numeric

V1b．

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE：

Much worse or somewhat worse？
－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－

1．MUCH WORSE
5．SOMEWHAT WORSE

8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，5，7，8，9， 0 in V1
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & ----1553 & --- \\
& & 71 & 183
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
V001655 & V1x．Summary：Bush effect on economy \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V1x．
Would you say President Bush made the nation＇s economy better，made the economy worse，or had no effect on the economy one way or the other？
Much better or somewhat better？／
Much worse or somewhat worse？

SUMMARY：EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988－1992 ECONOMY

Built from V1，V1a，V1b．
1．Much better
2．Somewhat better
3．No effect
4．Somewhat worse
5．Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 476 & 113 & 328 & 605 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & \\
& 183 & 71 & 31 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(===========================\) \\
V001656 & V2. Bush made US more secure \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

V2.
Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TOV2b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V3
8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 709 & 96 & 492 & 34 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001657 & V2a. Bush made US how much more secure \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2
\begin{tabular}{lll}
0 & 1 & 5
\end{tabular}

Count \(1098 \quad 316\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001658 & V2b. Bush made US how much less secure \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V2b.
IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?
1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

Count
\begin{tabular}{ccr}
0 & 1 & 5 \\
---- & --- & ---- \\
1711 & 27 & 69
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001659 V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

V2x.
Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 316 & 393 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

|  | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 69 | 7 |  |

```
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=

```
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate
V001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    V3.
    Would you say that the Bush administration made the
nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make
much difference either way?
1．BETTER
3．WORSE－－＞SKIP TO V3b
5．NO DIFFERENCE－－＞SKIP TO V4
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO V4
9．RF
0．NA；INAP， 7 in V1；no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 197 & 118 & 943 & 73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
V001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better
```

V001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V3a．
IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U．S．CRIME RATE BETTER：
Much better or somewhat better？

```
\(\qquad\)
```

1．MUCH BETTER－－＞SKIP TO V4
5．SOMEWHAT BETTER－－＞SKIP TO V4
8．DK－－＞SKIP TO V4
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，3，5，8，9， 0 in V3

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ----16 | ---- | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
V001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Numeric
    ```

V3b.
IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & ------- & --- \\
& 1689 & 39 & 79
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001663 & V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V3x.
Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON U.S. CRIME 1988-1992

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 476 & 55 & 142 & 943 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001664 & V4. Bush effect on moral climate \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V4.
Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V4b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V5
8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 367 & 53 & 878 & 31 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V4a.
IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:
Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
3. DK --> SKIP TO V5
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4
```
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1440 & 119 & 247 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001666 & V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:
Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1754 & 20 & 33 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V4x.
Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral
climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference
either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

```
SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992

Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 476 & 119 & 247 & 878 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 33 & 20 & 32 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

V5/V5.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush.
For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is)
<trait>?' describe former President George Bush
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
```

==============================
V001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

V5a.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 459 & 689 & 112 & 21 & 50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001669 V5b. Bush trait - cares about people
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V5b.
```

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

```
REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 123 & 566 & 437 & 158 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
========== & \(===================\) \\
V001670 & V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V5c.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])
KNOWLEDGEABLE
----------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
& ---- & ---- & --- & ---- & ---- & -----121
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

V5d.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 276 & 679 & 289 & 50 & 37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

V001672
V5e. Bush trait - dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

V5e.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])
DISHONEST
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 476 & 34 & 126 & 453 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 659 & 56 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001673 V5f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

V5f.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

\section*{INTELLIGENT}
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 381 & 799 & 104 & 16 & 31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001674 & V5g. Bush trait - out of touch \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

V5g.
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 476 & 134 & 382 & 546 & 222 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Y4.
Now, on another topic..
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001675 & Y5. Is R currently working \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y5.
Are you currently working?
1. YES --> Y7
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 256 & 1036 & 515 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001676a & Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1 \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y6a.
IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:
Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;
COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]
1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY

```

==============================
V001676c Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Y6c.
IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]
1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED \(-->\) SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Y} 5 ;\) no further mention
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
& Count & ---- & ------- & ---- \\
& 1804 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 771 & 547 & 402 & 63 & 23 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y7a.
    IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
    In your current position, do you spend time during the
    day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?
    1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
    5. OR BY YOURSELF
    8. DON'T KNOW
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 771 & 896 & 139 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================== } \\ \text { V001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 }\end{array}\)
    Numeric

Y8.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
\(\qquad\)
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 771 & 59 & 237 & 431 & 231 \\
\hline & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 41 & 27 & 7 & 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V001680 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Y8a.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ----- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 798 & 26 & 43 & 293 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 8
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y8b.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 798 & 169 & 569 & 230 \\
& 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 27 & 5 & 6 & 3
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
===ニ======ー================== \\
V001682 & Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y8c.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 798 & 248 & 626 & 102 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 23 & 8 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(===========================\) \\
V001683 & Y8d. WRKS:Racial diversity of Rs coworkers \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y8d.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:
Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

1．ALL WHITE
2．MOSTLY WHITE
3．ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4．MOSTLY BLACK
5．ALL BLACK
7．R VOLUNTEERS：OTHER（SPECIFY）
8．DON＇T KNOW
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in Y5； 7 in Y8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 798 & 208 & 463 & 187 & 37 \\
\hline & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 6 & 102 & 5 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ \\
V001684 & Y10．Laid off：Does \(R\) spend days alone／with others \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 8
\end{tabular}

Y10．
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF：
When you are working，do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself？

1．WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5．OR BY YOURSELF
8．DON＇T KNOW
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，1，9， 0 in Y5；unemployed，disabled，homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a，Y6b，Y6c
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & --- \\
& 1796 & 6 & ---- \\
\end{tabular}
```

=ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニニニ=ニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=
V001685 Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y11．
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF：
I＇m going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work．
In general，with these people in mind，would you say

```
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
\(\qquad\)
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 7 \\
\hline Count & 1796 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1798 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001687 & Y11b. LOff:Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{ Count } & ---- & --- & --- & ---- \\
& 1798 & 2 & 4 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001688 Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y11c.
    IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:
    Would you say that honest describes the people you work
    with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
    well at all?

    1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
    2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
    3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
    4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
    8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker
        or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& Count & --- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1798 & 1 & 5 & ----
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V001689 Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\)
    Numeric

Y15.
IF R IS RETIRED:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?
\(\qquad\)

1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5 OR BY YOURSELF

8 DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & ------ & ---- \\
& 1525 & 254 & 28
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001690 & Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y16.
IF R IS RETIRED:
For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
\(\qquad\)
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 1525 & 15 & 50 & 100 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 78 & 24 & 12 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001691 & Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
\(\qquad\)
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 1537 & 7 & 10 & 61 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 131 & 57 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001692 Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y16b.
    IF R IS RETIRED:
(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& Count & ---- & --- & ---- \\
& 1536 & 74 & 146 & ---- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 9 & 1 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y16c.
IF R IS RETIRED:

```
    Would you say that honest described the people you
    worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
    not well at all?

    1. EXTREMELY WELL
    2. QUITE WELL
    3. NOT TOO WELL
    4. NOT WELL AT ALL
    8. DON'T KNOW
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
        7 in Y16
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1536 & 92 & 150 & 20 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Y17x.
    IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
    IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
    IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/
When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/ Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS

\footnotetext{
1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5 OR BY YOURSELF
}
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 478 & 1156 & 172 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001695 Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y18x.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

```
    In general, with these people in mind, would you say
    that they are just looking out for themselves all of the
    time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
    or never?/
    In general, with these people in mind, would you say
    that they are just looking out for themselves all of the
    time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
    or never?/
    In general, with these people in mind, would you say
    that they were just looking out for themselves all of
    the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly
    ever, or never?
    SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 478 & 76 & 289 & 534 & 311 \\
& & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001696 & Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:
```

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time,
most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or
never?/
Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of
the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work
tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most
of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE

```
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline Count & 519 & 33 & 55 & 356 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 627 & 200 & 13 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ============================= } \\ \text { V001697 } & \text { Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 8\end{array}\) Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 518 & 341 & 781 & 125 \\
& & 4 & 8 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001699 & Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1 \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area.
From time to time, people discuss government, elections
and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you
about the people with whom you discuss these matters.
These people might or might not be relatives.
Can you think of anyone?
R IDENTIFIES NAME 1
1. YES
5. NO --> Z25
8. DK -->Z25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 256 & 1152 & 399 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001700 Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Z3.
Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?
R IDENTIFIES NAME 2
1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{llll}
0 & 1 & 5 & 9
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
V001701 Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Z5.
Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
R IDENTIFIES NAME 3
\(\qquad\)
1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Z3}\)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 946 & 550 & 311
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001702 Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Z7.
    Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
    R IDENTIFIES NAME 4
1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Z5}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1257 & 327 & 223 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001703 & Z9. Is name 1 relative \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z9.
Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z10
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z9a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z9a
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

```

==============================
V001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Z9a.
IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?
1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 655 & 678 & 470 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001705 & Z9b. Is name 1 coworker \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z9b.
IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Count & 1184 & 311 & 309 & 2 & 1
\end{tabular}


Z9d.
IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1184 & 178 & 442 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001708 Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Z10.

