

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
FILE anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

ANES 2000-2004 Merged File
Codebook variable documentation file
VERSION 20110812 (August 12, 2011)

=====

DESCRIPTION OF CODEBOOK DOCUMENTATION

=====

DSETID - WT04 Vars specific to ANES 2000-2004 Merged file (this file)
M000003 - M001810 Vars from the ANES 2000 Time Series Study
M021001 - M025201 Vars from the ANES 2002 Time Series Study ('panel' cases only)
M041201 - M045209 Vars from the ANES 2004 Panel Study

Except for prefix "M" at the beginning of variable names, variable descriptions are the same as in documentation for the stand-alone studies.

=====

VERSION Dataset Version

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Version of ANES dataset

NOTES:

This is the version of the ANES 2000-2004 Merged File
release in format:

2004ANESVERSION:yyyymmdd

TYPE:

Character

=====

DSETID Dataset ID

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

ANES dataset ID

NOTES:

This is the ANES dataset ID of the ANES 2000-2004 Merged File:
2004.M2000

TYPE:

Character

=====

ID Case ID

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Case ID

VALID CODES:

001-1811

NOTES:

This is the ANES 2000 Time Series Study (pre-election) case ID.
There are gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

2002ID	2002 Case ID
--------	--------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2002 Pre Election Case ID

VALID CODES:

0001-1513

NOTES:

This is the ANES 2002 Time Series Study (pre-election) case ID.
There are four gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

2004ID	2004 Case ID
--------	--------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2004 post election case ID

VALID CODES:

001-897

NOTES:

This is the ANES 2004 Panel Study 4-digit case ID.
There are gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

Years	Years.	Year Participation
-------	--------	--------------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Year Participation 2000-2002-2004

VALID CODES:

-
1. 2000 only
 2. 2000 and 2002
 3. 2000 - 2002 - 2004

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

Waves	Waves.	Wave Participation
-------	--------	--------------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Wave Participation 2000-2002-2004

VALID CODES:

-
- 2000 ONLY:
01. 2000 Pre
 02. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post
- 2000 AND 2002:
03. 2000 Pre - - 2002 Pre
 04. 2000 Pre - - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post
 05. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre
 06. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post

- 2000,2002,2004:
07. 2000 Pre - ----- - 2002 Pre - ----- - 2004 Post
 08. 2000 Pre - ----- - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post - 2004 Post
 09. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre - ----- - 2004 Post
 10. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post - 2004 Post

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

WT00PRE	2000 Pre-Election Sample Weight
---------	---------------------------------

=====

Sample weight for Pre variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 4

=====

WT00PO 2000 Post-Election Sample Weight

=====

Sample weight for Post variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 4

=====

WT02PRE 2002 Pre-Election 'Panel' Weight (2000-2002)

=====

Pre-election Post-stratified weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 decimal places.

This weight compensates for the unequal probabilities of selection for respondents in different size households and for different non-response across geographic sample design categories.

A post stratification adjustment used the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

TYPE:

Num Dec 0

=====

WT02PO 2002 Post-Election 'Panel' Weight (2000-2002)

=====

Post-election Post-stratified weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 decimal places.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
See note WEIGHT.1; WEIGHT.2 values are constructed for the
cases reinterviewed in the Post.

0. No Post interview

TYPE:

Num Dec 0

=====

WT04	2004 Panel Study Weight (2000-2002-2004)
------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2004 Panel Weight

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 4

□=====

M000003 Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post
Numeric

Process.6.

Pre only or Pre-and-Post

0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews

1. Pre-Election Interview only

=====

M000004	Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW
---------	-----------------------------

Numeric

Pre-Admin.1.

PRE: Mode of Pre IW

1. Personal (FTF)

5. Telephone

=====

M000005a	Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
----------	--------------------------------

Numeric

Pre-Admin.2a.

PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation
were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre
section E or Post section H.

0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H P001410-P001417]

1. Section E administered in Pre [P000398-P000406]

=====
M000005b Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2b.

PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample

The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre

- 0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
- 1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [P000431-P000438]

=====
M000005c Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2c.

PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or
Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

- 1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective P000807-P000846]
- 2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits [P000847-P000861]

=====
M000005d Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2d.

PRE: Form - G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T or G6.E-G10.E Lib/Con format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).

SELF PLACEMENT

G1a	Standard format FTF = P000439
G1a.T	Standard format Ph = P000439a
G6.E-G6c.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = P000442-445

CLINTON PLACEMENT

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

G2	Standard format FTF = P000448
G2.T	Standard format Ph = P000448a
G7.E-G7b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = P000450-452
GORE PLACEMENT	
G3	Standard format FTF = P000455
G3.T	Standard format Ph = P000455a
G8.E-G8b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = P000459-461
GW BUSH PLACEMENT	
G4	Standard format FTF = P000465
G4.T	Standard format Ph = P000465a
G9.E-G9b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = P000469-471
BUCHANAN PLACEMENT	
G5	Standard format FTF = P000475
G5.T	Standard format Ph = P000475a
G10.E-G10b.E	Experimental FTF+Ph = P000479-481

1. Standard format G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T (FTF/phone)
2. Experimental format G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone)

=====

M000005e Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2e.

PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
 (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [P000488a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [P000488b]

=====

M000005f Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2f.

PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
 (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [P000492a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [P000492b]

=====

M000005g Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2g.

PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [P000496a]
2. Experimental format H4.E [P000496b]

=====
M000005h Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2h.

PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

1. Standard format H11 [P000511a]
2. Experimental format H11.E [P000511b]

=====
M000005j Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [P000513a]
2. Experimental format H12.E [P000513b]

=====
M000005k Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance
format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned
to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health
Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format.
The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with
reversed scale) is L3a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to
either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a;
the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [P000608a/P000610a]
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [P000608b/P000610b]

M000005m Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [P000671a]
2. Experimental format L6.E [P000671b]

=====
M000005n Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.
PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [P000707a/P000709a]
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [P000707b/P000709b]

=====
M000005p Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2p.

PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

1. Standard format N1 [P000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [P000741b]

=====
M000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is Pla; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2 . The version 1 format is Pla.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.TE.

1. FTF Standard Pla / Phone Version 1 Pla.T [P000754a/P000756a]
2. FTF Experimental Pla.E/Phone Version 2 Pla.TE [P000754b/P000756b]

=====

M000006 Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.3.

PRE: Month of Interview

09. September
10. October
11. November

=====

M000007 Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.4.

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

=====

M000008 Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
 Character

Pre-Admin.5.

PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

=====

M000009 Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.6.

PRE: Number of days before election

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Election day was November 7, 2000.
1-63 days

=====
M000010 Pre.Admin.7. IW length
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

PRE: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the interview as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

=====
M000011 Pre.Admin.8. IW number
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01-53

=====
M000012 Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began.
Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in
the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in
use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview
was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to
the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some
questions would not be the VQ coded here.

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29

- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19

=====

M000013 Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file
 MD: EQ 99
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

- 0. VQ dated 8/15
- 1. VQ dated 8/30
- 2. VQ dated 9/5
- 3. VQ dated 9/6
- 4. VQ dated 9/8
- 5. VQ dated 9/25
- 6. VQ dated 9/28
- 7. VQ dated 9/29
- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19

99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

=====

M000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

- 0. No change
- 1. Change in VQ

9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

=====

M000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.12.

PRE: Advance letter sent?

0. No advance letter sent

1. Advance letter sent

9. NA

=====
M000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount
 MD: EQ 99
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.13.

PRE: Payment amount

00. R requested no payment

20. Twenty dollars

40. Forty dollars

99. NA

=====
M000017 Pre.Admin.14. Payment mode
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.14.

PRE: Payment mode

0. R requested no payment

1. Check

2. Cash

9. NA

=====
M000018 Pre.Admin.15. Payment date
 MD: EQ 9999
 Character

Pre-Admin.15.

PRE: Payment date (MMDD)

9999. NA; R requested no payment

=====
M000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.16.

PRE: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

=====
M000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.17.

PRE: Verification

Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.

Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter (FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the interview, and whether or not the interview was interesting; in addition , 3 questions were asked about content of the interview.

NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered a non-match.

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

=====
M000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.18.

PRE: Evaluation

- 0. Not evaluated
- 1. Flagged
- 2. Evaluated
- 3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
- 4. Cannot evaluate

=====

M000022 Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

- 0. Not a refusal conversion situation
- 1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

=====

M000023 Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.20.

PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

- 0. No persuasion letter sent
 - 1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA

=====

M000024 Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
 MD: EQ 9999
 Character

Pre-Admin.21.

PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

Page 15

9999. No persuasion letter requested

=====
M000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
 MD: EQ 9999
 Character

Pre-Admin.22.

PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

=====
M000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.23.

PRE: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home

9. NA

0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

=====
M000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.24.

PRE: Number of telephone calls

00-42

=====
M000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.25.

PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls

00-22

=====
M000029 Pre.Admin.26. Final result
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.26.

PRE: Final result

- 1. Interview, complete
- 5. Interview, partial

=====
M000030 Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.27.

Sample release (all 1)

All cases coded 1.
There was a single release of the entire sample.

- 1. Released at start of the field period

=====
M000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.28.

PRE: Language of IW (all English)

All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)

- 1. English

=====
M000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.1.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing Coversheet

- 0. Coversheet not missing
- 1. Missing coversheet

=====
M000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.2.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet

FTF coversheets were blue or green.
Telephone coversheets were yellow.
GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating
the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition
of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for
example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have
noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete
apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each
interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a
provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the
listed address.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
4. Green - FTF type II updating
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

=====
M000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a
computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business,
a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence.
(Which is it?)

-
1. Business
 2. Home
 3. Both
 4. Group headquarters
 5. Cell phone
 9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
 0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

=====
M000035 Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.4.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
Does anyone live there on the premises?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No.

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-CsSheet.3; assigned FTF mode

=====
M000036 Pre-CsSheet.5. PH- bus res has personal#
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.5.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:
IF SOMEONE LIVES ON THE PREMISES:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3b:
Do (they/you) have a different phone number inside
(their/your) residence that is for personal use?

- 1. Have other
- 2. Use this

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-CsSheet.4; 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-CsSheet.3;
assigned FTF mode

=====
M000037 Pre-CsSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CsSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:
Household listing obtained from:

- 1. HU member
- 2. Neighbor
- 3. Apt. manager
- 4. Landlord
- 5. Observation
- 7. Other (specify)

- 9. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-CsSheet.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

=====
M000038 Pre-CsSheet.7. Selection table
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.7.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Selection table

The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.

After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the total number of eligible adults in the household; in the second column (same row) is identified the "Number" of the person who will be the respondent.

There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are randomly assigned. [Note that although only 8 tables are used, there are 12 codes in this variable because the 8 tables are used in cycles of 12; this procedure is used to equalize the probability of selection for each eligible adult]. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables appears below.

PERSONS	PERSON SELECTED (person "Number")							
	A	B1	B2	C	D	E1	E2	F
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
3	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3
4	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4
5	1	2	2	2	4	3	5	5
6 or more	1	2	2	2	4	5	5	6

1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F

9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-CSheet.1)

=====

M000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.

1-7

9. NA

=====

M000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.9.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number ineligible adults

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens.
Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

0-6

9. NA

=====

M000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
 MD: EQ 99
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.10.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married (30,40, 50-52). Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11,12,21,22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question.

In cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in P000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question.

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

- 10. 1 adult male HHR
- 11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 20. 1 adult female HHR
- 21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
- 40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
- 50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
- 51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
- 52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
- 60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
- 65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
- 70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
- 85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
- 90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR

99. NA

=====

M000042 Pre-CSheet.11. R person number
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.11.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
R person number

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5

9. NA

```
=====
M000043    Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
           Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.12.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

```
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent
live (sample address)
-----
```

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)

9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheets.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

```
=====
M000044    Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
           Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.13.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

```
PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other
gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain
access to the R's housing unit?
-----
```

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No

9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheets.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

```
=====
M000045    Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
           Numeric
```

Pre-CSheet.14.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

-
1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
 2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
 7. Other (specify)
 9. NA
 0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; assigned phone mode

=====

M000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.15.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

-
1. Yes
 5. No
 9. NA

=====

M000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):

Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

-
1. Marked
 5. Not marked
 9. NA
 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

=====

M000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.17.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000049	Pre-CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000050	Pre-CSheet.19. Contact resist-not interested politics
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000051 Pre.CSheet.20. Contact resist-too busy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.20.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.21.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000053 Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist-other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.22.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA

=====

M000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more

- 9. NA

=====

M000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.25.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the
respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.26.

SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

- 1. Yes, some resistance identified
- 5. No resistance identified

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

M000058 Pre-CSheet.27. R resist-waste time
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):

Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD
EXPERIENCE

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

M000059 Pre-CSheet.28. R resist-too personal
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):

Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

M000060 Pre-CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality

MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000061	Pre-CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000062	Pre-CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheets.15

=====

M000063	Pre-CSheet.32. R resist-health
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.32.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

=====

M000064	Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.33.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

=====

M000065	Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

- 1. Under \$10,000
- 2. \$10,000-25,000
- 3. \$25,000-50,000
- 4. \$50,000-105,000
- 5. \$105,000 and above

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000066 Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.35.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. American Indian
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000067 Pre.CSheet.36. Certainty of race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

-
- 1. Definite
 - 5. Probable

 - 9. NA
 - 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheets.35

=====

M000068 Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also P000184d, P000184e (Post version of these variables)
and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000069	Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
	Numeric

Pre-CSheet.38.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheets.37

=====

M000070	Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
	Numeric

Pre-IWR.1.

PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

=====

M000071	Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
	Numeric

Pre-IWR.2.

PRE: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

=====

M000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.3.

PRE: Interviewer gender

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

=====

M000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.4.

PRE: Interviewer education

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree
- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D.

9. NA

=====

M000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.5.

PRE: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

9. NA

=====

M000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.

PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other

- 9. NA

=====

M000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.7.

PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

- 0. NA

=====

M000077 Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
 MD: EQ 99
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.8.

PRE: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

- 99. NA

=====

M000078 Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Pre-IWR.9.

PRE: Interviewer age (bracketed)

- 1. 18-34 years
- 2. 35-40 years
- 3. 41-45 years
- 4. 46-50 years
- 5. 51-55 years
- 6. 56-60 years
- 7. 51-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years

- 9. NA

=====

M000079 Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-Sample.1.

ICPSR state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

NEW ENGLAND	43. Florida
01. Connecticut	44. Georgia
02. Maine	45. Louisiana
03. Massachusetts	46. Mississippi
04. New Hampshire	47. North Carolina
05. Rhode Island	48. South Carolina
06. Vermont	49. Texas
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	BORDER STATES
11. Delaware	51. Kentucky
12. New Jersey	52. Maryland
13. New York	53. Oklahoma
14. Pennsylvania	54. Tennessee
EAST NORTH CENTRAL	55. Washington DC
21. Illinois	56. West Virginia
22. Indiana	MOUNTAIN STATES
23. Michigan	61. Arizona
24. Ohio	62. Colorado
25. Wisconsin	63. Idaho
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	64. Montana
31. Iowa	65. Nevada
32. Kansas	66. New Mexico
33. Minnesota	67. Utah
34. Missouri	68. Wyoming
35. Nebraska	PACIFIC STATES
36. North Dakota	71. California
37. South Dakota	72. Oregon
SOLID SOUTH	73. Washington
40. Virginia	EXTERNAL STATES
41. Alabama	81. Alaska
42. Arkansas	82. Hawaii

96. Misidentified location
99. NA

=====

M000080 Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-Sample.2.

FIPS state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but
Page 35

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

01. Alabama	30. Montana
02. Alaska	31. Nebraska
04. Arizona	32. Nevada
05. Arkansas	33. New Hampshire
06. California	34. New Jersey
08. Colorado	35. New Mexico
09. Connecticut	36. New York
10. Delaware	37. North Carolina
11. Washington DC	38. North Dakota
12. Florida	39. Ohio
13. Georgia	40. Oklahoma
15. Hawaii	41. Oregon
16. Idaho	42. Pennsylvania
17. Illinois	44. Rhode Island
18. Indiana	45. South Carolina
19. Iowa	46. South Dakota
20. Kansas	47. Tennessee
21. Kentucky	48. Texas
22. Louisiana	49. Utah
23. Maine	50. Vermont
24. Maryland	51. Virginia
25. Massachusetts	53. Washington
26. Michigan	54. West Virginia
27. Minnesota	55. Wisconsin
28. Mississippi	56. Wyoming
29. Missouri	

96. Misidentified location
99. NA

=====
M000081 Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD
Character

Pre-Sample.3.

2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district
number - interview location

This variable provides the state (2-character Postal
abbreviation) and congressional district number of the
location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Pre-
election interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this
variable.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary.24
(P000260).

=====
M000082 Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.4.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
2000 FIPS state code and congressional district number -
interview location

FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
last 2 digits.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24
(P000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

=====
M000083 Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.5.

Congressional district number - interview location

Codes 1-52.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24
(P000260).

96. Misidentified location
99. NA
00. Washington D.C.

=====
M000084 Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.6.

ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview
location

ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
last 2 digits.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24
(P000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

=====
M000085 Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?

- 0. R voted in state and CD of interview
- 1. R did not vote in state and CD of interview
- 8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3)
- 9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)

=====
M000086 Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
 MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7)
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====
M000087 Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.

=====
M000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
 Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.
NOTE: This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post-Election C3 (variable P001243), which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent.

It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, the P000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers.

=====

M000088 Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
Character

Pre-Sample.10.

Primary area name - interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.
This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

=====

M000089 Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.11.

Primary area code - interview location

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. The 44 PSU codes listed below (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

110. Boston, MA	230. Milwaukee, WI
120. New York, NY	239. Wheelng-Steubenvl OH
121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ	240. Des Moines, IA
122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY	250. Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA
130. Chicago, IL	255. Columbus, GA-AL
131. Detroit, MI	257. Jacksonville, FL
132. Cleveland, OH	258. Lakeld-Wntr Haven FL
141. St Louis, MO-IL	260. Knoxville, TN
150. Washington, DC-MD-VA	262. Birmingham, AL
152. Baltimore, MD	273. Waco, TX
154. Miami-Hialeh, FL	274. McAllen, TX
170. Houston, TX	280. Salt Lake C-Ogden UT
171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX	292. Fresno, CA
181. Denver, CO	293. Eugene-Springfld, OR
190. Los Angeles, CA	434. Saginaw, MI
191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA	464. Gardner, MA
194. Anaheim, CA	466. Decatur Co., IN
196. San Francisco, CA	470. Mower Co., MN

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

211. New Haven, CT	474. DeSoto Parish, LA
213. Manchester-Nashua NH	477. Chicot Co., AR
220. Buffalo, NY	480. Montgomery Co., VA
226. Atlantic City, NJ	482. El Dorado Co., CA

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

M000090 Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)

 MD: EQ 0

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.12.

Segment number (blanked) - interview location

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

3-digit code and:

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

M000090a Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)

 Character

Pre-Sample.13.

Segment name (blanked) - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000091 Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units

 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.14.

Number of household unit

Codes 1-5 and:

9. NA

0. Phone IW

=====

M000092 Pre.Sample.15. Census region
Numeric

Pre-Sample.15.

Census region - interview location

-
1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
 2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
 3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
 4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

=====

M000093 Pre.Sample.16. Belt code
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.16.

Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th edition).

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSAs/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city

0. Telephone sample (RDD)

M000094 Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.17.

Population in 1000s - interview location

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

Actual population in 1000s and:

9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

=====
M000095 Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.18.

Census size of place - interview location

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.
Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worcester MA MSA.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

MSAs (I.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6])

11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST
20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population
21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)
22. 10,000-29,999
23. 30,000-49,999
24. 50,000-99,999
25. 100,000-149,999
26. 150,000-349,999
27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11
- CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN
- CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):
30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities
31. 50,000-99,999
32. 100,000-149,999
33. 150,000-349,999
34. 350,000 and over
- SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000
40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)
41. 2,500-9,999
42. 10,000-29,999
43. 30,000-49,999
44. 50,000-99,999
45. 100,000-149,999
46. 150,000 and over
- "NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"
50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
51. 2,500-9,999
52. 10,000-29,999
53. 30,000-49,999

00. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

M000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator

 MD: EQ 9

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.19.

Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent

0. Enumeration district

1. Tracted

9. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

M000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code

 Numeric

Pre-Sample.20.

2000 Sampling Error code

See Sampling documentation in this codebook.
The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum
code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or
Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

=====
M000098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
Numeric

Pre-Sample.21.

2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
520. Atlanta, GA
560. Atlantic City, NJ
720. Baltimore, MD
875. Bergen-Passiac, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040
Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
1000. Birmingham, AL
1123. Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
1125. Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
1280. Buffalo, NY
1600. Chicago, IL
1680. Cleveland OH
1800. Columbus, GA-AL
1920. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
2000. Dayton, OH
2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
2120. Des Moines, IA
2160. Detroit, MI
2400. Eugene-Springfield, OR
2760. Fort Wayne, IN
2800. Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
2840. Fresno, CA
3000. Grand Rapids, MI
3360. Houston, TX
3600. Jacksonville, FL
3760. Kansas City, MO-KS
3840. Knoxville, TN
3965. Lake County, IL PMSA
3980. Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
4480. Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
4720. Madison WI
4763. Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
4880. McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh, TX

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

5000. Miami, FL
 5015. Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA (former code and
 title: 5460 New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville NJ)
 5080. Milwaukee, WI
 5120. Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
 5350. Nashua, NH PMSA
 5380. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
 5480. New Haven-West Haven, CT
 5483. New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
 5600. New York, NY-NJ
 5640. Newark, NJ
 5700. Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
 5775. Oakland, CA PMSA
 6160. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
 6200. Phoenix, AZ
 6280. Pittsburgh, PA
 6453. Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
 6760. Richmond, VA
 6780. Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
 6920. Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
 6960. Saginaw, MI
 7040. St. Louis, MO-IL
 7160. Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
 7360. San Francisco-Oakland, CA
 7600. Seattle-Everett, WA
 8003. Springfield, MA NECMA
 8080. Steubenville-Wiarton, OH-WV
 8200. Tacoma, WA
 8800. Waco, TX
 8840. Washington, DC-MD-VA
 9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
 9243. Worcester-Fitchburn-Leominster, MA NECMA

 0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
 9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

=====

M000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 Numeric

Pre-Sample.22.

2000 CMSA - interview location

 See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
 CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
 identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
 10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
 14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
 28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
 31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were
 made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
- 35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
- 42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
- 49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
- 56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
- 63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
- 70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
- 77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
- 78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
- 84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
- 91. Seattle-Tacoma WA

- 96. Telephone sample (RDD)
- 00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32 (Dayton Springfield, OH) which is no longer consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are combined in a single MSA in 1990)

=====

VAR 000099x Pre.Sample.22x. 2000 MSA
MD1: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22x.

2000 MSA - interview location

This is the 2000 MSA for the full sample, including the
the RDD telephone component.
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

Metropolitan Statistical Area code and:

00. INAP, Location not in MSA.

=====

M000100 Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.23.

2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000101 Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.24.

2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 case corresponded to more than one Census tract.

=====

M000102	Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
	MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
	Numeric

Pre-Sample.25.

2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000103	Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
	MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
	Numeric

Pre-Sample.26.

2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 case corresponded to more than one Census block.

=====

M000104	Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-Sample.27.

2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000105	Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
	Numeric

Pre-Sample.28.

2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000106	Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

Pre-Sample.29.

2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====

M000107 Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.1.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000109 Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.3.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000110 Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.4.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000111 Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.5.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

=====
M000112 Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000114 Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000115 Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
 MD: EQ 999.99
 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000116 Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.10.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000117 Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.11.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====
M000118 Pre.Summary.12. Pre timing - section N
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.12.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.13.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000120 Pre.Summary.14. Pre timing - section Q
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.14.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000121 Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.15.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000122 Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000123 Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000124 Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000125 Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z
Page 53

MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====

M000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview

See Post-Admin.1a.

5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF, used telephone logic in the interview.

1. Personal
5. Telephone
7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone but administered as Personal interview

0. No post IW

=====

M000126a Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

=====

M000127a Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
Page 54

MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.2a.

POST: Form - section H administered in Post

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H. Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

- 0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E P000398-P000406]
- 1. Section H administered in Post [P000410-P000417]

9. No Post IW

=====

M000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.2b.

POST: Form - K2 format

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [P001446a-P001454].
NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (P000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

- 0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
- 1. K2a-K2d administered with probes

9. No post IW

=====

M000128 Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.3.

POST: Month of interview

- 11. November
- 12. December

00. No Post IW

M000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.4.

POST: Day of interview

Coded 1-31 and:

0. No Post IW

=====
M000130 Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.5.

POST: Month and day (MMDD)

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA

=====
M000131 Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.6.

POST: Number of days after election

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

=====
M000132 Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.7.

POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession

Gore concession: December 13, 2000

0. Before
1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
2. After

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000133 Post.Admin.8. IW length

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Post-Admin.8.

POST: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

99. NA
00. INAP, no Post Interview

=====
M000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

0. No Post IW

=====
M000136 Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.11.

POST: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

=====
M000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.12.

POST: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

=====
M000138 Post.Admin.13. Release
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST: Release

Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released

in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15
3. Release 3 - November 21.

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000139 Post.Admin.14. Payment amt
 MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
 Numeric

Post-Admin.14.

POST: Payment to R - amount

00. R requested no payment
20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

=====
M000139a Post.Admin.14a. Interviewer incentive
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Post-Admin.14a.

INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE

Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid \$10 for each completed interview.

0. Post interview date not in incentive period
1. Post interview date in incentive period.

9. INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

POST: Payment to R - mode

0. R requested no payment
1. Check
2. Cash

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====

M000141 Post.Admin.16. Payment date
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

=====

M000142 Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to
their field supervisor.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

0. INAP, no Post IW

=====

M000143 Post.Admin.18. Verification
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification

See notes in Pre-Admin.17 (P000020).

- 0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
- 1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
- 2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
- 3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data
does not match; everything else matches exactly)
- 4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the
respondent at the time of verification is different from that
collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing
the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3
or code 4 as a final code)
- 5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached;
this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching
the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a
household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)

6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed
the needed verification level).

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====

M000144 Post.Admin.19. Evaluation
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.19.

POST: Evaluation

-
0. Not evaluated
 1. Flagged
 2. Evaluated
 3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
 4. Cannot evaluate

9. INAP, no Post IW

=====

M000145 Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone
when an interviewer was first attempting contact.
The source of this refusal may or may not have been the
Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or
46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final
result code) turns this indicator on.
Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or
another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the
initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the
interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====

M000146 Post.Admin.21. Persuasion letter
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.21.

POST: Persuasion letter - flag

- 0. No persuasion letter sent
- 1. Persuasion letter sent

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

=====
M000148 Post.Admin.23. Date sent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

=====
M000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter

- 1. A. Too busy
- 2. B. Too personal
- 3. C. Not interested
- 4. D. Too old
- 5. E. Evasive
- 6. F. Gatekeeper
- 7. G. Not at home

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000150 Post.Admin.25. Tel calls

MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.26.

POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result
Numeric

Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code

01 IW complete
05 IW partial

51 Refusal by R
52 Refusal by person other than R
54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
61 No contact
63 Noninterview, permanent reason
66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
67 Noninterview, other reason
68 Could not Locate R

=====
M000153 Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.28.

POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)

'0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

=====
M000154 Post.Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch

Built from P000004 and P000126.

Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered by phone in the Post.

1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone

0. INAP, no post IW

M000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)
Numeric

Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)

All interviews were conducted entirely in English

1. English

M000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
Numeric

Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:

Flag- missing CS

0. Coversheet not missing
1. Coversheet missing

M000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET:

Color of coversheet

Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.
NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

1. Blue
2. Yellow

3. Pink

9. NA

0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000158 Post-CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA

0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000159 Post-CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:
New phone number available

1. Available

2. Not available

9. NA

0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheets.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

=====
M000160 Post-CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:
Address is:

STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Out of range
3. Within range
5. Can't find

9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-CSheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); Phone mode

=====

M000161 Post-CSheet.6. FTF type structure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent
live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)

9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

=====

M000162 Post-CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.7.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:

Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

=====

M000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the buiding (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheat.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

=====

M000164 Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.9.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA

0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000165 Post-CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):

Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;

PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked

5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000166 Post-CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.11.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):

Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked

5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000167 Post-CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.12.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):

Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000168 Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):

Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN
POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000169 Post.CSheet.14. Contct resist-too busy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):

Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000170 Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.15.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?

1. Yes
5. No

9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000173 Post.CSheet.18. R break appts
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.18.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more

- 8. NA
- 9. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000174 Post-CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the
respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000175 Post-CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25
or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked"
throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have
been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the
inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

- 1. Yes, some resistance identified
- 5. No resistance identified

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000176 Post-CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.21.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):

Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD
EXPERIENCE

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000177 Post-CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.22.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):

Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000178 Post-CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.23.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):

Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000179 Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000180 Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====

M000181 Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.26.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000182 Post-CSheet.27. R resist- other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheets.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000183 Post-CSheet.28. Ever make contact (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.28.

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:
Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this
housing unit?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000183a Post-CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.28a.

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:
Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing
(1 in Post-CSheet.1)

=====
M000184 Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.29.

IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
What was the reason for no contact?

- 1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be
 obtained
- 2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long
 vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
- 3. Nobody answered door but think someone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
- 4. Could not obtain access to HU
- 7. Other (specify)

- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-
 CSheet.1)

=====
M000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.30.

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

- 1. Under \$10,000
- 2. \$10,000-25,000
- 3. \$25,000-50,000
- 4. \$50,000-105,000
- 5. \$105,000 and above

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

=====
M000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Post-CSheet.31.

IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

=====
M000184c Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable

9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheets.31

=====
M000184d Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-CSheet.33.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also P000068, P000069 (Pre version of these variables)
ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

=====
M000184e Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheets.37

=====
M000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.1.

POST: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

9999. NA

=====
M000186 Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.2.

POST: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

9999. NA

=====
M000187 Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.3.

POST: Interviewer gender

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000188 Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.4.

POST: Interviewer education

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree
- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D.

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

=====
M000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.5.

POST: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other

- 9. NA

0. INAP, no Post IW

```
=====
M000190    Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
          MD:  EQ 9
          Numeric
```

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity

0. No ethnic identity coded
 1. Hispanic
 7. Other

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

```
=====
M000191    Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
          Numeric
```

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

```
=====
M000192    Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
          MD:  EQ 99
          Numeric
```

Post-IWR.8.

POST: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

```
=====
M000193    Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
          Numeric
```

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
 2. 35-40 years
 3. 41-45 years
 4. 46-50 years
 5. 51-55 years
 6. 56-60 years

- 7. 51-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

```
=====
M000194      Post.Cand.1. House race type
             MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
             Numeric
```

Post-Cand.1.

House race type

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
- 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
- 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
- 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
- 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99 NA
- 00 Washington DC

```
=====
M000194a      Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
```


Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====

M000194b Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.1b.

Retiring House Representative code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

=====

M000194c Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.1c.

Retiring House Representative gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

=====

M000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Cand.1d.

Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of
interview

30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
31. Democratic candidate in open race
32. Republican candidate in open race
33. Democratic incumbent
34. Republican incumbent
35. Democratic challenger
36. Republican challenger
39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. Washington DC

=====

M000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
Numeric

Post-Cand.1e.

Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of
interview

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
13 Democratic Senate incumbent
14 Republican Senate incumbent
15 Democratic Senate challenger
16 Republican Senate challenger

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. No race in state; Washington CD

=====

M000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.

Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's
district

1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning
margin of the elected candidate.

1. Least competitive
2.
3.
4.
5. Most competitive

9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1);
Washington D.C.

=====

M000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.1g.

Open seat in R's district in 2000?

0. NO
1. YES

9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
Washington D.C.

=====

M000195 Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name
Character

Post-Cand.2.

House Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====

M000196 Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.3.

House Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

31. Democratic candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Democratic candidate; Washington DC

=====

M000197 Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.4.

House Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
 Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000199 Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger

- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
Character

Post-Cand.8.

House Independent/third party candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.9.

House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
Character

Post-Cand.9a.

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

=====
M000203 Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.10.

House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

=====

M000204 Post.Cand.11. Senate race type
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 Numeric

Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

STATES WITH SENATE RACE	STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE
Arizona	Alabama
California	Arkansas
Connecticut	Colorado
Delaware	Idaho
Florida	Illinois
Georgia	Iowa
Indiana	Kansas
Maine	Kentucky
Maryland	Louisiana
Massachusetts	New Hampshire
Michigan	North Carolina
Minnesota	Oklahoma
Mississippi	Oregon
Missouri	South Carolina
Montana	South Dakota
Nebraska	
Nevada	
New Jersey	
New Mexico	
New York	
North Dakota	
Ohio	
Pennsylvania	
Rhode Island	
Tennessee	
Texas	
Utah	
Vermont	
Virginia	
Washington	
West Virginia	
Wisconsin	
Wyoming	

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

```

                                anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
NO RACE IN STATE
 81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

 96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
 99 NA
 00 Washington DC

```

=====

M000205 Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
Character

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====

M000206 Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000207 Post.Cand.14. Senate Democr cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000208 Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name
Character

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000209 Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000210 Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
Washington DC

=====
M000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.19a.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
Washington DC

=====
M000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
Page 90

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

99. NA

00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000215a Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

=====
M000216 Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

9. NA

0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

=====
M000217 Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have
the exact CD of outside vote identified.

M000218 Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

31. Democratic candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger

96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====
M000219 Post.Cand.26. Outside Hse Dem cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

1. Male
2. Female

8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====
M000220 Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name
Character

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have
the exact CD of outside vote identified.

=====
M000221 Post.Cand.28. Outside Hse Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

32. Republican candidate in open House race
Page 92

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

34. Republican House incumbent

36. Republican House challenger

96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD

99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====

M000222 Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.29.

House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

1. Male

2. Female

8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD

9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====

M000223 Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name

Character

Post-Cand.30.

House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

=====

M000224 Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **

38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **

39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD

99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====

M000225 Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.32.

House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote
outside CD of IW

-
- 1. Male
 - 2. Female

- 8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====

M000226 Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
- 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
- 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
- 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. Washington DC
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====
M000226a Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.33a.

Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE

81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96. Washington DC
99. R vote in another district but not another state

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

=====
M000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
Character

Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of
IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

=====
M000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of
IW

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

=====
M000229 Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state
of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

=====
M000230 Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name
Character

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of
IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

=====
M000231 Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of
IW

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger

96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

=====
M000232 Post.Cand.39. Outside Sen Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state
of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

=====
M000233 Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or
if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside'
state.

=====
M000234 Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent

96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

=====
M000235 Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Ind1 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district
but not another state; Washington DC

=====
M000236 Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or
if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in
'outside' state.

=====
M000237 Post.Cand.44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

=====

M000238 Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

-
- 1. Male
 - 2. Female

- 9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

=====

M000239 Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====

M000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000243 Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.5.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000244 Post.Summary.6. Post timing - section F
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.6.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000245 Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.7.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000246 Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.8.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

=====
M000247 Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000248 Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.13.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000252 Post.Summary.14. Post timing - section P
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.14.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000253 Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.15.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000254 Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.16.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000255 Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.17.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====

M000256 Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.18.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====

M000257 Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.19.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====

M000258 Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000259 Post.Summary.21. Post timing - section Z
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.21.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=====
M000260 Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Summary.22.

POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?

NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs 900,1016,1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. P000079, P000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

0. No misidentification of CD
1. Wrong CD identified (administered)

9. No Post IW

=====
M000261 Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload
MD: EQ 9

Numeric

Post-Summary.23.

POST: Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

- 0. No error in Preload
- 1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
- 2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)

9. No Post IW

=====

M000262 Post.Summary.24. Flag-Post rand failure
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). The randomization variables documented in P001752-P001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

- 0. No randomization failure
- 1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview

9. No Post IW

=====

M000301 A1. Attention R paid to campaigns
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this

year?

-
- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
 - 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
 - 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA

=====

M000302 A2. Does R care about Pres election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally
 care a good deal who wins the presidential election
 this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

- 1. CARE A GOOD DEAL
- 3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000303 A3. Did R vote in 1996 election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket
 against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as
 an independent candidate.
 Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in
 that election?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

- 1. YES, VOTED
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE --> SKIP TO A4/A5
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP A4/A5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000304 A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A3a.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. BILL CLINTON
3. BOB DOLE
5. ROSS PEROT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3

=====
M000305 A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A4a.

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4c

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4c
9. RF
0. NA

=====
M000306 A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9995
 Numeric

A4b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

=====
M000307 A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A4b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000308 A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
Page 110

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000309	A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

A4b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000310	A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
	MD: EQ 0
	Numeric

A4b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000311 A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> A5a Or A6
- 8. Don't Know --> A5a Or A6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A4d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

=====
M000313 A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
Page 112

MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000314 A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000315 A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?

(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000316 A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A4d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
make you want to vote against him?

(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000317 A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A5a.

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A5c
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A5c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000318 A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

=====

M000319 A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=====
M000320 A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=====
M000321 A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=====
M000322 A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000323	A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
	Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1. YES | |
| 5. NO | --> SKIP TO A4a or A6 |
| 8. DON'T KNOW | --> SKIP TO A4a or A6 |
| 9. RF | |
| 0. NA | |

=====

M000324	A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
	Numeric

A5d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c

=====
M000325 A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A5d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000326 A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A5d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A5d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====
M000328 A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

A5d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

=====

M000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

A6.

How many days in the past week did you watch the
national network news on TV?

-
- 0. NONE --> SKIP TO A7
 - 1. ONE DAY
 - 2. TWO DAYS
 - 3. THREE DAYS
 - 4. FOUR DAYS
 - 5. FIVE DAYS
 - 6. SIX DAYS
 - 7. EVERY DAY

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF; NA

=====

M000330 A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news
shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal,
quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on national news
shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal,
quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

-
- 1. A GREAT DEAL
 - 2. QUITE A BIT
 - 3. SOME
 - 4. VERY LITTLE
 - 5. NONE

 - 8. DON'T KNOW
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6

=====

M000331 A7. Days R watched early local news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

A7.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local
TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News"
in the late afternoon or early-evening?

- 0. NONE
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY

=====

M000332 A8. Days R watched late local news
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

A8.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local
TV news shows in the late evening?

- 0. NONE
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

=====

M000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on local news
shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal,
quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on local news
shows about the campaign for President --
a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

-
1. A GREAT DEAL
 2. QUITE A BIT
 3. SOME
 4. VERY LITTLE
 5. NONE

 8. DON'T KNOW
 9. RF
 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

=====

M000334 A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A9.

Do you have either cable or satellite television?

1. Yes
5. No

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000335 A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

A10.

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?

0. NONE --> SKIP TO A11
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

=====

M000336 A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A10a.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A11
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10

=====

M000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:
IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

FTF:
(Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A10a

=====

M000338 A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A11.

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates
on television this fall?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't Know

- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000339 A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling his job as president?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000340 A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

=====
M000341 A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

A12x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is
handling his job as president?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from A12 and A12a.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly

- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
- 9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
- 0. NA

=====

M000342 B1. Did R care about House election
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B1.

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

- 1. VERY MUCH
- 2. PRETTY MUCH
- 3. NOT VERY MUCH
- 4. NOT AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000343 B2. Does R remember names of House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B2.

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B3

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Washington D.C.

=====

M000344 B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 Numeric

B2a.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

=====
M000345 B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

=====
M000346 B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B2ax1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

-
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
 3. Other (30,39)
 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
 8. DK name but know party
 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

=====

M000347 B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

-
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party
associated with name
 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party
associated with name
 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party
associated with name
 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of
party associated with name
 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party
associated with name
 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party
mention
 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2

```
=====
M000348    B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
           Numeric
```

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list or this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
no further mention

```
=====
M000349    B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
           Numeric
```

B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
no further mention

=====

M000350 B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
 for Congress -- that is, for the House of
 Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
 November election from this district?
 Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

-
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
 3. Other (30,39)
 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
 8. DK name but know party
 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

=====

M000351 B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
 for Congress -- that is, for the House of
 Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
 November election from this district?
 Who are they?
 What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

-
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party
associated with name
 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party
associated with name

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

=====

M000352 B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
 Numeric

B2c.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
no further mention

=====

M000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B2c1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?

Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

-
1. Democrat
 2. Republican
 7. Other Party (specify)
 8. DK
 9. RF
 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
no further mention

=====

M000354 B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

-
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
 3. Other (30,39)
 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
 8. DK name but know party
 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

=====

M000355 B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

=====

M000356 B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000357 B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B3a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
Congress has been handling its job?)
Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

=====
M000358 B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
Congress has been handling its job?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove not strongly

- 8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
- 9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
- 0. NA

=====
M000359 C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
 Numeric

C1a/C1a.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The first person is:
Bill Clinton
Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill
Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000360 C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1b/C1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Al Gore

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Al Gore
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000361 C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
George W. Bush
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000362 C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1d/C1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Pat Buchanan
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him)

THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000363 C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1e/C1e.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Ralph Nader

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====
M000364 C1f/C1f.T. Thermometer McCain
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1f/C1f.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000365 C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1g/C1g.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

The next person is:

Bill Bradley

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====
M000366 C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1h/C1h.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)

(How would you rate:)

Joseph Lieberman

TELEPHONE:

The next person is::

Joseph Lieberman

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000367 C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1j/C1j.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Dick Cheney

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Dick Cheney
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000368 C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
Page 139

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1k/C1k.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

.....
C2.

Still using the thermometer:

=====
M000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:)
the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2c.

(How would you rate:)
Reform Party

THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====
M000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2d.

(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general

THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

.....
D0.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad
points about the two national parties.

=====
M000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D1.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D2
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000374 D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D1a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1

=====
M000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D1a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
M000376 D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

D1a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====
M000377 D1a(4). #4 like Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

D1a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====

M000378 D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D1a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=====

M000379 D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D2.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D3 or D5

=====

M000380 D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D2a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

=====
M000381 D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
M000382 D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
M000383 D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

D2a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
M000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=====
M000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D3.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D4
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000386 D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D3a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3

=====
M000387 D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====
M000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====
M000389 D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====

M000390 D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

D3a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=====

M000391 D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

D4.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and
'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000392 D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D4a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4

=====
M000393 D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====

M000394 D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====

M000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====

M000396 D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

=====

M000397 D5. Preference for divided government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both
the presidency and Congress, better when control is
split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't
it matter?

1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
5. IT DOESN'T MATTER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000398 E1/E1.T. Better/worse off in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E1/E1.T.

FTF:
We are interested in how people are getting along
financially these days. Would you say that you (and your
family living here) are better off, worse off, or just
about the same financially as you were a year ago?

TELEPHONE:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip to E1b
- 5. THE SAME --> Skip to E2
- 8. DK --> Skip to E2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

=====
M000399 E1a. How much better off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E1a.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. Much Better --> E2
- 5. Somewhat Better --> E2
- 8. DK --> E2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

=====
M000400 E1b. How much worse off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E1b.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. Much Worse
- 5. Somewhat Worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

=====
M000401 E1x. Summary R econ situation last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E1x.

Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

Built from E1/E1.T and E1a/E1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
9. RF (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

=====

M000402	E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
	Numeric

E2/E2.T.

FTF:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

=====

M000403	E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
	Numeric

E3/E3.T.

FTF:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family living here) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family) will be better off financially, worse
off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for
administration of the E section.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip to E3B
- 5. THE SAME --> Skip to F1

- 8. DK --> Skip to F1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

=====
M000404 E3a. How much better off- next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1

- 8. DK --> SKIP to F1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

=====
M000405 E3b. How much worse off- next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

E3b.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

=====

M000406 E3x. Summary R econ situation next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Just about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
9. RF (in E 3 or E3a/E3b)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample

=====

M000407 Fla. Angry- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Fla.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind
of person he is, or because of something he has
done) -- ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series , and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization

8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF

0. NA

```
=====
M000408   Flaa. Angry- how often Gore affect
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
```

Flaa.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
1. Very often
 2. Fairly often
 3. Occasionally
 4. Rarely

```
=====
M000409   Flb. Hopeful- Gore affect
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
```

Flb.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind
of person he is, or because of something he has
done) -- ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

```
=====
M000410   Flbb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
```

Flbb.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
1. Very often
 2. Fairly often
 3. Occasionally
 4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1b

=====

M000411 F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F1c.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
 AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F1 In Randomization
8. DK --> Next F1 In Randomization
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000412 F1cc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F1cc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1c

=====
M000413 F1d. Proud- Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F1d.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind
of person he is, or because of something he has
done) -- ever made you feel:
PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

=====
M000414 F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F1dd.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
1. Very Often
 2. Fairly Often
 3. Occasionally
 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

=====
M000415 F2a. Angry- Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush
(because of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000416 F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F2aa.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a

```
=====
M000417      F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

F2b.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush
(because of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

```
=====
M000418      F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

F2bb.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

```
=====
M000419      F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

F2c.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush
(because of the kind of person he is, or because of

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000420 F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

=====
M000421 F2d. Proud- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
Page 163

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====
M000422 F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

=====
M000423 F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3a.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because
of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

=====
M000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

=====
M000425 F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3b.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because
of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

=====

M000426 F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3bb.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

=====

M000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3c.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

=====

M000428 F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3cc.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c

=====

M000429 F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3d.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because
of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes

- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

=====

M000430 F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3dd.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-
- 1. Very often
 - 2. Fairly often
 - 3. Occasionally
 - 4. Rarely

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

=====

M000431 F6(1). #1 most important problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 991
Numeric

F6(1).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

- 991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
- 992. "There was no campaign in my district"
- 995. NA
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see
Pre-Admin.2b, P000005b=0)

M000432 F6(2). #2 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

F6(2).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=====
M000433 F6(3). #3 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

F6(3).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=====
M000434 F6(4). #4 most important problem
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

F6(4).

What do you think are the most important problems facing
this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

```
=====
M000435    F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
           MD: EQ 9
           Numeric
```

F6x.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R

[IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE MORE THAN 1.]

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

- 0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
- 1. One problem mentioned
- 2. Two or more problems mentioned

9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

```
=====
M000436    F7. Choice - most important problem
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
           Numeric
```

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here.

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

998. DK

999. RF

000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

```
=====
M000437    F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
           Numeric
```

F8.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

- 1. A GOOD JOB
- 3. ONLY FAIR
- 5. A POOR JOB

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

=====
M000438 F9. Party performance on most imp problem
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

F9.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 3. DEMOCRATS
- 5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

=====
M000439 G1a. Self placement lib-con scale
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G1a.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (P000442).

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 2. LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD | --> G1b |
| 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| 6. CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| | |
| 8. DK | --> G1b |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R
selected for branching version | |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] | --> G1b |

=====
M000439a G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G1a; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (P000442)

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 2. LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL | --> Skip to G2 |
| 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD | --> G1b |
| 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| 6. CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE | --> Skip to G2 |
| | |
| 8. DK | --> G1b |

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R
selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

=====
M000440 Glax. Summary: combined FTF/ph
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Glax.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative,
or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from Gla and Gla.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]

=====
M000441 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE

- 8. DK
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

=====

M000441a G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G1bx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative,
or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:

Built from G1ax and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF
administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

- 1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7)
- 8. DK (0/8,8)
- 9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
- 0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)

=====

M000442 G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and
conservatives.
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also: G1a and G1a.T (P000439 and P000439a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| 1. A LIBERAL | --> SKIP TO G6b.E |
| 3. A CONSERVATIVE | --> SKIP TO G6a.E |
| 5. A MODERATE | --> SKIP TO G6a.E |
| 7. NEITHER [VOL] | --> SKIP TO G6a.E |
| | |
| 8. DK | --> SKIP TO G6a.E |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for
7-point scale version | |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] | --> SKIP TO G6a.E |

=====
M000443 G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. LIBERAL | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
| 3. CONSERVATIVE | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
| 5. MODERATE[VOL] | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
| 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL] | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
| | |
| 8. DON'T KNOW | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
| 9. RF | |
| 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E | |

=====
M000444 G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- | | |
|-------------------|------------------|
| 1. STRONG LIBERAL | --> SKIP TO G7.E |
|-------------------|------------------|
- Page 175

5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E

8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

```
=====
M000445      G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not
very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE

5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

```
=====
M000446      G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

G6x1.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on
this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you
usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal,
slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road,
slightly conservative, conservative, extremely
conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of
yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or
haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a
liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very
strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not
very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from:

- branching data in G6.E, G6a.E, G6b.E, G6c.E.
- 7-pt scale data in Glax, Glb.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in Glax and the "had to choose" data in Glb were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
 3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
 4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
 5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
 6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
 7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
-
8. DK
 9. R refuses to choose
 0. NA

=====
M000446a G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000446b G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x1b.

IF PHONE MODE:

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (PHONE):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000447 G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/ conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Collapsed from G6x1.

1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
3. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
5. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)

8. DK (8 in G6x1)
0. NA (0 in G6x1)

=====
M000448 G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE

7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000448a G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton?

Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL

2. LIBERAL

3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL

4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD

5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE

6. CONSERVATIVE

7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000449 G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT

Built from G2/G2.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

=====

```
M000450      G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?
Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. LIBERAL --> G7a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7b.E
3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=====

```
M000451      G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

G7a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?

-
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
 - 8. DK --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E OR G10.E
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

=====
M000452 G7b.E. Clinton strong conservtive or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a
not very strong conservative?

-
- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
 - 8. DK
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

=====
M000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative

5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G7.E

9. RF in G7.E

0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=====

M000454 G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from G2x and G7x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

=====

M000455 G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T; for branching version, see G8.E (P000459). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000455a G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (P000459).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE

- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000456 G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

=====

M000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

=====

M000458 G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T

=====

M000458a G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3ax.T.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT

This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format

=====

M000459 G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?
(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G3 and G3.T (P000455 and P000455a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G8a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G8c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=====

M000460 G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very
strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

=====

M000461 G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G8b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not
very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E

- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

=====

M000462 G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G8x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or
a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G8.E/G8a.E/G8b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative

- 8. DK in G8.E
- 9. RF in G8.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=====

M000463 G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)

What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====
M000464 G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

=====
M000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

=====

M000465	G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
	MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
	Numeric

G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (P000469).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000465a G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (P000469).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000466 G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

Built from G4/G4.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

=====
M000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. VERY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 3. PRETTY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 8. DK | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 9. RF | |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4 | |

=====
M000468 G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

-
- | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. VERY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 3. PRETTY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |
| 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN | --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11 |

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

=====
M000468a G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4ax.T.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

=====
M000469 G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush?
(Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a
moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G4 and G4.T (P000465 and P000465a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G9a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected
for 7-point scale version

=====

M000470 G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?

-
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
 - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

=====

M000471 G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or
a not very strong conservative?

-
- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
 - 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
 - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
 - 9. RF
 - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

```
=====
M000472    G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
           Numeric
```

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal,
a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G9.E/G9a.E/G9b.E

1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G9.E
9. RF in G9.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

```
=====
M000473    G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
           Numeric
```

G9x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you
think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal,
a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G4x and G9x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative

5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

```
=====
M000474      G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

G9c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
 IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
 IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
 certain or not very certain?

- ```

```
- 1. VERY CERTAIN           --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  
  - 8. DK                    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

```
=====
M000474a G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G9cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
 certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9,or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

```
=====
M000475 G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G5.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (P000479). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

=====

M000475a G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G5.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Pat Buchanan?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching  
format (G6.E-G10.E)  
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5;  
for branching format see G10.E (P000479).  
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered  
was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

=====  
M000476 G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:  
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely  
liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle  
of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or  
extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT  
-----

Built from G5/G5.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

=====  
M000477 G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:  
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

- 
- 1. VERY CERTAIN           --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN       --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  
  - 8. DK                    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

=====

M000478     G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G5a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:  
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

- 
- 1. VERY CERTAIN           --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN       --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  
  - 8. DK                    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

=====

M000478a    G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G5ax.T.

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

---

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format

=====  
M000479      G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G10.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan?  
(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a  
moderate?)

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']  
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a  
general description of the administration of liberal-  
conservative placements.  
See also G5 and G5.T (P000475 and P000475a).  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format  
(G6.E-G10.E)  
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                      |                                        |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1. LIBERAL                                           | --> G10a.E                             |
| 2. CONSERVATIVE                                      | --> SKIP TO G10b.E                     |
| 3. MODERATE                                          | --> SKIP TO G10c.E                     |
|                                                      |                                        |
| 8. DON'T KNOW                                        | --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION |
| 9. RF                                                |                                        |
| 0. NA; INAP, R selected for<br>7-point scale version |                                        |

=====  
M000480      G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not  
very strong liberal?

- 
- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
  - 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
  - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

=====

M000481 G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:  
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a  
not very strong conservative?

- 
- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
  - 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
  - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

=====

M000482 G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a  
conservative, or a moderate?)  
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/  
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from G10.E/G10a.E/G10b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G10.E  
9. RF in G10.E  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

```
=====
M000483 G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

-----  
Built from G5x and G10x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA

```
=====
M000484 G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/

IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 
1. VERY CERTAIN           --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  3. PRETTY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
  5. NOT VERY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E

=====  
M000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan 1-c crt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING  
-----

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000485 G11. Who does R think will be elected  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11.

Who do you think will be elected President in November?  
-----

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

- 8. DK --> SKIP to G11b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000486 G11a. Race close or not- cand named  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11a.

IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
[NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

---

- 1. WILL BE CLOSE --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11

=====  
M000487 G11b. Race close or not- cand not named  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will  
one candidate win by quite a bit?

---

- 1. WILL BE CLOSE
- 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

=====  
M000488a H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a  
whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the  
nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the  
same, or gotten worse?

---

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1  
or H1.E

- 1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
- 5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

=====  
M000488b H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

-----  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E

- 1. GOTTEN WORSE.                   --> SKIP TO H1b
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME       --> SKIP TO H2
- 5. GOTTEN BETTER                --> SKIP TO H1a
  
- 8. DK                            --> SKIP TO H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

=====  
M000489     H1a. How much better US econ past year  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

H1a.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 
- 1. MUCH BETTER                --> SKIP TO H2
  - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER        --> SKIP TO H2
  
  - 8. DK                        --> SKIP TO H2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1.E

=====  
M000490     H1b. How much worse US econ last year  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

H1b.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 
- 1. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  - 5. MUCH WORSE
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E

=====  
M000491 H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H1x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

-----  
Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
0. NA

=====  
M000492a H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

-----  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
8. DK --> SKIP TO H4  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E

=====  
M000492b H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten  
easier for people across the country to find enough  
work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people  
to find enough work?  
-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2  
or H2.E

1. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b  
3. ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4  
5. GOTTEN HARDER

8. DK --> SKIP TO H4  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

=====  
M000493 H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?  
-----

1. MUCH HARDER --> H4  
5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4

8. DK --> H4  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

=====  
M000494 H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?

- 
1. MUCH EASIER
  5. A LITTLE EASIER
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2.E

=====

M000495      H2x. Summary employ opps in last year  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

-----

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.

1. Much harder
2. Somewhat harder
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. Much easier
8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
0. NA

=====

M000496a      H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4

Page 208



or H4.E

- 1. GET BETTER --> SKIP TO H4a
- 3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
- 5. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

=====

M000496b H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the  
economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse,  
stay about the same, or get better?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4  
or H4.E

- 1. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
- 3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
- 5. GET BETTER
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

=====

M000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?

-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4.E

=====

M000498 H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H4b.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 
- 1. MUCH WORSE
  - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4.E

=====

M000499      H4x. Summary US econ in next year  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

H4x.

STANDARD VERSION:  
 What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?  
 EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:  
 What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?  
 Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

---

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 9. RF in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 0. NA

=====

M000500      H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

H5.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

- 
- 1. APPROVE
  - 5. DISAPPROVE      --> SKIP to H5b

8. DK --> SKIP to H6  
9. RF  
0. NA

=====  
M000501 H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?  
-----

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7  
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7  
  
8. DK --> SKIP to H7  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5

=====  
M000502 H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?  
-----

1. STRONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY  
  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

=====  
M000503 H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is  
handling the economy?  
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT  
-----

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
0. NA

=====

M000504     H6. Is R invested in stock market  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any  
money invested in the stock market right now --  
either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

-----

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000505     H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of  
handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the  
Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference  
between them?

-----

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000506     H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H8.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?

-----

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. ABOUT THE SAME BY BOTH
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000507     H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H9.

Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

-----

1. WEAKER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
5. STRONGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000508     H10. Increase/decrease immigratrion  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H10.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

-----

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW     --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E

8. DON'T KNOW                     --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000509 H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/  
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?

- 
- 1. A LITTLE
  - 5. A LOT
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

=====

M000510 H10x. Summary immigration level  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H10x.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign  
countries who are permitted to come to the United States  
to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same  
as it is now?  
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

-----

Built from H10 and H10a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased a little
- 3. Left the same
- 4. Decreased a little
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

=====

M000511a H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign  
imports in order to protect American jobs. Others

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

- |                                    |                 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. FAVOR                           | --> SKIP TO H12 |
| 5. OPPOSE                          | --> SKIP TO H12 |
| 7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS | --> SKIP TO H12 |
| 8. DK                              | --> SKIP TO H12 |
| 9. RF                              |                 |
| 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E  |                 |

=====

M000511b H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

- |                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. FAVOR                        |  |
| 5. OPPOSE                       |  |
| 8. DK                           |  |
| 9. RF                           |  |
| 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11 |  |

=====

M000512 H11x. Combined versions import limits  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

H11x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
imports in order to protect American jobs. Others  
say that such limits would raise consumer prices and  
hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing  
new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS

---

Built from H11 and H11.E

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000513a H12. US better off to stay at home stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:  
This country would be better off if we just stayed home  
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other  
parts of the world.

---

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12  
or H12.E

- 1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
- 5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H13
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

=====

M000513b H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just  
stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems  
in other parts of the world, or do you think that this  
country would be better off trying to solve some  
problems in other parts of the world?

---



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12  
or H12.E

1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

=====  
M000514      H12x. Combined versions isolationism  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:  
This country would be better off if we just stayed home  
and did not concern ourselves with problems in other  
parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just  
stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems  
in other parts of the world, or do you think that this  
country would be better off trying to solve some  
problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

-----  
Built from H12 and H12.E

1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000515      H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

H13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is  
handling our relations with foreign countries?

- 
1. APPROVE
  5. DISAPPROVE    --> SKIP to H13b
  8. DK                --> SKIP to K1
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====

M000516 H13a. Strength appove Clinton frgn rel  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO K1
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

=====

M000517 H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H13b.

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

=====

M000518 H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is  
handling our relations with foreign countries?  
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

-----

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13

=====  
M000519 K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a  
Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

- 
- 1. DEMOCRAT
  - 2. REPUBLICAN --> SKIP TO K1b
  - 3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
  - 4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
  - 5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO K1c
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====  
M000520 K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K1a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very  
strong Democrat?

- 
- 1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
  - 5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

=====  
M000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not  
very strong Republican?

- 
- 1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1

=====  
 M000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

K1c.

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican  
 Party or to the Democratic party?  
 -----

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN  
 3. NEITHER [VOL]  
 5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC

8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1

=====  
 M000523 K1x. Party ID summary  
 MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
 Numeric

K1x.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a  
 Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?  
 Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or  
 a not very strong Democrat/ Republican?  
 Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican  
 Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID  
 -----

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.  
 Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a  
 code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in  
 K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in  
 response to the following survey questions: PRE question  
 A1.(interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about  
 Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST  
 question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who  
 showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

0. Strong Democrat (1,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)  
 1. Weak Democrat (1,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)  
 2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8,0,5 in K1, K1a/b, K1c  
 3. Independent-Independent (3,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c;  
 8,0,3 and 5,0,3/8/9 if not apolitical)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8,0,1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
5. Weak Republican (2,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
6. Strong Republican (2,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
8. Apolitical (8,0,3 or 5,0,3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics)
9. NA (8/9,0,0 in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

=====

M000524      K2a. Gore trait - moral  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K2a.

Think about Al Gore.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - MORAL

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000525      K2b. Gore trait - really cares  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

-----  
GORE TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M000526 | K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8            |
|         | Numeric                         |

K2c.

Think about Al Gore.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too  
well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

-----  
GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000527      K2d. Gore trait - strong leader  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K2d.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000528      K2e. Gore trait - dishonest  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K2e.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

-----  
GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000529 K2f. Gore trait - intelligent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2f.

Think about Al Gore.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too  
well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

-----  
GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL



2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000530      K2g. Gore trait - out of touch  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K2g.

Think about Al Gore.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too  
well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000531      K3a. Bush trait - moral  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K3a.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000532      K3b. Bush trait - really cares  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K3b.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George  
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL

- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000533     K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K3c.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George  
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000534     K3d. Bush trait - strong leader  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K3d.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George  
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or

not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000535      K3e. Bush trait - dishonest  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K3e.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL

4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

```
=====
M000536 K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

K3f.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL  
2. QUITE WELL  
3. NOT TOO WELL  
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

```
=====
M000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

K3g.

Think about George W. Bush.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000538      K4a. Buchanan trait - moral  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

K4a.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.  
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL

- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

=====  
M000539     K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K4b.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan  
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at  
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were  
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order  
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.  
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

=====  
M000540     K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K4c.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'  
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

=====

M000541      K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

K4d.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

=====  
M000542      K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K4e.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHONEST

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

=====  
M000543      K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K4f.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

=====

M000544      K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K4g.

Think about Pat Buchanan.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

```
=====
M000545 L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

L1a.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

-----

For telephone (branching) version of this question see L1a.T.

- |                                            |         |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES | --> L1b |
| 2.                                         | --> L1b |
| 3.                                         | --> L1b |
| 4.                                         | --> L1b |
| 5.                                         | --> L1b |
| 6.                                         | --> L1b |
| 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES  | --> L1b |
| 8. DK                                      | --> L1b |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode                |         |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS         | --> L1b |

```
=====
M000546 L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

L1a.T.

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see L1a.

- |                                     |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING  | --> L1a1.T |
| 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING | --> L2.T   |

7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> L1b.T
8. DK --> L1b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b.T

=====

M000547 L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1a1.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce services and spending a  
great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

-----

1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
8. DK --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

=====

M000548 L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase services and spending a  
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

-----

1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
8. DK --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

=====

M000549 L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

L1ax1.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Should the government reduce/increase services and

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and  
spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
Built from L1a.T and L1a1.T/L1a2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

7. Haven't thought much
8. DK in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
9. RF in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a.T; FTF mode

=====  
M000550 L1ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1ax2.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Should the government reduce/increase services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and  
spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

-----  
Built from L1a and L1ax1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a or L1a.T
0. Haven't thought much

=====  
M000551 L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1b.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====  
M000552 L1b.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1b1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1b2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000553 L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1b1.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and  
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME  
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

=====

M000554 L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME  
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

=====

M000555 L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the  
government to provide fewer services in order to  
reduce spending or provide more services even if it  
means an increase in spending?

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services  
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services  
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode

=====

M000556      L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----  
Built from L1b and L1bx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T

=====

M000557      L1c. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1c.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L1c.T



Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====  
M000558 L1c.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1c.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the FTF version of this question, see L1c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1c1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1c2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000559 L1c1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph  
Page 241

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1c1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

=====

M000560 L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

=====

M000561 L1cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the  
government to provide fewer services in order to  
reduce spending or provide more services even if it  
means an increase in spending?)  
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services  
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services  
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
  
8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

=====  
M000562      L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L1cx2.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)  
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH  
-----

Built from L1c and L1cx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T

=====  
M000563      L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L1d.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?  
-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE  
MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE  
MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000564 L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING -->L1d1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING -->L1d2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
8. DK -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T

9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000565      L1d1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1d1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

-----

1. A GREAT DEAL    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T  
5. ONLY SOME        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

=====

M000566      L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

-----

1. A GREAT DEAL    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T  
5. ONLY SOME        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK                    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

=====

M000567      L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1dx1.

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like  
the government to provide fewer services in order  
to reduce spending or provide more services even if it  
means an increase in spending?)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services  
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services  
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES  
-----

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode

=====  
M000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like  
the government to provide fewer services in order  
to reduce spending or provide more services even if it  
means an increase in spending?)  
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services  
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services  
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH  
-----

Built from L1d and L1dx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1d.T

=====  
M000569 L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1e.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000570 L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1e1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1e2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000571 L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1e1.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
  - 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

=====

M000572 L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1e2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

- 
- 1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
  - 5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2
  - 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

=====

M000573 L1ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1ex1.



TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)  
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L1e.T and L1e1.T/L1e2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
9. RF in L1e.T or L1e1.T/L1e2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e.T; FTF mode

=====

M000574      L1ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L1ex2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)  
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----

Built from L1e and L1ex1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e or L1e.T

=====

M000575 L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1f.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY  
FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY  
MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000576 L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1f1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1f2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> Next Party or L2
- 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000577 L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1f1.T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

=====

M000578 L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

=====

M000579 L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1fx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
Built from L1f.T and L1f1.T/L1f2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T

9. RF in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T

0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f.T; FTF mode

=====

M000580 L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L1fx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----  
Built from L1f and L1fx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

=====  
M000581 L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2a.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?  
-----

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b
2. --> L2b
3. --> L2b
4. --> L2b
5. --> L2b
6. --> L2b
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b
8. DK --> L2b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L2b

=====  
M000582 L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2a.T.

TELEPHONE:  
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
-----

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) --> L2b.T
8. DK --> L2b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000583 L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2a1.T.

IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

- 
- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1a.T
  - 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1b.T
  - 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
  
  - 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

=====

M000584 L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:  
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce defense spending a lot or a little?

- 
- 1. A LOT --> L2bT/L2c.T
  - 5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
  
  - 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

=====

M000585 L2a1b.T. How much increas def spending ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2a1b.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:  
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase defense spending a lot or a little?

- 
- 1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
  - 5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T

- 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

=====

M000586 L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

L2ax1.

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you  
thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense  
spending, increase defense spending, or is the  
government spending on defense about the right amount  
now?

Should the government decrease/increase defense spending  
a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
  
- 7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
- 8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
- 9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

=====

M000587 L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you  
thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense  
spending, increase defense spending, or is the  
government spending on defense about the right amount  
now?

Should the government decrease/increase defense spending  
a lot or a little?

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much

=====

M000588      L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF  
                 MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L2b.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE  
DEFENSE SPENDING                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3.                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4.                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5.                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6.                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE  
DEFENSE SPENDING                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK                                              --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000589      L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph  
                 MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L2b.T.



TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000590 L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/  
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T

=====

M000591 L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2bx1.

TELEPHONE:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M000592 | L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                   |
|         | Numeric                                |

L2bx2.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----  
Built from L2b and L2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M000593 | L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                  |
|         | Numeric                               |

L2c.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                             |                               |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE<br>DEFENSE SPENDING | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 2.                                          | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 3.                                          | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 4.                                          | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 5.                                          | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 6.                                          | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE<br>DEFENSE SPENDING | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 8. DK                                       | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3 |
| 9. RF                                       |                               |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                     |                               |

=====

M000594 L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
  
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000595 L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2c1.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/  
IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

- 
- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
  - 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
  
  - 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T

=====

M000596 L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we  
should decrease defense spending, increase defense  
spending, or that Government spending on defense is  
about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

-----

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
  
- 8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode

=====

M000597 L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2cx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we  
should decrease defense spending, increase defense  
spending, or that Government spending on defense is  
about the right amount now?)  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----  
Built from L2c and L2cx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

=====

M000598 L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2d.

FTF:  
(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this  
issue)?

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or  
2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as  
the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE  
DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE  
DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000600 L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/  
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

-----

1. A LOT           --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE       --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
  
8. DK             --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2d.T

=====

M000601     L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

L2dx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

-----

Built from   L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
  
8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

=====

M000602     L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

L2dx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

```
=====
M000603 L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

FTF:  
(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
=====
M000604 L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```



L2e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
- 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000605 L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2e1.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/  
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

---

- 1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T

=====

M000606 L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L2ex1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

---

Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode

=====  
M000607      L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

L2ex2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)  
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

---

Built from L2e and L2ex1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

=====  
M000608      L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.

Numeric

L3(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION  
FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale  
or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with  
endpoints reversed).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the  
branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement  
question is coded in reverse.

1. FTF standard version
2. FTF experimental version
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

=====

M000608a L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

See L3 for complete text.  
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).  
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version  
of this question.  
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and  
L3a.TE.

- |                                   |                |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN            | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 2.                                | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 3.                                | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 4.                                | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 5.                                | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 6.                                | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN         | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 8. DK                             | --> SKIP TO L4 |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1) |                |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH           | --> SKIP TO L4 |

=====

M000608b L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).

The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version  
of this question.

For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and  
L3a.TE.

- |                                    |        |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN          | --> L4 |
| 2.                                 | --> L4 |
| 3.                                 | --> L4 |
| 4.                                 | --> L4 |
| 5.                                 | --> L4 |
| 6.                                 | --> L4 |
| 7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN             | --> L4 |
|                                    |        |
| 8. DK                              | --> L4 |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)  |        |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS | --> L4 |

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M000609 | L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                     |
|         | Numeric                                  |

L3ax.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a/L3a.E.

- |                                             |  |
|---------------------------------------------|--|
| 1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN                      |  |
| 2.                                          |  |
| 3.                                          |  |
| 4.                                          |  |
| 5.                                          |  |
| 6.                                          |  |
| 7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN                   |  |
|                                             |  |
| 8. DK                                       |  |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)] |  |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH                     |  |

M000610a L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

See L3.T for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).  
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.  
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

- 1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
- 5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES  
THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

=====

M000610b L3a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

See L3.TE for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).  
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.  
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

- 1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES  
THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a2.T
- 5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a1.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> L4.T
- 8. DK --> L4.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4.T

=====

M000611 L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale  
Page 269

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3ax.T.

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE

-----  
Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES  
THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====  
M000612 L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:  
IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/  
IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should  
be a government insurance plan?/  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals  
should pay through private insurance plan?

- 
1. STRONGLY --> L4.T
  5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T
  8. DK --> L4.T
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE

=====  
M000613 L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3x1.

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
be a a government insurance plan?/  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals  
should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES  
-----

Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

1. Strongly - government insurance plan
2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
3. Stay the same as it is now
4. Not strongly - individual insurance
5. Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====  
M000614 L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L3x2.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should  
be a a government insurance plan?/  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals  
should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH  
-----

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====  
M000615 L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

-----

For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

- |                                                   |          |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING | --> L4b. |
| 2.                                                | --> L4b. |
| 3.                                                | --> L4b. |
| 4.                                                | --> L4b. |
| 5.                                                | --> L4b. |
| 6.                                                | --> L4b. |
| 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN   | --> L4b. |
| 8. DK                                             | --> L4b. |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode                       |          |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                | --> L4b. |

=====

M000616     L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

- |                                                   |                 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING | --> L4a1.T      |
| 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN   | --> L4a2.T      |
| 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]               | --> L4b.T/L4c.T |
| 8. DK                                             | --> L4b.T/L4c.T |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode                         |                 |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                | --> L4b.T/L4c.T |

=====

M000617     L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to  
it that every person has a job and a good standard of  
living, or not so strongly?

-----

- |                 |                 |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1. STRONGLY     | --> L4b.T/L4c.T |
| 5. NOT STRONGLY | --> L4b.T/L4c.T |



- 8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

=====  
M000618 L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let  
each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T

- 8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

=====  
M000619 L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4x1.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to  
it that every person has a job and a good standard of  
living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let  
each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====  
M000620 L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4x2.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to  
it that every person has a job and a good standard of  
living, or not so strongly?  
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let  
each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

---

Built from L4a and L4x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====

M000621 L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4b.

FTF:  
(Still looking at Page 9)  
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or  
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as  
the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.  
  
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

|                                                    |                                |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| STANDARD OF LIVING                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5. |
| 2.                                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 3.                                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 4.                                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 5.                                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 6.                                                 | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON<br>GET AHEAD ON OWN | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 8. DK                                              | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5  |
| 9. RF                                              |                                |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                            |                                |

=====

M000622     L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

L4b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
 For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

|                                                      |                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND<br>STANDARD OF LIVING | --> L4b1.T                      |
| 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET<br>AHEAD ON OWN   | --> L4b2T                       |
| 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]                    | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR -->L5.T  |
| 8. DK                                                | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T |
| 9. RF                                                |                                 |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode                                |                                 |

=====

M000623     L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

L4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/  
 IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that the government should see to jobs and a good  
standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that the government should just let each person get  
ahead on their own?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR   --> L5.T
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR   --> L5.T
  
  - 8. DK                    --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR   --> L5.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

=====

M000624     L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

L4bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government  
should see to it that every person has a job and a good  
standard of living, or that government should just let  
each person get ahead on their own?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should see to jobs and a good standard of  
living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should just let each person get ahead on  
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000625     L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

L4bx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 9)

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

=====

M000626      L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF  
                 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L4c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

6.  
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

L4C.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND
 STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET
 AHEAD ON OWN --> L4c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

```

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/  
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that the government should see to jobs and a good  
standard of living?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
that the government should just let each person get  
ahead on their own ?  
-----

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

=====

M000629 L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels  
government should see to it that every person has a  
job and a good standard of living, or that government  
should just let each person get ahead on their own?)  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should see to jobs and a good standard of  
living?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should just let each person get ahead on  
their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES  
-----

Built from L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000630 L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels  
government should see to it that every person has a  
job and a good standard of living, or that government

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
should just let each person get ahead on their own?)  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should see to jobs and a good standard of  
living?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the  
government should just let each person get ahead on  
their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

---

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000631 L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4d.

FTF:  
Where would you place the Democratic Party  
(on this issue)?

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or  
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as  
the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode



=====

M000632 L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L4d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4d1.T
- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4d1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000633 L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/  
IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T  
  
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4d.T

=====  
M000634 L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4dx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000635 L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4dx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party  
(on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
government should see to jobs and a good standard of  
living?  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the  
government should just let each person get ahead on  
their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

-----  
Built from L4d and L4dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M000636 | L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                |
|         | Numeric                             |

L4e.

FTF:  
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this  
issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or  
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as  
the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000637 L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4e1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> L4e1.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000638 L4e1/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/  
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4e.T

=====

M000639      L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L4ex1.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

- 1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000640      L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L4ex2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?  
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000641 L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5a.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

-----

See L5 for complete text.  
For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5b.
2. --> L5b.
3. --> L5b.
4. --> L5b.
5. --> L5b.
6. --> L5b.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b.
8. DK --> L5b.
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.

=====

M000642 L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

See L5.T for complete text.  
For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5a1.T

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T  
 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T  
  
 8. DK --> L5b.T  
 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode  
 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

=====

M000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
 IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:  
 Should the government help blacks to a great extent or  
 only to some extent?  
 Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent  
 or only to some extent?

-----

1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T  
 5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T  
  
 8. DK --> L5b.T  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T

=====

M000644 L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5ax1.

TELEPHONE:  
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
 thought much about this?  
 Should the government help blacks to a great extent or  
 only to some extent?  
 Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent  
 or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent  
 2. Govt help blacks to some extent  
 3. Other/neither/depends  
 4. Should help themselves to some extent  
 5. Should help themselves to a great extent  
  
 8. DK  
 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode

0. Haven't thought much about it

=====  
M000645 L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5ax2.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or  
only to some extent?  
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent  
or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING  
-----

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

=====  
M000646 L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5b.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5 for complete text.  
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are  
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f  
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
  
 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000647 L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5b.T.

TELEPHONE:  
 What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that  
 government should make every effort to improve  
 the social and economic position of blacks, or that  
 government should not make any special effort  
 to help blacks because they should help themselves?)  
 -----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
 See L5.T for complete text.  
 TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and  
 L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.  
 L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th  
 or 5th names.  
 For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5b1.T  
 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b1.T  
 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME  
  
 8. DK --> NEXT NAME  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000648 L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
 IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:  
 (Do you think he feels the government should)  
 help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
 (Do you think he feels blacks should have to)  
 help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?  
 -----

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME  
 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

=====

M000649 L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

L5bx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)  
 Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000651 L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5c.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5 for complete text.  
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are  
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f  
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====  
M000652 L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L5c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                   |                     |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS        | --> L5c1.T          |
| 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES  | --> L5c1.T          |
| 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY |
| 8. DK                             | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY |
| 9. RF                             |                     |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode             |                     |

=====

M000653      L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he feels the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)  
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

-----

- |                                         |                     |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. GREAT EXTENT                         | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY |
| 5. ONLY SOME                            | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY |
| 8. DK                                   | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY |
| 9. RF                                   |                     |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5c.T |                     |

=====

M000654      L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000655      L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)  
 Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000656 L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5d.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5 for complete text.  
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are  
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f  
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS       | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 2.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 3.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 4.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 5.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 6.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |

=====

M000657 L5d.T. Bush-aid to blacks scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5d.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush?  
(Do you think he feels that government should make every  
effort to improve the social and economic position of  
blacks, or that government should not make any special  
effort to help blacks because they should help  
themselves?)  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5d1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000658 L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?  
-----

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

=====  
M000659 L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5dx1.

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush?  
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help

themselves?)  
(Do you think he feels the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)  
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000660      L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

L5dx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush?  
(Do you think he feels that government should make every  
effort to improve the social and economic position of  
blacks, or that government should not make any special  
effort to help blacks because they should help  
themselves?)  
(Do you think he feels the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)  
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

---

Built from L5d and L5dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA



=====

M000661 L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5e.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this  
issue)?  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5 for complete text.  
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are  
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f  
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.  
For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000662 L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel  
that government should make every effort to  
improve the social and economic position of blacks, or  
that government should not make any special  
effort to help blacks because they should help  
themselves?)  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5.T for complete text.  
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and  
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th  
or 5th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5e1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5e1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000663 L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think they feel the government should) help  
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help  
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?  
-----

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T

=====  
M000664 L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5ex1.

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel  
that government should make every effort to improve the  
social and economic position of blacks, or that  
government should not make any special effort to help  
blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think they feel the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES  
-----

Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000665 L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this  
issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel  
that government should make every effort to improve the  
social and economic position of blacks, or that  
government should not make any special effort to help  
blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think they feel the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help  
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH  
-----

Built from L5e and L5ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000666 L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5f.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS       | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 2.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 3.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 4.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 5.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 6.                               | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
|                                  |                                |
| 8. DK                            | --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6. |
| 9. RF                            |                                |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode          |                                |

=====

M000667      L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

Page 300

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000668 L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/  
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:  
(Do you think they feel the government should) help  
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help  
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 
- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
  - 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

=====

M000669 L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5fx1.

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel  
that government should make every effort to improve the  
social and economic position of blacks, or that  
government should not make any special effort to help  
blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think they feel the government should)  
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help  
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000670 L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5fx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)  
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this  
issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel  
that government should make every effort to improve the  
social and economic position of blacks, or that  
government should not make any special effort to help  
blacks because they should help themselves?)  
(Do you think they feel the government should) help  
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?  
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to)  
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH  
-----

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000671a L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L6.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E

=====

M000671b L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

=====

M000672 L6a. Strength for affirmative action  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
  - 8. DK --> GO TO L7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

=====

M000673 L6b. Strength against affirmative action  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L6b.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

=====

M000674 L6x. Summary affirmative action  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L6x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
have to have an affirmative action program or should  
companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

-----

Built from L6, L6.E.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000674a L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

-----

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
4. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
5. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000675 L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7a.

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000676 L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7b.

What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?

(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000677 L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7c.

What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH?  
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be  
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000678      L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7d.

What about FOREIGN AID?  
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased,  
decreased, or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000679 L7e. Inc/dec food stamps  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7e.

What about FOOD STAMPS?  
(Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased,  
decreased, or kept about the same?)

---

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000680 L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7f.

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE?  
(Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be  
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

---

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA

```
=====
M000681 L7g. Inc/dec Social Security
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

L7g.

What about SOCIAL SECURITY?  
(Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be  
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

---

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

```
=====
M000682 L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

L7h.

What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION?  
(Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be  
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

---

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000683     L7j. Inc/dec public schools  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7j.

What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS?  
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased,  
decreased, or kept about the same?)  
-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000684     L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7k.

What about DEALING WITH CRIME?  
(Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be  
increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)  
-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED

3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000685     L7m. Inc/dec child care  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7m.

What about CHILD CARE?  
(Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased,  
decreased, or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000686     L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7n.

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL  
IMMIGRATION?  
(Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY  
TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased,  
or kept about the same?)

-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000687      L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L7p.

What about AID TO BLACKS?  
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased,  
decreased, or kept about the same?)  
-----

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000688      L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L8.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to  
spend the extra money the federal government is likely  
to have in the near future. Some people have proposed  
that most of the expected federal budget surplus should  
be used to cut taxes.  
Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?  
-----



- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000689 L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/  
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not  
strongly?/  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not  
strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

=====  
M000690 L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected  
federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do  
you approve or disapprove of this proposal?  
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not  
strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

-----  
Built from L8 and L8a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

M000691 L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L9.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

- 
- 1. APPROVE
  - 5. DISAPPROVE
  - 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000692 L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/  
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

=====

M000693 L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medcare  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?  
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000694 M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M1/M1.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.  
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:  
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

- 
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
  2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
  3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
  4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
  7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====  
M000695 M1a. Importance of abortion to R  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M1a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
very important, or extremely important?

---

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000696 M1b/M1b.T. Gore-abortion scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M1b/M1b.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best  
agrees with his views?

---

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T  
were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST,  
OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE,  
INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR  
THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS  
A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO M1c OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M1b1.

IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain,  
pretty certain, or not very certain?

-----

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

=====

M000698      M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

M1c/M1c.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion  
best agrees with his views?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T  
were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST,  
OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE,  
INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR  
THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS  
A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK                                      --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000699      M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very  
certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 
- 1. VERY CERTAIN
  - 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
  - 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

=====

M000700     M2. App/dis abortion parental consent  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would  
require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her  
parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

- 
- 1. FAVOR
  - 5. OPPOSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000701     M2a. Strength abortion parental consent  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/  
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

=====

M000702     M2x. Summary abortion parental consent  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M2x.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS

Built from M2 and M2a.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000703      M3. Fav/oppose prt1-birth abortion ban  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

M3.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000704      M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/  
 IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1. STRONGLY

- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

=====

M000705      M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

M3x.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.  
 Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?  
 Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS

-----

Built from M3 and M3a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000706      M4. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.  
                  Numeric

M4(1) .

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION  
 FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

-----

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

- 1. FTF standard version (M4a)
- 2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)
- 3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
- 4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)



M000707a M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).  
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T  
and M4a.TE.

- |                                                                      |                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB<br>& STANDARD OF LIVING | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 2.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 3.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 4.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 5.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 6.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT<br>THAN ENVIRONMENT      | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 8. DK                                                                | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)                                    |                 |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |

=====

M000707b M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).  
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T  
and M4a.TE.

- |                                                                      |                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB<br>& STANDARD OF LIVING | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 2.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 3.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 4.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 5.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |
| 6.                                                                   | --> SKIP TO M4b |

7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

=====

M000708 M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?  
FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

-----

Built from M4a, M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB  
& STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN  
ENVIRONMENT
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

=====

M000709a M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

See M4.T for complete text.  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).  
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB &

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/  
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT  
THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T  
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T  
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T  
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

=====

M000709b M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel?

-----  
See M4.TE for complete text.  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).  
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB &  
STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/  
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT  
THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T  
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T  
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

=====

M000710 M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:  
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS

-----  
Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB &  
STANDARD OF LIVING  
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT  
THAN ENVIRONMENT

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

```
=====
M000711 M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

M4a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:  
 IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/  
 IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:  
 Is protecting the environment much more important (than  
 maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only  
 somewhat more important?/  
 Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more  
 important (than protecting the environment) or  
 only somewhat more important?

- 
1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
  5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T
  8. DK --> M4b.T/M4c.T
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE

```
=====
M000712 M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

M4a1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:  
 Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
 thought much about this?  
 TELEPHONE VERSION 2:  
 Which is closer to the way you feel?  
 BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:  
 Is protecting the environment much more important (than  
 maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only  
 somewhat more important?  
 Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more  
 important (than protecting the environment) or only  
 somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

=====

M000713      M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M4a1x2.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than  
maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only  
somewhat more important?

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more  
important (than protecting the environment) or only  
somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-----  
Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

=====

M000714      M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M4b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4 for complete text.

FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                   |                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 2.                                                                | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 3.                                                                | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 4.                                                                | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 5.                                                                | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 6.                                                                | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT      | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 8. DK                                                             | --> M4c. OR M5. |
| 9. RF                                                             |                 |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                                           |                 |

=====  
M000715 M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                   |                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING | --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5. |
| 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT      | --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5. |

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
 M000716 M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/  
 IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much  
 more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of  
 living) or only somewhat more important?/  
 Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of  
 living much more important (than protecting the  
 environment) or only somewhat more important?

- 1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT  
 5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT

8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

=====  
 M000717 M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

M4bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is  
 important to protect the environment even if it costs  
 some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as  
 important as maintaining jobs and our standard of  
 living?

Does he think protecting the environment much  
 more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of  
 living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of  
 living much more important (than protecting the  
 environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----  
 Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

1. Environment much more important  
 2. Environment somewhat more important

3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000718      M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

M4bx2.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is  
important to protect the environment even if it costs  
some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as  
important as maintaining jobs and our standard of  
living?  
Does he think protecting the environment much  
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of  
living) or only somewhat more important?  
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of  
living much more important (than protecting the  
environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOB GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

- 
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
  2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
  3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
  4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
  5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====

M000719      M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

M4c.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See M4 for complete text.  
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.  
For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.



Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                   |                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 2.                                                                | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 3.                                                                | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 4.                                                                | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 5.                                                                | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 6.                                                                | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT      | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 8. DK                                                             | --> M4b. OR M5. |
| 9. RF                                                             |                 |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                                           |                 |

=====

M000720 M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                   |                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING | --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5. |
| 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT      | --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5. |
| 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]                               | --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5. |
| 8. DK                                                             | --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5. |
| 9. RF                                                             |                              |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode                                             |                              |

=====

M000721 M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/  
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much  
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of  
living) or only somewhat more important?/  
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of  
living much more important (than protecting the  
environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT  
5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

=====

M000722 M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is  
important to protect the environment even if it  
costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is  
not as important as maintaining jobs and our  
standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much  
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of  
living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of  
living much more important (than protecting the  
environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

1. Environment much more important  
2. Environment somewhat more important  
3. Other/depends/neither  
4. Jobs somewhat more important  
5. Jobs much more important

=====

M000723 M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M4cx2.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?  
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?  
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOB'S BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING  
-----

Built from M4c and M4cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000724 M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M5.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?  
-----

1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5a
5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5b
  
8. DK --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000725 M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M5a.

IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals  
should be allowed to serve?

---

- 1. STRONGLY           --> M6a
- 5. NOT STRONGLY   --> M6a
  
- 8. DK                --> M6a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

=====  
M000726    M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military  
          MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
          Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals  
should not be allowed to serve?

---

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

=====  
M000727    M5x. Summary homosexuals in military  
          MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
          Numeric

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in  
the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals  
should be allowed to serve?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals  
should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

---

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

- 1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
- 2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
- 4. Not strongly - should not be allowed to serve
- 5. Strongly - should not be allowed to serve

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000728     M6a. R-gun control scale  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M6a.

Do you think the federal government should make it more  
difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make  
it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules  
about the same as they are now?

- 
- 1. MORE DIFFICULT                                --> SKIP TO M6a1
  - 3. MAKE IT EASIER                                --> SKIP TO M6a2
  - 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME            --> SKIP TO M6a3
  
  - 8. DK                                                --> SKIP TO M6a3
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====  
M000729     M6a1. R-strength more gun control  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

- 
- 1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT                        --> SKIP TO M6a3
  - 5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT                   --> SKIP TO M6a3
  
  - 8. DK                                                --> SKIP TO M6a3
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

=====  
M000730     M6a2. R-strength less gun control  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

- 
- 1. A LOT EASIER
  - 5. SOMEWHAT EASIER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

=====

M000731      M6ax. Summary gun control  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

M6ax.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?  
 A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

-----

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

- 1. A lot more difficult
- 2. Somewhat more difficult
- 3. Keep rules about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. A lot easier

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000732      M6a3. R-importance of gun control  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

M6a3.

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 
- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
  - 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
  - 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
  - 4. VERY IMPORTANT
  - 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000733      M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6b.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE]  
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. MORE DIFFICULT
- 3. MAKE IT EASIER
- 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6b3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000734 M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/  
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?/  
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

-----

- 1. A LOT --> M6b3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> M6b3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

=====  
M000735 M6bx. Summary Gore gun control  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6bx.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep  
these rules about the same as they are now?  
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more  
difficult?

SUMMARY: GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

-----  
Built from M6b and M6b1/b2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000736 M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:  
IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain,  
pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 
1. VERY CERTAIN
  3. PRETTY CERTAIN
  5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

=====  
M000737 M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he  
would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a  
gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep  
these rules about the same as they are now?

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> M6c2
8. DK --> N1/n1.e
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000738 M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6c1/c2.

IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/  
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?  
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?  
-----

1. A LOT --> M6c3
5. SOMEWHAT --> M6c3
8. DK --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

=====  
M000739 M6cx. Summary Bush gun control  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6cx.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he  
would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a  
gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep  
these rules about the same as they are now?  
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more  
difficult?

SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS  
-----

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000740 M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:  
IF STRENGTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very  
certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?  
-----

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6c1/M6c2

=====  
M000741a N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that  
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their  
children to the school of their choice, even if it were  
a private school?  
-----

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for N1.E

=====  
M000741b N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

-----  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO N2

=====  
M000742 N1x. Combined versions school vouchers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS

-----  
Built from N1 and N1.E.

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

=====  
M000743 N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1a/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/  
IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or  
Page 339

not strongly?

-----  
Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded  
for this question respondents from the standard version;  
only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 or NA in N1.E; R selected  
for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b

=====  
M000744 N1ax. Summary school vouchers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1ax.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that  
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their  
children to the school of their choice, even if it were  
a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that  
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their  
children to the school of their choice, even if it were a  
private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or  
not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

-----  
Build from N1x and N1a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

=====  
M000745 N2. R fav/opp English official language  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N2.

Do you favor a law making English the official language  
of the United States, meaning government business

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose  
such a law?  
-----

- 1. FAVOR
- 3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000746     N3. R interest in school integration  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

N3.

Some people say that the government in Washington should  
see to it that white and black children go to the  
same schools. Others claim that this is not the  
government's business.  
Have you been interested enough in this question to  
favor one side over the other?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO       --> SKIP TO N4
- 8. DK       --> SKIP TO N4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000747     N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

N3a.

IF R HAS OPINION WHETHER GOVT SEE TO BLACK/WHITE KIDS IN SAME SCHOOLS:

Do you think the government in Washington should see to  
it that white and black children go to the same schools  
or stay out of this area as it is not the government's  
business?  
-----

- 1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO  
   THE SAME SCHOOLS
- 5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER; DEPENDS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3

=====

M000748 N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words,  
homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt  
children?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000749 N5. Fav/opp death penalty  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons  
convicted of murder?

- 
- 1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
  - 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000750 N5a. How much favor death penalty  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of  
murder) strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
  - 8. DK --> P1/P1.E
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5

=====

M000751 N5b. How much oppose death penalty  
Page 342

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of  
murder) strongly or not strongly?

-----  
1. STRONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5

=====  
M000752 N5x. Summary R position on death penalty  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N5x.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons  
convicted of murder?

(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons  
convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY  
-----

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

1. Favor strongly  
2. Favor not strongly  
4. Oppose not strongly  
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA

=====  
M000753 P1. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.  
Numeric

P1(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION  
FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT  
-----

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale  
or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without  
"haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement  
question does not include the "haven't thought much about it"  
option.

1. FTF standard
2. FTF experimental
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

=====  
M000754a    Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF  
            MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Pla.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?  
-----

See P1 for complete text.  
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).  
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and  
Pla.TE.

- |                                          |                       |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 2.                                       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 3.                                       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 4.                                       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 5.                                       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 6.                                       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME        | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 8. DK                                    | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)          |                       |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS       | --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c. |

=====  
M000754b    Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale  
            MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale?  
-----

See P1 for complete text.  
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).  
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and  
Pla.TE.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6. --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)

=====  
M000755 Plax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plax.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?  
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

-----  
Built from P1a and P1a.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (P1a only)

=====  
M000756a Pla.T. R equal role branch stan  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
-----

See P1.T for complete text.  
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).  
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in P1(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

=====  
M000756b Pla.TE. R equal role branch ex  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Pla.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel?