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?
1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 655 & 354 & 593 & 195 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 6 & 2 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001709 Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```
    Z11.
    Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1>
    knows about politics? Would you say:
1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=============================
V001710 Z12. How name 1 voted in election MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Z12.
I have another question about the first person you have
named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
```

5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
6. DIDN'T VOTE
7. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
10. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Count | 655 | 442 | 479 | 46 |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 98 |

```

\section*{ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ}
```

V001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z13．
Is＜NAME 2＞a spouse or partner，other relative，or unrelated to you by blood or marriage？
1．SPOUSE OR PARTNER（A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE，BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED）－－＞SKIP TO Z14
3．OTHER RELATIVE，OR－－＞SKIP T0 Z13a
5．UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE－－＞SKIP TO Z13a
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in Z3

```

```

==============================
V001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z13a．
IF NECESSARY：Is＜NAME 2＞male or female？
1．MALE－－＞IF SPOUSE／PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE，SKIP TO Z14
5．FEMALE－－＞IF SPOUSE／PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE，SKIP TO Z14
8．DK
9．RF
0．NA；INAP，5，8，9， 0 in Z3

| 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
```

===============================
V001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z13b.
IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1306 | 234 | 266 | ---- |

==============================
V001714 Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z13c.
IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1306 | 80 | 420 | 1 |

==============================
Numeric
Z13d.
IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

```

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 1306 & 141 & 359 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

V001716 Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

```

Z14.
When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political
matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(Z 3\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 946 & 186 & 483 & 189 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z15.
Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:
1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 946 & 285 & 478 & 94 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001718 Z16. How name 2 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Z16.
Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,
some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't
vote?)

```
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 946 & 336 & 342 & 30 \\
\hline & 7 & 8 & 98 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 75 & 10 & 66 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
===ー======================= \\
V001719 & Z17. Is name 3 relative \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}
        Numeric

Z17.
Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP T0 Z18
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z17a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z17a
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(Z 5\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& Count & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 1257 & 44 & 187 & ----
\end{tabular}
```

=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニニ=ニ=ニ=ニニニニニニニニニニ=
V001720 Z17a. Is name 3 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z17a.
IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?
-------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
2. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | 1257 | 312 | 237 | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001721 Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
V001721 Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Z17b.
    Z17b.
    IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
    IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
        Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?
```

        1. YES
        5. NO
        8. DK
        9. RF
        0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17
    |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | --- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1488 | 153 | 166 |

$===========================$
V001722 $\quad$ Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with $R$
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Z17c.
IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | -------- | --- |  |
|  | 1488 | 44 | 275 |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001723 | Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
|  | Numeric |

Z17d.
IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
2. NO
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

```
==============================
V001724 Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
```

    Z18.
    When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political
    matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?
    1. OFTEN
2. SOMETIMES
3. RARELY, OR
4. NEVER
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1257 | 104 | 295 | 147 | 4 |

```
V001725 Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
    Z19.
    Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3>
    knows about politics? Would you say:
    1. A GREAT DEAL
    3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
    5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
    8. DK - DON'T PROBE
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\
\hline Count & 1257 & 187 & 292 & 69 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election
V001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
Numeric
Z20.
Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,
some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't
vote?)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

```
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & --- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1257 & 201 & 230 & 12
\end{tabular}
V001727 Z21. Is name 4 relative
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Z21.
Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z22
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP T0 Z21a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z21a
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Z7}\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 1480 & 18 & 109 & 200
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(===========================\) \\
V001728 & Z21a. Is name 4 male or female \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
\end{tabular}

Z21a.
IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?
1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 1480 & 178 & 149
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001729 & Z21b. Is name 4 coworker \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z21b.
IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?
\(\qquad\)
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1607 | 95 | 105 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001730 Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
V001730 Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric
    Numeric
    Z21c.
    Z21c.
    IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
    Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 1607 & 29 & 171
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001731 Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
V001731 Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Numeric
Z21d.
IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

```

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline V001732 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Z22. How often does \(R\) discuss politics w/name 4 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?
\(\qquad\)
1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Z7}\)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\
& Count & ------- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 1480 & 58 & 168 & 97 & 4
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001733 Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z23.
Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4>
knows about politics? Would you say:
1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in \(\mathrm{Z7}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Count & 1480 & 108 & 177 & 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001734 & Z24. How name 4 voted in election \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z24.
Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
```

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
100. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
```
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 1480 & 105 & 147 & 6 \\
& 7 & 8 & 98 & 99 \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
& 26 & 6 & 36 & 1
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001735 Z25. Has \(R\) worked with neighbor on common issue/year
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Z25 .
Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood.
During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 5 \\
& Count & --- & ---- \\
& 256 & 378 & 1173
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001736 Z26. How satisfied is \(R\) with neighbrhood MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z26.
On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
1. VERY SATISIFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 256 & 844 & 528 & 120 \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 55 & 3 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001737 Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

```
    Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 256 & 99 & 344 & 627 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 98 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 324 & 95 & 58 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(=============================\) \\
V001738 & Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Z27a.
Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 256 & 28 & 43 & 234 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 98
\end{tabular}
V001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
V001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
    Numeric
    Numeric
Z27b.
(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
3. MOST OF THE TIME
5. SOME OF THE TIME
7. HARDLY EVER
8. NEVER
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 256 & 398 & 820 & 223 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 98
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001740 & Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
```