-----  
See P1.T for complete text.  
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered  
either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).  
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)

=====  
M000757 Plax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eq1 role br  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:  
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

-----  
Built from Pla.T and Pla.TE.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (Pla.T only)

=====

M000758      Pla1/a2.T. R strength equal roles  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

Pla1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/

IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women  
should have equal roles?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's  
place is in the home?

-----

1. STRONGLY                --> SKIP TO    Plb.T/Plc.T

5. NOT STRONGLY          --> SKIP TO    Plb.T/Plc.T

8. DK                     --> SKIP TO    Plb.T/Plc.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in Pla.T; 7,8,9 or NA in Pla.TE

=====

M000759      Pla1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

Pla1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men  
and women should have equal roles?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a  
woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from Plax.T and Pla1/a2.T

- 1. Strongly - women equal role
- 2. Not strongly - equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - place is in the home
- 5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

=====  
M000760 Plalx2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plalx2.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?  
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:  
Which is closer to the way you feel?  
BOTH VERSIONS:  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men  
and women should have equal roles?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a  
woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING  
-----

Built from Plax and Plalx1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role  
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role  
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither  
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home  
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home  
  
8. DK  
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

=====  
M000761 Plb. Gore-equal role scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plb.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 13)  
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See P1 for complete text.  
FTF: Plb and Plc were administered in random order.  
For the telephone version of this question see Plb.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                          |             |         |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 2.                                       | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 3.                                       | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 4.                                       | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 5.                                       | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 6.                                       | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME        | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 8. DK                                    | --> SKIP TO | P1c./P2 |
| 9. RF                                    |             |         |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                  |             |         |

=====

M000762 P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P1b.

- |                                          |                       |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES | --> P1b1.T            |
| 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME        | --> P1b1.T            |
| 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]      | --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T |
| 8. DK                                    | --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T |
| 9. RF                                    |                       |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode                    |                       |

=====

M000763 P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P1b1/b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/  
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
and women should have equal role?/  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a  
woman's place is in the home?  
-----

- 1. STRONGLY           --> P1c.T OR   --> P2.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY      --> P1c.T OR   --> P2.T
  
- 8. DK                 --> P1c.T OR   --> P2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1b.T

=====

M000764     P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

P1bx1.

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women  
should have an equal role with men in running  
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's  
place is in the home?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that men and women should have equal role?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES  
-----

Built from P1b.T and P1b1/b2.T

- 1. Strongly - women equal role
- 2. Not strongly - equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly - place is in the home
- 5. Strongly - place is in the home

=====

M000765     P1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

P1bx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 13)  
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women  
should have an equal role with men in running  
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's  
place is in the home?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that men and women should have equal role?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plb and Plbx1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000766 Plc. Bush-equal role scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plc.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 13)  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See P1 for complete text.  
FTF: Plb and Plc were administered in random order.  
For the telephone version of this question see Plc.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                          |                     |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 2.                                       | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 3.                                       | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 4.                                       | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 5.                                       | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 6.                                       | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME        | --> SKIP TO Plc./P2 |
| 8. DK                                    | --> SKIP TO Plb./P2 |
| 9. RF                                    |                     |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode                  |                     |

=====

M000767 Plc.T. Bush-equal role scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plc.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P1c.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK --> P1b.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000768 P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P1c1/c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/  
IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?/

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

-----

1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1c.T

=====

M000769 P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P1cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
that men and women should have equal role?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from Plc.T and Plc1/c2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode

=====  
M000770 Plcx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plcx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 13)  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that  
women should have an equal role with men in running  
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's  
place is in the home?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that men and women should have equal role?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly  
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

---

Built from Plc and Plcx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000771 P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

-----

See P2 for complete text.

For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN  
ON BUSINESS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====

M000772      P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

See P2.T for complete text.

For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN  
ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====

M000773      P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

P2a1.T.

IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
environment a lot, or just somewhat?

---

- 1. A LOT --> P2a3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2a3
- 8. DK --> P2a3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

=====  
M000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2a2.T.

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:  
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much  
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

---

- 1. WAY TOO MUCH
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

=====  
M000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2ax1.

TELEHPONE:  
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?  
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the  
environment a lot, or just somewhat?  
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much  
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. Toughen regulations a little
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode

0. Haven't thought much about it

=====  
M000776 P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

TELEHPONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the  
environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much  
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-----  
Built from P2a and P2ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK

9. RF; NA

0. Haven't thought much about it

=====  
M000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2aa.

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at  
all important, not too important, somewhat important,  
very important, or extremely important?

- 
1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
  2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
  3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
  4. VERY IMPORTANT
  5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

=====

M000778 P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.

P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS  
NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
2. --> P2bb
3. --> P2bb
4. --> P2bb
5. --> P2bb
6. --> P2bb
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT  
ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M000779 P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN  
ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen  
regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just  
somewhat?

- 
- |                                           |                      |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. A LOT                                  | --> P2bb             |
| 5. SOMEWHAT                               | --> P2bb             |
| 8. DK                                     | --> P2c.T OR --> P3. |
| 9. RF                                     |                      |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T |                      |

=====  
M000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the  
environment are way too much of a burden on business or  
just somewhat of a burden?

- 
- |                                           |                     |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. WAY TOO MUCH                           | --> P2bb            |
| 5. SOMEWHAT                               | --> P2bb            |
| 8. DK                                     | --> P2c.T OR -->P3. |
| 9. RF                                     |                     |
| 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T |                     |

=====

M000782      P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul  
              Numeric

P2bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000783      P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

P2bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-----

Bult from P2b and P2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000784      P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P2bb.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain,  
pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 
1. VERY CERTAIN
  3. PRETTY CERTAIN
  5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

=====  
M000785      P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P2c.

FTF:  
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

-----

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See P2 for complete text.  
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.  
For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO  
PROTECT ENVIRONMENT

--> P2cc



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 2. --> P2cc
- 3. --> P2cc
- 4. --> P2cc
- 5. --> P2cc
- 6. --> P2cc
- 7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY  
TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS --> P2cc
- 8. DK --> P2b OR P3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====  
M000786 P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we  
need much tougher government regulations on business in  
order to protect the environment, OR that current  
regulations to protect the environment are already too  
much of a burden on business?

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN  
ON BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M000787 P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2c1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
regulations to protect the environment a lot, or  
just somewhat?

---

- 1. A LOT --> P2cc
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc
- 8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

=====

M000788 P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the  
environment are way too much of a burden on business or  
just somewhat of a burden?

---

- 1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2cc
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc
- 8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

=====

M000789 P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P2cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we  
need much tougher government regulations on business in  
order to protect the environment, OR that current  
regulations to protect the environment are already too  
much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen  
regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just  
somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the  
environment are way too much of a burden on business or  
just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====

M000790      P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

P2cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-----  
Built from P2c and P2cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000791      P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

P2cc.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

=====  
M000792      P3. R expect to vote in Nov election  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the  
national elections this coming November or not?

- 
1. YES
  5. NO                      --> SKIP TO P3c
  8. DON'T KNOW            --> SKIP TO P3c
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====  
M000793      P3a. Who will R vote for President  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P3a.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for  
President?

-----  
[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE  
UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D  
LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL  
VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL]    --> SKIP TO P3c
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
8. DON'T KNOW                                              --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

=====  
M000794      P3b. Strength of preference for candidate  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8



Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED>  
is strong or not strong?

- 
- 1. STRONG
  - 5. NOT STRONG
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

=====

M000797      P4. Which party will control the House  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

P4.

The next couple of questions are about what you think  
will happen as a result of the upcoming elections.  
After the November election, which party do you think  
will have the most members in the U.S. House of  
Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

- 
- 1. REPUBLICANS
  - 5. DEMOCRATS
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000798      P5. Which party will control the Senate  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

P5.

Again, after the November election, which party do you  
think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate,  
-- the Republicans or the Democrats?

- 
- 1. REPUBLICANS
  - 5. DEMOCRATS
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000799      P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

P6.

Some people feel that if black people are not getting

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington  
ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this  
is not the federal government's business.  
Have you had enough interest in this question to favor  
one side over the other?

---

- 1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION      --> P6a
- 5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST            --> P7
  
- 8. DK                                        --> P7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000800      P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtment in jobs  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P6a.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington  
see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs  
OR is this not the federal government's business?

---

- 1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE  
   GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS
- 5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
- 8. DK                                        -->P7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P6

=====  
M000801      P6a1. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

P6a1.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:  
IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/  
IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

---

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a

M000802 P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington  
see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs  
OR is this not the federal government's business?  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6,P6a,P6a1.

1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
4. Not strongly - not the government's business
5. Strongly - not the government's business
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

=====

M000803 P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination,  
blacks should be given preference in hiring and  
promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and  
promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks  
advantages they haven't earned.  
What about your opinion -- are you for or against  
preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7a
5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected
8. DK --> section Q as randomly selected
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000804 P7a. Strength for preference blks jobs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P7a.



IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly  
or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

=====

M000805      P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs  
MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion  
strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

=====

M000806      P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs  
MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 7  
Numeric

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against  
preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?  
Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion  
strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS

-----

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

```
=====
M000807 Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. GOTTEN SMALLER
- 3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

```
=====
M000808 Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q1a.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

- 
- 1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
  - 5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

```
=====
M000809 Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger  
or somewhat larger?

- 
- 1. MUCH LARGER
  - 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q1

=====

M000810 Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal  
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?  
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/  
larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

-----

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

- 1. Much smaller
- 2. Somewhat smaller
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat larger
- 5. Much larger
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been  
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as  
in 1992?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1 INCREASED
- 3 DECREASED
- 5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3
- 8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====  
M000812 Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q2a.

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/  
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?  
-----

- 1. A LOT
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

=====  
M000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been  
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as  
in 1992?  
A lot or somewhat?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR  
-----

Built from Q2 and Q2a.

- 1. Increases a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====  
M000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's  
economy is better, worse, or about the same?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q3b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q4
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

=====

M000816 Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q3b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3

=====

M000817     Q3x. Summary economy since 1992  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

Q3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's  
 economy is better, worse, or about the same?  
 (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/  
 worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

-----

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP,   R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000818     Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

Q4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the  
 nation's economy better, made the economy worse,  
 or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
 retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
 and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
 for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
 instrument they were assigned administration in the  
 Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
- 3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE                                --> SKIP TO Q4b
- 5. NO EFFECT                                                        --> SKIP TO Q5
- 8. DK                                                                        --> SKIP TO Q5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000819     Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q4a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

Much better or somewhat better?  
-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4

=====  
M000820 Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q4b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

Much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4

=====  
M000821 Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the  
nation's economy better, made the economy worse,  
or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?  
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY  
-----

Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000823 Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
- 3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q6b
- 5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q7

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre



M000824 Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q6a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 
- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
  - 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q6

=====

M000825 Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q6b.

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 
- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
  - 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

=====

M000826 Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?  
(Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

---

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. Not changed very much
- 4. Somewhat less secure

5. Much less secure

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

```
=====
M000827 Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE

3. LESS SECURE

--> SKIP TO Q7b

5. NO CHANGE

--> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK

--> SKIP TO Q8

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

```
=====
M000828 Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/somewhat more secure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

-----

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8

5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK

--> SKIP TO Q8

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7

```
=====
M000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/somewhat less secure
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

Q7b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 
1. MUCH LESS SECURE
  5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

=====

M000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

-----

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000831 Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b  
 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000832 Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

-----

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9  
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

=====

M000833 Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

1. MUCH WORSE  
 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE  
  
 8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8

=====

M000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?  
 (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000835 Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE

--> SKIP TO Q9b

5. NO DIFFERENCE

--> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK

--> SKIP TO Q10

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat btr  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-----

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10

5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK

--> SKIP TO Q10

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9

=====

M000837 Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat wrse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

=====

M000838 Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the  
nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made  
much difference either way?  
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE  
-----

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral  
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or  
gotten worse?  
-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q10b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q11
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====  
M000840 Q10a.Moral climate much/somewhat better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?  
-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

=====  
M000841 Q10b.Moral climate much/somewhat worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

=====  
M000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

-----

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000843     Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE

--> SKIP TO Q11b

5. NO DIFFERENCE

--> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK

--> SKIP TO Q14

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000844     Q11a. Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?



- 
- 1. MUCH BETTER           --> SKIP TO Q14
  - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER      --> SKIP TO Q14
  
  - 8. DK                    --> SKIP TO Q14
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

=====

M000845     Q11b. Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

=====

M000846     Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Q11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral  
climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference  
either way?  
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

-----

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=====

M000847     Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Q14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)

ANGRY

-----  
Clinton affects were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,  
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 
- 1. VERY OFTEN
  - 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
  - 3. OCCASIONALLY
  - 4. RARELY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a

=====  
M000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)

HOPEFUL

-----

Clinton affects were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO                   --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK                   --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====

M000850    Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-----

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

=====

M000851    Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Q14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
AFRAID  
-----

Clinton affects were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000852 Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?  
-----

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

=====  
M000853 Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)

PROUD

Clinton affects were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====

M000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14d

=====

M000855 Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000856      Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL  
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000857 Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15c/Q15c.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:  
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL  
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====

M000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15d/Q15d.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL  
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clitnon trait-dishonest  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:  
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

DISHONEST  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL  
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====

M000860 Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15f/Q15f.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:  
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL  
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q15g/Q15g.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:  
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Clinton traits were asked in random order.  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects  
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election  
instrument they were assigned administration in the  
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

=====  
M000862 R1. How opinionated is R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1.

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions.  
What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?  
-----

1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
2. MANY THINGS
3. SOME THINGS
4. VERY FEW THINGS

=====

M000863 R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1a.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?  
-----

1. FEWER OPINIONS
3. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS
5. MORE OPINIONS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000864 R1a1. How much more opinions than avg  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?  
-----

1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
8. DK --> SKIP TO R2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in R1a

M000865 R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just  
somewhat fewer opinions?

- 
- 1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
  - 5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

=====

M000866 R1x. Summary degree R opinionated  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1x.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer  
opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the  
same number of opinions, or more opinions?  
Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or  
just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED

---

Built from R1a and R1a1/R1a2.

- 1. A lot fewer
- 2. Somewhat fewer
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat more
- 5. A lot more
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000867 R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2.

Some people like to have responsibility for handling  
situations that require a lot of thinking, and other  
people don't like to have responsibility for situations  
like that.  
What about you? Do you like having responsibility for

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do  
you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?  
-----

- 1. LIKE
- 3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
- 5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M000868 R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?  
-----

- 1. A LOT --> SKIP TO R3
- 5. SOMEWHAT --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

=====  
M000869 R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?  
-----

- 1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
- 5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

=====  
M000870 R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2x.

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for  
handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?  
Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT

---

Built from R2 and R2a/b.

1. Like it a lot
2. Like it somewhat
3. Neither like it nor dislike it
4. Dislike it somewhat
5. Dislike it a lot

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

=====  
M000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of  
complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve  
more complex problems.  
Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or  
complex?

---

1. SIMPLE
  5. COMPLEX
- 
8. DON'T KNOW
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====  
M000872 S1. Is religion important to R  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S1.

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your  
life, or not?

---

1. IMPORTANT
  5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3
- 
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
  9. RF
  0. NA

=====  
M000873 S2. How much guidance from religion  
Page 399

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S2.

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in  
your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a  
great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

- 
- 1. SOME
  - 3. QUITE A BIT
  - 5. A GREAT DEAL
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

=====

M000874 S3/S3.T. How often does R pray  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S3/S3.T.

FTF:  
Please look at page 15 of the booklet.  
People practice their religion in different ways.  
Outside of attending religious services, do you pray  
several times a day, once a day, a few times a week,  
once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:  
People practice their religion in different ways.  
Outside of attending religious services, do you pray  
several times a day, once a day, a few times a week,  
once a week or less or never?

- 
- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
  - 2. ONCE A DAY
  - 3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
  - 4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
  - 5. NEVER
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000875 S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S4/S4.T.



FTF:

Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.  
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:

Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

-----

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000876      S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

S5/S5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 16 of the booklet.  
Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of statements.  
Please tell me which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose.

-----

1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000877      X1. Attend religious services  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

X1.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

-----

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO X2
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO X1a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====

M000878 X1a. Part of a church or denomination  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X1a.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

-----

- 1. YES --> X3
- 5. NO --> GO TO Y1
- 8. DK --> GO TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

=====

M000879 X2. Attend religious services how often  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

-----

- 1. EVERY WEEK --> X2a
- 2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK --> X3
- 3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH --> X3
- 4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR --> X3
- 5. NEVER --> X3
- 8. DK --> X3
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1

=====  
M000880 X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X2a.

IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

Would you say you go to religious services once a week  
or more often than once a week?

- 
- 1. ONCE A WEEK
  - 2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

=====  
M000881 X3. Attend church checkpoint  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

X3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR  
DENOMINATION:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

- 
- 1. YES --> X3a
  - 5. NO (5 in X1) --> X3b
  - 9. RF (9 in X1)
  - 0. INAP, 0 in X1

=====  
M000882 X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is  
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

- 
- 1. PROTESTANT --> X4
  - 2. CATHOLIC --> X7
  - 3. JEWISH --> X6a
  - 7. OTHER --> X4

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

=====  
 M000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR  
 DENOMINATION:

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,  
 Jewish, or something else?  
 -----

1. PROTESTANT --> X4  
 2. CATHOLIC --> SKIP TO X7  
 3. JEWISH --> SKIP TO X6b  
 7. OTHER --> X4

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

=====  
 M000884 X4. Denomination/other specify  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
 Numeric

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/  
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR  
 DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?  
 -----

|                                            |                 |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. BAPTIST                                 | --> SKIP TO X4b |
| 2. EPISCOPALIAN/ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 3. LUTHERAN                                | --> SKIP TO X4c |
| 4. METHODIST                               | --> SKIP TO X4d |
| 5. JUST PROTESTANT                         | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 6. PRESBYTERIAN                            | --> SKIP TO X4e |
| 7. REFORMED                                | --> SKIP TO X4f |
| 8. BRETHREN                                | --> SKIP TO X4g |
| 9. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN             | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 10. CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN            | --> SKIP TO X4h |
| 11. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST                    | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 12. CHURCH (or CHURCHES) OF CHRIST         | --> SKIP TO X4i |
| 13. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST                | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 14. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST                    | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 15. CHURCH OF GOD                          | --> SKIP TO X4j |
| 16. ASSEMBLY OF GOD                        | --> SKIP TO X7  |
| 17. CONGREGATIONALIST                      | --> SKIP TO X7  |

```

 anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
18. HOLINESS --> SKIP TO X4k
19. PENTACOSTAL --> SKIP TO X4k
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER --> SKIP TO X7
21. ORTHODOX, e.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY);C --> SKIP TO X7
22. NON-DENOMINATIONAL - PROTESTANT --> SKIP TO X7
23. MORMONS --> SKIP TO X7
24. JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES --> SKIP TO X7
25. LATTER DAY SAINTS --> SKIP TO X7
26. UNITARIAN/UNIVERSALIST --> SKIP TO X7
27. BUDDHIST --> SKIP TO X7
28. HINDU --> SKIP TO X7
29. MUSLIM/ISLAM --> SKIP TO X7
30. NATIVE AMERICAN --> SKIP TO X7

95. NA
98. DK --> SKIP TO X7
99. RF
00. INAP, 9,0 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 2,3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b
90. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO X4m

```

```

=====
M000885 X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
 Character

```

X4(1).

```

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

```

What church or denomination is that?

TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)

-----

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

```

=====
M000886 X4a. Baptist group
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

```

X4a.

```

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

```

With which Baptist group is your church associated?  
 Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American  
 Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist  
 Association, an independent Baptist church or some other  
 Baptist group?

-----

```

1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION --> X7
2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --> X7

```

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION      --> X7  
 4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST                --> X7  
 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)                    --> X7  
  
 8. DK                                    --> X7  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4

=====

M000887      X4b. Independent Baptist group  
               MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
               Numeric

X4b.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
 IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:  
 IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is  
 this strictly a local church?

-----

1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY]      --> X7  
 2. LOCAL                                --> X7  
  
 8. DK                                    --> X7  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a

=====

M000888      X4c. Lutheran group  
               MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
               Numeric

X4c.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
 IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
 IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in  
 American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran  
 group?

-----

1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH      --> X7  
 2. MISSOURI SYNOD                      --> X7  
 7. OTHER [SPECIFY]                    --> X7  
  
 8. DK                                    --> X7  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4

=====

M000889      X4d. Methodist group

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church,  
African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist  
group?

- 
- 1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7
  - 2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

=====  
M000890 X4e. Presbyterian group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other  
Presbyterian group?

- 
- 1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY  
UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4

=====  
M000891 X4f. Reformed group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X4f.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:

Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed  
Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

- 
- 1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH --> X7
  - 2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4

=====

M000892 X4g. Brethren group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X4g.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:

Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth  
Brethren, or what?

- 
- 1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN --> X7
  - 2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4

=====

M000893 X4h. Christian group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X4h.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4:

When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination  
called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or  
some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say  
"I am just a Christian"?

- 
- 1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> X7
  - 2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7



9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4

=====

M000894      X4i. Church of Christ group  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

X4i.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of  
Christ?

-----

1. CHURCH OF CHRIST                                --> X7  
2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST                        --> X7

8. DK                                                --> X7  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4

=====

M000895      X4j. Church of God group  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

X4j.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4:

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the  
Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God  
in Christ; or some other Church of God?

-----

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA                                --> X7  
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE                            --> X7  
3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST                        --> X7  
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]                                 --> X7

8. DK                                                --> X7  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4

=====

M000896      X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal  
              Character

X4k.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?  
Is that part of a larger church or denomination?  
What is the church called?

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)

-----  
[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET  
AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]  
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are  
hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

=====

|         |                        |
|---------|------------------------|
| M000897 | X4a-j. (blanked) other |
|         | Character              |

X4a-j.

IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:

TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:

-----  
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are  
hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M000898 | X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination |
|         | Character                               |

X4m.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a  
denomination?

-----  
[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]  
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are  
hand coded into the religion summary variable.

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M000899 | X4m1. Is other group christian |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8           |
|         | Numeric                        |

X4m1.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

Is that group Christian?

- 
- 1. YES --> X7
  - 5. NO --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

=====

M000900 X6a. Attend Jewish group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X6a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is  
Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

- 
- 1. ORTHODOX` --> X7
  - 2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
  - 3. REFORM --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a

=====

M000901 X6b. Jewish denomination  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X6b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF  
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,  
or what?

- 
- 1. ORTHODOX --> X7
  - 2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
  - 3. REFORM --> X7
  - 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
  - 8. DK --> X7
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b

=====

M000902 X7. Member place of worship

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X7.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF  
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation,  
temple or other place of worship?

- 
- 1. YES --> X8 or Y1
  - 5. NO --> X8 or Y1
  - 8. DK --> X8 or Y1
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a

=====

M000903 X8. Born-again christian  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF  
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:  
IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,  
have you personally had a conversion experience related  
to Jesus Christ?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b;  
5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

=====

M000904 X9x. Religion summary  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

X9x.

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is  
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /  
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,  
Jewish, or something else?

JEWISH:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is  
Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,  
or what?

PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:

What church or denomination is that?

IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church  
associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the  
American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American  
Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or  
some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are  
you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is  
this strictly a local church?

IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical  
Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or  
some other Lutheran group?

IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United  
Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some  
other Methodist group?

IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in  
the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church,  
the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed  
group?

IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the  
Plymouth Brethren, or what?'

IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that  
mean the denomination called the "Christian Church  
Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian  
denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a  
Christian"?

IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or  
the United Church of Christ?

IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of  
Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland,  
Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other  
Church of God?

IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is  
that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a  
larger church or denomination? What is that church  
called?

IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church  
part of a denomination?

IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

#### RELIGION SUMMARY

---

This variable summarizes the information gathered in  
questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4ml, X6a/b. Information  
collected in the open-ended responses to the religion  
section component questions have been hand coded into this  
summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious  
group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further  
probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does not attend religious services)

=====

M000905      Y1(1). Month of birth  
                  MD1: EQ 98,   MD2: GE 99  
                  Numeric

Y1(1).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH

-----

01-12

98. DK

99. RF; NA

=====

M000906      Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)  
                  Numeric

Y1(2).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

DAY (BLANKED)

-----

This variable is blanked.

=====

M000907      Y1(3). Year of birth  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9998  
                  Numeric

Y1(3).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR

-----

9998. DK

9999. RF

0000. NA

=====

M000908      Y1x. Respondent age  
                  MD:   EQ 0  
                  Numeric

Y1x.

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

-----

Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:  
97. 97 and older

00. NA

=====

M000909 Y2. Marital status  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y2.

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife)  
-- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you  
never married?

-----

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in P000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000910 Y3. Highest grade completed  
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Y3.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college  
you have completed?

-----

- 00-12 YEARS
- 13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

98. DK

99. RF; NA

=====

M000911 Y3a. Diploma/GED  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school  
equivalency test?

-----

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

=====

M000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GREADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

-----

- 01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
- 02. MASTER'S DEGREE
- 03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
- 04. LLB, JD
- 05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
- 06. JDC, STD, THD
- 07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
- 96. NO DEGREE EARNED
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

=====

M000913 Y3x. R educ summary  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college  
you have completed?  
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school  
equivalency test?  
What is the highest degree that you have earned?



SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency  
[0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years  
without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3,  
1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree  
(13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]
8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

=====

M000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner  
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college  
(your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

- 
- 00-12 YEARS  
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b  
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
98. DK  
99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

=====

M000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high  
school equivalency test?

- 
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y5  
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y5  
9. RF

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

=====  
M000916 Y4b. Partner highest degree  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

- 
- 01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
  - 02. MASTER'S DEGREE
  - 03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
  - 04. LLB, JD
  - 05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
  - 06. JDC, STD, THD
  - 07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
  - 96. NO DEGREE EARNED
  
  - 98. DK
  - 99. RF
  - 00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

=====  
M000917 Y4x. Sp educ. Summary  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Y4x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college  
(your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?  
Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high  
school equivalency test?  
What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

-----

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.  
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school  
years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2  
here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling  
but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

- 1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency  
[0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- 2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years  
without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- 3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4,  
1 in Y4a]
- 4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree  
(13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
- 5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
- 6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)

7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
8. DK [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
9. NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]

```
=====
M000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric
```

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

#### ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

-----

See notes Y6.

This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's self-identification of employment status.

By contrast, the Y6(2) and Y6(3) employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).

Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:

|             |                              |                    |
|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| RET ONLY    | or (RET and any others)      | -->retired series  |
| HM/ST ONLY  | or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) | -->hmkr/st series  |
| DIS ONLY    | or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO)       | -->disabled series |
| WN/TLO ONLY |                              | -->wrkg now series |

Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series appears last in codebook variables:

- P000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- P000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- P000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- P000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- P000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]

1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)

```
=====
M000919 Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric
```

Y6(2).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily  
laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently  
disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

-----  
See notes Y6.  
Built using Y5, Y12g, Y14g, Y15, Y7e.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status  
as categorized AFTER all information has been collected.  
Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18  
although number of hours worked (P000974) was coded as  
DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated  
that R worked only a few hours a week.

- 10. WORKING NOW only
- 15. WORKING NOW and retired (R volunteers), currently working 20 or  
more hours per week
- 16. WORKING NOW and permanently disabled, currently working 20 or  
more hours per week
- 17. WORKING NOW and homemaker (R volunteers), currently working 20 or  
more hours per week
- 18. WORKING NOW and student (R volunteers), currently working 20 or  
more hours per week
- 20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
- 40. UNEMPLOYED
- 50. RETIRED, no other occupation
- 51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer  
than 20 hours per week
- 60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
- 61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer  
than 20 hours per week
- 70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
- 71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer  
than 20 hours per week
- 75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
- 80. STUDENT, no other occupation
- 81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer  
than 20 hours per week

00. NA

=====  
M000920     Y6(3). 1 digit employment status  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

Y6(3).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily  
laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently  
disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY

Built from Y6(2)

1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week  
if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
4. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  
[50,51 in Y6(2)]
6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20  
hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  
[also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students--  
70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  
([80,81 in Y6(2)]

0. NA

=====  
M000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

- 
1. YES
  5. NO --> Y10g
  8. DK --> Y10g
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====  
M000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y10a(1).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

-----  
See the OCCUPATION master code.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:

95. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y10a(2).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

-----  
See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for  
information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000923 Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

-----  
Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of  
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99  
Numeric

Y10a(4).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

-----  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of  
R employment section.  
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,  
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith  
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,  
December 1991.  
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve  
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for  
information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)  
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000925 Y10b. R unemp: past industry code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y10b.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY  
-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of  
R employment section.  
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000926 Y10c. R unemp: past self employed  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or  
what?  
-----

1. SOMEONE ELSE  
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE  
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y10e

8. DK --> Y10e  
9. RF



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

=====  
M000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local  
government?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

=====  
M000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO --> Y10g

8. DK --> Y10g  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

=====  
M000929 Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

Y10f.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the  
average week?  
-----

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e  
 998. DK  
 999. RF  
 000. NA

=====

M000930 Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Y10g.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

-----

1. YES  
 5. NO --> Y25  
  
 8. DK --> Y25  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000931 Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Y10h.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
 IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job  
 in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

-----

1. A LOT --> Y25  
 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25  
 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25  
  
 8. DK --> Y25  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g

=====

M000932 Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
 Numeric

Y11(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

MONTH

MONTH:

- 01. JANUARY
- 02. FEBRUARY
- 03. MARCH
- 04. APRIL
- 05. MAY
- 06. JUNE
- 07. JULY
- 08. AUGUST
- 09. SEPTEMBER
- 10. OCTOBER
- 11. NOVEMBER
- 12. DECEMBER

- 96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA

=====

M000933      Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9996  
                  Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR

- 9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
- 9998. DK
- 9999. RF
- 0000. NA

=====

M000934      Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 95  
                  Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
 (What was your occupation?)  
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000934a Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y12a(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

-----  
See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000935 Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y12a(3).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

-----  
Collapsed from Y10a(2).

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

M000936 Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99  
Numeric

Y12a(4).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)  
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000937 Y12b. R ret: industry code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y12b.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY  
-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of  
R employment section.  
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====  
M000938 Y12c. R ret: self employed  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y12c.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or  
what?  
-----

1. SOMEONE ELSE  
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE  
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y12e  
  
8. DK --> Y12e  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====  
M000939 Y12d. R ret: employed by govt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y12d.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):  
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or  
what?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

=====

M000940     Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y12e.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Have you had a job in the last six months?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO     --> Y12g
  
  - 8. DK     --> Y12g
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000941     Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 996  
             Numeric

Y12f.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):  
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the  
average week?

- 
- 1-168
- 996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
  - 998. DK
  - 999. RF
  - 000. NA

=====

M000942     Y12g. R ret: working for pay  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y12g.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

- 
- 1. YES                   --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
  - 5. NO
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000943     Y12h. R ret: looking for work now  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Y12h.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO                   --> Y25
  
  - 8. DK                   --> Y25
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g

=====

M000944     Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

Y12j.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job  
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at  
all?

- 
- 1. A LOT                   --> Y25
  - 3. SOMEWHAT               --> Y25
  - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL       --> Y25
  
  - 8. DK                   --> Y25
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h



=====

M000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y13.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO --> Y14h
  - 8. DK --> Y14h
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000946 Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y14a(1).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

-----

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity.

- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====

M000946a Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y14a(2).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

-----  
See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for  
information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====  
M000947 Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

-----  
Collapsed from Y10a(2).  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of  
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

(Census categories 500-699)

11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA  
 98. DK  
 99. RF  
 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

M000948     Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99.99  
              Numeric

Y14a(4).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
 (What was your occupation?)  
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.  
 Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.  
 Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)  
 00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

M000949     Y14b. R dis: industry code  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 995  
              Numeric

Y14b.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
 IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

-----  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of  
R employment section.  
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

=====  
M000950     Y14c. R dis: self employed  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or  
what?  
-----

1. SOMEONE ELSE  
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE  
5. SELF-EMPLOYED                      --> Y14e  
  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

=====  
M000951     Y14d. R dis: work for govt  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local  
government?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO  
  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c

=====

M000952 Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y14g
- 8. DK --> Y14g
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

=====

M000953 Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

Y14f.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the  
average week?

-----

- 1-168
- 996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====

M000954 Y14g. R dis: working for pay now  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y14g.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

-----

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also  
asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e

=====  
M000955 Y14h. R dis: looking for work now  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y14h.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/  
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./  
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO --> Y25
  - 8. DK --> Y25
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

=====  
M000956 Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y14j.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/  
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./  
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:  
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job  
in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 
- 1. A LOT --> Y25
  - 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
  - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
  - 8. DK --> Y25
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h

=====  
M000957 Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

- 
- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)

=====

M000958 Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16a.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO --> Y16h
  - 8. DK --> Y16h
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

=====

M000959 Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y16a(1).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

-----

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA  
 98. DK  
 99. RF  
 00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA  
     in Y16a

=====  
 M000959a Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu  
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
           Numeric

Y16a(2).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
 IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
 IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
 (What was your occupation?)  
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

-----  
 See the OCCUPATION master code.  
 The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of  
 R employment section.  
 The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
 been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
 respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for  
 information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
 gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA  
 998. DK  
 999. RF  
 000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA  
     in Y16a

=====  
 M000960 Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu  
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
           Numeric

Y16a(3).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
 IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
 IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
 (What was your occupation?)  
 What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====  
M000961 Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99  
Numeric

Y16a(4).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

-----  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,

December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)

00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=====

M000962 Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y16b.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of  
R employment section.

See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA  
in Y16a

=====

M000963 Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or  
what?

-----

1. SOMEONE ELSE

3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE

5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f

8. DK --> Y16f

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a

=====

M000964 Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16d.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:  
IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local  
government?

-----  
1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

=====

M000965 Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

Y16f.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the  
average week?

-----  
1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. NA

=====

M000966 Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16h.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. YES  
5. NO --> Y25  
  
8. DK --> Y25  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

=====  
M000967 Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16j.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job  
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at  
all?

-----  
1. A LOT --> Y25  
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25  
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25  
  
8. DK --> Y25  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16h

=====  
M000968 Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y7a(1).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you  
do?)  
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE  
-----

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

=====  
M000968a Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you  
do?)

What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

-----  
See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for  
information about a procedure by which it is possible to  
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working  
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

=====  
M000969 Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y7a(3).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you  
do?)

What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

-----  
Collapsed from Y10a(2).  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of  
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census  
categories 001-039)

2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

Y7a(4).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)

What are your most important activities or duties?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)  
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)  
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

M000971 Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code  
Page 446

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y7b.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY  
-----

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of  
R employment section.  
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working  
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

=====

|         |                                  |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| M000972 | Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8             |
|         | Numeric                          |

Y7c.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or  
what?  
-----

1. SOMEONE ELSE  
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE  
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y7e  
  
8. DK --> Y7e  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

=====

|         |                                  |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| M000973 | Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8             |
|         | Numeric                          |

Y7d.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:  
IF NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?

-----  
1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c

=====  
M000974 Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

Y7e.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

About how many hours do you work on your job in the  
average week?  
-----

1-168

996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. NA

=====  
M000975 Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7f.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:  
IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/REF:

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours  
than you want to work, or generally about right?  
-----

1. MORE  
3. FEWER  
5. ABOUT RIGHT

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

=====  
M000976 Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7g.



IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job in the near  
future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 
- 1. A LOT
  - 3. SOMEWHAT
  - 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

=====

M000977      Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

Y7h.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the  
last six months?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

=====

M000978      Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

Y7j.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/  
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in  
your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time  
for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

=====

M000979 Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

Y17(1).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work  
do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).  
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except  
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for  
employment in the past 6 months are omitted).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also  
currently working have their current occupation from  
Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently  
working have past occupation from Y12a coded here  
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled  
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is  
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable  
has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
Respondents' anonymity.  
See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:

95. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====

M000979a Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y17(1a).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work  
do/did you do?

What are/were your most important activities or duties?

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).

This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M000980 | Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95           |
|         | Numeric                         |

Y17(2) .

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :

IF R IS RETIRED:/

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /

IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work  
do/did you do?

What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

---

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).

This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====  
M000981 Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y17(3).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work  
do/did you do?  
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)

-----  
See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).  
This variable represents employment data for all Rs except  
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for  
employment in the past 6 months are not used).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also  
currently working have their current occupation from  
Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently  
working have past occupation from Y12a represented here  
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled  
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is  
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)  
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)  
00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====  
M000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

Y17(4).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?  
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY  
-----

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.  
This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====  
M000983 Y17(5). Stacked - work for self  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(5).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
 IF R IS RETIRED:/  
 IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
 IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you  
 self- employed, or what?

STACKED - WORK FOR SELF

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.

This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking  
 homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment  
 in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also  
 currently working have description of their current occupation  
 from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently  
 working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded  
 here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.

If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,  
 or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====  
 M000984 Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Y17(6).

IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:  
 IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
 IF R IS RETIRED: /  
 IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
 IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local  
 government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.

This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking  
 homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment  
 in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also  
 currently working have description of their current occupation  
 from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded  
here rather than for current occupation in Y7d.  
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,  
or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13;  
5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

=====  
M000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /  
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the  
average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK

-----  
See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.  
This variable combines data for all Rs currently working  
or who have worked within the past six months:  
- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],  
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],  
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],  
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside  
employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The  
disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently  
working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded  
here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked  
describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may  
in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very  
recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees  
at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months),  
or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job  
but rather an interim position.

1-168

- 996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13;  
5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e
- 998. DK
- 999. RF
- 000. NA

=====

M000986 Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(8).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/  
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job/ not being  
able to find a job in the near future:  
A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB

-----  
See notes Y6.

Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.

This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:

- Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working  
retired and working disabled)
- Unemployed [Y10h],
- Retired and not working [Y12j],
- Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside  
employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in  
Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h

=====

M000987 Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(9).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /  
IF R IS RETIRED/  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the past 6 months?

STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS

-----  
See notes Y6.

Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.

This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- Unemployed [Y10e],  
- Retired [Y12e],  
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked [Y14e]  
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a  
are NOT included.

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;  
5,8,9,NA in Y13

=====  
M000988 Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(10).

IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK  
-----

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.  
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:  
- Unemployed [Y10g],  
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],  
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently  
working [Y14h].  
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h  
are NOT included.

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);  
1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g

=====  
M000989 Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(11).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /  
IF R IS DISABLED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY  
-----

See notes Y4.  
Built from Y9 and Y13.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 10,15,17,18,20,50,51,70,71,75,80,81,0 in Y6(2)

=====

M000990     Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y25.

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a  
labor union?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO       --> SKIP TO Y26
  - 8. DK       --> SKIP TO Y26
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M000991a     Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 1

- 
- 1. RESPONDENT
  - 2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
  - 3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
  - 4. SOMEONE ELSE
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25

=====

M000991b     Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

- 
1. RESPONDENT
  2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
  3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
  4. SOMEONE ELSE
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

=====

M000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Y25a3.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 3

- 
1. RESPONDENT
  2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
  3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
  4. SOMEONE ELSE
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

=====

M000992 Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+  
 MD: EQ 9  
 Numeric

Y26.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:  
 IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

---

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers.  
 In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked.  
 For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: P000992 recoded to 5; P000993 [HH income] and P000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; P000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in P000996; P000996 recoded to 96 Inap.

1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+

9. NA

```
=====
M000993 Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
 Numeric
```

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

Please look at page 18 of the booklet.  
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the  
income group that includes the income of all  
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.  
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,  
dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of income categories.  
Please tell me which category best describes the  
total income of all members of your family living in  
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure  
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,  
interest, and all other income. Please stop me  
when I get to your family's income.

-----  
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26

98. DK  
99. Z. REFUSED  
00. NA

=====

M000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y27x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ /  
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.  
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the  
income group that includes the income of all  
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.  
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,  
dividends, interest, and all other income.  
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income  
group that includes the income you had in 1999 before  
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,  
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
I am going to read you a list of income categories.  
Please tell me which category best describes the  
total income of all members of your family living in  
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure  
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,  
interest, and all other income. Please stop me  
when I get to your family's income.  
TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
I am going to read you a list of all income categories.  
Please tell me which category best describes the total  
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should  
include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest,  
and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your  
income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME

-----

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]  
This variable combines data for Respondents from  
households with other members age 14 and older and data for  
Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

98. DK

99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

=====

M000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

Y27a/Y27a.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

-----  
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

=====  
M000996 Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

Y28/Y28a.T.

IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

-----  
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999  
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999  
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999  
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999  
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999  
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999  
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999  
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 5,9 in Y26  
98. DK  
99. Z. REFUSED  
00. NA

=====  
M000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y28x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ /  
IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.  
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.  
TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:  
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME

-----  
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]



1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
22. Y. \$200,000 and over

98. DK

99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

=====

M000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29.

(Not using the booklet)  
There's been some talk these days about different social  
classes. Most people say they belong either to the  
middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of  
yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y29b
8. DK --> Y29b
9. RF
0. NA

=====

M000999 Y29a. Which one (workng or middle class)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Which one?

- 
- 1. MIDDLE CLASS --> Y29c
  - 5. WORKING CLASS --> Y29e
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> Y30
  
  - 8. DK --> Y30
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29

=====  
M001000 Y29b. If had to choose class  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call  
yourself middle class or working class?

---

- 1. MIDDLE CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29c
- 5. WORKING CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29e
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO Y30
  
- 8. DK --> SKIIP TO Y30
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a

=====  
M001001 Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or  
that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

---

- 1. AVERAGE MIDDLE
- 5. UPPER MIDDLE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

=====  
M001002 Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

-----

- 1. CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30
- 5. NOT CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y30
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

=====

M001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29e.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

-----

- 1. AVERAGE WORKING
- 5. UPPER WORKING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

=====

M001004 Y29f. Working class -feel close to class  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29f.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

-----

- 1. CLOSE
- 5. NOT CLOSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

=====

M001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y29x.

(Not using the booklet)  
 There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?  
 (IF YES:) Which one?  
 (IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?  
 (IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?  
 (IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS

Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b,Y29c,Y29e.

1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class - NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Other
8. DK in Y29b
9. RF in Y29b
0. NA in Y29/Y29b

=====

M001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
 Numeric

Y30(1).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

- 
10. BLACK
  20. ASIAN
  30. NATIVE AMERICAN
  40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
  50. WHITE
  60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
  75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
  76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
  79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
  80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
  90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.
  98. DK

99. RF  
00. NA

=====

M001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

Y30(2).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

-----

10. BLACK  
20. ASIAN  
30. NATIVE AMERICAN  
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO  
50. WHITE  
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.  
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN  
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER  
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS  
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'  
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

=====

M001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

Y30(3).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

-----

10. BLACK  
20. ASIAN  
30. NATIVE AMERICAN  
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO  
50. WHITE  
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.  
70. JEWISH  
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN  
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER  
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS  
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'  
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

=====

M001007 Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y30a.

Were both of your parents born in this country?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

=====

M001008 Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y30b(1).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your  
main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

- 90. None
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA

=====

M001009 Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your  
main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

- 90. None
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
- 00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS

-----  
Respondents who have identified more than one group  
are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

- 0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
- 1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
- 2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
- 9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

=====

M001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned  
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y30bx2.

NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R

-----  
This is the exact number of mentions given by R

- 00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
- 01. One mention
- 02. Two mentions
- .
- .
- 06. Six mentions
- 96. Ethnicity question NA
- 98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
- 99. R refused ethnicity question

=====

M001011 Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Y30c.

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

-----  
See ETHNICITY master code.  
Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this  
question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated  
in this variable.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Note that this variable was coded according to IWR identification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

- 90. None; neither
- 95. Both/all of them
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1

=====  
M001012 Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y30x/Y31.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:  
IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP?  
(IF NO:)  
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT  
-----

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4,5,8,12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint.  
Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.  
See also Pre-Csheat.37 and Pre-Csheat.28 (P000068,P000069) and Post-Csheat.32 and Post-Csheat.33 (P000184d, P000184e).

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Z1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Z1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M001013 Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y31a/Y31a.T.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:

FTF:

Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which  
category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:

Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican,  
Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American,  
or Spanish?

-----  
[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a  
MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

1. MEXICAN
2. PUERTO RICAN
3. CUBAN
4. LATIN AMERICAN
5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
6. SPANISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y30x/Y31

=====  
M001014      Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 998  
             Numeric

Z1.

Where was it that you grew up?

-----  
[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]  
Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes  
101-997 and:

998. DK  
999. RF  
000. NA

=====  
M001015      Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Z2.

FTF:

Looking at page 20 of the booklet.  
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were  
mostly brought up?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

1. ON A FARM
  2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
  3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
  4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
  5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
  6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
  7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
  8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
- 
9. DK
  0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====  
M001016      Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:  
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please  
tell me which category best describes where you were  
mostly brought up?

-----  
[READ ANSWER LIST]  
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. ON A FARM
  3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
  5. IN A CITY
  7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY
- 
8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=====  
M001017      Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:  
Were you mostly brought up in.

-----  
[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]  
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

=====  
M001018      Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z2b.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:  
Were you mostly brought up in.

-----  
[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]  
For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
- 5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

=====  
M001019      Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z2x.

FTF:  
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.  
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were  
mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:  
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please  
tell me which category best describes where you were  
mostly brought up?  
Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

-----  
Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

- 1. ON A FARM
- 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
- 4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
- 6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
- 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
- 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA

=====  
M001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community  
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97  
Numeric

Z3(1).

(Not using the booklet)  
How long have you lived in your present  
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

MONTHS  
-----

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE  
97. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF

=====  
M001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997  
Numeric

Z3(2).

(Not using the booklet)  
How long have you lived in your present  
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

YEARS  
-----

0-89 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)  
997. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF

=====  
M001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community  
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97  
Numeric

Z3x.

(Not using the booklet)  
How long have you lived in your present  
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

-----  
Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

- 0. 0-11 months
- 1. 12-23 months
- 2. 2 years
- .
- .
- 89. 89 years
- 96. 'All my life'
- 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

=====  
M001021a    Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home  
             MD1: EQ 96,   MD2: GE 97  
             Numeric

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:  
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:  
How long have you lived in your current home?

MONTHS  
-----

0-23 and:

- 96. ALL MY LIFE
- 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

=====  
M001021b    Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home  
             MD1: EQ 995,   MD2: GE 997  
             Numeric

Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

FTF:  
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:  
How long have you lived in your current home?

YEARS  
-----

0-100 and:

- 995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T
- 997. NA

998. DK

999. RF

=====

M001021c    Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home  
                  MD1: EQ 96,   MD2: GE 97  
                  Numeric

Z4x.

FTF:  
 How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:  
 How long have you lived in your current home?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME

-----

Built from Z4(1)/Z4(1).T and Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

- 0. 0-11 months
- 1. 12-23 months
- 2. 2 years
- .
- .
- 89. 89 years
- 96. 'All my life'
- 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

=====

M001022    Z5. Does R family own/rent home  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z5.

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or  
 what?

- 
- 1. OWN HOUSE
  - 5. PAY RENT
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA

=====

M001023    Z8. Does R have children  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z8.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics.  
How about you? Do you have any children?  
-----

(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)

- 1. YES
- 3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

=====  
M001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18  
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

Z8a.

IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:

How many children do you have under 18?  
-----

- 0. --> SKIP TO Z9
- 1-25.
  
- 95. NA
- 96. INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Z8
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

=====  
M001025 Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R  
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

Z8b.

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?  
-----

- 0. --> SKIP TO Z9
- 1-25.
  
- 95. NA
- 96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
- 98. DK
- 99. RF

=====  
M001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age  
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z8c(1).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 1

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a

98. DK

99. RF

=====

M001026b Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age  
MD: EQ 95  
Numeric

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026c Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age  
MD: EQ 95  
Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026d Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age  
MD: EQ 95  
Numeric

Z8c(4).



IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

-----

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026e    Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age  
            MD:   EQ 95  
            Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

-----

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026f    Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age  
            MD:   EQ 95  
            Numeric

Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

-----

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026g    Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age  
            MD:   EQ 95  
            Numeric

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 7

---

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001026h Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age  
MD: EQ 95  
Numeric

Z8c(8).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 8

---

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

=====

M001027 Z9. How many miles R drives per day  
MD1: EQ 998, MD2: GE 999  
Numeric

Z9.

(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a  
typical day?

---

0-996  
997. 997 and more

998. DK  
999. NA

=====

M001028 ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ0.

IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /  
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:

ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE  
GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE  
APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE  
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND  
EXPLAIN]

---

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)

0. NA; INAP, education data not missing

=====

M001029      ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender  
              Numeric

ZZ1.

R's sex is:

- 
1. MALE
  2. FEMALE

=====

M001030      ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

ZZ2.

FTF:  
R's race is:

- 
1. WHITE
  2. BLACK
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M001031a      ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW  
              MD1: EQ 8,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

ZZ3(1).

FTF:  
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 
0. NONE
  1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
  2. OLDER CHILDREN
  3. SPOUSE
  4. OTHER RELATIVES
  5. OTHER ADULTS
  8. DK
  9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

=====

M001031b    ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW  
             MD1: EQ 8,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

ZZ3(2).

FTF:  
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

- 
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
  2. OLDER CHILDREN
  3. SPOUSE
  4. OTHER RELATIVES
  5. OTHER ADULTS
- 
8. DK
  9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

=====

M001031c    ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW  
             MD1: EQ 8,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

ZZ3(3).

FTF:  
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

- 
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
  2. OLDER CHILDREN
  3. SPOUSE
  4. OTHER RELATIVES
  5. OTHER ADULTS
- 
8. DK
  9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

=====

M001031d    ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW  
             MD1: EQ 8,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

ZZ3(4).

FTF:  
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

- 
1. CHLDREN UNDER 6

2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

=====  
M001031e    ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW  
             MD1: EQ 8,    MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

ZZ3(5).

FTF:  
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5  
-----

1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

=====  
M001032    ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation  
             MD:    EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:  
-----

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR

0. NA

=====  
M001033    ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics  
             MD:    EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and  
public affairs seemed:  
-----

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA

=====  
M001034      ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

- 
1. VERY HIGH
  2. FAIRLY HIGH
  3. AVERAGE
  4. FAIRLY LOW
  5. VERY LOW

0. NA

=====  
M001035      ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before  
the interview?:

- 
1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
  2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
  3. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA

=====  
M001036      ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

- 
1. VERY HIGH
  2. FAIRLY HIGH
  3. AVERAGE
  4. FAIRLY LOW
  5. VERY LOW

0. NA

```
=====
M001037 ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric
```

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

- 
- 1. COMPLETE SINCERE                      --> ZZ10
  - 3. USUALLY SINCERE                      --> ZZ9a
  - 5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE      --> ZZ9a

0. NA

```
=====
M001038 ZZ9a. IWR obs: insincere in what part (blanked)
 Character
```

ZZ9a.

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for  
which you doubted R's sincerity?

-----

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]  
This variable is blanked.

```
=====
M001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric
```

ZZ10.

FTF:  
Do you feel R reported income accurately?

- 
- 1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
  - 2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
  - 3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
  - 4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's

0. NA; INAP, phone mode

```
=====
M001040 ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
 Numeric
```

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOT REPORT CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

- 
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
  2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
  3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
  4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
  5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
  6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
  7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
  8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
  9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
  10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
  11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
  12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
  13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
  14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
  15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
  16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
  17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
  18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
  19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
  20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
  21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
  22. Y. \$200,000 and over
- 
97. DK
  98. Y. Impossible to estimate
  00. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

=====

M001041a    ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

- 
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS  
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
  
- 00. NA

=====

M001041b    ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

- 
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED.    AFTER STARTING IW, R  
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD  
EYESIGHT ETC.    IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS  
SLOW READER.    IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,  
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
  - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS  
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001041c    ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001041d ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

- 
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

=====  
M001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5  
-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R  
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD  
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS  
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,  
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS  
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

=====  
M001041f ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6  
-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R  
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001041g ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

- 
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001041h ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8

-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

=====

M001041j    ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9

-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.  
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS  
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT  
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS  
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)  
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS  
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)  
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK  
  
00. NA; no further mention

=====  
M001042 Pre.Rand.A4/A5. Order-Gore,GWB lik/dis  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes  
-----

1. Gore first
2. GW Bush first
9. NA

=====  
M001043 Pre.Rand.C1b. Pos-Gore in therms  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1b.

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers  
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

=====  
M001044 Pre.Rand.C1c. Pos-GW Bush in therms  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1c.

Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers  
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

M001045 Pre-Rand.Cld. Pos-Buchanan in therms  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Cld.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers  
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

=====  
M001046 Pre-Rand.Cle. Pos-Nader in thermoms  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Cle.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers  
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

=====  
M001047 Pre-Rand.C1f/g. Pos-McCain, Bradley ther  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers  
C1f-C1g / C1f.T-C1g.T  
-----

1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name

9. NA

=====  
M001048 Pre-Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Liebermn ther  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1h/k.

Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers  
C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T

- 
1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
  2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name

9. NA

=====  
M001049 Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C2.

Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers  
C2a-C2c

---

1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd

9. NA

=====  
M001050 Pre.Rand.D1/D3. Order-parties in lik/dis  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.D1/D3.

Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes'  
D1-D2/D3-D4

---

1. Democratic party first (D1-D2), Republican party 2nd (D3-D4)
2. Republican Party first (D1-D2), Democratic party 2nd (D3-D4)

9. NA

=====  
M001051 Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series  
F1,F2,F3

---

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd



6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

```
=====
M001052 Pre.Rand.Fla. Pos-angry -Gore affects
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F1.

Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001053 Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F1b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001054 Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F1c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001055 Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.F1d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects Fla-F1d

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.F2a.

Codes 1-4 and:

=====

Pre-Rand.F2b.

Codes 1-4 and:

=====

Pre-Rand.F2c.

Codes 1-4 and:

=====

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Codes 1-4 and:

=====

MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d  
-----

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d  
-----

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001062 Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d  
-----

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001063 Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d  
-----

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001064 Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.G3-G5.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre  
Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5 / G3.T-G5.T

---

Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

9. NA

=====  
M001065      Pre.Rand.G8-G10.E. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/c  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre  
Liberal-Conservative placements G8.E-G10.E

---

Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

=====  
M001066      Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2-K4.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series  
K2,K3,K4

---

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

M001067    Pre.Rand.K2a. Order-moral -Gore traits  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001068    Pre.Rand.K2b. Order-cares -Gore traits  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001069    Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001070    Pre.Rand.K2d. Order-leader -Gore traits  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001071    Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001072     Pre.Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001073     Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001074     Pre.Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GWB traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3a.

Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

M001075     Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3b.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M001076 | Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits |
|         | MD: EQ 9                                |
|         | Numeric                                 |

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M001077 | Pre.Rand.K3d. Order-leader -GWB traits |
|         | MD: EQ 9                               |
|         | Numeric                                |

Pre-Rand.K3d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M001078 | Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits |
|         | MD: EQ 9                               |
|         | Numeric                                |

Pre-Rand.K3e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M001079 | Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits |
|         | MD: EQ 9                               |
|         | Numeric                                |

Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001080 Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001081 Pre.Rand.K4a. Ord-moral -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

```
=====
M001082 Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

```
=====
M001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.K4c.



Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

=====  
M001084      Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits  
             MD: EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

=====  
M001085      Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits  
             MD: EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

=====  
M001086      Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits  
             MD: EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

---

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

=====  
M001087     Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g  
-----

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period  
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

=====  
M001088     Pre.Rand.L1c/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending  
placements L1c-L1d / L1c-L1d.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

=====  
M001089     Pre.Rand.L1e/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.

Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending  
placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

=====  
M001090     Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp  
             MD:   EQ 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending  
placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====

M001091      Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp  
MD:   EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending  
placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

---

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th

9. NA

=====

M001092      Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob  
MD:   EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs  
placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====

M001093      Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job  
MD:   EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs  
placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

---

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th

9. NA

=====  
M001094    Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks  
          MD:   EQ 9  
          Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks  
placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

=====  
M001095    Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks  
          MD:   EQ 9  
          Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks  
placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T  
-----

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

=====  
M001096    Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng  
          MD:   EQ 9  
          Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7b.

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
-----

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001097    Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend  
          MD:   EQ 9  
          Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

---

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001098     Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

---

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001099     Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

---

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001100     Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7f.

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

---

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001101     Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7g.

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
-----

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001102     Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
-----

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001103     Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7j.

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
-----

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

=====  
M001104     Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend  
             MD:   EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7k.

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
-----

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001105 Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

-----  
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001106 Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security amng Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

-----  
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001107 Pre.Rand.L7p. Order-aid blacks-fed spend
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

-----  
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

```
=====
M001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements  
M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====  
M001109      Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs  
placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====  
M001110      Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction  
placement M6b-M6c

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====  
M001111      Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role  
              MD:   EQ 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role  
placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T

---

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA



=====

M001112     Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg  
             MD: EQ 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental  
regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

- 
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
  2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

=====

M001113     Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affcts  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

=====

M001114     Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affcts  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.

Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

=====

M001115     Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affcts  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.

Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

---

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001116      Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affcts  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14d.

Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.  
-----

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001117      Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001118      Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001119      Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable amng Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001120     Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001121     Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001122     Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA  
0. Question administered in Post

=====  
M001123     Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g  
-----

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

```
=====
M001201 A1. R interest in campaigns
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

-----

- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
- 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
- 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
=====
M001202 A2. R watched programs about campaign
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO                   --> SKIP TO A3
- 8. DK                   --> SKIP TO A3
- 9. RF                   --> SKIP TO A3
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
=====
M001203 A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

-----

- 1. A GOOD MANY

- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

=====  
M001204     A3. Does R ever discuss politics  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO                    --> SKIP TO A4
- 8. DK                    --> SKIP TO A4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001205     A3a. How often does R discuss politics  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 96  
             Numeric

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about  
politics with family or friends?  
-----

1-7

- 96. NONE (NO DAYS)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

=====  
M001206     A4. Does R recall names of House candidates  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. YES, REMEMBER
5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====  
M001207     A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
             Numeric

A4a1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE  
-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

\*\* codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate  
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;  
no Post interview

=====  
M001208     A4b1. Hse party recall 1  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this

district?  
Who were they?  
What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

-----

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;  
no Post interview

=====

M001209     A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

-----

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other
  
- 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a1

=====

M001210     A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
Who were they?  
What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

-----  
Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4

=====  
M001211     A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
             Numeric

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

\*\* codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention



=====

M001212      A4b2. Hse party recall 2  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
Who were they?  
What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 
- 1. DEMOCRAT
  - 5. REPUBLICAN
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;  
Washington D.C.; no further mention

=====

M001213      A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

A4(2)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?  
Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

- 
- 1. Democrat
  - 5. Republican
  - 7. Other
  
  - 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

=====

M001214      A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

---

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

=====

M001215     A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

---

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

\*\* codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
  
- 00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;  
Washington D.C.; no further mention

=====

M001216     A4b3. Hse party recall 3  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 
- 1. DEMOCRAT
  - 5. REPUBLICAN
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;  
Washington D.C.; no further mention

=====

M001217     A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

A4(3)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for  
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in  
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this  
district?

Who were they?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

---

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

=====  
M001218     A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

---

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

=====  
M001219     B1. Party talked to R about campaign  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate.

Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
or come around and talk to you about the campaign this  
year?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO       --> SKIP TO B1b
- 8. DK       --> SKIP TO B1b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001220     B1a. Party that spoke to R about campgn  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B1a.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?  
-----

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 5. REPUBLICANS
- 6. BOTH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

=====  
M001221     B1b. Anyone else talk to R about cand  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B1b.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did  
anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to  
you about supporting specific candidates in this last  
election?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001222     B1c. Party send R mail about campaign  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B1c.

Did anyone from one of the political parties send you  
mail about the campaign this year?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO --> SKIP TO B1D
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001223 B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

B1c1.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

- 
- 1. DEMOCRATS
  - 5. REPUBLICANS
  - 6. BOTH
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1c

=====

M001224 B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

B1d.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did  
anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific  
candidates in this last election?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001225 B2. Did R try to influence vote of others  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001226     B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001227     B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001228     B5. Did R do any other campaign work  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001229     B6. Did R contribute to candidate  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO       --> SKIP TO B7
- 8. DK       --> SKIP TO B7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001230     B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B6a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?  
-----

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

=====  
M001231     B7. Did R give money to party  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B7.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Did you give money to a political party during this  
election year?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO           --> SKIP TO B8
- 8. DK           --> SKIP TO B8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001232     B7a. Party - R contribution  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

B7a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:

To which party did you give money?  
-----

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7

=====  
M001233     B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

B8.

Did you give any money to any other group that supported  
or opposed candidates?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001234     B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

B9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

---

- 1. YES, SOMEONE DID
- 5. NO, NO ONE DID
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001235     B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B10.

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious  
issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a  
particular way?

---

- 1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
- 5. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001236     B11. Clergy provide election information  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B11.

Was information about candidates, parties, or political  
issues made available in your place of worship before  
the election?

---

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
- 7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL]    --> C1
- 8. DK                                        --> C1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001237     B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

B12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:

Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of  
worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate  
or party?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO           --> SKIP TO C1
  - 8. DK           --> SKIP TO C1
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

=====

M001238      B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1  
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
              Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

-----

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH  
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party
- OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

=====  
M001239      B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
             Numeric

B12a2.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 2 - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH  
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party
- OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

=====  
M001240      B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
             Numeric

B12a3.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH  
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party
- OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12a; no further mention

=====  
M001241 C1. Did R vote  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C1.

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);

Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;

Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or

Four, I am sure I voted?

-----  
[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]

1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).

2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.

3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.

4. I AM SURE I VOTED

--> SKIP TO C3

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001242 C2. Was R registered  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C2.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

Were you registered to vote in this election?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO --> SKIP TO C9(2)
  - 6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE --> SKIP TO C9(2)
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9(2)
  - 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9(2)
  - 0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

=====

M001243 C3. Registered in county of IW?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/  
IF R VOTED:

Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County.  
Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? /  
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.  
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

---

- 1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY) --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4,  
/WASHINGTON D.C ELSE GO TO C9(1)
- 5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY --> SKIP TO C3a
- 8. DK --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
- 9. RF --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

=====

M001244 C3a1. State of registration  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION

---

ICPSR state code

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

=====

M001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)  
Character

C3a2.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)

-----  
This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality  
of the respondent.

=====  
M001245 C4. Did R vote on election day or before  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th  
2000, or did you vote at some time before this?

-----  
1. ELECTION DAY --> SKIP TO C5  
5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS --> C4a  
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO C5  
9. RF --> SKIP TO C5  
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

=====  
M001246 C4a. How long before election did R vote  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C4a.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?

-----  
[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

01. Less than one week, 1-6 days  
02. One week; 7 days  
03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days  
04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days  
05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days  
06. One month; 29-31 days  
07. More than one month; 32-60 days  
11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS  
12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS  
91. More than a few days -- NFS  
92. More than a few weeks --NFS  
97. Other



98. DK; not sure  
 99. RF  
 00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

=====  
 M001247 C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

C4b.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?  
 -----

1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5  
 5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5  
 7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO C5  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

=====  
 M001248 C5. Did R vote for President?  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a  
 candidate for President?  
 -----

1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT  
 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9  
 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9  
 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

=====  
 M001249 C6. R vote cast for President  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

C6.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?  
 -----

1. AL GORE --> SKIP TO C6a  
 3. GEORGE W. BUSH --> SKIP TO C6a

- 5. PAT BUCHANAN --> SKIP TO C6a
- 6. RALPH NADER --> SKIP TO C6a
- 2. HOWARD PHILLIPS - CONSTITUTION PARTY CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
- 4. HARRY BROWN - LIBERTARIAN CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
- 7. R REPORTS VOTING FOR SELF --> SKIP TO C6a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
- 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

=====

M001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN:  
<candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate)  
was strong or not strong?

-----

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

=====

M001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C7.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you  
were going to vote the way you did?

-----

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE  
THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?]

- 01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party;  
before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/  
Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
- 02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/  
Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he  
would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit  
before the election"
- 03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated;  
just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few  
months"; "2-3 months"
- 04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just  
after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
- 06. Five to seven weeks before the election
- 07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
- 08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
- 09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
- 10. On election day
- 11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
- 12. After the vice-presidential debate
- 97. Other
  
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

=====  
M001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the  
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any  
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have  
voted for?

MENTION 1

- 
- 1. AL GORE
  - 3. GEORGE W BUSH
  - 5. PAT BUCHANAN
  - 6. RALPH NADER
  - 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  - 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

=====  
M001252b C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the  
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any  
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have  
voted for?

MENTION 2

- 
1. AL GORE
  3. GEORGE W BUSH
  5. PAT BUCHANAN
  6. RALPH NADER
  7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 
98. DK
  99. RF
  00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

=====  
M001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C8(3).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the  
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any  
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have  
voted for?

MENTION 3

---

1. AL GORE
  3. GEORGE W BUSH
  5. PAT BUCHANAN
  6. RALPH NADER
  7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 
98. DK
  99. RF
  00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

=====  
M001253a C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

---

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
  2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
  3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
  4. WASHINGTON DC
- 
9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
  0. INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001253b C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

C9(2).

IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES

-----  
This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05). All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in P000262.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

=====

M001254 C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

-----  
FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

=====  
M001255      C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

-----  
See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.  
CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview, R did not select one of the names from the district of the interview location but provided a name which was later identified as belonging to another congressional district. These cases have been coded 6 in P001255 but the candidate code and party identification according to the other district are coded in P001256 and P001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside CD of interview.  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

=====

M001256 C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
\*\* The names of independent/3rd party candidates were  
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only  
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list  
(preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major  
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate  
list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

=====

M001257 C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C9b(3)/C11bx.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were  
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only  
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list  
(preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major  
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate  
list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

=====

M001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives  
in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S.  
House of Representatives?



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

=====  
M001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district  
of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes  
30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39) .

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:  
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)  
81. Democratic candidate  
82. Republican candidate  
DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:  
83. Democratic incumbent  
84. Republican incumbent  
85. Democratic challenger  
86. Republican challenger  
ALL DISTRICTS:  
80. Third party or independent candidate \*\*  
91. Democrat--no name given  
92. Republican--no name given  
97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or  
candidate or CD not identified)  
  
98. DK --> C14a  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

=====  
M001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

- 
1. DEMOCRAT
  2. REPUBLICAN
  3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
  7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK --> C14a  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

=====

M001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 
1. DEMOCRAT
  5. REPUBLICAN
  7. OTHER: SPECIFY

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

=====

M001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:

Who did you vote for?

Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.

\*\* IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*

31. Democratic candidate in open House race

32. Republican candidate in open House race

33. Democratic House incumbent

34. Republican House incumbent

35. Democratic House challenger

36. Republican House challenger

38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*

39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

97. Name not on candidate list for this race

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:

81. Democratic candidate

82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:

83. Democratic incumbent

84. Republican incumbent

85. Democratic challenger

86. Republican challenger

ALL OUT-OF-COUNTY DISTRICTS:

80. Third party or independent candidate \*\*

91. Democrat--no name given

92. Republican--no name given

97. Name not on candidate list

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA in C10a/C12a.T

=====  
M001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:  
Who did you vote for?  
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:  
Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----  
Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW

-----  
For identification of states without races, see the Senate type  
race variable (P000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of interview

=====  
M001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

C13(2).

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

---

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
4. WASHINGTON DC
9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?  
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

---

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

=====  
M001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C13b1/C15bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)

---

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.

MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507) Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
3. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
4. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> D1

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

=====

M001268 C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C13b2/C15bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
\*\* The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent\*\*
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent\*\*
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
  
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

=====  
M001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:  
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you vote for  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT

- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

=====

M001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C14a/C16a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?  
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

-----

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE --> D1
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1
- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

=====

M001271 C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote -cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C14b1/C16b1.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district  
of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes  
08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

- CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
- 80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
  - 81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
  - 82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
  - 83. Democratic Senate incumbent
  - 84. Republican Senate incumbent



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 85. Democratic Senate challenger
- 86. Republican Senate challenger
- 91. Democrat - no name given
- 92. Republican - no name given
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

=====  
M001272 C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C14b2/C16b2.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

- 
- 1. DEMOCRAT
  - 2. REPUBLICAN
  - 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
  - 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

=====  
M001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 
- 1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
  - 5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
  - 7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
  - 8. DK --> D1
  - 9. RF

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

=====  
M001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
\*\*IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent\*\*  
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent  
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent\*\*  
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race  
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race  
13. Democratic Senate incumbent  
14. Republican Senate incumbent  
15. Democratic Senate challenger  
16. Republican Senate challenger  
CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW  
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate  
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race  
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race  
83. Democratic Senate incumbent  
84. Republican Senate incumbent  
85. Democratic Senate challenger  
86. Republican Senate challenger  
91. Democrat - no name given  
92. Republican - no name given  
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)

98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);  
5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

=====  
M001275 C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C14x2/C16x2.T.

IF R VOTED:  
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----  
Built from C14x1/C16x1.T

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);  
5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

=====  
M001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C17.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

How about the election for President?  
Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?

- 
1. YES
  5. NO
  
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

=====  
M001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C17a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you prefer?

- 
1. AL GORE
  3. GEORGE W. BUSH
  5. PAT BUCHANAN
  6. RALPH NADER
  7. OTHER

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17

=====  
M001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C17b.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:  
IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

Would you say your preference for this candidate was  
strong or not strong?  
-----

1. STRONG  
5. NOT STRONG

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a

=====  
M001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C18/C18.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:  
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this  
district. How about the election for the House of  
Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the  
candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:  
How about the election for the House of Representatives  
in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for  
the U.S. House of Representatives?  
-----

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C18a/C18a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)  
-----

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on  
the ballot card/ read over the phone.  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present  
on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that  
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into  
the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major  
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate  
list, his/her name was read last.

1. <preload Democratic candidate name>  
3. <preload Ind/3rd-party cand name>  
5. <preload Republican candidate name>  
7. Other - specify

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

=====  
M001281 C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97  
Numeric

C18a1/C18a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----

\*\* The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent \*\*
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent \*\*
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

=====  
M001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,  
<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,  
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

=====  
M001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?  
Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

-----  
FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

=====  
M001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
-----

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
7. OTHER; SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

=====  
M001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97  
Numeric

C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE  
-----

\*\* The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent\*\*
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent\*\*
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
  
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

=====

M001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:  
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:  
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:  
Did you prefer  
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)  
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

-----  
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on  
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that  
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the  
instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party  
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,  
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

=====

M001287 (Blank)

Numeric

.

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

---

=====  
M001288 (Blank)  
Numeric

.

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

---

=====  
M001289 (Blank)  
Numeric

.

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

---

=====  
M001290 (Blank)  
Numeric

.

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

---

=====  
M001291 C20. How fair was November election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C20.

Please continue thinking about the November election.  
In some countries, people believe their elections are  
conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe  
that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking  
of the presidential election we've just had, do you  
believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair  
nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

---

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. VERY FAIR
2. SOMEWHAT FAIR
3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
5. VERY UNFAIR

8. DK

9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview  
administered before question added

=====  
M001292 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1a/D1a.T.

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
BILL CLINTON

TELEPHONE:  
Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling  
thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001293 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1b/D1b.T.

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
AL GORE

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling  
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001294 D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1c/D1c.T.

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
GEORGE W. BUSH

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that  
feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====

M001295 D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1d/D1d.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:

The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling  
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

-----  
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====

M001296 D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1e/D1e.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
JESSE JACKSON

TELEPHONE:

The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling  
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON

-----  
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001297 D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1f/D1f.T.

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where  
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001298 D1g/D1g.T. Thermometer Dem House cand  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1g/D1g.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
<DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where  
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Democratic House candidate; no Post interview;  
Washington DC  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001299 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
<REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where  
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

-----  
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview;  
Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====  
M001300 D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1j/D1j.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>.  
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate  
him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>



[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview;  
Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

=====

M001300a D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

D1jx.

CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO  
THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?

- 
0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
  1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
  2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

=====

M001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1k/D1k.T.

IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:

IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:

IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)

<DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>.  
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate  
him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview;  
Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001302 D1m/D1m.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D1m/D1m.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:  
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:  
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
<REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>.  
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate  
him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview;  
Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M001303 | D1n/D1n.T. Thermometer Ind House cand |
|         | MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996              |
|         | Numeric                               |

D1n/D1n.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)  
<INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT  
CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you  
rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly  
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered  
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered  
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05);  
no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in Dlnx.  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001303a Dlnx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Dlnx.

CHECKPOINT: VT01 OR VA05  
-----

0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05  
1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01  
2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

=====  
M001304 D2a. Thermometer supreme court  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001305 D2b. Thermometer Congress  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2b.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M001306 | D2c. Thermometer military |
|         | MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  |
|         | Numeric                   |

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001307 D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2d.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2e.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BLACKS  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001309 D2f. Thermometer whites  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2f.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - WHITES  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2g.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered

was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M001311 | D2h. Thermometer liberals |
|         | MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  |
|         | Numeric                   |

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====

|         |                               |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| M001312 | D2j. Thermometer labor unions |
|         | MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996      |
|         | Numeric                       |

D2j.

(How would you rate:)



THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

M001313      D2k. Thermometer big business  
                 MD1: EQ 995,   MD2: GE 996  
                 Numeric

D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

- 995. NA
- 996. INAP, no Post interview
- 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
- 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
- 999. RF

=====

M001314      D2m. Thermometer poor people

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001315 D2n. Thermometer people on welfare  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2n.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001316 D2p. Thermometer Hispanics  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2p.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

-----  
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001317 D2q. Thermometer Chrstn Fundamentalists  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2q.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

-----  
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001318 D2r. Thermometer women's movement  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2r.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001319 D2s. Thermometer older people  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2s.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

```
=====
M001320 D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 Numeric
```

D2t.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

```
=====
M001321 D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 Numeric
```

D2u.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====

M001322 D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE CHRISTIAN COALITION

-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

```
=====
M001323 D2w. Thermometer Catholics
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 Numeric
```

D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

```
=====
M001324 D2x. Thermometer Jews
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
 Numeric
```

D2x.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

---

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001326 D2y. Thermometer feminists  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2y.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

=====  
M001327 D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans  
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996  
Numeric

D2z.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS  
-----

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"  
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO  
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered  
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA  
996. INAP, no Post interview  
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE  
998. DK WHERE TO RATE  
999. RF

.....  
E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the  
candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S.  
House of Representatives.

=====  
M001328 E1. Like anything- Dem House cand  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/  
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about  
<NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT  
CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?  
-----

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series  
was administered was randomized.  
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

|                                                                          |                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. YES                                                                   | --> SKIP TO E1a |
| 5. NO                                                                    | --> SKIP TO E2  |
| 7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT<br>THIS CANDIDATE           | --> E3 or E5a   |
| 8. DK                                                                    | --> SKIP TO E2  |
| 9. RF                                                                    |                 |
| 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House<br>race |                 |

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M001329 | E1a(1). #1 like Dem House candidate |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001             |
|         | Numeric                             |

E1a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'  
-----

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse  
9002. R has been influenced by someone else  
9995. NA  
9998. DK  
9999. Refused to say

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no Post IW

=====  
M001330 E1a(2). #2 like Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E1a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001331 E1a(3). #3 like Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E1a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001332 E1a(4). #4 like Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E1a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

---

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001333     E1a(5). #5 like Dem House candidate  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

E1a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

---

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001334     E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/  
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like  
about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01:  
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of  
Representatives?

---

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series  
was administered was randomized.  
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
- 5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP,0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate  
in House race; Washington D.C.

=====  
M001335 E2a(1). #1 dislike Dem House candidate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001  
Numeric

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW

=====  
M001336 E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E2a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001337 E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E2a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001338 E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E2a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001339 E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E2a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001340 E3. Like anything- Repub House cand  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

E3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/  
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about  
<NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT  
CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

-----  
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series  
was administered was randomized.  
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                                           |                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED                                                           | --> SKIP TO E3a       |
| 5. NO, NOTHING LIKED                                                                      | --> SKIP TO E4        |
| 7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING<br>ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE                            | --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a |
| 8. DK                                                                                     | --> SKIP TO E4        |
| 9. RF                                                                                     |                       |
| 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House<br>race; Washington D.C. |                       |

=====  
M001341 E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001  
Numeric

E3a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse  
9002. R has been influenced by someone else  
9995. NA  
9998. DK  
9999. Refused to say  
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW

=====

M001342     E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

M001343     E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

E3a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001344 E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E3a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001345 E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E3a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001346 E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

E4.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/  
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like  
about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05:  
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of  
Representatives?

-----  
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series  
was administered was randomized.  
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED           --> SKIP TO E4a
- 5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED                    --> SKIP TO E5
- 8. DK                                        --> SKIP TO E5a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

=====  
M001347     E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9001  
            Numeric

E4a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW

=====  
M001348     E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate

MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E4a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M001349 | E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate |
|         | MD: EQ 0                                 |
|         | Numeric                                  |

E4a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M001350 | E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate |
|         | MD: EQ 0                                 |
|         | Numeric                                  |

E4a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?  
Page 595

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001351 E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E4a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

-----  
[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?  
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cand  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

- 1. One House candidate in candidate list  
2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list

9. Washington DC  
0. No Post IW

=====  
M001353a E5a(1). 2 cand- either cand incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,  
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND  
NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of  
Representatives before the election?  
-----

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T  
KNOW"?]

- 1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> --> E6
- 2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6
- 3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6
- 4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6
- 5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> E6

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

=====

M001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,  
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT  
INCUMBENT CAND ) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE  
NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was  
already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the  
election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE  
-----

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T  
KNOW"?]

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.  
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

- 30. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 39. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
- 90. "BOTH"

- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

=====

M001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR  
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of  
Representatives before the election?

-----

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T  
KNOW"?]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

=====

M001355     E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR  
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of  
Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE

-----

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T  
KNOW"?]

- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

- 00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)

=====

M001356     E6. Party control House before election  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members  
in the House of Representatives in Washington  
BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

-----

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. THE DEMOCRATS
- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001357 E7. Party control Senate before election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members  
in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last)  
month?

-----

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)  
[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. THE DEMOCRATS
- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001358 F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way  
Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT  
NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

- 
- 1. APPROVE --> SKIP TO Fla
  - 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP TO Flb
  - 8. DK --> F2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====

M001359 Fla. Strength approve House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Fla.

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. APPROVE STONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO F2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1

=====  
M001360 F1b. Strength disappr House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

F1b.

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
  - 5. NOT STRONGLY
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1

=====  
M001361 F1x. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

F1x.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way  
Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT  
NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?  
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

---

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK in F1 or F1a/b
- 9. RF in F1a or F1a/b
- 0. NA

=====  
M001362 F2. Special action by incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8



Numeric

F2.

Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

---

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====

M001363      F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

F3.

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

---

- 1. YES, R KNOWS                      --> SKIP TO F3a
- 5. NO                                    --> SKIP TO F3b
- 8. DK                                    --> SKIP TO F3b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====

M001364      F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
                  Numeric

F3a.

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

---

Actual number coded.

- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

=====

M001365      F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

F3b.

IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or  
more than 12 years?

-----  
[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
- 3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
- 5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3  
^^^

=====  
M001366 F4. House incumb kept in touch w/district  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

F4.

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME>  
does of keeping in touch with the people in your  
district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly  
good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in  
touch with the people in this district?

- 
- 1. VERY GOOD
  - 2. FAIRLY GOOD
  - 3. FAIRLY POOR
  - 4. VERY POOR

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====  
M001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government  
and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an  
election going on or not. Others aren't that interested.  
Would you say you follow what's going on in government  
and public affairs most of the time, some of the time,  
only now and then, or hardly at all?

- 
- 1. MOST OF THE TIME
  - 2. SOME OF THE TIME
  - 3. ONLY NOW AND THEN

- ```
=====
M001368      G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]

- ```
=====
M001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

1. LIBERAL  
3. CONSERVATIVE  
5. MODERATE [VOL]  
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

- Page 603

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in G1a/G1a.T; no Post interview

=====  
M001370      G1x. 3-category lib-con summary  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G1x.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a  
liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of  
yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly  
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly  
conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or  
haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a  
liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY

-----  
Built from G1a/G1a.T and G1b.

This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF  
administration in previous years.

Recode values used for each category appear in the order:  
G1a/G1a.T,G1b.

- 1. Liberal    (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate   (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)

8. DK    (0/8,8)

9. NA/RF to entire question series   (RF/NA,0)

0. NA to follow up question G4aa   (0/8,NA); No Post IW

=====  
M001371      G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G2/G2.T.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely  
liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle  
of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or  
extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001372      G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

G3/G3.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore?  
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly  
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly  
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
  
8. DK                      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001373      G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

- 
1. VERY CERTAIN
  3. PRETTY CERTAIN
  5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

=====

M001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about Pat Buchanan?  
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly  
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly  
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
=====
M001377 G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

- 
1. VERY CERTAIN
  3. PRETTY CERTAIN
  5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G5/G5.T

```
=====
M001378 G6. Ckpt: number of candS/VT01/VA05
 MD: EQ 9
 Numeric
```

G6.

CHECKPOINT:  
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05  
FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

-----

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House  
incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement.  
In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House  
incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic  
candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican  
candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

```
=====
M001378a G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:



Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?

(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly  
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly  
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except  
VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was  
administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and  
G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

=====  
M001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):  
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:

Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDPENDENT HOUSE  
CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?

(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly  
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly  
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

=====

M001379a G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

-----

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

=====

M001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?

-----  
Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except  
VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

=====  
M001380a G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

FTF:  
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?  
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal,  
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,  
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely  
conservative?)

-----  
[DON'T PROBE DK]  
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except  
VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.  
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was  
administered was randomized.  
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and  
G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

--> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS

9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

=====

M001380b G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):  
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:  
Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE  
CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?  
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal,  
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,  
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely  
conservative?)

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except  
VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.  
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was  
administered was randomized.  
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and  
G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL  
2. LIBERAL  
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL  
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD  
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE  
6. CONSERVATIVE  
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

=====

M001381a G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G7a1/G7a1.T.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?  
-----

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except  
VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN  
3. PRETTY CERTAIN  
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

=====  
M001381b G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):  
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty  
certain or not very certain?  
-----

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except  
VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN  
3. PRETTY CERTAIN  
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(2)/G7(2).T

=====  
M001382 G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Democratic Party?  
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal,  
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,  
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely  
conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G9/G9.T.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party?

(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE

6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001384 G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G10/G10.T.

FTF:  
Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Reform Party?  
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal,  
slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road,  
slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely  
conservative?)

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001385 G11a. R placement- services/spend scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11a.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- |                                                                    |                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 2.                                                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 3.                                                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 4.                                                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 5.                                                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 6.                                                                 | --> G11b or G11c |
| 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING                                | --> G11b or G11c |
|                                                                    |                  |
| 8. DK                                                              | --> G11b or G11c |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview |                  |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                                 | --> G11b or G11c |

=====

M001386     G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

G11a.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- |                                                                  |             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING                               | --> G11a1.T |
| 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING                              | --> G11a2.T |
| 5. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL                                     | --> G11a3.T |
|                                                                  |             |
| 8. DK                                                            | --> G11a3.T |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview |             |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                               | --> G11a3.T |

=====

M001387     G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

G11a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 
1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T  
8. DK --> G11b.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

=====  
M001388 G11a2.T. How much increase servics/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase services and spending a  
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T  
5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T  
  
8. DK --> G11b.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

=====  
M001389 G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed,  
would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE  
3. DECREASE  
5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]  
  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G11a.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001390 G11ax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11ax1.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should  
provide fewer services, many more services, or continue

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 providing services at the present level, or haven't you  
 thought much about this?  
 (IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and  
 spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
 only some?  
 (IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services  
 and spending a great deal or (increase services and  
 spending) only some?  
 (IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to  
 be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or  
 a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

---

Built from G11a.T, G11a1.T, G11a2.T, G11a3.T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

=====

M001390a G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

G11ax2.

FTF:  
 Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't  
 you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
 How about you? Do you think the government should  
 provide fewer services, many more services, or continue  
 providing services at the present level, or haven't you  
 thought much about this?  
 (IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and  
 spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
 only some?  
 (IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services  
 and spending a great deal or (increase services and  
 spending) only some?  
 (IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services  
 and spending were to be changed, would you be more in  
 favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

---

Built from G11a and G11ax1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====

M001391 G11b. Ckpt: number of cand's/VT01/VA05  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

G11b.

CHECKPOINT:  
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05  
FOR SERVICES/SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

-----  
In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====

M001391a G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:  
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

-----  
[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                                                                 |         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING                                              | --> G12 |
| 2.                                                                              | --> G12 |
| 3.                                                                              | --> G12 |
| 4.                                                                              | --> G12 |
| 5.                                                                              | --> G12 |
| 6.                                                                              | --> G12 |
| 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING                                             | --> G12 |
|                                                                                 |         |
| 8. DK                                                                           | --> G12 |
| 9. RF                                                                           |         |
| 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;<br>candidate coded 997 in thermometer |         |

=====  
M001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT  
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

-----  
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)  
were administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:  
in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were  
administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- |                                    |         |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING | --> G12 |
| 2.                                 | --> G12 |
| 3.                                 | --> G12 |
| 4.                                 | --> G12 |
| 5.                                 | --> G12 |

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 6. --> G12
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====

M001392a G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to  
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,  
provide many services even if it means an increase in  
spending, or continue services at the present level?

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and  
G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:  
in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T.

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in  
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic  
although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
- 5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====

M001392b G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b2.T.

IF VT01:  
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE  
CANDIDATE>)?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to  
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,  
provide many services even if it means an increase in  
spending, or continue services at the present level?

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and  
G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.  
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:  
in districts other than VT01, Phone respondents were  
administered G11b(1).T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
- 5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====  
M001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b1(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

=====

M001393b G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

=====

M001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrase ser/sp  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b2(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

=====

M001394b G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

=====

M001395a G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cand- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b3(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:  
If the level of services and spending were to be  
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of  
an increase or a decrease?

-----

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

=====

M001395b G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11b3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:  
If the level of services and spending were to be  
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of  
an increase or a decrease?

-----

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

=====

M001396 G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric



G11bx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11b1/G11b2.T, G11b1(1)/G11b1(2).T, G11b2(1).T/G11b2(2).T, G11b3(1).T/G11b3(2).T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

=====

M001396a G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to  
increase services and spending a great deal or (increase  
services and spending) only some?  
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were  
to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in  
favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT  
-----

Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

=====

M001397a G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
on this issue?  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)  
were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:  
in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in  
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic  
although administered FTF.

- |                                     |         |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING  | --> G12 |
| 2.                                  | --> G12 |
| 3.                                  | --> G12 |
| 4.                                  | --> G12 |
| 5.                                  | --> G12 |
| 6.                                  | --> G12 |
| 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING | --> G12 |

- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====

M001397b G11c2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c2.

IF VA05:  
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:  
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT  
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)  
were administered was randomized.  
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:  
in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were  
administered G11c(1).

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
- 2. --> G12
- 3. --> G12
- 4. --> G12
- 5. --> G12
- 6. --> G12
- 7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
- 8. DK --> G12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====

M001398a G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:  
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?  
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to  
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,  
provide many more services even if it means an increase  
in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/  
G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:

in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in  
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic  
although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T

3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T

5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====  
M001398b G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c2.T.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE  
CANDIDATE>)?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to  
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,  
provide many more services even if it means an increase  
in spending, or continue services at the present level?

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/  
G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:

in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were  
administered G11c(1).T

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T

3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T

5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

=====  
M001399a G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11c1(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

=====  
M001399b G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and  
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)  
only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

=====  
M001400a G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrasease serv/spd  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c2(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?

- 
- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

=====  
M001400b G11c2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and  
spending a great deal or (increase services and  
spending) only some?  
-----

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
  
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

=====  
M001401a G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c3(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:  
If the level of services and spending were to be  
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of  
an increase or a decrease?  
-----

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
  
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

=====  
M001401b G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G11c3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 
- 1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  
  - 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

=====

M001402 G11cx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

G11cx1.

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

---

Built from G11c1/G11c2.T, G11c1(1)/G11c1(2).T, G11c2(1).T/G11c2(2).T, G11c3(1).T/G11c3(2).T.

- 1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
- 2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
- 3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
- 4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
- 5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
- 6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
- 7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
  
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

=====

M001402a G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

- 
1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
  2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
  3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
  4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
  5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
  6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
  7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05;  
candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

=====  
M001403 G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the  
number of the opinion you choose.

---

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE,  
INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN  
RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY  
AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY  
ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN  
ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001404 G12a. How important is abortion issue to R  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at  
all important, not too important, somewhat important,  
very important, or extremely important?

---

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

=====  
M001405 G12b. Checkpoint: number of candd/VT01/VA05  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:  
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05  
FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

---

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House  
incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement.  
In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House  
incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
  2. Democratic candidate only in House race
  3. Republican candidate only in House race
  4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
  5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=====

M001405a G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12b1/G12b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:  
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
(on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?  
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

-----

[DON'T PROBE DK]  
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except  
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.  
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND  
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.  
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and  
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE  
OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS  
IN DANGER. --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS  
OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE  
WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE  
ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO  
OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL  
CHOICE. --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

=====  
M001405b G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:  
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:  
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT  
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:  
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on  
abortion)?  
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

-----  
[DON'T PROBE DK]  
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except  
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.  
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND  
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.  
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and  
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE  
OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS  
IN DANGER. --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS  
OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE  
WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE  
ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO  
OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL  
CHOICE. --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n

=====  
M001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-cetainty of plcmt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

-----

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(1)/G12b(1).T

=====

M001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

-----

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

=====

M001407a G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12c1/G12c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>  
(on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?  
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except  
VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and  
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and  
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE  
OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS  
IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS  
OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE  
WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE  
ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN  
AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h

=====

M001407b G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT  
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE  
CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND

G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

=====

M001408a G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plct  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

-----

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

=====

M001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

=====  
M001409 G13. R thinks of themselves as Republican or Democrat  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G13.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?

1. DEMOCRAT
3. REPUBLICAN
5. NEITHER [VOL]
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. WORSE --> SKIP H1b  
 5. THE SAME --> SKIP H2  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP H2  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered '  
 section H in Post

=====

M001411a H1a. How much better off in last yer financially  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

H1a.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

-----

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H2  
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H2  
  
 8. DK --> SKIP H2  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

=====

M001411b H1b. How much worse off last year financially  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

H1b.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

1. MUCH WORSE  
 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE  
  
 8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

=====

M001412 H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

H1x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?  
 Much better or somewhat better?  
 Much worse or somewhat worse?



SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

-----

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

=====

M001412a H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better?  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

-----

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.  
Built from Pre E1x and Post H1x

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001413 H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

-----

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

=====

M001413a H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

-----  
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.  
Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001414 H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

-----  
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> Skip H3b
5. THE SAME --> Skip H4
8. DK --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered

section H in Post

```
=====
M001415 H3a. How much better off next year financially
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

- 
- 1. MUCH BETTER           --> SKIP H4
  - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER     --> SKIP H4
  
  - 8. DK                   --> Skip H4
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

```
=====
M001416 H3b. How much worse off next year financially
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

H3b.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 
- 1. MUCH WORSE
  - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

```
=====
M001417 H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
```

H3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you  
(and your family living here/there) will be better off  
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?  
Much better or somewhat better?  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

-----

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered  
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK in H3 or H3a/b
9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre

=====

M001417a H3x1. Pre/Po: Financial situation in next year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H3x1.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you  
(and your family living here/there) will be better off  
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?  
Much better or somewhat better?  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

-----  
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered  
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001418 H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H4.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a  
close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of  
an assault during the coming year? Would you say you  
are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid,  
or not afraid?

-----  
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered  
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.  
NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable  
was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of  
questions H1-H3.

1. VERY AFRAID
3. SOMEWHAT AFRAID
5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
7. NOT AFRAID

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

=====

M001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J1.

If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be  
happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

- 
1. HAPPY TO DO IT
  5. RATHER NOT SERVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J2a.

ONE, the less government, the better; OR  
TWO, there are more things that government should be  
doing?

-----  
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001421 J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's  
complex economic problems; OR  
TWO, the free market can handle these problems without  
government being involved.

-----  
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001422 J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR  
TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

-----  
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001423 J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR  
TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

-----  
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001424 J3. # times R watched jeopardy  
Page 646

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about  
television viewing, because what you watch often  
determines what political advertising you will see.  
How many times in the last week have you watched  
"Jeopardy"?  
-----

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES  
95. EVERY DAY  
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL  
97. R VOLUNTEERS, "NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8  
  
98. DON'T KNOW  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001425 J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

J4.

How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel  
of Fortune"?  
-----

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES  
95. EVERY DAY  
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL  
  
98. DON'T KNOW  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

=====  
M001426 J5. # times R watched morning news  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

J5.