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 ~ N O T ~ W E L L ~ A T ~ A L L
8 DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ----1 | ---- | ---- |
|  | 256 | 440 | 873 | 118 |


|  | 4 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | --- | ---- |
|  | 47 | 70 | ---- |

```
```

==============================

```
============================== 
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
            Numeric
    Z27d.
Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?
```

$\qquad$

```
1. ALL WHITE
2. MOSTLY WHITE
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4. MOSTLY BLACK
5. ALL BLACK
7. R VOLUNEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 256 & 403 & 700 & 238 & 70 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001742 & Z28. How satisfying is Rs life \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}
```

Z28.
In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're

```
spending your life these days? Would you call it
completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very
satisfying?
-------------------------------------------------------------------
1. COMPLETELY SATISFYING
3. PRETTY SATISFYING
5. NOT VERY SATISFYING
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 256 & 317 & 1029 & 202 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001743a ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
V001743a ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
MD: EQ 0
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Numeric
ZZ1(1).
Others present at time of interview:
MENTION 1
0. NONE

1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
6. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 431 | 87 | 48 | 79 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| Count | 18 | 33 | 857 | 254 |

```
```

==============================

```
==============================
V001743b ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
V001743b ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
            MD: EQ 0
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
            Numeric
    ZZ1(2).
        Others present at time of interview:
        MENTION 2
```

1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
6. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
|  | 8 | 15 | 28 | 14 | 10 | 857 | 875 |

```
V001743c ZZ1(3). Others present for interview
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
    ZZ1(3).
        Others present at time of interview:
        MENTION 3
    --------------------------------------------------------------------
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 3 & 6 & 3 & 6 & 857 & 932 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(============================\) \\
V001744 & ZZ2. Rs cooperation \\
& MD: EQ 0
\end{tabular}
```

ZZ2.
R's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
V001745 ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
            MD: EQ 0
            Numeric
```

ZZ3.
R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 257 | 187 | 400 | 551 | 301 | 111 |

```
==============================
V001746 ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    ZZ4.
    R's apparent intelligence:
    -----------------------------------------------------------------------
    1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
| Count | 272 | 243 | 543 | 675 | 63 | 11 |

```
==============================
V001747 ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    ZZ5.
    How suspicious did $R$ seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
3. VERY SUSPICIOUS
4. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 257 | 1483 | 63 | ---- |

```
==============================
V001748 ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ6.
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Count | 257 | 425 | 519 | 498 | 88 | 20 |

==============================
V001749 ZZ7. How sincere did $R$ seem
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ7.
How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ11
2. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ7a
3. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ7a
4. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 257 | 1438 | 97 | 15 |

```
==============================
V001750 ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
    Character
```

ZZ7a.

IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:
Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?
(BLANKED)
[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

```
==============================
V001751a ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ11(1)

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED $R$ WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 257 | 12 | 59 | 6 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 17 | 24 | 27 | 131 | 4 | 13 |


|  | 45 | 50 | 70 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 11 | 2 | 1023 | 5 |

```
==============================
V001751b ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

    ZZ11(2).
        R's reaction to interview:
        MENTION 2
        ---------------------------------------------------------------------
    10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
    11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
    12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
    13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
    15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
        MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
    20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
        EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
    22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
        SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
    30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
        KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
    31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
        POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
        OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
    40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
    41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
    45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
    50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
    70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
        COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
    80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
    00. NA; INAP, no Post interview
    |  | 0 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1548 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 20 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 40 | 41 |
| Count | 2 | 9 | 10 | 25 | 6 | 7 |
|  | 45 | 50 | 70 | 80 |  |  |
| Count | 7 | 1 | 124 | 46 |  |  |

```
==============================
V001751c ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
    MD: EQ 0
    Numeric
```

ZZ11（3）
R＇s reaction to interview：
MENTION 3
$\qquad$
10．NEGATIVE－GENERAL
11．NEGATIVE－TOO LONG
12．NEGATIVE－TOO COMPLICATED
13．NEGATIVE－BORING／TEDIOUS／REPETITIOUS
15．R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED．AFTER STARTING IW，R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do Iw
20．R COMPLAINED AND／OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL／DEAF／TIRED／HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC．IW WAS HARD FOR R
22．R COMPLAINED AND／OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs，R WAS SLOW READER．IW WAS HARD FOR R
30．R EXPRESSED DOUBTS／APOLOGIES／EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31．R EXPRESSED DOUBTS／APOLOGIES／EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE，CANDIDATE NAMES，ELECTION ISSUES， OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION．
40．R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41．R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45．R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50．R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70．R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW（R WAS COOPERATIVE／INTERESTED／PLEASANT）
80．NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00．NA；INAP，no Post interview

|  | 0 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1745 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 30 | 31 | 40 | 41 | 45 |
| Count | 3 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 50 | 70 | 80 |  |  |
| Count | 1 | 26 | 3 |  |  |

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001751d ZZ11（4）．Rs reaction to IW MD：EQ 0
Numeric
ZZ11（4）．
R＇s reaction to interview：
MENTION 4

10．NEGATIVE－GENERAL
11．NEGATIVE－TOO LONG
12．NEGATIVE－TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED $R$ WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED $R$ WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, $R$ WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 15 | 30 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | 1789 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 40 | 41 | 70 | 80 |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 |

=============================

V001751e | ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| MD: EQ 0 |
| Numeric |.

ZZ11(5).
R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 5
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT

```
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 31 & 40 & 41 & 70 \\
\hline Count & 1801 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
==============================
```

==============================
V001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
V001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Numeric
ZZ11(6).
R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 6
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

|  | 0 | 40 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | 1805 | 1 | 1 |

==============================
V001751g ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

```

ZZ11（7）．
R＇s reaction to interview：
MENTION 7
\(\qquad\)
10．NEGATIVE－GENERAL
11．NEGATIVE－TOO LONG
12．NEGATIVE－TOO COMPLICATED
13．NEGATIVE－BORING／TEDIOUS／REPETITIOUS
15．R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED．AFTER STARTING IW，R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do Iw
20．R COMPLAINED AND／OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL／DEAF／TIRED／HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC．IW WAS HARD FOR R
22．R COMPLAINED AND／OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS，R WAS SLOW READER．IW WAS HARD FOR R
30．R EXPRESSED DOUBTS／APOLOGIES／EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31．R EXPRESSED DOUBTS／APOLOGIES／EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE，CANDIDATE NAMES，ELECTION ISSUES， OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION．
40．R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41．R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45．R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50．R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70．R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW（R WAS COOPERATIVE／INTERESTED／PLEASANT）
80．NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00．NA；INAP，no Post interview
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& 0 & 70 \\
Count & \(-0-1\) & ----1
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001752 Post－Rand．C9（2）．
MD：EQ 0
Numeric
Post－Rand．C9（2）．
Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

1．Democratic names first（FTF：BLUE BALLOT CARD）
2．Republican names first（FTF：GOLD BALLOT CARD）
0．INAP，1－3，8，9， 0 in C1；Washington D．C．

```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001753 Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.D1b-D1d.
Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers
D1b-D1d

```

These names were administered after Clinton（1st name）
On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2 nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

1．Gore 2nd，GW Bush 3rd，Nader 4th
2．Gore 2nd，Nader 3rd，GW Bush 4th
3．GW Bush 2nd，Gore 3rd，Nader 4th
4．GW Bush 2nd，Nader 3rd，Gore 4th
5．Nader 2nd ，Gore 3rd，GW Bush 4th
6．Nader 2nd，GW Bush 3rd，Gore 4th
9．NA
0．INAP，no Post IW
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 187 & 162 & 663 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{tabular}
```

==============================

```

V001754 Post－Rand．D2g．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1g.
Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Democratic candidate
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 156 & 595 & 182 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 166 & 185 & 152 & 119 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001755 Post-Rand.D2h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7－12 and：

00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA；no Republican candidate
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 623 & 149 & 162 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 156 & 189 & 168 & 108 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001756 Post-Rand.D2j.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D1j.
Order of Retiring House Representative in Post
Thermometers D1g-D1n

```

D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the 7 th－12th names．
On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 7－12 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA；no retiring House representative
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 14 & 17 & 47 \\
& & & 11 & 12
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001757 Post-Rand.D2k.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

```

Post-Rand.D1k.
Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 142 & 154 & 128 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 137 & 441 & 131 & 422 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001758 Post-Rand.D2m.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand. D1m.
Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7 th-12th names.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7－12 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA；INAP，no Republican Senate candidate；no race in state
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 108 & 150 & 148 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 138 & 133 & 477 & 401 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001759 Post－Rand．D2n．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 99
Numeric

Post－Rand．D1n．
Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g－D1n

This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05． D1g－D1n were randomly administered as the 7th－12th names．

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 7－12 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA；INAP，no independent incumbent House candidate
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 7 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 99 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ

V001760 Post－Rand．D3a．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 99
Numeric

Post－Rand．D2a．
Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a－D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 45 & 46 & 45 & 58 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 43 & 50 & 57 & 44 & 516 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 38 & 44 & 49 & 36 & 48 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 35 & 38 & 43 & 51 & 39 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 35 & 43 & 56 & 50 & 46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001761 Post-Rand.D3b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2b.
Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 39 & 50 & 34 & 530 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 38 & 49 & 36 & 40 & 54 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 44 & 49 & 41 & 46 & 46 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 46 & 36 & 48 & 48 & 49 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 52 & 44 & 51 & 46 & 39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001762 Post-Rand.D3c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2c.
Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 252 & 39 & 37 & 40 & 40 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 43 & 45 & 45 & 56 & 42 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline Count & 53 & 55 & 40 & 53 & 55 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline Count & 51 & 40 & 45 & 42 & 36 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 49 & 53 & 25 & 527 & 44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================