How many times in the last week have you watched morning  
news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America,"  
or "The Early Show"?  
-----

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES  
95. EVERY DAY  
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL  
  
98. DON'T KNOW  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

=====

M001427 J6. # times R watched daytime talk show  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime  
television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie  
O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?  
-----

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

=====

M001428 J7. Network news program R watches most  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most  
often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News,"  
"The CBS Evening News," or some other network news  
program?  
-----

- 1. ABC
- 3. NBC
- 5. CBS
- 6. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

=====

M001429 J8. How much can you trust the media  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J8.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the  
media to report the news fairly? Just about always,  
most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?  
-----

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME



- 4. ALMOST NEVER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001430 J9. Does R listen to political talk radio  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J9.

There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?

- 1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
- 5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10
- 8. DK --> GO TO J10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001431 J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

- 1. EVERYDAY
- 2. MOST DAYS
- 3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
- 4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

=====

M001432 J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
background while they do other things. How about you?  
When you turn on a political talk radio program, would  
you say you pay very close attention, fairly close  
attention, occasional attention, or very little  
attention?

---

1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

=====

M001433 J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J10.

Do you have acces to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

---

1. YES
5. NO --> J11
8. DK --> J11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001434 J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J10a.

IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:

Have you seen any information about this election  
campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

---

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J10

=====

M001435 J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J11.

Do you think there are any important differences in what  
the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

- 
1. YES, DIFFERENCES
  5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995  
Numeric

J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.  
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

995. NA  
998. DK  
999. RF  
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

=====

M001436b J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party

difference

- 8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
- 9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
- 0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

=====

M001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a2(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.  
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

M001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a2(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead
- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party  
difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

M001436e J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0

Numeric

J11a3(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.  
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

M001436f J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a3(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party  
difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

M001436g J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.  
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

|          |                                         |
|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| M001436h | J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems |
|          | MD: EQ 0                                |
|          | Numeric                                 |

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party  
difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
mention; no Post IW

=====

|          |                                       |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| M001436j | J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems |
|          | MD: EQ 0                              |
|          | Numeric                               |

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]  
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.  
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further  
Page 654

mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001436k J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a5(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001436m J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a6(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

-----  
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====  
M001436n J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

J11a6(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

-----

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=====

M001437      K1a. Whites influence  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K1a.

The first group is WHITES.  
Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001438      K1b. Blacks influence  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K1b.

What about BLACKS?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview



=====

M001439      K1c. Hispanics influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1c.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001440      K1d. Asian-Americans influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1d.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001441      K1e. Jews influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1e.

What about JEWS?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
  
8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001442      K1f. Protestants influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1f.

What about PROTESTANTS?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE  
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001443      K1g. Catholics influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1g.

What about CATHOLICS?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE  
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001444      K1h. Men influence  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K1h.

What about MEN?  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE  
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001445      K1j. Women influence  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K1j.

And the last group is WOMEN.  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about  
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)  
-----

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001446a      K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.  
What job or political office does he NOW hold?  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

- 8. R makes no attempt to guess
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

=====  
M001446b      K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K2a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.  
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

=====  
M001447      K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

K2ax.

The first name is TRENT LOTT.  
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION

-----  
[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/  
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Built from K2a/K2a.E  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001448      K2a1.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used  
              MD:    EQ 0  
              Numeric

K2a1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.  
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT:    LOTT - PROBE USED?

-----  
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

=====  
M001449a K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]  
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT  
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess  
9.RF  
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b.E; no Post interview

=====  
M001449b K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]  
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)  
-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT  
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess  
9.RF  
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

=====  
M001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist  
Page 661

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]  
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/  
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Built from K2b/K2b.E  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT  
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess  
9.RF  
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001451 K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]  
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?  
-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES  
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

=====  
M001452a K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN

5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess

9.RF

0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

=====

M001452b K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN

5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess

9.RF

0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

=====

M001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION

-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2c/K2c.E

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN

5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess

9.RF

0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001454 K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. YES

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

=====

M001455a K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess

9.RF

0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview



=====  
M001455b K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2d.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO  
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)  
-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES  
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess  
9.RF  
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

=====  
M001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K2dx.

JANET RENO  
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION  
-----

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/  
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]  
Built from K2d/K2d.E  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the  
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E  
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES  
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8.R makes no attempt to guess  
9.RF  
0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001457 K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

=====  
M001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?

-----

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT

2. TENNESSEE

3. TEXAS

4. WYOMING

7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001459 K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----

1. YES

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001460 K3b. What is Bush's religion  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?

-----

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001461      K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

K3b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001462      K4a. What state is Gore from  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?

-----

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001463      K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001464 K4b. What is Gore's religion  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001465 K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001466 K5a. In what state does Cheney live  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT

- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001467      K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001468      K5b. What is Cheney's religion  
             MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?

-----  
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001469      K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

K5b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001470      K6a. In what state does Lieberman live  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K6a.

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

-----  
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001471      K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
             MD:   EQ 0  
             Numeric

K6a1.

CHECKPOINT:   PROBE USED?

- 
1. YES
  5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001472      K6b. What is Lieberman's religion  
             MD1: EQ 8,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

K6b.

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

-----  
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001473 K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K6b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001474 K7. Volunteer work in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K7.

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001475 K8. Are people trustworthy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K8.

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

-----

- 1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
- 5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

- 1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
- 5. TRY TO BE FAIR
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001477 K10. People helpful or selfish  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?

- 1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
- 5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrm homosexu  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO K12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:



Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. FAVOR STRONGLY       --> K12
  - 5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY   --> K12

=====

M001480     K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

K11b.

IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 
- 1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
  - 5. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

=====

M001481     K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job  
discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect  
homosexuals against job discrimination?  
(IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)  
Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?  
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION

-----

Bult from K11 and K11a/b

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
  
- 8. DK in K11 or K11a/b
- 9. RF in K11 or K11a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====

M001482     K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish  
            MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
            Numeric

K12a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't  
you thought much about this?

-----

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====

M001482a    K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K12a.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce  
crime is to address social problems or to make sure  
criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that  
we should do something in between, or haven't you  
thought much about this?

-----

- |                                                              |             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME                  | --> K12a1.T |
| 3. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED<br>AND PUNISHED | --> K12a2.T |
| 5. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN                                   | --> K12a3.T |
| 8. DK                                                        | --> K13a3.T |
| 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview                 |             |
| 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS                           | --> K13a3.T |

=====

M001483    K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -adressing social  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

K12a1.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think that addressing the social problems that  
cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are  
caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or  
somewhat better way to reduce crime?

-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

=====  
M001484 K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:  
Do you think that making sure criminals are caught,  
convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the  
social problems that cause crime is a much better way or  
a somewhat better way to reduce crime?  
-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

=====  
M001485 K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:  
If you had to choose, which way would you say is better  
at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that  
cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught,  
convicted, and punished?  
-----

- 1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
- 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

=====  
M001486 K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K12ax1.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

-----  
Built from K12a.T, K12a1.T, K12a2.T, K12a3.T.

1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. IN-BETWEEN
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

=====  
M001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K12ax2.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to

reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

-----  
Built from K12 and K12ax1

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M001487 | K13. How worried is R about nuclear war |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                    |
|         | Numeric                                 |

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

-----  
Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

|         |                                              |
|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| M001488 | K14. How worried is R about conventional war |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8                         |
|         | Numeric                                      |

K14.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

-----

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

- 1. VERY WORRIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
- 5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001489      K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

K15a.

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you thought much about this?

-----

- 1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
- 5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

=====

M001490      K16. How should the financing of campaigns change  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

K16.

In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

-----

- 1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
- 3. MAJOR CHANGES
- 5. MINOR CHANGES
- 7. FINE THE WAY IT IS
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001491 L5. Worked on community issue in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L5.

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other  
people to deal with some issue facing your community?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001492 L6. Contacted public official to express in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L6.

During the past twelve months, have you telephoned,  
written a letter to, or visited a government official  
to express your views on a public issue?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001493 L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L7.

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting  
about an issue facing your community or schools?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001494 L8. Is R a member of any organizations  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kinterviewanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> L9
- 8. DK --> L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001495 L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member  
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

L8a.

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

-----

- 0. NO ORGANIZATIONS --> L9
- 1. ONE ORGANIZATION --> L8b
- 2-96. ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb
- 97. 97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb
- 98. DK --> L8bb
- 99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8

=====

M001496 L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

L8b.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

-----

Number of hours coded and:

- 96. NONE (NO HOURS)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a



=====

M001497 L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8c.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence  
government?

-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

=====

M001498 L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8d.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence  
schools?

-----

1. YES --> L9  
5. NO --> L9

8. DON'T KNOW --> L9  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

=====

M001499 L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

L8bb.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how  
many hours per week did you spend doing things with or  
for your organizations?

-----

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)  
98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

=====  
M001500 L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce government  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8cc.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to  
influence government?  
-----

1. YES

5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

=====  
M001501 L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce schools  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8dd.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to  
influence schools?  
-----

1. YES

5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

=====  
M001502 L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96  
Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how  
many hours per week did you spend doing things with or  
for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS  
-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

=====  
M001503 L8x2. Summary org influence schools  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence  
government?/  
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to  
influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

=====  
M001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L8x3.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence  
schools/  
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to  
influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

=====  
M001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L9.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001506     L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L10.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001507     L10a. How many times protested/marched  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

L10a.

IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

-----

- 1. ONCE
- 2. TWO OR THREE
- 4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
- 7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10

=====

M001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M3a/M3a.T.

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities  
overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks  
should do the same without any special favors.'  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001509 M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M3b/M3b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)  
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than  
they deserve.'  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than  
they deserve.'  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001510 M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff  
Page 685

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M3c/M3c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001511 M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

M3d/M3d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY

- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001512     N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

N1.

Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government.  
 Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO                --> N2
  
- 8. DK                --> N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001513     N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

N1a.

IF R HAS AN OPINION:

What is your feeling, do you think the government is getting too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?

-----

- 1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL                --> SKIP TO N1b
- 5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
- 7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY)                --> SKIP TO N2
  
- 8. DK                --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

=====

M001514     N1a1. Should govt become more powerful  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

N1a1.

IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful  
or should it stay the way it is?

- 
- 1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL --> N1b
  - 5. STAY THE WAY IT IS --> N2
  - 8. DK --> N2
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

=====

M001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N1b.

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a  
powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the  
Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference  
between them on this?

- 
- 1. DEMOCRATS
  - 3. REPUBLICANS
  - 5. NO DIFFERENCE
  - 8. DON'T KNOW
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a1

=====

M001516 N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the  
important political issues facing our country. '  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

- 
- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
  - 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  - 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  - 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  - 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY



8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qualified to participate in politics  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)  
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in  
politics. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in  
politics. '  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

-----  
1. AGREE STRONGLY  
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT  
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT  
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

N2c/N2c.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)  
' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office  
as most other people. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office  
as most other people. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001519     N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

N2d/N2d.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)  
' I think that I am better informed about politics and  
government than most people. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
' I think that I am better informed about politics and  
government than most people. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001520     N3. Does R believe their vote matters  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

N3.

So many other people vote in the national election that  
it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

-----  
This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;  
question not implemented at time of interview

=====

M001521      Pla/Pla.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Pla/Pla.T.

' Our society should do whatever is necessary to make  
sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

-----

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001522      P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

P1b/P1b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this  
country.'  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this  
country.'  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?

-----

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001523      Plc/Plc.T. We don't give everyone equal chance  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Plc/Plc.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' One of the big problems in this country is that we  
don't give everyone an equal chance. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' One of the big problems in this country is that we  
don't give everyone an equal chance. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001524      Pld/Pld.T. Better if we worried less about equality  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Pld/Pld.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' This country would be better off if we worried less  
about how equal people are. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

' This country would be better off if we worried less  
about how equal people are. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

-----

1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 
8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001525      Ple/Ple.T. OK if some people have more chances than  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

Ple/Ple.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' It is not really that big a problem if some people  
have more of a chance in life than others. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have  
more of a chance in life than others.'  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor  
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with  
this statement?)

-----

1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 
8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001526      Plf/Plf.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

Plf/Plf.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

Page 693

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

' If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001527 Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q1a/Q1a.T.

' Public officials don't care much what people like me think. '  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001529      Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

Q1c/Q1c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001530      Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q2a/Q2a.T.

' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society. '  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)  
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:  
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric



Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 
1. AGREE STRONGLY
  2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
  3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
  4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
  5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q3a.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the  
government in Washington to do what is right -- just  
about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?  
-----

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. NEVER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q4.

Do you think that people in government waste a lot of  
the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't  
waste very much of it?  
-----

- 1. WASTE A LOT
- 3. WASTE SOME
- 5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001536 Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q5.

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few  
big interests looking out for themselves or that  
it is run for the benefit of all the people?  
-----

- 1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
- 5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q6.

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

-----

- 1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
- 3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
- 5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

-----

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH

=====  
M001539 Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Q8.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

-----

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001540     R1a. Close to whites FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1a.

FTF:  
WHITES

CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001541     R1a.T. Close to whites phone  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R1a.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to whites?  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001542     R1b. Close to poor people FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1b.

FTF:  
POOR PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====

M001543 R1b.T. Close to poor people phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1b.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) poor people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====

M001544 R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1c.

FTF:  
ASIAN AMERICANS  
  
CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====

M001545 R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1c.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) Asian Americans  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001546 R1d. Close to liberals FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1d.

FTF:  
LIBERALS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
  
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001547 R1d.T. Close to liberals phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1d.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) liberals  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001548     R1e. Close to elderly FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1e.

FTF:  
THE ELDERLY

CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001549     R1e.T. Close to elderly phone  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) the elderly  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001550     R1f. Close to blacks FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1f.

FTF:  
BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====

M001551      R1f.T. Close to blacks phone  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

R1f.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about)    blacks  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====

M001552      R1g. Close to labor unions FTF  
              MD:    EQ 0  
              Numeric

R1g.

FTF:  
LABOR UNIONS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview



=====

M001553 R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1g.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) labor unions  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====

M001554 R1h. Close to feminists FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1h.

FTF:  
FEMINISTS

CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
  
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====

M001555 R1h.T. Close to feminists phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1h.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) feminists  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001556     R1j. Close to southerners FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1j.

FTF:  
SOUTHERNERS

CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
  
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001557     R1j.T. Close to southerners phone  
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R1j.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) southerners  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001558     R1k. Close to business people FTF  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

R1k.

FTF:  
BUSINESS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001559 R1k.T. Close to business people phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1k.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) business people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001560 R1m. Close to young people FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1m.

FTF:  
YOUNG PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001561 R1m.T. Close to young people phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1m.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) young people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001562 R1n. Close to conservatives FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1n.

FTF:  
CONSERVATIVES

CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
  
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001563 R1n.T. Close to conservatives phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1n.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) conservatives  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001564 Rlp. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

Rlp.

FTF:  
HISPANIC-AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001565 Rlp.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Rlp.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) Hispanic-Americans  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001566 R1q. Close to women FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1q.

FTF:  
WOMEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====

M001567 R1q.T. Close to women phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1q.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) women  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====

M001568 R1r. Close to working-class FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1r.

FTF:  
WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

-----  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001569 R1r.T. Close to working-class phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1r.T.

TELEPHONE:  
(What about) working-class people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001570 R1s .Close to middle-class FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

R1s.

FTF:  
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE  
-----

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001571 R1s.T. Close to middle-class phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1s.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) middle-class people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

---

M001572      R1t. Close to men FTF  
              MD: EQ 0  
              Numeric

R1t.

FTF:  
MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
  
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

---

M001573      R1t.T. Close to men phone  
              MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

R1t.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about) men  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

=====  
M001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2a/R2a.T.

FTF:  
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1  
to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and  
4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the  
other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES

-----  
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were  
administered was randomized.

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001575 R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?  
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4  
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end  
or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS

-----  
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were  
administered was randomized.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R2c/R2c.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate:  
Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7?  
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4  
indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer  
to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

-----  
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001577      R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

R2d/R2d.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to  
7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4  
indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one  
end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS  
-----

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001578      R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

R3a/R3a.T.

FTF:  
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1  
indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4  
indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the

other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES

---

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001579      R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

R3b/R3b.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where  
1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent,  
and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or  
the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS

---

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001580 R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-American  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1  
to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means  
unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans  
are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

-----  
The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001581 R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:  
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,  
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate:  
Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates  
intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most  
Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS  
-----

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M001582 | R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8           |
|         | Numeric                        |

R4a/R4a.T.

FTF:  
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES  
-----

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M001583 | R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks |
|         | MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8           |
|         | Numeric                        |

R4b/R4b.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),  
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where  
1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4  
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the  
other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS

-----

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001584     R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),  
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1  
to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means  
untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans  
are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

-----

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001585 R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),  
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:  
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS  
-----

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY



- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001586     R5a. Independence or respect for elders  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for  
a child to have:

INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS  
-----

- 1. INDEPENDENCE
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001587     R5b. Obedience or self-reliance  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R5b.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important  
for a child to have:)

OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE  
-----

- 1. OBEDIENCE
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. SELF-RELIANCE
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001588     R5c. Curiosity or good manners  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

R5c.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important  
for a child to have:)

CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS  
-----

- 1. CURIOSITY

- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. GOOD MANNERS
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001589     R5d. Considerate or well behaved  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

R5d.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important  
 for a child to have:)  
 BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

-----

- 1. BEING CONSIDERATE
- 3. BOTH
- 5. WELL BEHAVED
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001590     S1. Budget deficit lar/smal since 1992  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

S1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in  
 November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after  
 8 years as President. The next several questions ask  
 whether you think things have changed since Clinton came  
 into office.  
 First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal  
 budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
 retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
 and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
 for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
 instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
 Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. GOTTEN SMALLER
- 3. GOTTEN LARGER                    --> SKIP TO S1b
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME        --> SKIP TO S2
- 8. DK                                --> SKIP TO S2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====

M001591     S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S1a.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller  
or somewhat smaller?  
-----

- 1. MUCH SMALLER               --> SKIP TO S2
- 5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER        --> SKIP TO S2

=====

M001591a    S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S1b.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or  
somewhat larger?  
-----

- 1. MUCH LARGER
- 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

=====

M001592     S1x. Summary - budget deficit since 92  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal  
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?  
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller  
or somewhat smaller?  
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or  
somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992  
-----

Built from S1 and S2a/b.

- 1. Much smaller
- 2. Somewhat smaller

3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

=====  
M001592a S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S1x1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?  
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

-----  
Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001593 S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S3
8. DK --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====

M001594 S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/  
IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

-----

- 1. A LOT
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

=====

M001595 S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been  
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as  
in 1992?  
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

-----

Built from S2 and S2a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====

M001595a S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been  
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as  
in 1992?  
A little or a lot?

COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

---

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001596     S3. Economy better/worse since 1992  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's  
economy is better, worse, or about the same?

---

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE                --> SKIP TO S3b
5. THE SAME            --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK                    --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001597     S3a. Economy much/somewhat better  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

---

1. MUCH BETTER            --> SKIP TO S4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER       --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK                    --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

=====

M001598 S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

1. MUCH WORSE  
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

=====

M001599 S3x. Summary - economy since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's  
economy is better, worse, or about the same?  
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/  
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

-----

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

1. Much better  
2. Somewhat better  
3. About the same  
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====

M001599a S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S3x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's  
economy is better, worse, or about the same?  
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/  
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

  

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER  
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b  
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5  
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S5  
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S5  
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5

Page 728



- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

=====  
M001602      S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

=====  
M001603      S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the  
nation's economy better, made the economy worse,  
or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY  
-----

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====  
M001603a      S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the  
nation's economy better, made the economy worse,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

---

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001604 S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt  
economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't  
it affected you one way or the other?

---

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====  
M001604a S5x. Clinton admin hurt/help R economically?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S5x.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt  
economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't  
it affected you one way or the other?

---

Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- ```
=====
M001605      S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

```

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE      --> SKIP TO S6b
5. NO CHANGE        --> SKIP TO S7

8. DK              --> SKIP TO ->S7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

```

```
=====
M001606      S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
              MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
              Numeric
```

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- ```
1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7
```

Page 731

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S6b.

IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?  
-----

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6

=====  
M001608 S6x. Summary - U.S. security  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States  
is more secure from its foreign enemies, less  
secure, or hasn't this changed very much?  
(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/  
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES  
-----

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====  
M001608a S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S6x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States  
is more secure from its foreign enemies, less  
secure, or hasn't this changed very much?  
(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/  
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

-----  
Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001609      S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE      --> SKIP TO S7b
5. NO CHANGE        --> SKIP TO S8
  
8. DK                --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====  
M001610      S7a. Clinton made much/smwht more secure  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S7a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

-----

1. MUCH MORE SECURE      --> SKIP TO S8
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE   --> SKIP TO S8
  
8. DK                    --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

=====  
M001611 S7b. Clinton made much/smwhat less secur  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S7b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?  
-----

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

=====  
M001612 S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S7x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made  
the United States more secure from its foreign enemies,  
less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either  
way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY  
-----

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====  
M001612a S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made  
the United States more secure from its foreign enemies,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either  
way?  
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/  
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

---

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001613      S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

S8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime  
rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed  
about the same?

---

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE            --> SKIP TO S8b
5. THE SAME        --> SKIP TO S9
8. DK                --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====

M001614      S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwht better  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

S8a.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

---

```

 anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S9

8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

```

```

=====
M001615 S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwht worse
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

```

S8b.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

```

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

```

```

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

```

```

=====
M001616 S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

```

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/  
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

```

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

```

```

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

```

```

=====
M001616a S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

```



S8x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

-----  
Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001617     S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S9.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE                    --> SKIP TO S9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE       --> SKIP TO S10

8. DK                        --> SKIP TO S10

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====  
M001618     S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht bettr  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S9a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Much better or somewhat better?

- 
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
  - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

=====  
M001619 S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 
- 1. MUCH WORSE
  - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

=====  
M001620 S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made  
the nation's crime rate better, worse, or  
hasn't it made much difference either way?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?  
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

---

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.  
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====

M001620a S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S9x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made  
the nation's crime rate better, worse, or  
hasn't it made much difference either way?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

-----  
Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

1. Much better  
2. Somewhat better  
3. No difference  
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse  
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001621 S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral  
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same,  
or gotten worse?

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER  
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b  
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11

8. DK --> SKIP TO S11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M001622 S10a.Moral climate much/smwht better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S10a.

IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?  
-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

=====  
M001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S10b.

IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

=====  
M001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92  
Numeric

S10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral  
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same,  
or gotten worse?  
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/  
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992  
-----

Built from S10, S10a, S10b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====

M001624a S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92  
Numeric

S10x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral  
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same,  
or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/  
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

-----

Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001625 S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral  
climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference  
either way?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the retrospective battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=====

M001626 S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 
- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
  - 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
  - 8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

=====

M001627 S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 
- 1. MUCH WORSE
  - 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

=====

M001628 S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

-----

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference

4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

=====

M001628a S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral  
climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference  
either way?  
Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

-----

Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001629 S14a. Clinton makes R angry  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
ANGRY

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001629a S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14ax.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
ANGRY

COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY  
-----

Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001630 S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,  
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?  
-----

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a



M001630a S14a1x. Summ pre & post - how often angry  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14a1x.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often,  
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY

-----  
Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001631 S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
HOPEFUL

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
  
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001631a S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

-----  
Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001632 S14b1. How often Clinton make R hopeful  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 
1. VERY OFTEN
  2. FAIRLY OFTEN
  3. OCCASIONALLY
  4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b

=====

M001632a S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14b1x.

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001633      S14c. Clinton makes R afraid  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

S14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
AFRAID

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO      --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK      --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001633a      S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid  
                 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                 Numeric

S14cx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
AFRAID

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

---

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

---

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

=====

M001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14c1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

---

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
PROUD

---

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001635a S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14dx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is  
or because of something he has done, ever made you  
feel:)  
PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

---

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001636 S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 
1. VERY OFTEN
  2. FAIRLY OFTEN
  3. OCCASIONALLY
  4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

=====

M001636a S14d1x. Summ pre & post - how often proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14d1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very  
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

-----

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001637 S15a. Clinton trait - moral  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not

well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001637a S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15ax.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL

Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001638a S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15bx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])



COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

---

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001639     S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S15c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001639a S15cx. Summ pre & post-Clinton knowledgeable  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15cx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

-----  
Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====

M001640 S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----  
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
Page 754

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001640a S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15dx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP  
-----

Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001641 S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====

M001641a S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15ex.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15f.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001642a S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15fx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

-----  
Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001643      S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE  
-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the  
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects  
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected  
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election  
instrument they were assigned to administration in the  
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was  
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001643a      S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S15gx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?  
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill  
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH

---

Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====  
M001644 T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T1.

Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al  
Gore and George W. Bush?

---

1. YES, WATCHED --> SKIP TO T1a
5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2
8. DK --> SKIP TO T2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

=====  
M001645 T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T1a.

IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

---

1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
5. JUST PART OF IT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1

=====  
M001646 T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the  
campaign on the radio?  
-----

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001647 T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or  
just one or two?  
-----

- 1. A GOOD MANY
- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2

=====  
M001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:  
Looking at page 12 in the booklet:  
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about  
the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite  
a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:  
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about  
Page 760



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite  
a bit, some, very little, or none?  
-----

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001649 T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:  
(Still on page 12 in the booklet)  
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about  
the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is,  
the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great  
deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:  
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about  
the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is,  
the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great  
deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?  
-----

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001650 T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

T5/T5.T.

FTF:  
Looking at page 13 of the booklet:  
Here is a question about political parties.  
Which of the following outcomes regarding political  
parties best represents what you would like to see  
happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome  
you choose.

TELEPHONE:

Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen?

ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans.

TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or

THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

- 
1. ...A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
  2. ...ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS?
  3. ...THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001651     T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

T6.

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

-----

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. SATISFIED
2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;  
      interview administered before question added

=====

M001652     V1. Bush effect on US economy  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

V1.

As you will remember, former President George Bush (George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

-----

- 1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
- 3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO V1b
- 5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO V2
- 7. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.] --> SKIP TO Y5
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001653 V1a. How much better Bush made economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V1a.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

-----

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V2
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V2
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

=====

M001654 V1b. How much worse Bush made economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V1b.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

=====

M001655 V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V1x.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Much better or somewhat better?/

Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY

-----  
Built from V1, V1a, V1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

=====  
M001656      V2. Bush made US more secure  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

- 
1. MORE SECURE
  3. LESS SECURE           --> SKIP TOV2b
  5. NO DIFFERENCE        --> SKIP TO V3
  8. DK                    --> SKIP TO V3
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001657      V2a. Bush made US how much more secure  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 
1. MUCH MORE SECURE       --> SKIP TO V3
  5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE   --> SKIP TO V3
  8. DK                    --> SKIP TO V3
  9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

=====  
M001658 V2b. Bush made US how much less secure  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V2b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

-----

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

=====  
M001659 V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V2x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

-----

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

=====  
M001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V3.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
much difference either way?

---

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V3b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V4
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V3a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

---

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
  
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

=====  
M001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

---

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

=====  
M001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the  
nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make  
much difference either way?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?  
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON U.S. CRIME 1988-1992  
-----

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

=====  
M001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral  
climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference  
either way?  
-----

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V4b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V5
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V4a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?  
-----

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
  
8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

=====  
M001666 V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse  
Page 767

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?  
-----

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

=====  
M001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V4x.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral  
climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference  
either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/  
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992  
-----

Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

=====  
M001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V5a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])



MORAL

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001669      V5b. Bush trait - cares about people  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
              Numeric

V5b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====

M001670 V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V5c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

=====

M001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V5d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====

M001672      V5e. Bush trait - dishonest  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

V5e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
 describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
 quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
 (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
 President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
 too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

-----

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
 Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
 collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
 the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
 variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
 "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
 variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====

M001673      V5f. Bush trait - intelligent  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

V5f.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
 In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
 describe former President George Bush extremely well,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

V5g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.  
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'  
describe former President George Bush extremely well,  
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former  
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not  
too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

---

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]  
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by  
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization  
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=====  
M001675 Y5. Is R currently working  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

- 
- 1. YES --> Y7
  - 5. NO

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001676a Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y6a.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or  
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a  
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1

-----  
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF  
RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;  
COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

- 1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
- 2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
- 3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
- 4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
- 5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
- 6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

=====  
M001676b Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or  
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a  
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 2

-----  
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF  
RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;  
COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

=====  
M001676c Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.  
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or  
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a  
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

-----  
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED  
AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT  
INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
  
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

=====

M001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 
1. VERY SATISFIED
  2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
  3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
  4. VERY DISSATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

=====

M001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 
1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
  5. OR BY YOURSELF

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

=====

M001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.  
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
1. ALL OF THE TIME
  2. MOST OF THE TIME
  3. SOME OF THE TIME
  4. HARDLY EVER
  5. NEVER
  7. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

=====  
M001680 Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try  
to take advantage of others all of the time,  
most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or  
never?

---

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

=====  
M001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at  
work) Would you say that they treat others with respect  
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,  
hardly ever, or never?

---

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER



8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

=====  
M001682 Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8c.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work  
with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?

-----  
1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1  
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1  
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1  
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1

8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

=====  
M001683 Y8d. WRKS:Racial diversity of Rs coworkers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8d.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the  
people who work where you work all White, mostly white,  
about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

-----  
1. ALL WHITE  
2. MOSTLY WHITE  
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF  
4. MOSTLY BLACK  
5. ALL BLACK  
7. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

=====  
M001684 Y10. Laid off:Does R spend days alone/with others  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y10.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

When you are working, do you spend time during the day  
with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 
- 1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
  - 5. OR BY YOURSELF
  - 8. DON'T KNOW
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker  
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

=====

M001685 Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y11.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you  
regularly see at work.  
In general, with these people in mind, would you say  
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the  
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,  
or never?

- 
- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
  - 2. MOST OF THE TIME
  - 3. SOME OF THE TIME
  - 4. HARDLY EVER
  - 5. NEVER
  - 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
  - 8. DON'T KNOW
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker  
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

=====

M001686 Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y11a.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try  
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of  
the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
  - 2. MOST OF THE TIME

3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
  
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker  
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

=====

M001687 Y11b. LOff:Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at  
work) Would you say that they treat others with respect  
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,  
hardly ever, or never?

-----

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
  
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker  
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

=====

M001688 Y11c. LOff: Does honest describe Rs coworkers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work  
with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not  
well at all?

-----

1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
  
8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker  
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

=====

M001689 Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y15.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during  
the day with other people or were you pretty much by  
yourself?

-----  
1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE  
5 OR BY YOURSELF

8 DON'T KNOW  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

=====

M001690 Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16.

IF R IS RETIRED:

For these next few questions please continue thinking  
about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the  
people you saw regularly at work.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say  
that they were just looking out for themselves all of  
the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly  
ever, or never?

-----  
1. ALL OF THE TIME  
2. MOST OF THE TIME  
3. SOME OF THE TIME  
4. HARDLY EVER  
5. NEVER

7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

=====

M001691 Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work  
tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most  
of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
1. ALL OF THE TIME
  2. MOST OF THE TIME
  3. SOME OF THE TIME
  4. HARDLY EVER
  5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;  
7 in Y16

=====

M001692 Y16b. RET: Were Rs coworkers respectful  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16b.

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at  
work) Would you say that they treated others with  
respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the  
time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
1. ALL OF THE TIME
  2. MOST OF THE TIME
  3. SOME OF THE TIME
  4. HARDLY EVER
  5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;  
7 in Y16

=====

M001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you  
worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or  
not well at all?

- 
1. EXTREMELY WELL
  2. QUITE WELL
  3. NOT TOO WELL

4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;  
7 in Y16

=====  
M001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the  
day with other people or are you pretty much by  
yourself?/  
When you are working, do you spend time during the day  
with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/  
Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during  
the day with other people or were you pretty much by  
yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS  
-----

1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE  
5 OR BY YOURSELF

=====  
M001695 Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say  
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the  
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,  
or never?/  
In general, with these people in mind, would you say  
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the  
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,  
or never?/  
In general, with these people in mind, would you say  
that they were just looking out for themselves all of  
the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly  
ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES  
-----

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

=====

M001696 Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try  
to take advantage of others all of the time,  
most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or  
never?/

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try  
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of  
the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work  
tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most  
of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE

-----

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;  
no Post IW

=====

M001697 Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

---

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;  
no Post IW

=====

M001698 Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/

Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

---

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;



no Post IW

=====

M001699      Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area.  
 From time to time, people discuss government, elections  
 and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you  
 about the people with whom you discuss these matters.  
 These people might or might not be relatives.  
 Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO    --> Z25
- 8. DK    -->Z25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001700      Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO    --> Z9
- 8. DK    --> Z9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001701      Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z5.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 3

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO    --> Z9

8. DK --> Z9  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====  
 M001702 Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z7.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 4  
 -----

1. YES  
 5. NO --> Z9  
  
 8. DK --> Z9  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

=====  
 M001703 Z9. Is name 1 relative  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z9.

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or  
 unrelated to you by blood or marriage?  
 -----

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z10  
 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z9a  
 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z9a  
  
 8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====  
 M001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z9a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?  
 -----

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10  
 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10  
  
 8. DK  
 9. RF

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====  
M001705      Z9b. Is name 1 coworker  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z9b.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

=====  
M001706      Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z9c.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

=====  
M001707      Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z9d.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?  
-----

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

=====

M001708 Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z10.

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

-----

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY
- 7. NEVER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001709 Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z11.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1> knows about politics? Would you say:

-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

- 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF - DON'T PROBE
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001710 Z12. How name 1 voted in election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Z12.

I have another question about the first person you have named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?

-----

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE  
 99. RF - DON'T PROBE  
 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====  
 M001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z13.

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or  
 unrelated to you by blood or marriage?  
 -----

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A  
 SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z14
- 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z13a
- 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z13a

8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====  
 M001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z13a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?  
 -----

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
- 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14

8. DK  
 9. RF  
 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====  
 M001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker  
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
 Numeric

Z13b.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?  
 -----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

=====

M001714      Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z13c.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?

-----

1. YES

5. NO

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

=====

M001715      Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z13d.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?

-----

1. YES

5. NO

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

=====

M001716      Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political  
matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

-----

1. OFTEN

3. SOMETIMES

5. RARELY

7. NEVER

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====

M001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z15.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2>  
knows about politics? Would you say:

-----

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====

M001718 Z16. How name 2 voted in election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Z16.

Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,  
some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't  
vote?)

-----

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

=====

M001719 Z17. Is name 3 relative  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z17.

Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or  
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

-----

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A  
SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z18
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z17a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z17a
8. DK
9. RF

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

=====  
M001720      Z17a. Is name 3 male or female  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z17a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?  
-----

- 1. MALE            --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
- 5. FEMALE        --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

=====  
M001721      Z17b. Is name 3 coworker  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z17b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

=====  
M001722      Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z17c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

=====  
M001723      Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?  
-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

=====  
M001724 Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z18.

When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political  
matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?  
-----

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY, OR
- 7. NEVER
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

=====  
M001725 Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z19.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3>  
knows about politics? Would you say:  
-----

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
  
- 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

=====  
M001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z20.

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,  
some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't  
vote?)

- 
- 1. AL GORE
  - 3. GEORGE W BUSH
  - 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
  - 7. DIDN'T VOTE
  - 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

- 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====  
M001727      Z21. Is name 4 relative  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or  
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 
- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A  
SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)      --> SKIP TO Z22
  - 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR      --> SKIP TO Z21a
  - 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE      --> SKIP TO Z21a

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

=====  
M001728      Z21a. Is name 4 male or female  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?

- 
- 1. MALE      --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
  - 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

=====  
M001729      Z21b. Is name 4 coworker  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z21b.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

=====

M001730      Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z21c.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

=====

M001731      Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

- 
- 1. YES
  - 5. NO

=====

M001732      Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political  
 matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 
- 1. OFTEN
  - 3. SOMETIMES
  - 5. RARELY, OR
  - 7. NEVER
  
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

=====

M001733      Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z23.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4>  
 knows about politics? Would you say:

---

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
  
- 8. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF - DON'T PROBE
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

=====

M001734      Z24. How name 4 voted in election  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
                  Numeric

Z24.

Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush,  
 some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't  
 vote?)

---

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
  
- 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF - DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001735      Z25. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
                  Numeric

Z25.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood. During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

-----

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001736      Z26. How satisfied is R with neighborhood  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z26.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

-----

- 1. VERY SATISFIED
- 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4. VERY DISSATISFIED
  
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001737      Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 98  
             Numeric

Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

-----

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
  
- 98. DK - DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF - DON'T PROBE

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001738 Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Z27a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
1. ALL OF THE TIME
  2. MOST OF THE TIME
  3. SOME OF THE TIME
  4. HARDLY EVER
  5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE  
99. RF - DON'T PROBE  
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

Z27b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 
1. ALL OF THE TIME
  3. MOST OF THE TIME
  5. SOME OF THE TIME
  7. HARDLY EVER
  8. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE  
99. RF - DON'T PROBE  
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

=====

M001740 Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001741      Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z27d.

Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are  
the people who live where you live all White,  
mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all  
Black?

- 
- 1. ALL WHITE
  - 2. MOSTLY WHITE
  - 3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
  - 4. MOSTLY BLACK
  - 5. ALL BLACK
  - 7. R VOLUNEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
  - 8. DON'T KNOW
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001742      Z28. How satisfying is Rs life  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
             Numeric

Z28.

In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're  
spending your life these days? Would you call it  
completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very  
satisfying?

- 
- 1. COMPLETELY SATISFYING
  - 3. PRETTY SATISFYING
  - 5. NOT VERY SATISFYING
  - 8. DK
  - 9. RF
  - 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001743a      ZZ1(1). Others present for interview  
             MD:   EQ 0

Numeric

ZZ1(1).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 
- 0. NONE
  - 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
  - 2. OLDER CHILDREN
  - 3. SPOUSE
  - 4. OTHER RELATIVES
  - 5. OTHER ADULTS
  - 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
  - 9. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001743b    ZZ1(2). Others present for interview  
              MD:   EQ 0  
              Numeric

ZZ1(2).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

- 
- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
  - 2. OLDER CHILDREN
  - 3. SPOUSE
  - 4. OTHER RELATIVES
  - 5. OTHER ADULTS
  - 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
  - 9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

=====

M001743c    ZZ1(3). Others present for interview  
              MD:   EQ 0  
              Numeric

ZZ1(3).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

- 
- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
  - 2. OLDER CHILDREN
  - 3. SPOUSE
  - 4. OTHER RELATIVES
  - 5. OTHER ADULTS
  - 7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL



9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

=====  
M001744      ZZ2. Rs cooperation  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ2.

R's cooperation was:

- 
1. VERY GOOD
  2. GOOD
  3. FAIR
  4. POOR
  5. VERY POOR

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001745      ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ3.

R's general level of information about politics and  
public affairs seemed:

- 
1. VERY HIGH
  2. FAIRLY HIGH
  3. AVERAGE
  4. FAIRLY LOW
  5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001746      ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

ZZ4.

R's apparent intelligence:

- 
1. VERY HIGH
  2. FAIRLY HIGH
  3. AVERAGE
  4. FAIRLY LOW
  5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001747      ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem

MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ5.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before  
the interview?:

- 
- 1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
  - 3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
  - 5. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001748      ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW

MD: EQ 0

Numeric

ZZ6.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

- 
- 1. VERY HIGH
  - 2. FAIRLY HIGH
  - 3. AVERAGE
  - 4. FAIRLY LOW
  - 5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001749      ZZ7. How sincere did R seem

MD: EQ 0

Numeric

ZZ7.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

- 
- 1. COMPLETE SINCERE                      --> ZZ11
  - 3. USUALLY SINCERE                      --> ZZ7a
  - 5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE      --> ZZ7a

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001750      ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity

Character

ZZ7a.

IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for  
which you doubted R's sincerity?

(BLANKED)

-----  
[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]  
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality  
of the Respondent.

=====  
M001751a    ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW  
            MD:   EQ 0  
            Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1  
-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R  
MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD  
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS  
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF  
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,  
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS  
COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
  
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001751b    ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW  
            MD:   EQ 0  
            Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2  
-----

- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG

- 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001751c    ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW  
              MD: EQ 0  
              Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

- 
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
  - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001751d ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

- 
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  - 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  - 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  - 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  - 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  - 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
  - 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  - 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001751e ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

- 
- 10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  - 11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  - 12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  - 13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  - 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  - 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  - 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

- 
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001751g ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

- 
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
  11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
  12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
  13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
  15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
  20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
  30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
  31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
  40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
  41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
  45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
  50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
  70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
  80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====  
M001752      Post-Rand.C9(2).  
             MD: EQ 0  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.C9(2).

Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

=====

M001753 Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1b-D1d.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers  
D1b-D1d

-----  
These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Nader 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Nader 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

=====

M001754 Post-Rand.D2g.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1g.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers  
D1g-D1n

-----  
D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA; no Democratic candidate

=====

M001755 Post-Rand.D2h.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers  
D1g-D1n

-----  
D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA; no Republican candidate

=====

M001756 Post-Rand.D2j.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1j.

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post  
Thermometers D1g-D1n

-----  
D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA; no retiring House representative

=====  
M001757 Post-Rand.D2k.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1k.

Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post  
Thermometers D1g-D1n  
-----

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

=====  
M001758 Post-Rand.D2m.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1m.

Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post  
Thermometers D1g-D1n  
-----

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

=====

M001759      Post-Rand.D2n.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D1n.

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post  
Thermometers D1g-D1n

-----  
This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05.  
D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; INAP, no independent incumbent House candidate

=====

M001760      Post-Rand.D3a.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2a.

Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001761 Post-Rand.D3b.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2b.

Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001762 Post-Rand.D3c.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2c.

Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001763      Post-Rand.D3d.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2d.

Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers  
D2a-D2z

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001764      Post-Rand.D3e.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2e.

Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001765      Post-Rand.D3f.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2f.

Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001766      Post-Rand.D3g.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2g.

Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001767 Post-Rand.D3h.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2h.

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001768 Post-Rand.D3j.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2j.

Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001769 Post-Rand.D3k.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2k.

Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001770 Post-Rand.D3m.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2m.

Order of Poor People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview



99. NA

=====

M001771 Post-Rand.D3n.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2n.

Order of People on Welfare in Post Group Thermometers  
D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001772 Post-Rand.D3p.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2p.

Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001773      Post-Rand.D3q.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.D2q.

Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers  
 D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
 99. NA

=====

M001774      Post-Rand.D3r.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.D2r.

Order of Women's Movement in Post Group Thermometers  
 D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
 99. NA

=====

M001775 Post-Rand.D3s.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2s.

Order of Older People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001776 Post-Rand.D3t.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2t.

Order of Environmentalists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001777 Post-Rand.D3u.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2u.

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001778      Post-Rand.D3v.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2v.

Order of Christian Coalition in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====  
M001779      Post-Rand.D3w.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2w.

Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001780      Post-Rand.D3x.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2x.

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001781      Post-Rand.D3xx.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.D2xx.

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

=====

M001782      Post-Rand.D3y.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
 99. NA

=====

M001783      Post-Rand.D3z.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 99  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers  
 D2a-D2z

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

=====

M001784 Post-Rand.E1/E3.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.E1/E3.

Post: Order of Democratic/Republican House candidates in  
'Likes-Dislikes' E1-E2/E3-E4

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview  
9. NA

=====

M001785 Post-Rand.G3-G5.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.G3-G5.

Post: Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Liberal-  
Conservative placements G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T

-----  
These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument  
Page 823

program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====

M001786 Post-Rand.G6-G7.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.G6-G7.

Post: Order of Democratic and Republican House  
candidates in Liberal-Conservative Placements  
G6-G7/G6.T-G7.T

-----  
These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore,  
GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
- ^

=====

M001787 Post-Rand.G8-G10.



MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.G8-G10.

Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform  
parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements  
G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

---

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore,  
GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the  
Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument  
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews  
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,  
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the  
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)  
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.  
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error  
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization  
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).  
Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such  
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented  
here.

1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
5. Reform Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====  
M001788 Post-Rand.G11b/c.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.G11b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates  
in Services-Spending placements G11b-G11c/G11b.T-G11c.T

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument  
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews  
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,  
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the  
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)  
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.  
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error  
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization  
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).  
Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such  
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented

here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====

M001789      Post-Rand.G12b/c.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.G12b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates in  
Abortion placements  
G12b-G12c/G12b.T-G12c.T

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====

M001790      Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.

Post: Order of racial groups Hardworking  
R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T

-----

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====

M001791      Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.

Post: Order of racial groups Intelligent  
R3b-R3d/R3b.T-R3d.T

-----  
These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====

M001792      Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy  
R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T  
-----

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

=====  
M001793 Post-Rand.S14a.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14a.

Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====  
M001794 Post-Rand.S14b.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14b.

Post: Order of Hopeful Clinton affects S14a-S14d

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001795 Post-Rand.S14c.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14c.

Post: Order of Afraid Clinton affects S14a-S14d

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001796 Post-Rand.S14d.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14d.

Post: Order of Proud Clinton affects S14a-S14d

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001797      Post-Rand.S15a.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.S15a.

Post: Order of Moral in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001798      Post-Rand.S15b.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.S15b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001799      Post-Rand.S15c.  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
                  Numeric

Post-Rand.S15c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001800      Post-Rand.S15d.  
                  MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
                  Numeric

Post-Rand.S15d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument

Page 831

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001801      Post-Rand.S15e.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.S15e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001802      Post-Rand.S15f.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.S15f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001803      Post-Rand.S15g.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.S15g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

=====

M001804      Post-Rand.V5a.  
             MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
             Numeric

Post-Rand.V5a.

Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview  
9. NA

=====

M001805      Post-Rand.V5b.  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.V5b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview  
9. NA

=====

M001806      Post-Rand.V5c.  
              MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.V5c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

-----  
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview  
9. NA

=====  
M001807 Post-Rand.V5d.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview  
9. NA

=====  
M001808 Post-Rand.V5e.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.  
-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

=====

M001809      Post-Rand.V5f.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.V5f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

=====

M001810      Post-Rand.V5g.  
              MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 9  
              Numeric

Post-Rand.V5g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

-----

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview

9. NA

=====

□=====

M021001           STUDY.1. 2002 'Panel' or Fresh Cross Resp  
                  Numeric

STUDY.1

'Panel'/Fresh Cross

-----

1. 'Panel' case
2. Fresh cross-section case

=====

M021002           STUDY.2. 2002 Pre Only or Pre and Post  
                  Numeric

STUDY.2

Pre-only or Pre-and-Post

-----

1. Pre interview only
2. Pre interview and Post interview

=====

M021100           HHLISTING.0. FRESH CROSS Selection Table  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.0

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household Selection Table

-----

The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older). A Selection Table was randomly assigned to all households in the Fresh Cross sample component.

After a complete listing of the HH was taken, each eligible adult was assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then used the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed. There were 8 different selection tables.

| #PERSONS | PERSON SELECTED (person "Number") |    |    |   |   |    |    |   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----|----|---|---|----|----|---|
|          | A                                 | B1 | B2 | C | D | E1 | E2 | F |
| 1        | 1                                 | 1  | 1  | 1 | 1 | 1  | 1  | 1 |
| 2        | 1                                 | 1  | 1  | 1 | 2 | 2  | 2  | 2 |

|           | anes2000to2004merged_var.txt |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3         | 1                            | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4         | 1                            | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 5         | 1                            | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 or more | 1                            | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 |

1. Selection Table A1
2. Selection Table B1
3. Selection Table B2
4. Selection Table C
5. Selection Table D
6. Selection Table E1
7. Selection Table E2
8. Selection Table F

0. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

```
=====
M021101a HHLISTING.1a. 1st HH List Gend-FRESH CR
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

HHLISTING.1a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member gender

-----

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing of all adult household members. The household listing was provided by a household member ('informant'). The first name in the household listing corresponds to the informant.