V001763 Post-Rand.D3d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2d.
Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 42 & 50 & 55 & 53 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 57 & 46 & 43 & 44 & 46 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline Count & 34 & 36 & 49 & 53 & 45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & Count & 37 & 41 & 36 & 42 & 36 \\
\hline & & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline & Count & 23 & 41 & 64 & 59 & 523 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{ll} 
V001764 & Post-Rand.D3e. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Post-Rand.D2e.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{All cases administered prior to the correction of this error} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{were administered as if only one choice in the randomization} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|r|}{cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Codes 1-24 and:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA} \\
\hline & & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count}} & 252 & 48 & 61 & 51 & 41 \\
\hline & & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count}} & 47 & 35 & 521 & 52 & 41 \\
\hline & & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count}} & 38 & 62 & 51 & 43 & 38 \\
\hline & & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count}} & 52 & 53 & 40 & 45 & 38 \\
\hline & & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Count} & 35 & 43 & 43 & 40 & 37 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{V001765 Post-Rand.D3f.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

V001765 Post-Rand.D3f.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand. D2f.
Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 38 & 44 & 535 & 37 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 38 & 42 & 48 & 47 & 57 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 54 & 46 & 42 & 41 & 48 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 39 & 59 & 54 & 45 & 41 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 41 & 37 & 27 & 44 & 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001766 Post-Rand.D3g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2g.
Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1－24 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 49 & 45 & 38 & 47 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 42 & 529 & 43 & 40 & 44 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 50 & 45 & 51 & 51 & 48 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 49 & 47 & 43 & 48 & 34 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 47 & 37 & 42 & 41 & 45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001767 Post－Rand．D3h．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 99
Numeric
Post－Rand．D2h．
Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a－D2z

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1－24 and：
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 512 & 48 & 47 & 50 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 50 & 38 & 45 & 46 & 63 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 44 & 33 & 41 & 44 & 37 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 60 & 45 & 40 & 47 & 51 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 45 & 40 & 43 & 37 & 49 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================ \\
V001768 & Post-Rand.D3j. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2j.
Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 252 & 49 & 50 & 53 & 43 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 31 & 36 & 57 & 56 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 41 & 30 & 42 & 45 & 519 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 52 & 50 & 33 & 49 & 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(==========\) & \(=================\) \\
V001769 & Post-Rand.D3k. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2k.
Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 37 & 43 & 33 & 34 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 40 & 54 & 48 & 34 & 47 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 46 & 538 & 40 & 44 & 45 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 48 & 49 & 43 & 42 & 46 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Count & 58 & 46 & 51 & 40 & 49
\end{tabular}
```

===============================
V001770 Post-Rand.D3m.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2m.
Order of Poor People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

```

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 45 & 42 & 58 & 51 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 33 & 50 & 36 & 40 & 32 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 44 & 44 & 48 & 43 & 48 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 41 & 521 & 40 & 63 & 52 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 43 & 51 & 36 & 50 & 44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001771 \begin{tabular}{l} 
Post-Rand.D3n. \\
\\
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
Numeric
\end{tabular}
Post-Rand.D2n.
Order of People on Welfare in Post Group Thermometers

D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 45 & 43 & 38 & 44 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 45 & 43 & 37 & 58 & 41 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 522 & 40 & 46 & 49 & 41 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 41 & 54 & 44 & 36 & 48 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 54 & 46 & 43 & 46 & 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001772 Post-Rand.D3p.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand. D2p.
Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 58 & 34 & 35 & 47 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 44 & 48 & 51 & 38 & 40 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 40 & 36 & 54 & 49 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 43 & 45 & 43 & 520 & 41 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 43 & 47 & 43 & 65 & 44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
===========ー================== \\
V001773 & Post-Rand.D3q. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2q.
Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 52 & 46 & 41 & 58 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 39 & 43 & 50 & 60 & 52 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 45 & 39 & 50 & 528 & 40 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 43 & 45 & 44 & 41 & 45 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 31 & 47 & 49 & 39 & 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001774 Post-Rand.D3r.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2r.
Order of Women's Movement in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

```

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1－24 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 43 & 45 & 43 & 45 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 54 & 52 & 38 & 40 & 37 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Count & 39 & 48 & 38 & 48 & 42 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline Count & 52 & 31 & 53 & 47 & 51 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 43 & 50 & 527 & 43 & 46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001775 & Post-Rand.D3s. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2s.
Order of Older People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 50 & 43 & 44 & 53 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 38 & 43 & 535 & 37 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 39 & 45 & 37 & 40 & 50 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 44 & 46 & 42 & 52 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 52 & 43 & 59 & 27 & 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Post-Rand.D2u.

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 51 & 30 & 44 & 38 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 42 & 39 & 47 & 45 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 45 & 52 & 518 & 44 & 47 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 48 & 58 & 44 & 50 & 45 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 41 & 54 & 39 & 47 & 40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001778 & Post-Rand.D3v. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2v.

Order of Christian Coalition in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 39 & 48 & 51 & 42 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 34 & 47 & 42 & 39 & 60 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 53 & 53 & 26 & 37 & 52 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 64 & 46 & 47 & 35 & 46 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 524 & 37 & 44 & 37 & 52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
===========ー================== \\
V001779 & Post-Rand.D3w. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.D2W.

Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 63 & 43 & 39 & 39
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 49 & 41 & 38 & 29 & 44 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 46 & 56 & 43 & 51 & 35 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 36 & 45 & 527 & 45 & 52 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 45 & 49 & 49 & 39 & 52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001780 Post-Rand.D3x.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