1. Male
2. Female

0. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

```
=====
M021101b HHLISTING.1b. 1st HH List Age-FRESH CR
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

HHLISTING.1b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member age

-----

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).

Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

```
=====
M021101c HHLISTING.1c. 1st HH List Citiz-FRESH CR
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

HHLISTING.1c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member citizen

---

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).

- 1. Yes, a citizen
- 5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

```
=====
M021101d HHLISTING.1d. 1st HH List Pers#-FRESH CR
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

HHLISTING.1d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member Person Number

---

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).  
This represents the 'Person number' (if any) assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

- 1. HH member is eligible person 1
- 2. HH member is eligible person 2
- 3. HH member is eligible person 3
- 4. HH member is eligible person 4
- 5. HH member is eligible person 5
- 6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Not an eligible household member; 'Panel' case

=====  
M021102a            HHLISTING.2a. 2nd HH List Gend-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member gender  
-----

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided by a household member or informed contact, of all adult household members.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

0. NA

INAP.  
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====  
M021102b            HHLISTING.2b. 2nd HH List Age-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member age  
-----

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing.  
Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.  
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====  
M021102c            HHLISTING.2c. 2nd HH List Citiz-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing -2nd HH member citizen  
-----



This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing.

1. Yes, a citizen
5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021102d            HHLISTING.2d. 2nd HH List Pers#-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member Person Number

-----

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing.

This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.

Not an eligible household member; No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021102e            HHLISTING.2e. 2nd HH List Relat-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member Relationship to Informant

-----

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing. The description was provided by informant.

- 01. Wife
- 02. Husband
- 03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
- 04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
- 11. Daughter/stepdaughter
- 12. Son/stepson
- 14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
- 15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
- 16. Foster son
- 21. Mother
- 22. Father
- 31. Grandmother
- 32. Grandfather
- 41. Sister
- 42. Brother
- 51. Niece
- 52. Nephew
- 61. Aunt
- 62. Uncle
- 70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021103a            HHLISTING.3a. 3rd HH List Gend-FRESH CR  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member gender

-----

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided by a household member or informed contact, of all adult household members.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

0. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021103b            HHLISTING.3b. 3rd HH List Age-FRESH CR  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member age

---

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing.  
Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021103c            HHLISTING.3c. 3rd HH List Citiz-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing -3rd HH member citizen

---

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing.

- 1. Yes, a citizen
- 5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021103d            HHLISTING.3d. 3rd HH List Pers#-FRESH CR  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member Person Number

---

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing.  
This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

- 1. HH member is eligible person 1
- 2. HH member is eligible person 2
- 3. HH member is eligible person 3

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
4. HH member is eligible person 4  
5. HH member is eligible person 5  
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.

Not an eligible household member; No further members of  
Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021103e           HHLISTING.3e. 3rd HH List Relat-FRESH CR  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member Relationship to Informant

-----

This describes the third household member mentioned in the  
household listing. The description was provided by informant.

- 01. Wife
- 02. Husband
- 03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
- 04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
- 11. Daughter/stepdaughter
- 12. Son/stepson
- 14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
- 15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
- 16. Foster son
- 21. Mother
- 22. Father
- 31. Grandmother
- 32. Grandfather
- 41. Sister
- 42. Brother
- 51. Niece
- 52. Nephew
- 61. Aunt
- 62. Uncle
- 70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021104a           HHLISTING.4a. 4th HH List Gend-FRESH CR  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member gender

---

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided by a household member or informed contact, of all adult household members.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

0. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

---

M021104b            HHLISTING.4b. 4th HH List Age-FRESH CR  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member age

---

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.  
Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

---

M021104c            HHLISTING.4c. 4th HH List Citiz-FRESH CR  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing -4th HH member citizen

---

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.

- 1. Yes, a citizen
- 5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021104d | HHLISTING.4d. 4th HH List Pers#-FRESH CR |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0                             |

HHLISTING.4d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member Person Number

-----

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.  
This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.  
Not an eligible household member; no further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021104e | HHLISTING.4e. 4th HH List Relat-FRESH CR |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0                             |

HHLISTING.4e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member Relationship to Informant

-----

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing. The description was provided by informant.

01. Wife
02. Husband
03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
11. Daughter/stepdaughter
12. Son/stepson
14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
16. Foster son
21. Mother

22. Father  
 31. Grandmother  
 32. Grandfather  
 41. Sister  
 42. Brother  
 51. Niece  
 52. Nephew  
 61. Aunt  
 62. Uncle  
 70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021105            HHLISTING.5. Number Adults -FRESH CROSS  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.5

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Number of adults in Household

-----

1. One adult in Household  
 2. Two adults in Household  
 3. Three adults in Household  
 4. Four adults in Household

0. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

=====

M021106            HHLISTING.6. Num Elig Adults-FRESH CROSS  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.6

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Number of eligible adults in Household

-----

1. One eligible adult in Household  
 2. Two eligible adults in Household  
 3. Three eligible adults in Household  
 4. Four eligible adults in Household

0. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

=====

M021107            HHLISTING.7. Number children-FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Number of children in Household

-----

00. No children in Household  
01. One child in Household  
02. Two children in Household  
03. Three children in Household  
04. Four children in Household  
05. Five children in Household  
06. Six children in Household

99. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

=====

M021107a           HHLISTING.7. Age 1st child -FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 1st child

-----

Age 1-17 and:  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; 'Panel' case

=====

M021107b           HHLISTING.7b. Age 2nd child -FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 2nd child

-----

Age 1-17 and:



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; no additional children in household;  
'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021107c | HHLISTING.7c. Age 3rd child -FRESH CROSS |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 99                            |

HHLISTING.7c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 3rd child

-----

Age 1-17 and:  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; no additional children in household;  
'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021107d | HHLISTING.7d. Age 4th child -FRESH CROSS |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 99                            |

HHLISTING.7d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 4th child

-----

Age 1-17 and:  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; no additional children in household;  
'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021107e | HHLISTING.7e. Age 5th child -FRESH CROSS |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 99                            |

HHLISTING.7e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 5th child

---

Age 1-17 and:  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; no additional children in household;  
'Panel' case

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M021107f | HHLISTING.7f. Age 6th child -FRESH CROSS |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 99                            |

HHLISTING.7f

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 6th child

---

Age 1-17 and:  
00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.  
No children in household; no additional children in household;  
'Panel' case

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M021108 | HHLISTING.8. R Person No. -FRESH CROSS |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0                           |

HHLISTING.8

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

R Person Number

---

This is the person number assigned to R in the Household Listing  
for administration of the Selection Table.

1. R is person numbered 1
2. R is person numbered 2
3. R is person numbered 3
4. R is person numbered 4

0. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

=====

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M021109 HHLISTING.9. HH Composition -FRESH CROSS  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.9

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household composition

---

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

- 10. 1 adult male HHR
- 11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 20. 1 adult female HHR
- 21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
- 40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
- 50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
- 51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
- 52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
- 60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
- 65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and non relatives
- 70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
- 85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
- 90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives

00. NA

INAP.  
'Panel' case

=====  
M021201 Sample.1. FIPS State Code  
Numeric  
Missing eq 99

Sample.1

FIPS State Code

---

This represents the state identified for the Post instrument preload.

- |                   |              |
|-------------------|--------------|
| 01. Alabama       | 02. Alaska   |
| 04. Arizona       | 05. Arkansas |
| 06. California    | 08. Colorado |
| 09. Connecticut   | 10. Delaware |
| 11. Washington DC | 12. Florida  |

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

|                    |                    |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| 13. Georgia        | 15. Hawaii         |
| 16. Idaho          | 17. Illinois       |
| 18. Indiana        | 19. Iowa           |
| 20. Kansas         | 21. Kentucky       |
| 22. Louisiana      | 23. Maine          |
| 24. Maryland       | 25. Massachusetts  |
| 26. Michigan       | 27. Minnesota      |
| 28. Mississippi    | 29. Missouri       |
| 30. Montana        | 31. Nebraska       |
| 32. Nevada         | 33. New Hampshire  |
| 34. New Jersey     | 35. New Mexico     |
| 36. New York       | 37. North Carolina |
| 38. North Dakota   | 39. Ohio           |
| 40. Oklahoma       | 41. Oregon         |
| 42. Pennsylvania   | 44. Rhode Island   |
| 45. South Carolina | 46. South Dakota   |
| 47. Tennessee      | 48. Texas          |
| 49. Utah           | 50. Vermont        |
| 51. Virginia       | 53. Washington     |
| 54. West Virginia  | 55. Wisconsin      |
| 56. Wyoming        |                    |

99. NA

=====

M021201a          Sample.1a. ICPSR State Code  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 99

Sample.1a

ICPSR State Code

-----

This represents the state identified for the Post instrument  
preload.

|                    |                    |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| 01. Connecticut    | 02. Maine          |
| 03. Massachusetts  | 04. New Hampshire  |
| 05. Rhode Island   | 06. Vermont        |
| 11. Delaware       | 12. New Jersey     |
| 13. New York       | 14. Pennsylvania   |
| 21. Illinois       | 22. Indiana        |
| 23. Michigan       | 24. Ohio           |
| 25. Wisconsin      | 31. Iowa           |
| 32. Kansas         | 33. Minnesota      |
| 34. Missouri       | 35. Nebraska       |
| 36. North Dakota   | 37. South Dakota   |
| 40. Virginia       | 41. Alabama        |
| 42. Arkansas       | 43. Florida        |
| 44. Georgia        | 45. Louisiana      |
| 46. Mississippi    | 47. North Carolina |
| 48. South Carolina | 49. Texas          |
| 51. Kentucky       | 52. Maryland       |
| 53. Oklahoma       | 54. Tennessee      |
| 55. Washington DC  | 56. West Virginia  |
| 61. Arizona        | 62. Colorado       |
| 63. Idaho          | 64. Montana        |
| 65. Nevada         | 66. New Mexico     |

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
67. Utah                      68. Wyoming  
71. California                72. Oregon  
73. Washington

99. NA

=====  
M021201b            Sample.1b. State Abbreviation  
                    Character

Sample.1b

State abbreviation (alpha)  
-----

This represents the state identified for the Post instrument  
preload.

=====  
M021202            Sample.2. Congressional District Number  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 99

Sample.2

Congressional District Number  
-----

This represents the congressional district identified for the Post  
instrument preload.

Codes 1-52 and:  
77. Washington DC

99. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M021202a           Sample.2a. FIPS State and District  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 9999

Sample.2a

FIPS State code and Congressional District Number  
-----

This represents the state and district identified for the Post  
instrument preload.

The first 2 digits are FIPS state code; last 2 digits are  
Congressional district number. 1100=Washington DC

9999. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M021202b Sample.2b. ICPSR State and District  
Numeric  
Missing eq 9999

Sample.2b

ICPSR State code and Congressional District Number  
-----

This represents the state and district identified for the Post  
instrument preload.

The first 2 digits are ICPSR state code; last 2 digits are  
Congressional district number. 5500=Washington DC

9999. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M021202c Sample.2c. State Abbrev and District  
Character

Sample.2c

State Abbreviation and Congressional District Number (alpha)  
-----

This represents the state and district identified for the Post  
instrument preload.

"DC00"=Washington DC. "9999" corresponds to 1 in Summary.1

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M021203 Census region  
Numeric

Sample.3

Census region  
-----

Built from Sample.2.

1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND,  
OH, SD, WI)
3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC,  
OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA,  
WY)

=====  
M021204 2000 Census Urban/Rural Classification  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0

Sample.4

2000 Census Urban/Rural Classification

---

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.

- 1. Urban
- 2. Rural
- 0. NA

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M021205 | 2000 Census FIPS County code |
|         | Numeric                      |
|         | Missing eq 0                 |

Sample.5

2000 Census FIPS County code (state and county)

---

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.  
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

00000. NA

=====

|         |                        |
|---------|------------------------|
| M021206 | 2000 Census Place code |
|         | Numeric                |
|         | Missing eq 0           |

Sample.6

2000 Census Place code

---

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.  
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

00000. NA

INAP.  
No defined Census Place

=====

|         |                      |
|---------|----------------------|
| M021207 | 2000 Census MSA code |
|         | Numeric              |
|         | Missing eq 0         |

Sample.7

2000 Census MSA code

---

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.  
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

0000. NA

INAP.  
Location not in MSA

=====

|         |                   |
|---------|-------------------|
| M021208 | 2000 Census Tract |
|         | Numeric           |
|         | Missing eq 0      |

Sample.8

2000 Census Tract

---

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.  
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

0000.00 NA

=====

|         |                                   |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| M022000 | PreAdmin.0. Form of Pre interview |
|         | Numeric                           |
|         | Missing eq 0                      |

PreAdmin.25

Form of 2002 Pre Interview

---

Aside from various randomly assigned wording and placement/order options, the following content options were randomly assigned to 1/2 samples in the Pre:

- 1- either K1 series or K2 series of Federal budget spending items (increase/decrease)
- 2- L2 general income inequality as open end (L2a/L2b) or as closed series (L2c/L2d) [each has wording variants]
- 3- Income inequality questions for either race (P1 series) or gender (P2 series) [each series includes wording variants]

- |     |                        |            |
|-----|------------------------|------------|
| 01. | K1 spending - L2OPEN   | - P1RACE   |
| 02. | K1 spending - L2OPEN   | - P2GENDER |
| 03. | K1 spending - L2CLOSED | - P1RACE   |
| 04. | K1 spending - L2CLOSED | - P2GENDER |
| 06. | K2 spending - L2OPEN   | - P1RACE   |
| 06. | K2 spending - L2OPEN   | - P2GENDER |
| 07. | K2 spending - L2CLOSED | - P1RACE   |
| 08. | K2 spending - L2CLOSED | - P2GENDER |

00. NA

=====

|         |                     |
|---------|---------------------|
| M022001 | PreAdmin.1. Release |
|         | Numeric             |



PreAdmin.1

Pre Release number

- 1. 1st third
- 2. 2nd third
- 3. remainder

=====

|         |                  |
|---------|------------------|
| M022002 | PreAdmin.2. Mode |
|         | Numeric          |

PreAdmin.2

Pre Mode

- 1. Telephone

=====

|         |                             |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| M022003 | PreAdmin.3. Number of calls |
|         | Numeric                     |
|         | Missing eq 99               |

PreAdmin.3

Pre number of calls

1-25

99. NA

=====

|         |                    |
|---------|--------------------|
| M022004 | PreAdmin.4. Result |
|         | Numeric            |

PreAdmin.4

Pre Result

There were no partials in the 2002 Pre.

- 1. Completed interview

=====

|         |                      |
|---------|----------------------|
| M022005 | PreAdmin.5. Language |
|         | Numeric              |

PreAdmin.5

Pre language

- 1. English

M022006            PreAdmin.6. Verification  
Numeric

PreAdmin.6

Pre interview verification

---

There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing  
facility.

5. No verification

=====

M022007            PreAdmin.7. Evaluation  
Numeric

PreAdmin.7

Pre interview evaluation

---

There was no evaluation of interviews at the interviewing  
facility.

5. No evaluation

=====

M022008            PreAdmin.8. Tape recorded  
Numeric

PreAdmin.8

Pre interview tape-recorded

---

1. Tape recorded  
5. Not tape recorded

=====

M022009            PreAdmin.9. Interviewed in parts  
Numeric

PreAdmin.9

Pre interview in parts

---

1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)  
5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)

=====

M022010            PreAdmin.10. Number of parts  
Numeric  
Missing eq 9

PreAdmin.10

IF PRE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN PARTS:

Pre number of parts

---

2-5

9. NA

INAP.

Not interviewed in parts (5 in PreAdmin.9)

---

M022011            PreAdmin.11. Number of interviewers  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 9

PreAdmin.11

Pre number of interviewers

---

This is the number of interviewers used on the case (1-5).

9. NA

---

M022012a            PreAdmin.12a. Beginning month  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.12a

Pre interview - beginning month

---

09. September

10. October

11. November

---

M022012b            PreAdmin.12b. Beginning day  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.12b

Pre interview - beginning day

---

1-31

---

M022012c            PreAdmin.12c. Beginning month and day  
                  Character

PreAdmin.12c

Pre interview - beginning month and day (MMDD)

---

This is a character variable

=====

M022012d      PreAdmin.12d. Days before election  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

PreAdmin.12d

Pre Interview - Number of days before election

-----

Based on beginning date of interview.  
 The 2002 national elections were held November 5.

00. NA

=====

M022013a      PreAdmin.13a. Ending month  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.13a

Pre interview - ending month

-----

09. September  
 10. October  
 11. November

=====

M022013b      PreAdmin.13b. Ending day  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.13b

Pre interview - ending day

-----

1-31

=====

M022013c      PreAdmin.12c. Ending month and day  
                  Character

PreAdmin.13c

Pre interview - ending month and day (MMDD)

-----

This is a character variable

=====

M022014      PreAdmin.14. Pre Address given  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.14

Pre payment address given?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

=====

M022015            PreAdmin.15. Payment mode  
                    Numeric

PreAdmin.15

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Pre payment mode

-----

1. Check

INAP.

5 in PreAdmin.14

=====

M022016            PreAdmin.16. Payment amount  
                    Numeric

PreAdmin.16

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Pre payment amount

-----

20. Twenty dollars

40. Forty dollars

50. Fifty dollars

INAP.

5 in PreAdmin.14

=====

M022017a           PreAdmin.17a. Month payment mailed  
                    Numeric

PreAdmin.17a

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Pre payment mailed - month

-----

09. September

10. October

11. November

INAP.

5 in PreAdmin.14

=====

M022017b           PreAdmin.17b. Day payment mailed  
                    Numeric

PreAdmin.17b

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Pre payment mailed - day

1-31

INAP.

5 in PreAdmin.14

```
=====
M022018 PreAdmin.18. Pre Interview Lengt
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

PreAdmin.18

Pre Interview Length

This is length in minutes.

0. NA

```
=====
M022019 PreAdmin.19. Pre Interviewer IW Number
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

PreAdmin.19

Pre Interviewer's Interview Number

This represents the Nth interview of the interviewer.

0. NA

```
=====
M022020 PreAdmin.20. Pre Persuasion letter sent
 Numeric
```

PreAdmin.20

Pre Persuasion letter sent

0. No letter sent

1. Pre persuasion letter sent

```
=====
M022021 PreAdmin.21. Date of Pre Persuasion Lett
 Character
```

PreAdmin.21

Date of Pre Persuasion Letter

This is a character variable MMDD.

INAP.  
No Pre persuasion letter

=====  
M022022            PreAdmin.22. Type Pre Persuasion Letter  
                  Numeric

PreAdmin.22

Type of Pre Persuasion letter sent  
-----

For types 21, 22 and 24, increased compensation (\$40) was  
offered in the persuasion letter.

- 11. 'Panel' Busy
- 12. 'Panel' Health
- 13. 'Panel' No Contact
- 14. 'Panel' Reluctant
- 21. Fresh Cross Busy
- 22. Fresh Cross Health
- 23. Fresh Cross No Contact
- 24. Fresh Cross Reluctant
- 30. Special

INAP.  
No Pre persuasion letter

=====  
M022023            PreAdmin.23. Second Persuasion Letter  
                  Character

PreAdmin.23

2nd Persuasion Letter sent  
-----

At a late stage of the Pre production period (on October 28,  
2002), a special letter offering final maximum compensation  
(\$50) was sent to 872 'panel' cases which were not yet  
completions.  
This letter was in addition to the persuasion letter described  
in PreAdmin.22.  
(Note: Fresh cross cases were also offered \$50 late in the Pre  
production period, but these offers were made by telephone).

- 0. 2nd letter not sent
- 1. 2nd persuasion letter sent October 28, 2002

INAP.  
Fresh Cross case

=====  
M022024            IWR.1. Pre IWR of Record  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

PreIWR.1

PRE Interviewer of Record

---

Interviewer ID number is coded and:

000. NA

=====

|         |                       |
|---------|-----------------------|
| M022025 | IWR.2. Pre IWR Gender |
|         | Numeric               |
|         | Missing eq 0          |

PreIWR.2

PRE Interviewer Gender

---

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

0. NA

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M022026 | IWR.3. Pre IWR Education Level |
|         | Numeric                        |
|         | Missing eq 0                   |

PreIWR.3

PRE Interviewer Education Level (highest education)

---

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree
- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D

0. NA

=====

|         |                     |
|---------|---------------------|
| M022027 | IWR.4. Pre IWR Race |
|         | Numeric             |
|         | Missing eq 0        |

PreIWR.4

PRE Interviewer Race

---



This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
5. Other

0. NA

=====

|          |                           |
|----------|---------------------------|
| M022027a | IWR.4a. Pre IWR Ethnicity |
|          | Numeric                   |
|          | Missing eq 9              |

PreIWR.4a

PRE Interviewer Ethnicity

-----

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

0. No ethnicity mentioned
1. Hispanic
7. Other

9. NA

=====

|         |                          |
|---------|--------------------------|
| M022028 | IWR.5. Pre IWR languages |
|         | Numeric                  |
|         | Missing eq 9             |

PreIWR.5

PRE Interviewer Languages

-----

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

0. No languages spoken
1. Spanish
7. Other

9. NA

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M022029 | IWR.6. Pre IWR experience |
|         | Numeric                   |
|         | Missing eq 99             |

PreIWR.6

PRE Interviewer Experience

---

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

- 00. None
- 01. 1 Year or less
- 02. 13-23 Months
- 03. 2 years
- 04. 4 years
- 05. 5 years
- 06. 6 years
- 07. 7 years
- 08. 8 years
- 09. 9 years
- 99. NA

=====

|         |                    |
|---------|--------------------|
| M022030 | IWR.7. Pre IWR Age |
|         | Numeric            |
|         | Missing eq 0       |

PreIWR.7

PRE Interviewer Age (age groups)

---

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

- 1. 18-34 years
- 2. 35-40 years
- 3. 41-45 years
- 4. 46-50 years
- 5. 51-55 years
- 6. 56-60 years
- 7. 61-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years
- 0. NA

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M022401 | PreRand.C1. RANDOMIZATION C1 PRE THERM |
|         | Numeric                                |

PreRand.C1

RANDOMIZATION C1 PRE THERMOMETER SERIES

---

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre interview thermometer questions (C1 series). Two orderings were possible.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
1. Order C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
2. Order C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

=====  
M022402           PreRand.H5J5. RANDOMIZATION PRE H5/J5  
                  Numeric

PreRand.H5J5

RANDOMIZATION PRE H5/J5  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either H4 or J5 in the  
Pre (alternate placements for President Bush performance on the  
economy).

1. H5 administered in the Pre interview
2. J5 administered in the Pre interview

=====  
M022403           PreRand.H7. ORDER OF TEXT - PRE H7  
                  Numeric

PreRand.H7

ORDER OF TEXT - PRE H7  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre  
question H7 (party that would perform better on the economy)  
with either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first.

1. Democrats first, Republicans second
2. Republicans first, Democrats second

=====  
M022404           PreRand.J4R1. RANDOMIZATION PRE J4/R1  
                  Numeric

PreRand.J4R1

RANDOMIZATION PRE J4/R1  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either  
J4a and J4d or else R1a and R1d in the Pre (alternate  
placements for patriotism items).

1. J4 administered in the Pre interview
2. R1 administered in the Pre interview

=====  
M022405           PreRand.K1K2. RANDOMIZATION PRE K1/K2  
                  Numeric

PreRand.K1K2

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1/K2  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the K1 Federal spending items or the K2 Federal spending items. (If R was administered K1 in the Pre, then questions corresponding to K2 were asked in the Post; if R was administered K2 in the Pre, then questions corresponding to K1 were asked in the Post.)

1. R administered K1 in the Pre
2. R administered K2 in the Pre

=====  
M022406            PreRand.K1. RANDOMIZATION PRE K1 SERIES  
                  Numeric

PreRand.K1

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1 SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre K1 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

1. K1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h
2. K1a,g,e,b,c,f,h,d

INAP.  
Not administered K1 in the Pre

=====  
M022407            PreRand.K1d. RANDOMIZATION PRE K1d WORD  
                  Numeric

PreRand.K1d

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1d WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K1d in the Pre.

1. "Public schools"
2. "Big city schools"

INAP.  
Not administered K1 in the Pre

=====  
M022408            PreRand.K1g. RANDOMIZATION PRE K1g WORD  
                  Numeric

PreRand.K1g

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1g WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K1g in the Pre.

1. "Homeland security"
2. "War on terrorism"

INAP.

Not administered K1 in the Pre

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M022409 | PreRand.K2. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2 SERIES |
|         | Numeric                                 |

PreRand.K2

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2 SERIES

-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre  
K2 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

1. K1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h
2. K1a,g,c,d,e,f,h,b

INAP.

Not administered K2 in the Pre

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M022410 | PreRand.K2b. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2b WORD |
|         | Numeric                                 |

PreRand.K2b

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2b WORDING

-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item K2b in the Pre.

1. "Poor people"
2. "Working poor"

INAP.

Not administered K2 in the Pre

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M022411 | PreRand.K2h. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2h WORD |
|         | Numeric                                 |

PreRand.K2h

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2h WORDING

-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item K2h in the Pre

1. "Poor children"
2. "Black children"

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Not administered K2 in the Pre

=====  
M022412           PreRand.L2. L2 RANDOM SELECTION  
                  Numeric

PreRand.L2

L2 RANDOM SELECTION  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
  L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
  L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
  L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
  L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,  
d4,d5,d6,d7.

1. L2a open-end ("better")
2. L2b open-end ("worse")
3. L2c closed series ("better")
4. L2d closed series ("worse")

=====  
M022413           PreRand.L2c. RANDOM PRE L2c SERIES  
                  Numeric

PreRand.L2c

RANDOMIZATION PRE L2c SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre  
L2c closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why  
some people have better jobs/income). Two orderings were  
possible.

1. L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7
2. L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c series

=====  
M022414           PreRand.L2d. RANDOM PRE L2d SERIES  
                  Numeric

PreRand.L2d

RANDOMIZATION PRE L2d SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre  
L2d closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why  
some people have worse jobs/income). Two orderings were  
possible.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
1. L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7  
2. L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

INAP.  
R not selected for L2d series

=====  
M022415           PreRand.L9a/b. RANDOM PRE L9a/b WORDING  
                  Numeric

PreRand.L9a/b

RANDOMIZATION PRE L9a/b WORDING ORDER  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered  
L9a/L9b (party better for the rich/poor) with wording "poor"  
first and "rich" second or vice versa. (Data in L9a are data  
for "poor" wording regardless of order; data in L9b are data  
for "rich" wording regardless of order).

1. "Poor" first, "rich" second
2. "Rich" first, "poor" second

=====  
M022416           PreRand.L11a/b. RANDOM PRE L11a/b WORD  
                  Numeric

PreRand.L11a/b

RANDOMIZATION PRE L11b/c ORDER  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered  
L11b first and L11c second, or L11c first and L11b second  
in the Pre (appropriateness of amount paid by rich/poor).

1. L11b ("rich") first, L11c ("poor") second
2. L11c ("poor") first, L11b ("rich") second

=====  
M022417           PreRand.M1d. ORDER OF TEXT - PRE M1d  
                  Numeric

PreRand.M1d

ORDER OF TEXT - PRE M1d  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre  
question M1d (party performance on corporate scandals) with  
either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first.

1. Democrats first, Republicans second
2. Republicans first, Democrats second

=====  
M022418           PreRand.M2. RANDOM- M2 SERIES WORDING  
                  Numeric

PreRand.M2

RANDOMIZATION - M2 SERIES WORDING

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were randomly assigned to the same wording).

1. "Congress passed"
2. "Bush signed"

=====  
M022419            PreRand.P1P2. P1/P2 RANDOM SELECTION  
                  Numeric

PreRand.P1P2

P1/P2 RANDOM SELECTION

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

- Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 and P1j,k,m
- Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 and P1j,k,m
- Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 and P2j,k,m
- Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 and P2j,k,m

1. Series 1: P1 series "whites better jobs/income" wording
2. Series 2: P1 series "blacks worse jobs/income" wording
3. Series 3: P2 series "women worse jobs/income" wording
4. Series 4: P2 series "men better jobs/income" wording

=====  
M022420            PreRand.P1. RANDOMIZATION PRE P1 SERIES  
                  Numeric

PreRand.P1

RANDOMIZATION PRE P1 SERIES

---

Respondents were administered a P1 (race) income inequality series in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned. This order was used regardless of which P1 series was administered ("whites better/higher" or "blacks worse/lower").

1. P1a,c,d,e,f,g
2. P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

INAP.  
Not assigned to a P1 series

=====  
M022421            PreRand.P1k/m. RANDOM PRE P1k/m WORDING  
                  Numeric

PreRand.P1k/m



INAP.  
Not assigned to a P1 series

RANDOMIZATION PRE P2 SERIES

INAP.  
Not assigned to a P2 series

RANDOMIZATION PRE P2k/m WORDING ORDER

INAP.  
Not assigned to a P2 series

Numeric

PreRand.Q1Q4

RANDOMIZED ORDER Q1-Q2/Q3-Q4

---

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

1. Q2-Q4 first, Q1-Q2 second
2. Q1-Q2 first, Q3-Q4 second

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M022425 | PreRand.Q3d. RANDOM ORDER PRE Q3d SERIES |
|         | Numeric                                  |

PreRand.Q3d

RANDOMIZED ORDER PRE Q3d SERIES

---

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned.

1. Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
2. Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M022426 | PreRand.Q3g. RANDOMIZED PRE Q3g WORDING |
|         | Numeric                                 |

PreRand.Q3g

RANDOMIZED PRE Q3g WORDING

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

1. "Handling war on terrorism"
2. "Responded to attack of September 11"

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M022427 | PreRand.Q4a4. RANDOMIZED ORDER Q4a4/Q4a5 |
|         | Numeric                                  |

PreRand.Q4a4

RANDOMIZED ORDER Q4a4/Q4a5

---

' Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.

1. Q4a4 first, Q4a5 second
2. Q4a5 first, Q4a4 second

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====  
M023001            A1. How Much Attn to Campaigns  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns.  
How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH  
INTERESTED, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED or NOT MUCH INTERESTED in the  
political campaigns so far this year?

-----

1. Very much interested
3. Somewhat interested
5. Not much interested

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====  
M023002            A6. How Many Days Watched Natl News  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 8, ge 9

A6.

How many days in the PAST WEEK did you watch the NATIONAL  
network news on TV?

-----

00. None
01. One Day
02. Two Days
03. Three Days
04. Four Days
05. Five Days
06. Six Days
07. Every Day

08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

=====  
M023003            A7. Num Days Local Aft/Early Eve News  
                  Numeric

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 8, ge 9

A7.

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the local TV news shows, either in the late afternoon or in the early-evening?

-----

- 00. None
- 01. One Day
- 02. Two Days
- 03. Three Days
- 04. Four Days
- 05. Five Days
- 06. Six Days
- 07. Every Day
  
- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 99. NA

=====

M023004            A10. Num Days Read Newsp in Past Wk  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 8, ge 9

A10.

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?

-----

- 00. None
- 01. One Day
- 02. Two Days
- 03. Three Days
- 04. Four Days
- 05. Five Days
- 06. Six Days
- 07. Every Day
  
- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 99. NA

=====

M023005            A12. App/disapp Bush Handling Job as Pre  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?

-----

- 1. Approve
- 5. Disapprove
  
- 8. Don't know

9. Refused  
0. NA

=====

M023006            A12a. Bush Job as Pres- Approve/Disapp  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12a.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:/  
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

(Do you [approve/disapprove])  
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

-----

1. Strongly  
5. Not Strongly

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in A12

=====

M023006x           A12x. Summary - Bush job as Pres  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is  
HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

APPROVAL - GEORGE W. BUSH JOB AS PRESIDENT

-----

Built from A12 and A12a.

1. Approve strongly  
2. Approve not strongly  
4. Disapprove not strongly  
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know (A12 or A12a)  
9. Refused (A12 or A12a)  
0. NA (A12 or A12a)

=====

M023007            B1. How Much R Cares Who Wins House  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

B1.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country.

How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care VERY MUCH, PRETTY MUCH, NOT VERY MUCH or NOT AT ALL?

- 
1. Very much
  2. Pretty much
  3. Not very much
  4. Not at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====  
M023008            B2. Approve/Disapp Congress  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

B2.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

- 
1. Approve
  5. Disapprove

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====  
M023009            B2a. Congress Appr/Disapp How Much  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

B2a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:/  
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

- 
1. Strongly
  5. Not Strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in B2

M023009x      B2x. Summary - Approve Congress Job  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

B2x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress  
 has been handling its job?  
 (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB

-----  
 Built from B2 and B2a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
  
8. Don't know (B2 or B2a)
9. Refused (B2 or B2a)
0. NA (B2 or B2a)

=====  
 C1.

I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news  
 these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to  
 rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100  
 degrees. Rating above 50 means that you feel favorable and warm  
 toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel  
 unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50  
 degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cold.  
 You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable  
 or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose  
 name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the  
 next one.

=====  
 M023010      C1a. George W. Bush Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1a.

The first person is:  
 George W. Bush  
 Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
 YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
 SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: GEORGE W. BUSH

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

```
=====
M023011 C1b. Cheney Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

C1b.

(The next person is:)  
Dick Cheney  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: DICK CHENEY

-----  
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

```
=====
M023012 C1c. Gore Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

C1c.

(The next person is:)  
Al Gore  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: AL GORE



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

=====  
M023013            C1d. Lieberman Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1d.

(The next person is:)

Joseph Lieberman

(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

-----  
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

=====  
M023014            C1e. Nader Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1e.

(The next person is:)

Ralph Nader

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: RALPH NADER

---

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

=====

|         |                               |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| M023015 | C1f. Bill Clinton Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                       |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888        |

C1f.

(The next person is:)  
Bill Clinton  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: BILL CLINTON

---

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

```
=====
M023016 C1h. Colin Powell Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

C1h.

(The next person is:)  
Colin Powell  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: COLIN POWELL

-----  
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

```
=====
M023017 C1j. Ashcroft Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

C1j.

(The next person is:)  
John Ashcroft  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JOHN ASHCROFT

-----  
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

=====  
M023018           C1m. Jackson Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1m.

(The next person is:)  
Jesse Jackson  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JESSE JACKSON  
-----

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r  
    C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

=====  
M023019           C1p. Laura Bush Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1p.

(The next person is:)  
Laura Bush  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: LAURA BUSH

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

```
=====
M023020 C1r. Hillary Clinton Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

C1r.

(The next person is:)

Hillary Clinton

(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: HILLARY CLINTON

---

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

```
=====
M023021 D2. Better One Party Control or Split
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D2.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Do you think it is better when ONE PARTY CONTROLS both the  
presidency and Congress, better when CONTROL IS SPLIT between  
the Democrats and Republicans, or DOESN'T MATTER?

---

1. Better When One Party Controls Both
3. Better When Control Is Split
5. It Doesn't Matter
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023022            F1. R 7Pt Scale Lib-Con Self-Placement  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

F1.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and  
conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think  
of yourself as EXTREMELY LIBERAL, LIBERAL, SLIGHTLY LIBERAL,  
MODERATE OR MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE,  
CONSERVATIVE, EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, or haven't you thought  
much about this?

---

01. Extremely Liberal
02. Liberal
03. Slightly Liberal
04. Moderate; Middle of the Road
05. Slightly Conservative
06. Conservative
07. Extremely Conservative
08. Don't know
09. Refused
90. Haven't thought much [Do Not Probe]
00. NA

=====

M023023            F1a. If R Had to Choose Liberal/Conserv  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

F1a.

IF R LIB-CON SCALE SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/DK:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or  
a CONSERVATIVE?

---

1. Liberal
3. Conservative
5. Moderate {VOL}
7. R Refuses to Choose

8. Don't know  
0. NA

INAP.  
1-3,5-7,9,0 in F1

```
=====
M023024 Flx. Summary - Lib-Con Self-Placement
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Flx.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as EXTREMELY LIBERAL, LIBERAL, SLIGHTLY LIBERAL, MODERATE OR MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE, CONSERVATIVE, EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, or haven't you thought much about this?  
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from F1 and Fla

1. Liberal (01/02/03;. or 90/04/08;1)  
3. Moderate (90/04/08;5 or 04;7/8/0)  
5. Conservative (05/06/07;. or 90/04/08;3)  
7. Refused to choose (90/08;7 or 09;.)  
  
8. DK (90/08;8)  
0. NA to F1; 08,90 in F1 and 0 in Fla

```
=====
M023025 G1. R Better/Worse Off in Last Year
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

1. Better  
3. Worse  
5. Same  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

```
=====
M023026 Gla. R How Much Bett/Wrs Off in Last Yr
 Numeric
```

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1a.

IF R IS BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:/  
IF R IS WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:

(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT [better/worse]  
off?

- 
1. Much Better
  2. Somewhat Better
  3. Same (5 in G1)
  4. Somewhat Worse
  5. Much Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in G1

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023027 | H1. US Economy Better/Worse in Last Yr |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

H1.

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would  
you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten  
BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?

- 
1. Better
  3. Same
  5. Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023028 | H1a. How Much US Econ Bet/Wrs in Last Yr |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

H1a.

IF R SAYS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:/  
IF R SAYS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

(Would you say) MUCH [better/worse] or SOMEWHAT [better/  
worse]?

- 
1. Much Better



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Somewhat Better
3. Same (3 in H1)
4. Somewhat Worse
5. Much Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in H1

=====

|         |                                    |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| M023029 | H5. Approve/Disapp Bush on Economy |
|         | Numeric                            |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                 |

H5.

IF R SELECTED FOR H5 ADMINISTRATION:

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is  
HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

-----

Approval of the President's performance on the economy  
was randomly assigned to administration at H5 or J5 in  
the Pre.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for J5 administration

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023030 | H5a. How Much Appr/Disapp Bush on Econ |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

H5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR H5 ADMINISTRATION:  
IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:/  
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

-----

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

8,9,0 in H5; R selected for J5 administration

```
=====
M023031 H7. Which Pty Bettr Handle Economy
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of HANDLING THE NATION'S ECONOMY... the [Democrats/Republicans], the [Republicans/Democrats], or wouldn't there be much difference between them?  
{IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE}

-----

Order of "Democrats" and "Republicans" in question text is randomized.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Democrats
- 3. Republicans
- 5. Wouldn't Be Much Difference/no Difference
- 7. Neither Party {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

```
=====
M023032 H9. US Position Stronger/Weaker in Last
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

H9.

Turning to some other issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown WEAKER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or has it grown STRONGER?

-----

- 1. Weaker
- 3. Stayed about the Same
- 5. Stronger
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

```
=====
M023033 H12. Agree/Dis US Should Stay Home
 Numeric
```

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Missing eq 0, ge 8

H12.

-----

M023034

H13. Appr/Dis Bush Handling Forgn Relat  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H13.

---

M023035

```
H13a. How Much App/Dis Bush on Foreign R
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

H13a.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:/  
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:

---

INAP.  
8,9,0 in H13

M023035x

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

H13x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is  
HANDLING OUR RELATIONS WITH FOREIGN COUNTRIES?  
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY - APPROVE GEORGE W. BUSH ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

-----  
Built from H13 and H13a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
  
8. Don't know (H13 or H13a)
9. Refused (H13 or H13a)
0. NA (H13 or H13a)

=====

M023036            J1. R Consider Self Dem/Rep/Ind  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a  
REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

- 
1. Democrat
  2. Republican
  3. Independent
  4. Other Party {VOL} {SPECIFY}
  5. No Preference {VOL}
  
  8. Don't know
  9. Refused
  0. NA

=====

M023037            J1a. Strength R Considers Self Dem/Rep  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1a.

IF R IDENTIFIES SELF AS A DEMOCRAT:/  
IF R IDENTIFIES SELF AS A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a STRONG [democrat/republican] or a  
NOT VERY STRONG [democrat/republican]?

- 1. Strong

5. Not Very Strong

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

3-5,8,9,0 in J1

=====

M023038            J1b. Is R Closer to Dem/Rep Party  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1b.

IF R IDENTIFIES AS INDEPENDENT/OTHER PARTY/NO PREFERENCE/DK:

Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or  
to the Democratic party?

-----

1. Closer to Republican

3. Neither {VOL}

5. Closer to Democratic

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

1,2,9,0 in J1

=====

M023038x           J1x. Party Identification Summary  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 7, ge 8

J1x.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a  
REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?  
Would you call yourself a STRONG [democrat/republican] or a  
NOT VERY STRONG [democrat/republican]? /  
Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or  
to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY - PARTY IDENTIFICATION

-----

Built from J1, J1a, J1b.

Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a  
code of 5 or 8 in J1 and a code of 3,8,9,0 in J1b AND also  
showed little or no interest in politics in response to the  
following survey questions: PRE question A1.(interest in  
campaigns, 5), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race  
outcome, 4), POST question Clx (nonvoter, 5), POST question F5  
(follow public affairs, 4 ); respondents who showed an interest

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
in politics were coded 3 in J1x.

0. Strong Democrat
1. Weak Democrat
2. Independent-Democrat
3. Independent-Independent
4. Independent-Republican
5. Weak Republican
6. Strong Republican
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say
8. Apolitical
9. NA 0 in J1

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M023039 | J4a. Has R Shown Flag in Past 12 Months |
|         | Numeric                                 |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                      |

J4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR J4 ADMINISTRATION:

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

-----

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement at J4a,d or R1a,d.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for R1 administration

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023040 | J4d. Things about US Make R Ashamed(1) |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

J4d.

IF R SELECTED FOR J4 ADMINISTRATION:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement: 'There are some things about America today that make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement  
at J4a,d or R1a,d.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Agree  
3. Neither agree nor disagree  
5. Disagree

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for R1 administration

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023041 | J5. Approve/Disapprove Bush on Economy |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

J5.

IF R SELECTED FOR J5 ADMINISTRATION:

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is  
HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

-----

Approval of the President's performance on the economy  
was randomly assigned to administration at H5 or J5 in  
the Pre.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Approve  
5. Disapprove

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for H5 administration

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023042 | J5a. How Much Appr/Disapp Bush on Econ |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

J5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR J5 ADMINISTRATION:  
IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:/  
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

- 
1. Strongly
  5. Not Strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for H5 administration; 8,9,0 in J5

=====

|          |                                  |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| M023042x | J5x. Summary: GW Bush on Economy |
|          | Numeric                          |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8               |

J5x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is  
HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY - APPROVE GEORGE W. BUSH ON ECONOMY

---

This summarizes data from H5 and J5 placements.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

J6.

Now I am going to read a statement and ask you whether you  
agree or disagree.

---

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023043 | J6a. Poor Have Same Chance at Fair Trial |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

J6a.

'In the U.S. today, a poor person has the same chance of  
getting a fair trial as a wealthy person does'. Do you AGREE,  
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

---

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree



- 5. Disagree
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

K1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

-----

=====

M023044            K1a. Highways-Federal Spending  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

The first program is :  
 Building and repairing highways.

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on building and repairing highways be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

-----

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.  
 Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
     K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
     K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Increased
- 2. Decreased
- 3. Kept about the same
- 4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
 R selected for Pre K2 series

=====

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M023045 K1b. AIDS Research- Federal Spending  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)  
spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AIDS RESEARCH

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K2 series

=====  
M023046 K1c. Welfare- Federal Spending  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1c.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)  
welfare programs?

(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: WELFARE PROGRAMS

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Page 898

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h

K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series

=====  
M023047a            K1d1. Public Schools- Federal Spending  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1d1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)  
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: PUBLIC SCHOOLS

-----  
1/2 of K1d respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====

M023047b            K1d2. Big City Schools -Federal Spending  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)

[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
 be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: BIG CITY SCHOOLS

-----

1/2 of K1d respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
 wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
 spending series was randomly assigned.  
 Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
 possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
     K1a,K1b,K1c,K1d,K1e,K1f,K1g,K1h  
     K1a,K1g,K1e,K1b,K1c,K1f,K1h,K1d  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====

M023048            K1e. Crime- Federal Spending  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1e.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)

dealing with crime?

(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: DEALING WITH CRIME

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series

=====

|         |                                   |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| M023049 | K1f. Child Care- Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                           |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                |

K1f.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)  
child care?