```
    Post-Rand. D2x.
    Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 46 & 37 & 42 & 38 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 523 & 45 & 56 & 42 & 39 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 55 & 46 & 50 & 33 & 43 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Count & 47 & 45 & 47 & 50 & 40 \\
& 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 52 & 38 & 51 & 39 & 51
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================= \\
V001781 & Post-Rand.D3xx. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand. D2xx.

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 23 & 528 & 46 & 41 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 47 & 44 & 64 & 54 & 34 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 52 & 39 & 41 & 52 & 33 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 37 & 46 & 53 & 40 & 59 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 46 & 32 & 55 & 45 & 44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================= \\
V001782 & Post-Rand.D3y. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post－Rand．D2y．

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a－D2z

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1－24 and：
00．Inap，no Post interview
99．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 40 & 59 & 59 & 42 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 36 & 49 & 47 & 38 & 54 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 40 & 40 & 66 & 38 & 524 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 34 & 37 & 48 & 42 & 33 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 46 & 44 & 38 & 62 & 39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001783 Post－Rand．D3z．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 99
Numeric
Post－Rand．D2z．
Order of Asian－Americans in Post Group Thermometers D2a－D2z

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 57 & 39 & 42 & 41 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 54 & 53 & 38 & 36 & 37 \\
\hline & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 39 & 41 & 57 & 35 & 43 \\
\hline & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 526 & 54 & 47 & 45 & 50 \\
\hline & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 \\
\hline Count & 43 & 49 & 43 & 36 & 50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001784 Post-Rand.E1/E3.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.E1/E3.
Post: Order of Democratic/Republican House candidates in 'Likes-Dislikes' E1-E2/E3-E4

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 9 \\
& Count & --- & ------- & ---- \\
& 252 & 1017 & 534 & 4
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001785 Post-Rand.G3-G5.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-Rand.G3-G5.
    Post: Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Liberal-
    Conservative placements G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 181 & 172 & 659 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================== \\
V001786 & Post-Rand.G6-G7. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.G6-G7.
Post: Order of Democratic and Republican House candidates in Liberal-Conservative Placements G6-G7/G6.T-G7.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 716 & 839 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001787 & Post-Rand.G8-G10. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.G8-G10.
Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
5. Reform Party 7th , Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
& 252 & 181 & 172 & 659 \\
& 4 & 5 & 6 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=============================\) \\
V001788 & Post-Rand.G11b/c. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
\end{tabular}

Numeric
Post-Rand.G11b/c.
Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates in Services-Spending placements G11b-G11c/G11b.T-G11c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 \\
& Count & ------- & ---- \\
& 252 & 1078 & 477
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001789 Post-Rand.G12b/c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G12b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates in Abortion placements

G12b-G12c/G12b.T-G12c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd 2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) & 1 & 2 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 1214 & 341 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

-=-=========================
V001790 Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.
Post: Order of racial groups Hardworking
R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T

```

These names were randomly administered after whites.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th

2．Blacks 2nd，Asian－Americans 3rd，Hispanic－Americans 4th
3．Hispanic－Americans 2nd，Blacks 3rd，Asian－Americans 4th
4．Hispanic－Americans 2nd，Asian－Americans 3rd，Blacks 4th
5．Asian－Americans 2nd ，Blacks 3rd，Hispanic－Americans 4th
6．Asian－Americans 2nd，Hispanic－Americans 3rd，Blacks 4th
0．Inap，no Post interview
9．NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 190 & 671 & 180 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{tabular}
＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝
V001791 Post－Rand．R3b－R3d．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9
Numeric
Post－Rand．R3b－R3d．
Post：Order of racial groups Intelligent R3b－R3d／R3b．T－R3d．T

These names were randomly administered after whites．
On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

1．Blacks 2nd，Hispanic－Americans 3rd，Asian－Americans 4th
2．Blacks 2nd，Asian－Americans 3rd，Hispanic－Americans 4th
3．Hispanic－Americans 2nd，Blacks 3rd，Asian－Americans 4th
4．Hispanic－Americans 2nd，Asian－Americans 3rd，Blacks 4th
5．Asian－Americans 2nd，Blacks 3rd，Hispanic－Americans 4th
6．Asian－Americans 2nd，Hispanic－Americans 3rd，Blacks 4th
0．Inap，no Post interview
9．NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 185 & 164 & 179 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 6 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Count } & 174 & 651 & 199 & 3\end{array}\)
```