(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: CHILD CARE

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series

```
=====
M023050a K1g1. Homeland Security-Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

K1g1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

(What about)

[Homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on Homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: HOMELAND SECURITY

-----

1/2 of K1g respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
    K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
    K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

```
=====
M023050b K1g2. Terrorism-Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

K1g2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

(What about)

[Homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on Homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: THE WAR ON TERRORISM

---

1/2 of K1g respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====  
M023051            K1h. Unemp Insurance-Federal Spending  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1h.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)

unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
Page 903

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h  
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K2 series

=====

K2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

-----

=====

M023052            K2a. Environmental Protect-Federal Spend  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

The first program is :  
environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

-----

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h  
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K1 series

=====  
M023053a            K2b1. Aid To Poor People-Federal Spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2b1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)  
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the  
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO POOR PEOPLE

-----  
1/2 of K2b respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
    K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h  
    K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M023053b K2b2. Aid To Working Poor-Federal Spend  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2b2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)  
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the  
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO THE WORKING POOR

-----  
1/2 of K2b respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h

K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====  
M023054 K2c. Foreign Aid-Federal Spending  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)  
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: FOREIGN AID

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h  
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K1 series

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M023055 | K2d. Social Security-Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                               |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)  
Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: SOCIAL SECURITY

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h  
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series

```
=====
M023056 K2e. Border Security- Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

K2e.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)  
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to  
prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT  
ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY

-----

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
    K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h  
    K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series

```
=====
M023057 K2f. Aid to Blacks-Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

K2f.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)  
aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED,  
Page 908

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO BLACKS

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h  
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series

=====

M023058            K2g. Infant Mortality-Federal Spending  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2g.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)  
preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: PREVENTING INFANT MORTALITY

---

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h  
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K1 series

=====

|          |                                        |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| M023059a | K2h1. Early Ed For Poor- Federal Spend |
|          | Numeric                                |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

K2h1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: EARLY EDUCATION FOR POOR CHILDREN

-----

1/2 of K2h respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):  
    K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h  
    K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023059b | K2h2. Early Ed For Blacks- Federal Spend |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

K2h2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: EARLY EDUCATION FOR BLACK CHILDREN

-----  
1/2 of K2h respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each  
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal  
spending series was randomly assigned.  
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two  
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h

K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

=====

M023060            L1a. Last 20 Yrs Change in Inc Inequal  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a.

Now on a different topic.  
Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and  
poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or  
ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?

- 
1. Larger
  3. Smaller
  5. About the same

8. Don't know

9. Refused  
0. NA

=====

M023061            L1a1. How Much Change in Income Inequal  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a1.

IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR LARGER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:/  
IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR SMALLER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:

(Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH  
[larger/smaller] or SOMEWHAT [larger/smaller]?  
-----

1. Much larger  
2. Somewhat larger  
3. About the same (3 in L1a)  
4. Somewhat smaller  
5. Much smaller

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in L1a

=====

M023062            L1a2. Is Change in Inc Inequal Good/Bad  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 7

L1a2.

IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR LARGER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:/  
IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR SMALLER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:

Do you think this is A GOOD THING, A BAD THING, or haven't you  
thought about it?  
-----

1. Good thing  
3. Bad thing  
  
7. Haven't thought  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in L1a

=====

M023063            L2a. Why do Some Have Better Jobs/Income  
                  Numeric



L2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2a ADMINISTRATION:

In America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOME

-----  
This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.).

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present

5. No text present

INAP.

R not selected for L2a administration

=====

M023064           L2b. Why do Some Have Worse Jobs/Income  
                  Numeric

L2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2b ADMINISTRATION:

In America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/LOWER INCOME

-----  
This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.).

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.  
R not selected for L2b administration

=====

L2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

-----

=====

M023065a            L2c1. Why Bett Jobs:more able to learn  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

-----

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
    L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
    L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
    L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
    L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
    L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c administration

=====  
M023065b            L2c2. Why Bett Jobs:discrimination  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others  
do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

-----  
1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
    L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7  
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
    L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
    L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
    L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
    L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c administration

=====  
M023065c            L2c3. Why Bett Jobs: don't work as hard  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c3.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE DON'T WORK AS HARD

---

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c administration

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023065d | L2c4. Why Bett Jobs:no chance at good ed |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

L2c4.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME HAVE NO CHANCE AT EDUCATION

---

- 1.Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
- 2.Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
 L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
 L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
 L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
 The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
 3. Somewhat important  
 5. Not important at all  
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
 9. Refused  
 0. NA

INAP.  
 R not selected for L2c administration

=====

|          |                                         |
|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| M023065e | L2c5. Why Bett Jobs:choose low-pay jobs |
|          | Numeric                                 |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                      |

L2c5.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is/(Next:)]  
 'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE CHOOSE LOW-PAY JOBS

-----

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
 L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7

2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
 L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
 L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
 L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
 L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income  
 The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
 3. Somewhat important  
 5. Not important at all  
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c administration

=====

|          |                                   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| M023065f | L2c6. Why Bett Jobs:govt policies |
|          | Numeric                           |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                |

L2c6.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because government policies have helped high-income workers  
more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others  
do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: GOV'T POLICIES

-----

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7

2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income  
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income  
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income  
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2c administration

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023065g | L2c7. Why Bett Jobs:God made some differ |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

L2c7.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

- 
1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:  
L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
  2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
    - L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
    - L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
    - L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
    - L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
- The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2c administration

---

L2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

---



---

M023066a            L2d1. Why Wrse Jobs:more able to learn  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d1.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

-----

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

=====

|          |                                    |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| M023066b | L2d2. Why Wrse Jobs:discrimination |
|          | Numeric                            |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                 |

L2d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

-----



Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important

3. Somewhat important

5. Not important at all

7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

=====

M023066c            L2d3. Why Wrse Jobs:some don't work hard  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d3.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE DON'T WORK AS HARD

-----

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2d administration

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023066d | L2d4. Why Wrse Jobs:no chance at good ed |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

L2d4.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good  
education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others  
do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME HAVE NO CHANCE AT EDUCATION

-----

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,  
d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

```
=====
M023066e L2d5. Why Wrse Jobs:choose low-pay jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

L2d5.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'  
 (Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
 some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others  
 do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE CHOOSE LOW-PAY JOBS

-----  
 Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
 c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,  
 d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

```
=====
M023066f L2d6. Why Wrse Jobs:govt policies
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

L2d6.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: GOV'T POLICIES

---

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

=====  
M023066g            L2d7. Why Wrse Jobs:God made some differ  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d7.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

---

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:

L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7

L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income

L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income

L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income

L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2d administration

=====

M023067            L9a. Party Better for Poor People  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L9a.

Which political party do you think is generally better for [poor/rich] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

PARTY R THINKS IS BETTER FOR POOR PEOPLE

-----

L9a-L9b are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered with "poor" first and "rich" second. The other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("rich" first and "poor" second).

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023068 L9b. Party Better for Rich People  
 Numeric  
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

L9b.

And which party do you think is generally better for  
 [rich/poor] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is  
 there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

PARTY R THINKS IS BETTER FOR RICH PEOPLE

-----  
 L9a-L9b are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered  
 with "poor" first and "rich" second. The other 1/2 sample  
 administered reverse wording order ("rich" first and "poor"  
 second).  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

- 1. Democrats
- 3. Republicans
- 5. Not much difference
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

L11.

Next are a few questions about federal income taxes.

=====

M023069 L11a. R Pay Right Amount in Taxes  
 Numeric  
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

L11a.

Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU SHOULD in  
 federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU  
 SHOULD?

- 
- 1. More than should pay
  - 3. About right
  - 5. Less than should pay
  - 7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
  - 8. Don't know
  - 9. Refused
  - 0. NA

=====

M023070 L11b. Rich Pay Right Amount in Taxes  
 Page 926

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

L11b.

What about rich people? Do you feel rich people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

---

1st 1/2 SAMPLE L11b ("rich") FIRST AND L11c ("poor") SECOND;  
2nd 1/2 SAMPLE L11c ("poor") FIRST AND L11b ("rich") SECOND  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023071            L11c. Poor Pay Right Amount in Taxes  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L11c.

What about poor people? Do you feel poor people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

---

1st 1/2 SAMPLE L11b ("rich") FIRST AND L11c ("poor") SECOND;  
2nd 1/2 SAMPLE L11c ("poor") FIRST AND L11b ("rich") SECOND  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023072            M1a. Attention to Corporate Scandals  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1a.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Over the last year, investigations into a number of large corporations such as Enron have found top executives to have exaggerated profits through shady accounting procedures. The executives received huge bonuses, but their companies went bankrupt and workers lost their jobs and retirement savings.

How much attention would you say you've paid to those stories  
-- QUITE A LOT, SOME, JUST A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

-----

1. Quite a lot
2. Some
3. Just a little
4. None

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023073            M1a2. Made R Angry- Corporate Scandals  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1a2.

IF R PAID ATTENTION TO CORPORATE SCANDALS:

Have these stories ever made you angry?

-----

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
4,8,9,0 in M1a

=====

M023074            M1a3. How Many COs Affected by Scandal  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 7

M1a3.

IF R PAID ATTENTION TO CORPORATE SCANDALS:

How common do you think this problem is today: would you say  
it affects NEARLY ALL large U.S. companies, MANY, SOME, or  
JUST A FEW?

-----

1. Nearly all
2. Many
3. Some



- 4. Just a few
- 7. Haven't thought about {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
4,8,9,0 in M1a

=====

M023075            M1d. Which Pty Bett to Deal w/ Corp Scan  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1d.

Which party do you think would do a better job making sure that these problems do not continue to happen, the [DEMOCRATS/ REPUBLICANS], the [REPUBLICANS/DEMOCRATS], or WOULDN'T THERE BE MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre question M1d (party performance on corporate scandals) with either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Democrats
- 3. Republicans
- 5. Wouldn't be much difference
- 7. Neither {VOL}
- 8 Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

M023076            M2a. Favor 2001 Tax Cut-Combined Wording  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2a.

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

-----

This combines data from M2a1 and M2a2.  
See notes M2a1/M2a2.

- 01. Favor
- 05. Oppose
- 07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
90. Haven't thought about

=====  
M023076a            M2a1. Favor 2001 Tax Cut-Cong Wording  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2a1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'CONGRESS' WORDING:

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a  
big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or  
is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: CONGRESS WORDING

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
90. Haven't thought about

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====  
M023076b            M2a2. Favor 2001 Tax Cut-GW Bush Wording  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2a2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PRESIDENT BUSH' WORDING:

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a  
big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or  
is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: PRESIDENT BUSH WORDING

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series wee

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}  
  
08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
90. Haven't thought about

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M023077 | M2b. How Much Favor/Opp Tax Cut |
|         | Numeric                         |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8              |

M2b.

IF R FAVORED TAX CUT LAST YEAR:/  
IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT LAST YEAR:

Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

-----

See notes M2a1/M2a2.

1. Strongly  
5. Not strongly  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
7,8,9,90,0 in M2a

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023077x | M2bx. Summary 2001 Tax Cut:Combined Word |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 6                       |

M2bx.

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a  
big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or  
is this something you haven't thought about?  
Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY - APPROVE LAST YEAR'S TAX CUT (COMBINED WORDING)

-----

Built from M2a and M2b.  
See notes M2a1/M2a2.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
6. Other; depends; neither (7 in M2a)
7. Haven't thought about it (90 in M2a)
8. Don't know in M2a or M2b
9. Refused in M2a or M2b
0. NA in M2a or M2b

=====

M023078            M2c. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Comb Word  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c.

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or  
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush  
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

-----

This combines data from M2c1 and M2c2.  
See notes M2c1/M2c2.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

=====

M023078a           M2c1. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Cong Word  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'CONGRESS' WORDING:

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or  
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush  
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - CONGRESS WORDING

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series we  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====  
M023078b M2c2. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Pres Word  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PRESIDENT BUSH' WORDING:

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or  
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush  
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - BUSH WORDING  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series wee  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====  
M023079 M2e. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Comb Word  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2e.

What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party  
FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President  
Bush signed] last year?

REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

---

This combines data from M2e1 and M2e2.  
See notes M2e1/M2e2.

- 01. Favor
- 05. Oppose
- 07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 00. NA
- 80. Don't remember {VOL}

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023079a | M2e1. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Cong Word |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

M2e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'CONGRESS' WORDING:

What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party  
FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President  
Bush signed] last year?

REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - CONGRESS WORDING

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

- 01. Favor
- 05. Oppose
- 07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 00. NA
- 80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M023079b | M2e2. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Pres Word |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

M2e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PRESIDENT BUSH' WORDING:

What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party  
FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President  
Bush signed] last year?

REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - BUSH WORDING

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording  
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were  
randomly assigned to the same wording).  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
00. NA  
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.  
R not selected for this wording

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M023080 | M2n. How Important is Tax Cut Issue |
|         | Numeric                             |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |

M2n.

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY  
important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

IMPORTANCE OF TAX CUT TO R

---

See notes M2a1/M2a2.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M023081 | M2p. Has Tax Cut Issue Made R Angry |
|         | Numeric                             |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |

M2p.

Has this issue ever made you angry?

0. NA

Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life,  
or NOT?

0. NA

Would you say your religion provides SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or A GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day life?

0, 5, 8, 9 in N1

Page 936



Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

N3.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

---

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

=====

M023085 N3a. How Often Attend Relig Services

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

N3a.

IF R EVER ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER?

---

1. Every week

2. Almost every week

3. Once or twice a month

4. A few times a year

5. Never

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in N3

=====

M023086 N3a1. Once a Wk/More Often Attend Relig

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

N3a1.

IF R EVER ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES EVERY WEEK:

Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK?

---

1. Once a week

2. More often than once a week

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in N3; 2-5,8,9,0 in N3a

=====

M023087            N4. Activities At Place of Worship  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4.

Aside from attending services, in the PAST SIX MONTHS have you  
 been an active member at your place of worship - I mean, have  
 you done things like serve on committees, give time for special  
 projects, or help organize meetings?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

=====

M023088            N4a. Plan/Chair Meeting at Place Worship  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4a.

IF R REPORTS BEING AN ACTIVE MEMBER AT R'S PLACE OF WORSHIP:

As part of these activities, have you planned or chaired a  
 meeting (in the last six months)?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in N4

=====

M023089            N4b. Presentation at Place Worship  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4b.

IF R REPORTS BEING AN ACTIVE MEMBER AT R'S PLACE OF WORSHIP:

(As part of these activities,) have you given a presentation or speech (in the last six months)?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in N4

P1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Now for some other questions.  
Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, [blacks/whites] tend to have [WORSE/BETTER] JOBS and [LOWER/HIGHER] INCOMES than [whites/blacks] do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

M023090            Pla1. Whites Bett Jobs:more able to lrn  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Pla1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:(Next:)]  
'Because whites have more in-born ability to learn'.  
(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

- Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m
- Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m
- Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
- Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
 selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023090a            Pla2. Blacks Wrse Jobs: less able to lrn  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

Pla2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because whites have more in-born ability to learn'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
 [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
 [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
 inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
 administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023091            P1b1. Whites Bett Jobs: discrimination  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1b1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because discrimination holds blacks back'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BLACKS BACK

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023091a           P1b2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:discrimination  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1b2.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because discrimination holds blacks back'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BLACKS BACK

-----  
 Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====  
 M023092           Plc1. Whites Bett Jobs:blacks don't work  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

Plc1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because blacks just don't work as hard'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

```
=====
M023092a Plc2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:blacks don't work
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

P1c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because blacks just don't work as hard'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: BLACKS JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023093            P1d1. Whites Bett Jobs:chance at educ  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1d1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because blacks don't get a chance to get a good education'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUC.

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA



INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023093a            P1d2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:chance at educ  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because blacks don't get a chance to get a good education'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: BLACKS DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUC.

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023094            P1e1. Whites Bett Jobs:blacks opt lowpay  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because blacks just choose low-paying jobs'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023094a           P1e2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:blacks opt lowpay  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because blacks just choose low-paying jobs'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: BLACKS JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

Pre survey variables, provide case level identification

of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R

selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

M023095            P1f1. Whites Bett Jobs:govt policies  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1f1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped whites more'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP WHITES MORE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

|          |                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| M023095a | P1f2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:govt policies |
|          | Numeric                              |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                   |

P1f2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because government policies have helped whites more'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP WHITES MORE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

```
=====
M023096 Plg1. Whites Bett Jobs:God made some dif
 Missing Numeric eq 0, ge 8
```

Plg1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because God made people different from one another'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m

Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

```
=====
M023096a Plg2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:God made some dif
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Plg2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because God made people different from one another'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pl1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m

Series 2: Pl1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pl1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Pl1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M023097 | Plj. Blacks same Chance at Fair Trial |
|         | Numeric                               |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

Plj.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following:

'In the U.S. today, a black person has the same chance of getting a fair trial as a white person does.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

-----  
This question was asked of respondents assigned to either series for income inequality by race (P1)  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pl1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m

Series 2: Pl1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m  
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Agree  
3. Neither agree nor disagree  
5. Disagree

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for gender inequality series P2

=====  
M023098 P1k. Which party better for Whites  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1k.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Which political party do you think is generally better for  
[white/black] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is  
there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR WHITES

-----  
P1k-P1m are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered  
with "white" first (in P1k) and "black" second (in P1m). The  
other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("black" in  
P1k and "white" in P1m)

This question was asked of respondents assigned to either  
series for income inequality by race (P1)  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m  
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m  
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m  
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats  
3. Republicans  
5. Not much difference between them

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
R selected for gender inequality series P2

=====  
M023099            P1m. Which party better for Blacks  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1m.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

And which party do you think is generally better for  
[black/white] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is  
there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR BLACKS

-----  
P1k-P1m are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered  
with "white" first (in P1k) and "black" second (in P1m). The  
other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("black" in  
P1k and "white" in P1m)

This question was asked of respondents assigned to either  
series for income inequality by race (P1)  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats  
3. Republicans  
5. Not much difference between them

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for gender inequality series P2

=====  
P2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

Now for some other questions.  
Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America  
today, [women/men] tend to have [WORSE/BETTER] JOBS and  
[LOWER/HIGHER] INCOMES than [men/women] do. I'm going to  
read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me  
how IMPORTANT you think each is.



=====

M023100           P2a1. Women Wrse Jobs:less able to learn  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2a1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because men have more in-born ability to learn'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
 [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
 [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: MEN HAVE MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
 inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
 administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
 selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023100a           P2a2. Men Bett Jobs:more able to learn  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2a2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
'Because men have more in-born ability to learn'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: MEN HAVE MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023101            P2b1. Women Wrse Jobs:discrimination  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2b1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because discrimination holds women back'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS WOMEN BACK

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

```

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

```

```

=====
M023101a P2b2. Men Bett Jobs:discrimination
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

```

P2b2.

```

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

```

```

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds women back'

```

```

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

```

```

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS WOMEN BACK

```

```

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

```

1. Very important

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023102            P2c1. Women Wrse Jobs:women don't work  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because women just don't work as hard'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: WOMEN JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023102a            P2c2. Men Bett Jobs:women don't work hrd  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because women just don't work as hard'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
 [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
 [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
 inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
 administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
 selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023103            P2d1. Women Wrse Jobs:chance at educ  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2d1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
 'Because women don't get a chance to get a good education'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: WOMEN DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUCATION

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023103a            P2d2. Men Bett Jobs: chance at educ  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because women don't get a chance to get a good education'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUC.

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

```

 anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

```

```

=====
M023104 P2e1. Women Wrse Jobs:women opt low pay
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

```

P2e1.

```

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

```

```

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because women just choose low-paying jobs'

```

```

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

```

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: WOMEN JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

```

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

```

```

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important

```

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023104a            P2e2. Men Bett Jobs:women opt low pay  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because women just choose low-paying jobs'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====



```

 anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
M023105 P2f1. Women Wrse Jobs:govt policies
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

```

P2f1.

```

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

```

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped men more'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP MEN MORE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
 3. Somewhat important  
 5. Not important at all  
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
 9. Refused  
 0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

```

=====
M023105a P2f2. Men Bett Jobs:govt policies
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

```

P2f2.

```

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

```

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped men more'

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP MEN MORE

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023106            P2g1. Women Wrse Jobs:God made some diff  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2g1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]  
'Because God made people different from one another'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

```

 anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

```

```

=====
M023106a P2g2. Men Bett Jobs:God made some diff
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

```

P2g2.

```

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

```

```

[The first is:(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another'

```

```

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

```

```

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

```

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

```

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

```

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

```

 P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

```

```

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

```

7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R

selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

=====

M023107 P2j. Women Same Chance at Fair Trial

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2j.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following:

'In the U.S. today, a woman has the same chance of a getting a

fair trial as a man does.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR

DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede

Pre survey variables, provide case level identification

of randomization assigned.

1. Agree

3. Neither agree nor disagree

5. Disagree

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1

=====

M023108 P2k. Which Party Better for Women

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2k.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

Which political party do you think is generally better for

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
[women/men] - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there  
NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR WOMEN

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference between them
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1

=====  
M023109            P2m. Which Party Better for Men  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2m.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

And which party do you think is generally better for  
[men/women] - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there  
NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR MEN

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m

Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m

Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m

Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Democrats
- 3. Republicans
- 5. Not much difference between them
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1

=====

|         |                                      |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| M023110 | Q1. Did R Vote in 2000 Pres Election |
|         | Numeric                              |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                   |

Q1.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Now I'd like to ask you a few questions about the 2000 Presidential election.

In 2000 George W. Bush ran on the Republican ticket against Al Gore for the Democrats, and Ralph Nader as a third party candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Yes, voted
- 5. No, didn't vote

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023111 | Q1a. Who did R vote for in 2000 Pres Ele |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

Q1a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

IF R VOTED IN THE 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:

Which one did you vote for?

{DO NOT PROBE DK RESPONSE}

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Al Gore
3. George W. Bush
5. Ralph Nader
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 5,8,9 in Q1

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M023112 | Q2a. Remember 2000 Election Controversy |
|         | Numeric                                 |

Q2a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Whether or not you voted, you might remember that the 2000 presidential election ended in a big controversy. What do you remember most about that?

2000 ELECTION: OPENEND RESPONSE

-----

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.).

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M023113 | Q2c. 2000 Pres Election Fair or Unfair |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

Q2c.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR?

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Fair  
5. Unfair

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M023114 | Q2c1. How Fair/Unfair 2000 Election |
|         | Numeric                             |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |

Q2c1.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

IF R FELT 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OUTCOME WAS FAIR /  
IF R FELT 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OUTCOME WAS UNFAIR:

Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly  
5. Not strongly

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 8,9,0 in Q2c

=====

|          |                                     |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| M023114x | Q2cx. Summary: 2000 Pres Elect Fair |
|          | Numeric                             |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |



Q2cx.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR?  
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

SUMMARY: FAIRNESS OF 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

-----  
Built from Q2c and Q2c1.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was fair
2. Not strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was fair
3. Not strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was unfair
4. Strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was unfair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

Q3.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Now for another topic.  
As you know, on September 11th 2001, a group of terrorists took control of several U.S. commercial airplanes and crashed them into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington.

-----  
INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023115            Q3b. Did R See World Trade Ctr Collapse  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3b.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Did you happen to see the collapse of the World Trade Center  
towers on live television AS IT WAS ACTUALLY HAPPENING?

---

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 7. R witnessed in person

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

---

M023116            Q3c. What Terrorists Wanted to Accom  
                    Numeric

Q3c.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

What do you think the terrorists were trying to accomplish by  
their actions?

9/11 TERRORISTS: OPENEND RESPONSE

---

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not  
the text itself represents substantive response or opinion  
(including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or  
refusal etc.).

- 1. Text present
- 5. No text present

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

---

Q3d.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Now I'm going to read you a short list of explanations people  
have suggested for September 11. I would like you to tell me  
how IMPORTANT you think each is in explaining the September  
11th attack.

---

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023117a            Q3d1. 9/11 Reason - US Support Israel  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d1.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is:/(What about:)]  
 'The United States' support of Israel.'

(Would you say that U.S. support of Israel is VERY important,  
 SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation  
 for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: US SUPPORT OF ISRAEL

-----

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons  
 for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly  
 assigned:

    Q3d1,d2,d5,d6  
     Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
 Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
 Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.  
 Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
 Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
 3. Somewhat important  
 5. Not important at all  
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
 9. Refused  
 0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023117b            Q3d2. 9/11 Reason - 1991 War w/ Iraq  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d2.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is:/(What about:)]  
 'Desert Storm, the U.S. war against Iraq and Saddam Hussein in  
 1991'

(Would you say that Desert Storm is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: DESERT STORM, US WAR AGAINST IRAQ IN 1991

---

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:

Q3d1,d2,d5,d6

Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

---

M023117c            Q3d5. 9/11 Reason - Religious War  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d5.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is:/(What about:)]  
'To carry out a religious war'

(Would you say that a religious war is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: TO CARRY OUT A RELIGIOUS WAR

---

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:

Q3d1,d2,d5,d6

Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====  
M023117d        Q3d6. 9/11 Reason - US Immoral  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d6.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is:/(What about:)]  
'Because the terrorists believe that America is immoral'

(Would you say that this belief about America is VERY  
important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an  
explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: TERRORISTS BELIEVE AMERICA IS IMMORAL

-----  
For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons  
for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly  
assigned:

Q3d1,d2,d5,d6  
Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

```
=====
M023118 Q3e. How Likely Another Terrorist Attack
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Q3e.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

How likely do you think it is that the U.S. will suffer an attack as serious as the one in New York and Washington some time in the next 12 months? Would you say VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very Likely
2. Somewhat Likely
3. Somewhat Unlikely
4. Very Unlikely

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

```
=====
M023119 Q3g. Appr/Dis Bush-9-11Resp/Terrorism
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Q3g.

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL COMBINED WORDING

-----

This combines data from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Approve
- 5. Disapprove
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

|          |                                       |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| M023119a | Q3g1a. Appr/Dis Bush-War on Terrorism |
|          | Numeric                               |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

Q3g1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the  
way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has  
responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL - HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible  
wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh  
cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Approve
- 5. Disapprove
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for alternate wording

=====

|          |                                   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| M023119b | Q3g1b. Appr/Dis Bush-9-11Response |
|          | Numeric                           |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                |

Q3g1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'RESPONDED TO 9/11' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has  
responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL - RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible  
wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh  
cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Approve  
5. Disapprove

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for alternate wording

=====  
M023119c        Q3g2. How Much App/Dis Bush-9-11/Terror  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3g2.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH ON 9-11/WAR ON TERRORISM: /  
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH ON 9-11/WAR ON TERRORISM:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?  
-----

See notes Q3g.

1. Strongly  
5. Not Strongly

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
8,9,0 in Q3g

=====  
M023119x        Q3gx. Summary:App Bush on Terrorism/9-11  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx.



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?  
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON TERRORISM/SEPT 11 RESPONSE (COMBINED)

---

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023119y            Q3gx1. Summary:App Bush on War on Terr  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on terrorism?  
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON 'WAR ON TERRORISM'

---

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording

=====

M023119z            Q3gx2. Summary:App Bush on 9-11 Response  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'RESPONDED TO 9/11' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the  
way George W. Bush has responded to the terrorist attack of  
September 11]?  
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON 'RESPONSE TO 9/11'

-----

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible  
wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the  
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the  
Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh  
cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording

=====

Q4a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

After the September 11 terrorist attacks, President Bush declared a war on terrorism. A first step was to launch air strikes against the Taliban government of Afghanistan that was providing aid and protection to Osama bin Laden and the Al-Qaueda terrorists responsible for the September 11 attacks.

---

=====

M023120            Q4a4. War in Afghanistan Worth Cost  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a4.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Taking everything into account, do you think the U.S. war against the Taliban government in Afghanistan was WORTH THE COST or NOT?

---

' Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Worth it  
 5. Not worth it

8. Don't know  
 9. Refused  
 0. NA

INAP.  
 Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023121            Q4a5. Does R Think Bin Laden is Alive  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a5.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Do you think Osama bin Laden is still alive?

---

' Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023122            Q4a6. Does R Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a6.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

As you may know, President Bush and his top advisers are discussing the possibility of taking military action against Iraq to remove Saddam Hussein from power. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE military action against Iraq -- or is this something you haven't thought about?

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor  
05. Oppose  
07. Other; depends {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
90. Haven't thought about it  
00. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====

M023123            Q4a7a. How Much Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a7a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

IF R FAVORS OR OPPOSES MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ:

Do you [favor/oppose] this policy STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

-----

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 7,8,9,0,90 in Q4a6

=====

M023123x            Q4a7x. Summary:Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 6

Q4a7x.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

As you may know, President Bush and his top advisers are discussing the possibility of taking military action against Iraq to remove Saddam Hussein from power. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE military action against Iraq -- or is this something you haven't thought about?

Do you [favor/oppose] this policy STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: FAVOR/OPPOSE MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ

-----

Built from Q4a6 and Q4a7a.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
6. Other; depends; neither (7 in M2a)
7. Haven't thought about it (90 in M2a)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
8. Don't know in M2a or M2b  
9. Refused in M2a or M2b  
0. NA in M2a or M2b

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====  
M023124            R1a. Has R Shown Flag in Past 12 Mo  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR R1 ADMINISTRATION:

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or  
displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or  
on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

-----  
1/2 SAMPLE PRE J4 (REMAINING 1/2 SAMPLE PRE R1)

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement  
at J4a,d or R1a,d.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for J4 administration

=====  
M023124x           R1ax. Summary:Flag Display in Past 12 Mo  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1ax.

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or  
displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or  
on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

COMBINED J4a/R1a: PATRIOTIC DISPLAY

-----  
This summarized data from Pre placements, J4a and R1a.  
See notes R1a.

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

=====

M023125            R1d. Things about US Make R Ashamed (2)  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1d.

IF R SELECTED FOR R1 ADMINISTRATION:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this  
statement: 'There are some things about America today that  
make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE  
NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

-----

1/2 SAMPLE PRE J4 (REMAINING 1/2 SAMPLE PRE R1)

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement  
at J4a,d or R1a,d.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Agree  
3. Neither agree nor disagree  
5. Disagree

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for J4 administration

=====

M023125x           R1dx. Summary: US Make R Ashamed  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1dx.

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this  
statement: 'There are some things about America today that  
make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE  
NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

COMBINED J4a/R1a: ASHAMED OF AMERICA

-----

This summarizes data from both Pre placements, J4d and R1d.  
See notes R1d.

1. Agree  
3. Neither agree nor disagree  
5. Disagree

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

=====  
M023126a            Y1a. Month of Birth - FRESH CROSS  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 89

Y1a.

IF FRESH CROSS:

Now, on a different topic.  
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH OF BIRTH

-----  
01. JANUARY  
02. FEBRUARY  
03. MARCH  
04. APRIL  
05. MAY  
06. JUNE  
07. JULY  
08. AUGUST  
09. SEPTEMBER  
10. OCTOBER  
11. NOVEMBER  
12. DECEMBER

89. Refused  
00. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====  
M023126c            Y1c. Year of Birth - FRESH CROSS  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 8889

Y1c.

IF FRESH CROSS:

Now, on a different topic.  
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR OF BIRTH

-----  
1912-1984

8889. Refused

INAP.



' Panel 'Respondent

```
=====
M023126x Y1x. Summary: Respondent's Age
 Numeric
 Missing eq 889, ge 999
```

Y1x.

Now, on a different topic.  
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY: RESPONDENT AGE

-----

Calculated from year of birth Y1c.  
Age of ' Panel 'respondent included by adding 2 years to  
age recorded for 2000 Study.

889. Refused  
999. NA

```
=====
M023127 Y2. R Marital Status - FRESH CROSS
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Y2.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse -  
or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or have you NEVER  
MARRIED?

MARITAL STATUS 2002 FRESH CROSS

-----

1. Married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married
6. Partnered, not married {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

```
=====
M023127a Y2. R Marital Status - ' Panel '2000 status
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8 Y2a.
```

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse -  
or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or have you NEVER  
MARRIED?

2000 MARITAL STATUS FOR 'PANEL'

---

This is 2000 data for 2002' Panel 'respondents.

- 1. Married
- 2. Widowed
- 3. Divorced
- 4. Separated
- 5. Never married
- 6. Partnered, not married {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023128 | Y3. R Highest Grade of School - FRESH CR |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 88, ge 89                     |

Y3.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you  
completed?

---

- 00-12 years
- 13-16 years
- 17. 17+ years

- 88. Don't know
- 89. Refused
- 99. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023129 | Y3a. R High School Diploma - FRESH CROSS |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

Y3a.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

IF R HAS 0-12 YRS OF ED. OR IF HIGHEST ED. LEVEL IS DK/RF/NA:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school  
equivalency test?

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
13-17 in Y3;' Panel 'Respondent

=====

|         |                                    |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| M023130 | Y3b. R Highest Degree - FRESH CROS |
|         | Numeric                            |
|         | Missing eq 8, ge 9                 |

Y3b.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDNET:  
IF R HAS MORE THAN 12 YEARS OF EDUCATION:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

-----

00. None (no degree)  
01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE  
02. MASTER'S DEGREE  
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD  
04. LLB, JD  
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO  
06. JDC, STD, THD  
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)  
  
08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
99. NA

INAP.  
00-12 in Y3;' Panel 'Respondent

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M023131 | Y3x. Summary: R Education |
|         | Numeric                   |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 9        |

Y3x.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDNET:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you completed?  
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?  
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY - R EDUCATION

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Education summary data from the 2000 study has been added  
for' Panel 'respondents.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency  
[0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years  
without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3,  
1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree  
(13-17 in Y3, 00 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees)  
(07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]
9. Refused
0. NA in Y3, Y3a or Y3b

=====  
M023132            Y4. R Employment Status - FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 999

Y4.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you  
unemployed, retired, (a homemaker), (a student), or what?  
{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS

-----  
The maximum number of mentions was 3 (1 case).

- 001. working now
- 002. temporarily laid off
- 003. unemployed
- 004. retired
- 005. permanently disabled
- 006. homemaker
- 007. Student
- 014. working now and retired
- 016. working now and homemaker
- 046. retired and homemaker
- 146. working now retired and homemaker
- 999. Refused
- 000. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====  
M023132x            Y4x. Pre/Post Employment Status Summary  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

Y4x.

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you  
unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what?  
{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

2002 PRE (FRESH CROSS) AND POST ('PANEL') EMPLOYMENT STATUS SUMMARY

---

Built from Pre Y4 (Fresh Cross) and Post Y4 (Panel; duplicates  
data found in P025183).

001. Working now  
002. Temporarily laid off  
003. Unemployed  
004. Retired  
005. Permanently disabled  
006. Homemaker  
007. Student  
014. Working now and retired  
016. Working now and homemaker  
017. Working now and student  
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker  
034. Unemployed and retired  
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled  
036. Unemployed and homemaker  
045. Retired and permanently disabled  
046. Retired and homemaker  
047. Retired and student  
067. Homemaker and student  
146. Working now, retired, and homemaker  
167. Working now, homemaker, and student  
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

000. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview (Panel only)

=====  
M023133            Y6. Labor Union Membership - FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y6.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

Does anyone in this household belong to a labor union?

---

1. Yes  
5. No  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====

|          |                                 |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| M023133a | Y6. Labor Union Membership 2000 |
|          | Numeric                         |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8              |

Y6(1).

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Does anyone in this household belong to a labor union?

'PANEL' - 2000 HOUSEHOLD UNION MEMBERSHIP

-----

This is 2000 data for' Panel 'respondents.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross Respondent

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M023134 | Y6a. Who Belongs to Labor Union - FRESH |
|         | Numeric                                 |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 88                     |

Y6a.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

IF SOMEONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO A LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

-----

The maximum number of mentions was 2.

- 01. Respondent
- 02. Respondent's spouse
- 03. Other family member {SPECIFY}
- 04. Someone else {SPECIFY}
- 12. Respondent and spouse
- 14. Respondent and other family member
  
- 88. Don't know
- 89. Refused
- 00. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in Y6;' Panel 'Respondent

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M023134a Y6a(1). 2000 Who Belongs to Labor Union  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y6a(1).

IF 'PANEL':  
IF SOMEONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO A LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

'PANEL': 2000 UNION MEMBERSHIP

---

This summarizes 2000 data for' Panel 'Rs.

1. Respondent
2. Respondent's spouse
3. Someone else
4. R and spouse
5. R and someone else
6. R's spouse and someone else
7. R, spouse, and someone else

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross respondent

=====  
M023135 Y7. Religious Preference - FRESH CROSS  
Numeric  
Missing eq 8, ge 9

Y7.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC,  
JEWISH, or something else?

---

00. None/Atheist/Agnostic
01. Protestant
02. Roman Catholic
03. Jewish
07. Other {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====  
M023136 Y7a. Religious Denomination FRESH CROSS  
Page 991

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Numeric  
Missing eq 88, ge 89

Y7a.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

IF R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE IS PROTESTANT OR "OTHER":

What church or denomination is that?

{CODE MENTION. IF UNSURE CODE "OTHER" AND SPECIFY R'S RESPONSE}

- 
- 00. Non-denominational Christian
  - 01. Baptist
  - 02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England
  - 03. Lutheran
  - 04. Methodist
  - 05. Just Protestant; Protestant DK/NA type
  - 06. Presbyterian
  - 07. Reformed
  - 08. Brethren
  - 09. Evangelical United Brethren
  - 10. Christian or Just Christian
  - 11. Christian Scientist
  - 12. Church (Or Churches) of Christ
  - 13. United Church of Christ
  - 14. Disciples of Christ
  - 15. Church of God
  - 16. Assembly of God
  - 17. Congregationalist
  - 18. Holiness
  - 19. Pentecostal
  - 20. Friends, Quaker
  - 21. Orthodox, E.g. Greek, Russian {SPECIFY}
  - 22. Non-denominational - Protestant
  - 23. Mormons
  - 24. Jehovah's Witnesses
  - 25. Latter Day Saints
  - 26. Unitarian/universalist
  - 27. Seventh day Adventist
  - 30. Christian NA denomination
  - 35. Buddhist
  - 45. Hindu
  - 55. Muslim/islam
  - 65. Native American
  - 87. Other {SPECIFY}
  
  - 88. Don't know
  - 89. Refused
  - 99. NA

INAP.

00,02,03,08,09,99 in Y7;' Panel 'Respondent

=====  
M023137            Y7a1. Southern Baptist/Oth (FRESH CROSS)  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8



Y7a1.

IF FRESH CROSS:

IF R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE IS PROTESTANT OR "OTHER":

IF R IDENTIFIES AS BAPTIST:

2000:

Is that SOUTHERN BAPTIST or SOMETHING ELSE?

2002:

With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

-----  
Data from 2000 has been added for' Panel 'cases.

1. Southern Baptist  
7. Something else

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

00,02,03,08,09,99 in Y7; 00,02-87,88,89,99 in Y7a; Panel  
Respondent

=====

M023138            Y7x1. R Religion Summary 1  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 8, ge 9

Y7x1.

2002:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or something else?

2000:

(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else? /

(IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:) Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

RELIGION SUMMARY 1 - 'PANEL' (2000) AND CROSS (2002)  
-----

2002: Built from Y7 and Y7a.

2000: Built from 2000 Summary variable.

Note the change in question flow, which especially affects cases coded 0.

In 2000, code 0 includes cases of Rs who said they did not attend church and who then answered 'No' when asked if they thought of themselves as part of a church or denomination.

00. None incl. atheist/agnostic

- 01. Protestant
- 02. Roman Catholic
- 03. Jewish
- 07. Other {SPECIFY}

- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 99. NA

INAP.

R refused or NA whether attends church (2000)

=====

M023138a            Y7x2. R Religion Summary 2  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 88, ge 89

Y7x2.

2002:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC,  
 JEWISH, or something else?  
 What church or denomination is that?

2000:

(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a  
 place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or  
 something else? What church or denomination is that? /  
 (IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF  
 CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:) Do you consider yourself Protestant,  
 Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else? What Church or  
 Denomination is that?

RELIGION SUMMARY 2 - 'PANEL' (2000) AND CROSS (2002)

-----

Fresh Cross: Built from Y7 and Y7a.

Panel: Built from 2000 Religious identification summary.

Please note the change in question flow, which especially  
 affects cases coded 'None (incl. agnostic/atheist'.

- 00. Non-denominational Christian
- 01. Baptist
- 02. Episcopalian/anglican/church of England
- 03. Lutheran
- 04. Methodist
- 05. Just Protestant; Protestant DK/RF/NA denomination;  
     other Protestant
- 06. Presbyterian
- 07. Reformed
- 08. Brethren
- 09. Evangelical United Brethren
- 10. Christian or Just Christian
- 11. Christian Scientist
- 12. Church (Or Churches) of Christ
- 13. United Church of Christ
- 14. Disciples of Christ
- 15. Church of God
- 16. Assembly of God

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

17. Congregationalist
18. Holiness
19. Pentecostal
20. Friends, Quaker; Anabaptist; Mennonite
21. Orthodox, E.g. Greek, Russian {SPECIFY}
22. Non-denominational - Protestant
23. Mormons
24. Jehovah's Witnesses
25. Latter Day Saints
26. Unitarian/universalist
27. Seventh day Adventist
30. Christian NA denomination
32. Roman Catholic
35. Buddhist
40. Jewish
45. Hindu
55. Muslim/islam
65. Native American
77. Other {SPECIFY}
80. None incl. agnostic/atheist (in 2000, includes cases of Rs who said they did not attend church and who then answered 'No' when asked if they thought of themselves as part of a church or denomination)
88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

INAP.

R refused or NA whether attends church (2000)

=====

M023139            Z1. How Long in Community - FRESH CROSS  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 888, ge 889

Z1.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

How long have you lived in your present community?

-----

Years are rounded to the next highest year if months are more than 6.

000. Less than 1 year
001. 13-18 months
002. 19-24 months; 2 years
- 003-097 years
098. All my life

888. Don't know
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
M023139a        Z1a. 2000 Study Num Years in Community  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 888, ge 889

Z1a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

'PANEL' RESPONDENTS: 2000 STUDY NUMBER OF YEARS IN COMMUNITY

---

This is' Panel 'respondent's reported status in 2000.

000. Less than 1 year  
001. 13-18 months  
002. 19-24 months; 2 years  
003-097 years  
098. All my life

888. Don't know  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====  
M023140        Z2. How Long in Home - FRESH CROSS  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 888, ge 889

Z2.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

How long have you lived in your current home?

---

Years are rounded to the next highest year if months  
are more than 6.  
Note: case ID 1128 has indicated a number of years greater  
in R's home than in R's community.

000. Less than 1 year  
001. 13-18 months  
002. 19-24 months; 2 years  
003-097 years  
098. All my life

888. Don't know  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
' Panel 'Respondent

=====  
M023140a        Z2a. 2000 Study Num Years in House-'PANEL'  
                 Numeric

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 888, ge 889

Z2a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

'PANEL' RESPONDENTS: 2000 STUDY NUMBER OF YEARS IN HOUSE

---

This is' Panel 'respondent's reported status in 2000.

000. Less than 1 year  
001. 13-18 months  
002. 19-24 months; 2 years  
003-097 years  
098. All my life

888. Don't know  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
Fresh Cross-Section Respondent

---

M023141 Z3. Family Own Home or Rent  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3.

{IF 'PANEL': Now on a different topic.}  
(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

---

1. Own house  
5. Pay rent  
7. Other {SPECIFY}  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

---

M023142 Z4. R Have Money in Stock Market  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z4.

Do you personally (or jointly with a spouse), have any MONEY  
INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET RIGHT NOW -- either in an  
individual stock or in a mutual fund?

---

1. Yes  
5. No  
  
8. Don't know

- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

M023143            Z5b. Family Medicare Benefits

                  Numeric

                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5b.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY  
receive payments from: Medicare?

-----

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

M023144            Z5c. Family Medicaid Benefits

                  Numeric

                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5c.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY  
receive payments from: Medicaid?

-----

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

=====

M023145            Z5g. Family Retirement Account/Pension

                  Numeric

                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5g.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY have  
retirement savings either in a pension plan or a retirement  
account, like an IRA?

-----

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

Z6.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2001, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

```
=====
M023146 Z6a. Is Fam Income More/Less than 50K
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Z6a.