==============================
V001792 Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy
R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T

```

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
& ----- & ---- & ----- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 174 & 191 & 192 \\
& & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{tabular}
==============================
V001793 Post-Rand.S14a.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14a.
Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(============================\) \\
V001794 & Post-Rand.S14b. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.S14b.
Post: Order of Hopeful Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 131 & 348 & 138 & 146 & 92 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001795 & Post-Rand.S14c. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand. S14c.

Post: Order of Afraid Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================= \\
V001796 & Post-Rand.S14d. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.S14d.

Post: Order of Proud Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 138 & 145 & 115 & 365 & 792 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝－ \\
V001797 & Post－Rand．S15a． \\
& MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9
\end{tabular}

Numeric
Post－Rand．S15a．
Post：Order of Moral in Clinton traits S15a－S15g．

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1－7 and：
0．Inap，no Post interview
9．NA；INAP，administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- \\
& 252 & 301 & 84 & 83 & 81 \\
& 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
Count & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & \\
& 73 & 74 & 67 & 792 &
\end{tabular}

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
V001798 Post－Rand．S15b．
MD1：EQ 0，MD2：GE 9
Numeric

Post－Rand．S15b．
Post：Order of Really Cares in Clinton traits S15a－S15g．

On 11／16／00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization．Interviews －－or，for some interviews administered over several days， portions of interviews－－that were conducted prior to the correction of this error（in the 2nd VQ released on 11／16／00） were not administered with correctly functioning randomization． All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available（in almost all cases，an identical choice）． Item Post－Summary． 24 （V000262）can be used to identify such cases；for these cases，their unrandomized order is represented here．

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\multirow{3}{*}{ Count } & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----- & ----- \\
& 252 & 68 & 78 & 65 & 87 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{aligned} & ============================ \\ & \text { V001799 } \\ & \text { Post-Rand.S15c. } \\ & \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 9 \\ & \\ & \text { Numeric }\end{aligned}\)
Post-Rand.S15c.
Post: Order of Knowlegeable in Clinton traits S15a-S15g

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 76 & 301 & 81 & 68 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 83 & 86 & 68 & 792 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001800 Post-Rand.S15d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.S15d.
Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 82 & 80 & 66 & 69 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 76 & 78 & 312 & 792 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================

```

V001801 Post-Rand.S15e.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.S15e.
Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& --- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- \\
Count & 252 & 70 & 60 & 77 & 72
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Count & 325 & 75 & 84 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001802 Post-Rand.S15f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

```
    Post-Rand.S15f.
    Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 81 & 71 & 80 & 309 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 68 & 71 & 83 & 92 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
=============================
V001803 Post-Rand. S15g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15g.
Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & 252 & 85 & 89 & 311 & 77 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 60 & 71 & 70 & 792 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{aligned} &========================= \\ & \text { V001804 } \text { Post-Rand.V5a. } \\ & \text { MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE } 9 \\ & \text { Numeric }\end{aligned}\)

Post-Rand.V5a.
Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 137 & 139 & 134 & 130 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 539 & 118 & 134 & 224 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{V001805} & Post-Rand.V5b. \\
\hline & MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
\hline & Numeric \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.V5b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & ----1 \\
Count & 252 & 139 & 140 & 542 & 128 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
============================== \\
V001806 & Post-Rand.V5c. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
\end{tabular}

Numeric
Post-Rand.V5c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
|  | 252 | 140 | 114 | 129 | 135 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |  |


| ============================= |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| V001807 | Post-Rand.V5d. |
|  | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 |
|  | Numeric |

Post-Rand.V5d.
Post: Order of Leadership in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ----- | ---- | ----- |
|  | 252 | 545 | 139 | 132 | 131 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |  |
| Count | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |  |
|  | 130 | 128 | 126 | 224 |  |

=============================
V001808 Post-Rand.V5e.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.V5e.
Post: Order of Dishonest in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

```
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
& ---- & ---- & ---- & ---- & -----127 \\
Count & 252 & 114 & 127 & 129 & 137 \\
& & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
============================ \\
V001809 & Post-Rand.V5f. \\
& MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 \\
& Numeric
\end{tabular}

Post-Rand.V5f.
Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2 nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Count & 252 & 135 & 127 & 129 & 544 \\
\hline & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & \\
\hline Count & 133 & 126 & 137 & 224 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

==============================
V001810 Post-Rand.V5g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.V5g.
Post: Order of Out of Touch in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary. 24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Count | 252 | 121 | 545 | 136 | 126 |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |

```
```


[^0]:    =============================
    V001542 R1b. Close to poor people FTF

[^1]:    ==============================
    V001566 R1q. Close to women FTF