Is your total household income less than \$50,000 or more than \$50,000?  
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

- 
- 1. Less than \$50,000
  - 3. More than \$50,000
  - 7. Income was (about) \$50,000 {VOL}
  - 8. Don't know
  - 9. Refused
  - 0. NA

```
=====
M023147 Z6b. Category Fam Income More Than 50K
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

Z6b.

IF R'S TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME IS MORE THAN \$50,000:

Which category best describes your total household income:  
\$50,000-\$64,999, \$65,000-\$84,999, or more than \$84,999?  
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

- 
- 1. \$50,000-\$64,999
  - 3. \$65,000-\$84,999
  - 5. More than \$84,999
  - 8. Don't know
  - 9. Refused
  - 0. NA

INAP.  
1,7,8,9,0 in Z6a

```
=====
M023148 Z6c. Category Fam Income Less Than 50K
 Numeric
```

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z6c.

IF R'S TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME IS LESS THAN \$50,000:

Which category best describes your total household income:  
\$0-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$34,999, or \$35,000-\$49,999?  
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

---

1. \$0-\$14,999
3. \$15,000-\$34,999
5. \$35,000-\$49,999

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
3,7,8,9,0 in Z6a

=====  
M023149           Z6x. Summary: Household Income  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 88

Z6x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2001, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

Is your total household income less than \$50,000 or more than \$50,000? {PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

Which category best describes your total household income:  
\$50,000-\$64,999, \$65,000-\$84,999, or more than \$84,999?  
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

Which category best describes your total household income:  
\$0-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$34,999, or \$35,000-\$49,999?

SUMMARY - HOUSEHOLD INCOME

---

Built from Z6a, Z6b and Z6c.

01. \$0           -\$14,999
02. \$15,000-\$34,999
03. \$35,000-\$49,999
04. Just about \$50,000 [VOL]
05. \$50,000-\$64,999
06. \$65,000-\$84,999
07. More than \$84,999
08. Less than \$50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization
09. More than \$50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
88. Don't know household income (8 in Z6a)  
89. Refused household income (9 in Z6a)  
00. NA (0 in Z6a)

=====  
M023150           Z7x. Race Summary  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 88, ge 89

Z7x.

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

SUMMARY: RESPONDENT RACE

-----  
Constructed from Z7a-Z7e, text of Z7f, and Z9.  
For' Panel 'cases, data from 2000 are included.

01. Black only mentioned  
02. Asian/Pacific Islander only mentioned  
03. Native American only mentioned  
04. Hispanic only mentioned  
05. White only mentioned  
12. Black and Asian/Pacific Islander mentioned  
13. Black and Native American mentioned  
14. Black and Hispanic mentioned  
15. Black and White mentioned  
23. Asian/Pacific Islander and Native American mentioned  
24. Asian/Pacific Islander and Hispanic mentioned  
25. Asian/Pacific Islander and White mentioned  
34. Native American and Hispanic mentioned  
35. Native American and White mentioned  
45. Hispanic and White mentioned  
77. Other (not codeable elsewhere) including 3 or more  
    racial groups  
  
88. Don't know  
89. Refused  
99. NA

=====  
M023150a           Z7a. Mention Black: R Racial Groups  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7a.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION BLACK

-----  
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023150b            Z7b. Mention Asian: R Racial Groups  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7b.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION ASIAN

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023150c            Z7c. Mention Native Am: R Racial Groups  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7c.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION NATIVE AMERICAN

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question

0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023150d            Z7d. Mention Hispanic: R Racial Groups  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7d.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
 {MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION HISPANIC

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023150e            Z7e. Mention White: R Racial Groups  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7e.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
 {MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION WHITE

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023150f            Z7f. Mention Other: R Racial Groups  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7f.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
 {MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION OTHER

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

- 1. Mentioned
- 5. Not mentioned
  
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused to answer question
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023151            Z9. R Hispanic  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z9.

IF FRESH CROSS:

{IF HISPANIC OR LATINO MENTIONED FOR RACE, CODE AND DO NOT ASK,  
 OTHERWISE ASK:}  
 Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

-----

' Panel 'data from the 2000 Study have been included.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
  
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023152            Z9a. Type Hispanic R  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z9a.

IF FRESH CROSS:

IF R IDENTIFIES AS BEING OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT:

Would you describe your Hispanic origin as MEXICAN,  
PUERTO RICAN, CUBAN, LATIN AMERICA, CENTRAL AMERICAN,  
or SPANISH?

---

' Panel 'data from the 2000 Study have been included.

1. Mexican
2. Puerto Rican
3. Cuban
4. Latin American
5. Central American
6. Spanish
7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in Z9;' Panel 'Respondent

=====

M023153            Z10. R Gender  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 9

Z10.

{ASK ONLY IF UNSURE, OTHERWISE CODE WITHOUT ASKING:}  
Finally, are you MALE or FEMALE?

---

1. Male
2. Female

9. Refused
0. NA

=====

M023154            ZZ4. IWR: R Cooperation  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

---

1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

0. NA

=====

M023155            ZZ5. IWR: R Level of Information  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public  
 affairs seemed:

-----

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

0. NA

=====

M023156            ZZ6. IWR: R Intelligence  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

-----

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

0. NA

=====

M023157            ZZ7. IWR: How Suspicious Was R  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 0

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the  
 interview?:

-----

1. Not at all suspicious
3. Somewhat suspicious
5. Very suspicious

0. NA

=====

M023158            ZZ8. IWR: R Interest in Interview  
                   Numeric

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 0

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

- 1. Very high
- 2. Fairly high
- 3. Average
- 4. Fairly low
- 5. Very low

0. NA

=====

|         |                             |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| M023159 | ZZ9. IWR: How Sincere Was R |
|         | Numeric                     |
|         | Missing eq 0                |

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

- 1. Completely sincere
- 3. Usually sincere
- 5. Often seemed to be insincere

0. NA

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M023160 | ZZ9a. IWR: Parts Where Doubt R Sincerity |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0                             |

ZZ9a.

IF IWR REPORTED THAT R WAS USUALLY SINCERE:/  
IF IWR REPORTED THAT R OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you  
doubted R's sincerity?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

0. NA

INAP.  
1,0 in ZZ9

=====

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| M023161 | ZZ10. IWR: R Reported Income Accurately |
|         | Numeric                                 |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                      |

ZZ10.

Do you feel R reported income accurately?

---

1. Yes, think R reported correctly
2. No, think R reported incorrectly
3. Refused income questions
5. No, think R reported dishonestly
8. Don't know
0. NA

=====

M023162            ZZ10a. IWR: Estimate R Family Income  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 888888

ZZ10a.

IF IWR DID NOT FEEL R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY :/  
IF R REFUSED INCOME QUESTIONS OR DK/NA IF REPORTED CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family  
income is (before taxes in 2001).

---

Coded in 1000s of dollars (range 10,000-90,000)

888888. DK  
000000. NA

INAP.  
1 in ZZ10

=====

M023163            ZZ11a. IWR: Estimate R Age  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 98

ZZ11a.

What would you estimate R's age to be?

---

Coded 18-96 and:

97. 97 and older

98. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}  
00. NA

=====

M023164            ZZ11b. IWR: Estimate R Education  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

ZZ11b.

What would you estimate R's level of education to be?



- 
1. Low - probably less than high school diploma
  2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college
  3. Probably a little college
  4. Probably a college degree
- 
8. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}
  0. NA

=====  
M023165a            ZZ12a. IWR:IW Rxns- Negative General  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0

ZZ12a.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - GENERAL

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - general"
  5. Not checked by interviewer
- 
0. NA

=====  
M023165b            ZZ12b. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Long  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0

ZZ12b.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO LONG

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too long"
  5. Not checked by interviewer
- 
0. NA

=====  
M023165c            ZZ12c. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Complicated  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0

ZZ12c.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too complicated"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| M023165d | ZZ12d. IWR:IW Rxns-Boring/Tedious |
|          | Numeric                           |
|          | Missing eq 0                      |

ZZ12d.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| M023165e | ZZ12e. IWR:IW Rxns-Wanted to Stop |
|          | Numeric                           |
|          | Missing eq 0                      |

ZZ12e.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE INTERVIEW COMPLETED

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R wanted to stop before interview completed. After starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                    |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| M023165f | ZZ12f. IWR:IW Rxns- Ill/Deaf/Tired |
|          | Numeric                            |
|          | Missing eq 0                       |

ZZ12f.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ILL/DEAF/TIRED ETC.

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                             |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| M023165g | ZZ12g. IWR:IW Rxns-Confused |
|          | Numeric                     |
|          | Missing eq 0                |

ZZ12g.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONFUSED BY QUESTIONS

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was confused by questions "couldn't understand the scales"; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| M023165h | ZZ12h. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack of Knowledge |
|          | Numeric                              |
|          | Missing eq 0                         |

ZZ12h.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF KNOWLEDGE/SUITABILITY FOR INTERVIEWING

---

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

M023165j            ZZ12j. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack Political Kwldg  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

ZZ12j.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE

-----  
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/  
embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

=====

M023165k            ZZ12k. IWR:IW Rxns-Agitated by Process  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

ZZ12k.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R AGITATED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS

-----  
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R was agitated or stressed by interview PROCESS"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

=====

M023165m            ZZ12m. IWR:IW Rxns-Angry at Content  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

ZZ12m.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ANGRY ABOUT INTERVIEW CONTENT

-----  
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became angry at interview CONTENT"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| M023165n | ZZ12n. IWR:IW Rxns-Sampling Concerns |
|          | Numeric                              |
|          | Missing eq 0                         |

ZZ12n.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSE/BIAS

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became concerned about sampling purpose or bias:  
'why do you come to the old folks home?' 'why THIS  
neighborhood?' 'why/why not blacks/Hispanics?' 'why  
me?' etc."
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                              |
|----------|------------------------------|
| M023165p | ZZ12p. IWR:IW Rxns- Positive |
|          | Numeric                      |
|          | Missing eq 0                 |

ZZ12p.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

POSITIVE

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R appeared to enjoy the interview (R was "cooperative"  
/"interested"/"pleasant" etc.)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

=====

|          |                                  |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| M023165q | ZZ12q. IWR:IW Rxns- Neutral/None |
|          | Numeric                          |
|          | Missing eq 0                     |

ZZ12q.

R's reaction to interview  
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

-----

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

```
=====
M023168 PROB1. Problem 1 Recorded
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 9
```

PROB1.

Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone to this R?

{EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for R to stay on the phone very long, etc.}

- 
1. Yes {SPECIFY}
  5. No
  9. Refused
  0. NA

```
=====
M024000 PostAdmin.0. Form of Post interview
 Numeric
```

PostAdmin.25

Form of 2002 Post Interview

-----

Aside from various randomly assigned wording and placement/order options, the following content options were randomly assigned to 1/2 samples in the Post:

- 1- either D3 or D4 Bush Traits questions
  - 2- either K4 or K5 social trust item
  - 3- either L1 series or L2 series Federal spending items
  - 4- either M5 affirmative action or M6 equal pay items
  - 5- M11 general income inequality as openend (M11c/M11d) or as closed series (M11a/M11b) [each has wording variants]
- Note that for the spending items and income inequality options, the alternate option had been assigned to the pre.

01. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 open
02. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 closed
03. D3 - K4 - L1 - M6 gend - M11 open
04. D3 - K4 - L1 - M6 gend - M11 closed
05. D3 - K4 - L2 - M5 race - M11 open
06. D3 - K4 - L2 - M5 race - M11 closed
07. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 open
08. D3 - K4 - L2 - M6 gend - M11 open
09. D3 - K4 - L2 - M6 gend - M11 closed

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

|     |    |   |    |   |    |   |    |      |   |     |        |
|-----|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|------|---|-----|--------|
| 10. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 11. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 12. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 13. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 14. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 15. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 16. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 17. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 18. | D3 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 19. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 20. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 21. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 22. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 23. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 24. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 25. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 26. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 27. | D4 | - | K4 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 28. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 29. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 30. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 31. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L1 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 32. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | open   |
| 33. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | open   |
| 34. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M5 | race | - | M11 | closed |
| 35. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |
| 36. | D4 | - | K5 | - | L2 | - | M6 | gend | - | M11 | closed |

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024001           PostAdmin.1. Release  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.1

Post Release number

-----  
1. There was a single release in the Post

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024002           PostAdmin.2. Mode  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.2

Post Mode

-----  
1. Telephone

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

M024003           PostAdmin.3. Number of calls  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.3

Post number of calls

-----

1-25

=====

M024004           PostAdmin.4. Result  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.4

Post Result

-----

Because few cases were ever removed from callback status,  
most cases with final disposition "never available" may be  
considered as, in fact, refusals.

- 01. Completion
- 05. Refusal
- 60. Phone Not in service or new number
- 61. No contact - no answer/answering device/busy/barrier
- 68. "Never Available"/refusals

=====

M024005           PostAdmin.5. Language  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.5

Post language

-----

1. English

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

M024006           PostAdmin.6. Verification  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.6

Post interview verification

-----

There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing  
facility.

5. No verification

INAP.



No Post interview

=====  
M024007            PostAdmin.7. Evaluation  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.7

Post interview evaluation

-----  
There was no evaluation of interviews at the interviewing  
facility.

5. No evaluation

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024008            PostAdmin.8. Tape recorded  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.8

Post interview tape-recorded

-----  
1. Tape recorded  
5. Not tape recorded

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024009            PostAdmin.9. Interviewed in parts  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.9

Post interview in parts

-----  
1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)  
5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024010            PostAdmin.10. Number of parts  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.10

IF PRE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN PARTS:

Post number of parts

1-5

INAP.

Interview not conducted in parts; no Post interview

=====

M024011            PostAdmin.11. Number of interviewers  
                    Numeric

PostAdmin.11

Post number of interviewers

-----

This is the number of interviewers used on the case (1-5).

INAP.

No Post interview

=====

M024012a           PostAdmin.12a. Beginning month  
                    Numeric

PostAdmin.12a

Post interview - beginning month

-----

11. November

12. December

INAP.

No Post interview

=====

M024012b           PostAdmin.12b. Beginning day  
                    Numeric

PostAdmin.12b

Post interview - beginning day

-----

1-30

INAP.

No Post interview

=====

M0242012c           PostAdmin.12c. Beginning month and day  
                    Character

PostAdmin.12c

Post interview - beginning month and day (MMDD)

-----

This is a character variable

=====

M024012d      PostAdmin.12d. Days after election  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.12d

Post Interview - Number of days after election

---

Based on beginning date of interview

00. NA

=====

M024013a      PostAdmin.13a. Ending month  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.13a

Post interview - ending month

---

11. November  
 12. December

INAP.  
 No Post interview

=====

M024013b      PostAdmin.13b. Ending day  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.13b

Post interview - ending day

---

1-30

INAP.  
 No Post interview

=====

M024013c      PostAdmin.12c. Ending month and day  
                  Character

PostAdmin.13c

Post interview - ending month and day (MMDD)

---

This is a character variable

=====

M024014      PostAdmin.14. Pre Address given  
                  Numeric

PostAdmin.14

Post payment address given?

1. Yes  
5. No

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| M024015 | PostAdmin.15. Payment mode |
|         | Numeric                    |

PostAdmin.15

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Post payment mode

-----

1. Check

INAP.  
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M024016 | PostAdmin.16. Payment amount |
|         | Numeric                      |

PostAdmin.16

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Post payment amount

-----

20. Twenty dollars  
40. Forty dollars  
50. Fifty dollars

INAP.  
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

=====

|          |                                     |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| M024017a | PostAdmin.17a. Month payment mailed |
|          | Numeric                             |

PostAdmin.17a

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Post payment mailed - month

-----

11. November  
12. December

INAP.  
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

=====

M024017b            PostAdmin.17b. Day payment mailed  
                     Numeric

PostAdmin.17b

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

    Date Post payment mailed - day

-----

        1-31

        INAP.

        5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

=====

M024018            PostAdmin.18. Pre Interview Length  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.18

    Post Interview Length

-----

        This is length in minutes.

        0. NA

        INAP.

        No Post interview

=====

M024019            PostAdmin.19. Pre Interviewer IW Number  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.19

    Post Interviewer's Interview Number

-----

        The interviewer's interviews are numbered by beginning date.

        0. NA

        INAP.

        No Post interview

=====

M024020            PostAdmin.20. Post persuasion letter  
                     Numeric

PostAdmin.20

    Post Persuasion letter

-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
No persuasion letters were sent in the Post

1. No persuasion letter sent in the Post

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M024024 | IWR.1. Post IWR of Record |
|         | Numeric                   |
|         | Missing eq 0              |

PostIWR.1

POST Interviewer of Record

-----

Interviewer ID number is coded and:

000. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                        |
|---------|------------------------|
| M024025 | IWR.2. Post IWR Gender |
|         | Numeric                |
|         | Missing eq 0           |

PostIWR.2

POST Interviewer Gender

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

1. Male  
2. Female

0. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M024026 | IWR.3. Post IWR Education Level |
|         | Numeric                         |
|         | Missing eq 0                    |

PostIWR.3

POST Interviewer Education Level (highest education)

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D

0. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024027            IWR.4. Post IWR Race  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0

PostIWR.4

POST Interviewer Race

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
5. Other

0. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====  
M024027a           IWR.4a. Post IWR Ethnicity  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 9

PostIWR.4a

POST Interviewer Ethnicity

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

0. No ethnicity mentioned
1. Hispanic
7. Other

9. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M024028 | IWR.5. Post IWR languages |
|         | Numeric                   |
|         | Missing eq 9              |

PostIWR.5

POST Interviewer Languages

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

0. No languages spoken  
1. Spanish  
7. Other

9. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| M024029 | IWR.6. Post IWR experience |
|         | Numeric                    |
|         | Missing eq 99              |

PostIWR.6

POST Interviewer Experience

-----

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

00. None  
01. 1 Year or less  
02. 13-23 Months  
03. 2 years  
04. 4 years  
05. 5 years  
06. 6 years  
07. 7 years  
08. 8 years  
09. 9 years

99. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

=====

|         |                     |
|---------|---------------------|
| M024030 | IWR.7. Post IWR Age |
|         | Numeric             |



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 0

PostIWR.7

POST Interviewer Age (age groups)

---

This describes the Post interviewer of record.  
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by  
interviewers.

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 61-64 years
8. 65-79 years

0. NA

INAP.  
No Post interview

---

M024201            PostSummary.1. Flag misassigned preload  
                  Numeric

PostSummary.1

FLAG - MISSASSIGNMENT IN PRELOAD

---

This variable flags 1 case where the preload district  
assignment was incorrect, and 2 cases where the preload county  
was misidentified but for which district assignment was still  
correct.

1. District preload incorrect (NY03 correct; NY04 preloaded)
2. County preload incorrect (district correct)
3. No preload misassignment

---

M024202            PostSummary.2.' Panel 'Flag - candidate same  
                  Numeric

PostSummary.2

Panel:  
2002 House candidate preload names same as 2000 preload names?

---

This determines whether the House candidate name (s) preloaded  
into the 2002 Post instrument were the same as the House  
candidate names that had been preloaded into the ANES 2000 Post.  
Note: Due to redistricting, district number for nonmovers may  
not be the same in 2000-2002; names of candidates were compared  
to construct this summary.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 00. Neither 2002 Democratic candidate preload name nor 2002 Republican candidate preload name was preloaded in 2000
- 01. 2002 Democratic preload name same as in 2000
- 02. 2002 Republican preload name same as in 2000
- 03. Both Democratic and Republican candidates same 2000-2002
- 04. 2002 Democratic Retiring Representative name was preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
- 05. 2002 Republican Retiring Representative name was preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
- 15. Democrat preload same and 2002 retiring Republican was preloaded in 2000
- 24. Republican preload same and 2002 retiring Democrat was preloaded in 2000

INAP.  
Fresh cross case

=====

M024202a

Summary.2a

' Panel '- 2000 district number

-----  
Due to redistricting, district number for nonmovers may not be the same in 2002 as it was in 2000.  
9996=case with misidentified district number in 2000

INAP.  
Fresh cross case

=====

M024401            PostRand.C1. Rand C1a/C1b Voter Turnout  
                  Numeric

PostRand.C1

RANDOMIZED PREASSIGNMENT - C1a/C1b VOTER TURNOUT

-----  
Respondents were randomly preassigned to either C1a or C1b in the Pre (alternate versions of vote turnout question).

- 1. C1a
- 2. C1b

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M024402            PostRand.Vote. Rand Order-Dem/Rep Cands  
                  Numeric

PostRand.Vote

RANDOMIZED ORDER - DEM/REPUB CANDIDATE NAMES IN VOTE SECTION

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

This variable describes the order to which the names of major party candidates were randomly preassigned for reading in the text of House and Senate vote-choice and nonvoter preference questions. This preassigned order did not actually apply if only a single major party candidate ran (major party candidate name always read before any independent/third-party name, if any).

1. Democratic name first, Republican name second
2. Republican name first, Democratic name second

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024403           PostRand.D1. Rand of D1 Thermometers  
                  Numeric

PostRand.D1

RANDOMIZATION OF D1 THERMOMETERS

-----

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer).

Note: This is a randomly pre-assigned order; not all thermometers apply to every respondent.

1. D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
2. D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024404           PostRand.D2. Rand of D2 Thermometers  
                  Numeric

PostRand.D2

RANDOMIZATION OF D2 THERMOMETERS

-----

Group thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders.

1. D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z
2. D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024405           PostRand.D3/D4. Rand Select D3/D4 Traits  
                  Numeric

PostRand.D3/D4

RANDOMIZED SELECTION D3/D4 TRAITS

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.

1. R administered D3 traits
2. R administered D4 traits

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024406            PostRand.D3. Rand Order D3 Bush Traits  
                  Numeric

PostRand.D3

RANDOMIZATION - ORDER OF D3 BUSH TRAITS

---

George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders.

1. D3a,b,c,d
2. D3a,d,c,b

INAP.  
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024407            PostRand.D4. Rand Order D4 Bush Traits  
                  Numeric

PostRand.D4

RANDOMIZATION - ORDER OF D4 BUSH TRAITS

---

George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders.

1. D4a,b,c,d
2. D4a,d,c,b

INAP.  
R selected for D3 traits; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024408            PostRand.G1a/b. Rand Order of G1a/b  
                  Numeric

PostRand.G1a/b

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF G1a/b

---

Respondents were randomly preassigned to be administered

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
major party liberal-conservative House candidate placements  
with either the Democrat or Republican first.

1. G1a Democratic House cand 1st, G1b Republican House cand 2nd
2. G1b Republican House cand 1st, G1a Democratic House cand 2nd

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024409           PostRand.K4/K5. Rand Selection of K4/K5  
                  Numeric

PostRand.K4/K5

RANDOMIZED SELECTION K4/K5  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5  
(trust in people).

1. K4 selected (people take advantage)
2. K5 selected (people try to be helpful)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024410           PostRand.L1/L2. Rand Assign L1/L2 Fed Sp  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L1/L2

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT L1/L2 FEDERAL SPENDING  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- K1 series in Pre, L2 series in Post
- K2 series in Pre, L1 series in Post

This variable describes the Post assignment based on this  
randomized selection.

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

1. L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
2. L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024411           PostRand.L1. Rand Post L1 Series  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L1

RANDOMIZATION POST L1 SERIES

-----  
This variable describes the order of administration of Post  
L1 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

INAP.

R selected for Post L2 series; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024412           PostRand.L1e. Rand Post L1e Wording  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L1e

RANDOMIZATION POST L1e WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item L1e in the Pre.

1. "Public schools"
2. "Big city schools"

INAP.

R selected for Post L1 series; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024413           PostRand.L1h. Rand Post L1h Wording  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L1h

RANDOMIZATION POST L1h WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item L1h in the Pre.

1. "Homeland security"
2. "War on terrorism"

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024414           PostRand.L2. Rand Post L2 Series  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L2

RANDOMIZATION POST L2 SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Post  
L2 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024415           PostRand.L2c. Rand Post L2c Wording  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L2c

RANDOMIZATION POST L2c WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item L2c in the Pre.

1. "Poor people"
2. "Working poor"

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024416           PostRand.L2j. Rand Post L2j Wording  
                  Numeric

PostRand.L2j

RANDOMIZATION POST L2j WORDING  
-----

This variable describes the random assignment of wording  
in Federal spending item L2j in the Pre.

1. "Poor children"
2. "Black children"

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024417           PostRand.M5/M6. Randomized Assign M5/M6  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M5/M6

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT M5/M6  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre; M5a,b,c,d affirmative  
  action items in Post  
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre; M6a,b,c,d equal pay  
  for women in Post

This variable describes the Post assignment based on this  
randomized selection.

Note: this Pre/Post randomization description applies regardless

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
of which alternative wording of P1/P2 was randomly selected  
in the Pre.

1. M5a,b,c,d asked in Post (P1 in pre)
2. M6a,b,c,d asked in Post (P2 in Pre)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024418           PostRand.M5a/b. Random Order M5a/b  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M5a/b

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M5a/b

-----  
Respondents were administered affirmative action items M5a  
(position of Democratic Party) and M5b (position of Republican  
Party) in random order.

1. M5a Democratic Party first, M5b Republican Party second
2. M5b Republican Party first, M5a Democratic Party second

INAP.  
R selected for M6a,b,c,d; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024419           PostRand.M6a/b. Random Order M6a/b  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M6a/b

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M6a/b

-----  
Respondents were administered equal pay for women items M6a  
(position of Democratic Party) and M6b (position of Republican  
Party) in random order.

1. M6a Democratic Party first, M6b Republican Party second
2. M6b Republican Party first, M6a Democratic Party second

INAP.  
R selected for M5a,b,c,d; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024420           PostRand.M7. Random Post M7 Wording  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M7

RANDOMIZATION POST M7 WORDING

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings  
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).



1. "Estate tax"
2. "Death tax"

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024421           PostRand.M7c/e. Random Order M7c/e  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M7c/e

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M7c/e

-----

Respondents were administered estate tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order.

1. M7c Democratic Party first, M7e Republican party second
2. M7e Republican Party first, M7c Democratic party second

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024422           PostRand.M9b/c. Random Order of M9b/c  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M9b/c

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M9b/c

-----

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c items on level of taxation in random order.

1. M9b taxes paid by rich first, M9c taxes paid by poor second
2. M9c taxes paid by poor first, M9b taxes paid by rich second

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024423           PostRand.M11. Randomized Assignment M11  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M11

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT M11

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better"), Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse"), Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better"), Post M11a (open "better")

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse"), Post M11b (open "worse")  
This variable describes the Post assignment based on this  
randomized selection.  
Note: Income inequality items in Pre L2 and Post M11 are  
identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d).

1. Post M11c administered (Pre L2a)
2. Post M11d administered (Pre L2b)
3. Post M11a administered (Pre L2c)
4. Post M11b administered (Pre L2d)

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024424           PostRand.M11c. Rand Post M11c Series  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M11c

RANDOMIZATION POST M11c SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Post  
M11c closed-list income inequality items (reasons why some  
people have better jobs/income). Two orderings were possible.

1. M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
2. M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024425           PostRand.M11d. Random Post M11d Series  
                  Numeric

PostRand.M11d

RANDOMIZATION POST M11d SERIES  
-----

This variable describes the order of administration of Post  
M11d closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why  
some people have worse jobs/income). Two orderings were  
possible.

1. M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
2. M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024500a           Cand.0a. House Type Race  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 97, ge 99

Cand.0a

House Type Race

---

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race.

INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
- 14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
- 19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
- 21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger
- 24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed
- 29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
- 31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 34. Other incumbent running - unopposed
- 35. Other incumbent running - Dem and Repub challengers

SPECIAL TYPE RACE DUE TO REDISTRICTING

- 40. Dem and Repub incumbents running - no other candidate
- 41. 2 Democratic incumbents running - no other candidate
- 42. 2 Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
- 43. Dem and Repub incumbents running - other candidate(s)
- 44. Dem non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 45. Repub non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 46. Dem and Rep candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 47. Dem and other candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 48. Rep and other candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 49. Dem, Rep and other cand - no retiree/unclear who is retiree

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 51. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
- 52. Dem incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
- 53. Dem incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
- 55. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cand
- 56. Dem incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
- 57. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
- 59. Dem incumbent not running - Democr, Repub, other cand
- 61. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
- 62. Rep incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
- 63. Rep incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
- 65. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cand
- 66. Rep incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
- 67. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
- 69. Rep incumbent not running - Democr, Repub, other cand

LOUISIANA DISTRICT 05 ONLY

- 80. Rep incumbent not running - Democr and 2 Repub candidates

97. Washington DC

99. Correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====

M024500b           Cand.0b. Senate Type Race  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 97

Cand.0b

Senate Type Race

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate.

INCUMBENT RUNNING

12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger
24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed
29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
34. Other incumbent running - unopposed
35. Other incumbent running - Dem and Repub challengers

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
52. Dem incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
53. Dem incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
55. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
56. Dem incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
57. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
59. Dem incumbent not running - Democr, Repub, other cands
61. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
62. Rep incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
63. Rep incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
65. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
66. Rep incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
67. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
69. Rep incumbent not running - Democr, Repub, other cands

LOUISIANA ONLY

80. Democratic incumbent running - 2 Republican challengers

NO RACE IN STATE

81. Democratic incumbents, no race in state
82. Republican incumbents, no race in state
83. Democratic and other incumbent, no race in state
84. Republican and other incumbent, no race in state
85. Democratic and Republican incumbents, no race in state
86. 2 Other incumbents - no race

97. Washington DC

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M024501           Cand.1. House Democratic Candidate Name  
                  Character  
Cand.1

Democratic House candidate name (alpha)

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate; correct district not assigned

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024502            Cand.2. Cand Code-House Democratic cand  
                    Numeric

Cand.2

Candidate code - Democratic House candidate  
-----

31. Democratic candidate in open House race  
33. Democratic House incumbent  
35. Democratic House challenger

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024503            Cand.3. House Democratic Cand Gender  
                    Numeric

Cand.3

Democratic House candidate gender  
-----

1. Male  
2. Female

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024504            Cand.4. House Republican Candidate Name  
                    Character

Cand.4

Republican House candidate name (alpha)  
-----

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024505            Cand.5. Cand Code-House Republican cand  
                    Numeric

Cand.5

Candidate code - Republican House candidate  
-----

32. Republican candidate in open House race  
34. Republican House incumbent  
36. Republican House challenger

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====

M024506           Cand.6. House Republican Cand Gender  
                  Numeric

Cand.6

Republican House candidate gender

-----

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====

M024507           Cand.7. House Ind/3rd Party Cand Name  
                  Character

Cand.7

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate name (alpha)

-----

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates  
facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican  
candidate is represented here.

INAP.

No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not  
assigned (See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====

M024508           Cand.8. Cand Code-House Ind/3rd Pty cand  
                  Numeric

Cand.8

Candidate code -Independent/3rd-Party House candidate

-----

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates  
facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican  
candidate is represented here.

- 32. 2nd Republican candidate in open House race (LA05 ONLY)
- 37. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate - non incumbent
- 39. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate - incumbent (VT01)

INAP.

No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not  
assigned (See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====

M024509 Cand.9. House Ind/3rd Pty Cand Gender  
Numeric

Cand.9

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate gender

---

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican candidate is represented here.

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.

No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024510 Cand.10. House Ind/3rd Party Cand Party  
Character

Cand.10

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate party (alpha)

---

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican candidate is represented here.

INAP.

No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024511 Cand.11. House Retiring Rep Name  
Character

Cand.11

Retiring House representative name (alpha)

---

INAP.

No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024512 Cand.12. Cand Code-House Retiring Rep  
Numeric

Cand.12

Candidate code - Retiring House representative

---

41. Democratic Representative retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative retiring (district with open race)

INAP.

No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024512a           Cand.2a. Ret Hse Rep running for Senate  
                  Numeric

Cand.12a

Is Retiring House representative also Senate candidate  
-----

Note: for cases coded 1-2, thermometer was asked only once  
(for House retiree).

1. House retiree is running as Democratic Senate cand in 2002
2. House retiree is running as Republican Senate cand in 2002
3. House retiree is not running as Senate cand in 2002

INAP.

No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024513           Cand.13. House Retiring Rep Gender  
                  Numeric

Cand.13

Retiring House representative gender  
-----

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.

No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned  
(See Summary.1)no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M024514           Cand.14. Senate Democratic Cand Name  
                  Character

Cand.14

Democratic Senate candidate name (alpha)  
-----

INAP.

No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post  
interview

=====  
M024515           Cand.15. Cand Code-Senate Dem Candidate  
                  Numeric

Cand.15

Candidate code - Democratic Senate candidate



-----  
01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race  
03. Democratic Senate incumbent  
05. Democratic Senate challenger

INAP.  
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post  
interview

=====  
M024516           Cand.16. Senate Democratic Cand Gender  
                  Numeric

Cand.16

Democratic Senate candidate gender  
-----

1. Male  
2. Female

INAP.  
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post  
interview

=====  
M024517           Cand.17. Senate Republican Cand Name  
                  Character

Cand.17

Republican Senate candidate name (alpha)  
-----

INAP.  
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post  
interview

=====  
M024518           Cand.18. Cand Code-Senate Repub Cand  
                  Numeric

Cand.18

Candidate code - Republican Senate candidate  
-----

02. Republican candidate in open Senate race  
04. Republican Senate incumbent  
06. Republican Senate challenger

INAP.  
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post  
interview

=====  
M024519           Cand.19. Senate Republican Cand Gender  
                  Numeric

Cand.19

Republican Senate candidate gender

---

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

INAP.

No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M024520            Cand.20. Senate Ind/3rd Party Cand Name  
                    Character

Cand.20

Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate name (alpha)

---

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

INAP.

No independent/3rd=party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

=====

M024521            Cand.21. Cand Code-Senate Ind/3rd Pty  
                    Numeric

Cand.21

Candidate code - Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate

---

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

06. Republican Senate challenger (LA only)

07. 3rd-Party or Independent Senate candidate - non incumbent

INAP.

No independent/3rd=party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

=====

M024522            Cand.22. Senate Ind/3rd Pty Cand Gender  
                    Numeric

Cand.22

Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate gender

---

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.  
No independent/3rd=party Senate candidate; no race in state;  
2002 Post interview

=====  
M024523           Cand.23. Party Senate Ind/3rd Pty Cand  
                  Character  
Cand.23

Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate party (alpha)  
-----

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican  
challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate.  
The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

INAP.  
No independent/3rd=party Senate candidate; no race in state;  
2002 Post interview

=====  
M024524           Cand.24. Cand Code-2002 House Winner  
                  Numeric

Cand.24

2002 House Election Winner - candidate code  
-----

31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
39. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate - incumbent (VT01)

INAP.  
Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====  
M024524a           Cand.24a. 2002 House Winner-Party  
                  Numeric

Cand.24a

2002 House Election Winner - Party  
-----

Source: CNN.com

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

INAP.

Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====  
M024524b            Cand.24b. 2002 Dem House Cand Percen  
                     Numeric

Cand.24b

Vote % Dem House candidate  
-----

Source: CNN.com

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate (incl. Washington DC); correct  
district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====  
M024524c            Cand.24c. 2002 Rep House Cand Percent  
                     Numeric

Cand.24c

Vote % Rep House candidate  
-----

Source: CNN.com

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate (incl. Washington DC); correct  
district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====  
M024524d            Cand.24d. 2002 Ind/3rd Hse Cand Percent  
                     Numeric

Cand.24d

Vote % Ind/3rd Party House candidate  
-----

Source: CNN.com.

This includes the vote percentage of the independent/third  
party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of  
returns (if any).

Note that the Post instrument preload included, for several  
districts, 1 independent/3rd party candidate name; that  
candidate is represented here even if he/she did not finally  
receive more than 5% of the vote.

Note: in a few districts, more than 1 independent/3rd-party  
candidate received more than 5% of the vote; besides an  
independent/3rd-party candidate described in Cand24.d-Cand.24f:

LA02 - Additional Republican candidate 11% (Sullivan)

LA05 - Additional Republican candidate 24% (Fletcher)

SC02 - United Citizens Party candidate 6% (Legg)

SC05 - Constitution Party candidate 6% (FaFemine)

INAP.

No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and  
no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC;  
correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====

M024524e           Cand.24e. 2002 Ind/3rd Hse Cand Name  
                  Character

Cand.24e

Ind/3rd Party House candidate name

-----  
Source: CNN.com.

This includes the independent/third party candidate with the  
greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any). Note that  
the Post instrument preload included, for several districts 1  
independent/3rd party candidate name; that candidate is  
represented here even if he/she did not finally receive more  
than 5% of the vote.

INAP.

No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and  
no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC;  
correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====

M024524f           Cand.24f. 2002 Hse Ind/3rd Party  
                  Character

Cand.24f

Ind/3rd Party House candidate party

-----  
Source: CNN.com.

This includes the independent/third party candidate with the  
greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any). Note that  
the Post instrument preload included, for several districts 1  
independent/3rd party candidate name; that candidate is  
represented here even if he/she did not finally receive more  
than 5% of the vote.

INAP.

No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and  
no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC;  
correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

=====

M024525           Cand.25. Cand Code-2002 Senate winner  
                  Numeric

Cand.25

2002 Senate Election Winner - candidate code

-----  
01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race  
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race  
03. Democratic Senate incumbent

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

04. Republican Senate incumbent  
05. Democratic Senate challenger  
06. Republican Senate challenger

INAP.

No Senate race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                    |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| M024525a | Cand.25a. 2002 Senate Winner-Party |
|          | Numeric                            |

Cand.25a

2002 Senate Election Winner - Party

-----

Source: CNN.com

1. Democrat  
5. Republican  
7. Other

INAP.

No Senate race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                        |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| M024525b | Cand.25b. 2002 Dem Senate Cand Percent |
|          | Numeric                                |

Cand.25b

Vote % Dem Senate candidate

-----

Source: CNN.com

INAP.

No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; Washington DC

=====

|          |                                        |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| M024525c | Cand.25c. 2002 Rep Senate Cand Percent |
|          | Numeric                                |

Cand.25c

Vote % Rep Senate candidate

-----

Source: CNN.com

INAP.

No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; Washington DC

=====

|          |                                        |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| M024525d | Cand.25d. 2002 Ind Senate Cand Percent |
|          | Numeric                                |

Cand.25d

Vote % Ind/3rd party Senate candidate

Source: CNN.com.

This includes the vote percentage of the independent/third party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any).

Note that the Post instrument preload included 2 states for each of which an independent/third party candidate name was included in the preload; both of these preloaded independent/3rd party candidate names are represented here, including the MN candidate who did not finally receive more than 5% of the vote.

KS: Libertarian candidate [Rosile]

LA: 2nd Republican candidate [Terrell]

MN: Reform party [Moore]

MS: Reform candidate [O'Hara]

OK: Independent candidate [Germalic]

VA: Independent candidate [Spannaus]

LA Note:

Louisiana had multiple Republican challengers to the Senate running Democratic incumbent in the 2002 election; the Republican candidate who seemed to be the leading challenger was preloaded as 'the' Republican candidate in the instrument, and 1 additional Republican candidate was included in the preload fields otherwise reserved for independent/3rd party candidate. Also, in addition to the 2nd Republican candidate whose vote percentage is represented in Cand.25d, Louisiana had a 4th candidate with over 5% of the vote (a 3rd Republican candidate, [Perkins] who received 10% of the vote).

VA Note:

In Virginia, an additional Independent candidate [Hornberger] received 7% of the vote.

INAP.

No independent/3rd party Senate candidate with more than 5% of vote; no race in state; Washington DC

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M024526 | Cand.26. Junior Senator Status |
|         | Numeric                        |

Cand.26

Junior Senator Status 2002

-----

This describes the Junior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).

0. Junior Senator not running or retiring in 2002

1. Junior Senator running in 2002

2. Junior Senator retiring in 2002

INAP.

Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|          |                               |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| M024526a | Cand.26. Junior Senator Party |
|          | Numeric                       |

Cand.26a

Junior Senator Party 2002

---

This describes the Junior Senator prior to the 2002 election  
(107th Congress).

- 1. Democratic
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

---

M024527            Cand.27. Senior Senator Status in 2002  
                    Numeric

Cand.27

Senior Senator Status 2002

---

This describes the Senior Senator prior to the 2002 election  
(107th Congress).

- 0. Senior Senator not running or retiring in 2002
- 1. Senior Senator running in 2002
- 2. Senior Senator retiring in 2002

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

---

M024527a           Cand.27a. Senior Senator Party  
                    Numeric

Cand.27a

Senior Senator Party 2002

---

This describes the Senior Senator prior to the 2002 election  
(107th Congress).

- 1. Democratic
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

---

M024528            Cand.28. Junior Senator Name  
                    Character

Cand.28



Junior Senator name (alpha)

---

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M024529 | Cand.29. Junior Senator Code |
|         | Numeric                      |

Cand.29

Junior Senator code

---

RACE IN STATE  
 03. Democratic Senate Running Incumbent  
 04. Republican Senate Running Incumbent  
 JUNIOR SENATOR WITH TERM NOT UP (NOT RUNNING FOR RETIRING)  
 11. Democratic Junior Senator  
 12. Republican Junior Senator  
 13. Independent/3rd-Party Junior Senator  
 JUNIOR SENATOR RETIRING  
 21. Retiring Democratic Junior Senator in state with open race  
 22. Retiring Republican Junior Senator in state with open race  
 23. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Junior Senator in state w/ open race

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M024530 | Cand.30. Junior Senator Gender |
|         | Numeric                        |

Cand.30

Junior Senator gender

---

1. Male  
 2. Female

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M024531 | Cand.31. Senior Senator Name |
|         | Character                    |

Cand.31

Senior Senator Name

---

INAP.  
Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M024532 | Cand.32. Senior Senator Code |
|         | Numeric                      |

Cand.32

Senior Senator Code

RACE IN STATE

03. Democratic Senate Running Incumbent

04. Republican Senate Running Incumbent

SENIOR SENATOR RETIRING

27. Retiring Democratic Senior Senator in state with open race

28. Retiring Republican Senior Senator in state with open race

29. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Senior Senator in state w/ open race

SENIOR SENATOR WITH TERM NOT UP (NOT RUNNING FOR RETIRING)

17. Democratic Senior Senator

18. Republican Senior Senator

19. Independent/3rd Party Senior Senator

INAP.

Washington DC; no Post IW

=====  
M024533 Cand.33. Senior Senator Gender  
Numeric

Cand.33

Senior Senator gender

1. Male

2. Female

INAP.

Washington DC; no Post IW

=====  
M024534 Cand.34. Retiring Senator Name  
Character

Cand.34

Retiring Senator Name

INAP.

No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

=====  
M024535 Cand.35. Retiring Senator Code  
Numeric

Cand.35

Retiring Senator Code

21. Retiring Democratic Junior Senator in state with open race

22. Retiring Republican Junior Senator in state with open race

23. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Junior Senator in state w/ open race

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

- 27. Retiring Democratic Senior Senator in state with open race
- 28. Retiring Republican Senior Senator in state with open race
- 29. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Senior Senator in state w/ open race

INAP.

No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                                  |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| M024536 | Cand.36. Retiring Senator Gender |
|         | Numeric                          |

Cand.36

Retiring Senator gender

-----

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

INAP.

No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M025001 | A1. How Much Attn to Campaigns |
|         | Numeric                        |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8             |

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns.  
How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH  
INTERESTED, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED or NOT MUCH INTERESTED in the  
political campaigns so far this year?

-----

- 1. Very much interested
- 3. Somewhat interested
- 5. Not much interested

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M025002 | A2. Watch Campaign TV Programs |
|         | Numeric                        |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8             |

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

-----

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025003           A3. R Discuss Politics w/ Family/Friends  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?  
-----

1. Yes  
5. No  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025004           A3a. How Often Discuss Politics  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 8, ge 9

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSED POLITICS WITH FAMILY OR FRIENDS IN PAST WEEK:

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics  
with family or friends?  
-----

00. None  
01. One Day  
02. Two Days  
03. Three Days  
04. Four Days  
05. Five Days  
06. Six Days  
07. Every Day

08. Don't know  
09. Refused  
99. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in A3; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025005           B1. Parties Talk to R About Campaign  
                  Numeric

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

-----

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M025006 | B1a. Which Party Talked to R About Campa |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

B1a.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

-----

1. Democrats  
5. Republicans  
6. Both  
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in B1; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M025007 | B2. R Try to Influence Vote of Others |
|         | Numeric                               |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025008        B3. R Display Campaign Button/Sticker  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your  
car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025009        B4. R Attend Candidate Meetings/Rallies  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches,  
dinners, or things like that in support of a particular  
candidate?

-----

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025010        B5. R Do Other Work for Party or Cand  
                 Numeric  
                 Missing eq 0, ge 8

B5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

|         |                                   |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| =====   |                                   |
| M025011 | B6. Did R Give Money to Candidate |
|         | Numeric                           |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                |

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to AN INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

|         |                               |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| M025012 | B7. Did R Give Money to Party |
|         | Numeric                       |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8            |

B7.

Did you give money to A POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025013            B8. R Give Money to Any Other Group

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

B8.

Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or  
opposed candidates?

1. Yes

5. No

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025014 B9. Anyone Talk to R About Reg/Vote

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

B9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about  
REGISTERING TO VOTE or GETTING OUT TO VOTE?

1. Yes, someone did

5. No, no one did

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025015a C1a. R Turnout Traditional

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

C1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1 OF VOTE TURNOUT QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot  
of people were not able to vote because they weren't  
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How  
about you--did you vote in the elections this November?

R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT - VERSION 1

Respondents were randomly assigned to either C1a or C1b in the  
Pre (alternate version for R turnout).



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Yes, voted
5. No, didn't vote
6. R refused to say whether voted
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for version 2 of R turnout (C1b); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025015b            C1b. R Turnout Experimental  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2 OF VOTE TURNOUT QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot  
of people were not able to vote because they weren't  
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:

- One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
- Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
- Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
- Four, I am sure I voted?

R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT - VERSION 2

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to either C1a or C1b in the  
Pre (alternate version for R turnout).  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. I did not vote (in the election this November)
2. I thought about voting this time but didn't
3. I usually vote but didn't this time
4. I am sure I voted

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for version 1 of R turnout (C1a); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025016            C1x. Summary:R 2002 Election Turnout  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C1x.

VERSION 1:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you--did you vote in the elections this November?

VERSION 2:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);

Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;

Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or

Four, I am sure I voted?

SUMMARY: R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT

-----  
Built from C1a and C1b.

1. R reported voting in 2002 election  
5. R reported not voting in 2002 election

8. R did not know whether voted in 2002 election  
9. R refused to say whether voted in 2002 election  
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M025017 | C2. Was R Registered to Vote |
|         | Numeric                      |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8           |

C2.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR NA/REFUSED IF VOTED:

Were you registered to vote in this election?

-----  
1. Yes  
5. No  
6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
1,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                             |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| M025018 | C2a. R Registered in County |
|         | Numeric                     |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 7          |

C2a.

IF R VOTED:/

IF R IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:

{IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C AND NOT LOUISIANA:}

Your residence is located in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county.

Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county?

{IF LOUISIANA:}

Your residence is located in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?

Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?

{IF WASHINGTON D.C.:}

Your residence is located in Washington D.C.

Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

R REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

-----  
PRELOADED: NAME OF COUNTY OR WASHINGTON DC  
(ALASKA: OTHER UNITS; IN METROPOLITANA AREAS SOMETIMES  
IDENTIFIED READS: "<PRELOAD CITY NAME> city")

1. Yes, registered in <COUNTY/WASH D.C.>

5. No, registered elsewhere

7. Not registered elsewhere but District misassignment (see  
Summary.1)

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C2; 9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025018a            C2aa. Preloaded FIPS county  
                      Numeric

C2aa.

PRELOADED COUNTY

-----  
The first 2 digits are FIPS state code; last 3 digits are  
FIPS county code. This variable has been blanked to preserve  
respondent confidentiality. 11000=Washington DC

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025019            C2a1. County Registered if Not Residence  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0

C2a1.

IF R VOTED OR IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:

IF R REGISTERED OUTSIDE OF COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION - R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE

-----  
FIPS state code.

00. NA

INAP.

9,0 in C1x; 5,6,8,9,0 in C2; 1,8,9,0 in C2a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                        |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| M025019a | C2a2. District of outside registration |
|          | Numeric                                |
|          | Missing eq 99                          |

C2a2.

IF R VOTED OR IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:

IF R REGISTERED OUTSIDE OF COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

In what county and state are you registered?

DISTRICT OF REGISTRATION-R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE

-----  
99. NA

INAP.

6,8,9,0 in C2; 1,8,9,0 in C2a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M025020 | C2ax. Summary:R Vote/Registration Status |
|         | Numeric                                  |

C2ax.

VERSION 1:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you--did you vote in the elections this November? /

VERSION 2:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:

- One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
- Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
- Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
- Four, I am sure I voted?

Were you registered to vote in this election?

{IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C AND NOT LOUISIANA:}

Your residence is located in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county.

Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county?

{IF LOUISIANA:}

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Your residence is located in <PRELOAD PARISH\_NAME> parish?  
Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?  
{IF WASHINGTON D.C.:}  
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.  
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

SUMMARY: R VOTE/REGISTRATION STATUS

---

Built from C1x, C2, C2a.

1. R voted, registered in county (includes 7 in C2a)
2. R voted, registered outside county /DK/RF/NA where registered
3. R did not vote or DK/RF/NA if voted
4. Washington DC: R voted, registered in Washington DC
5. Washington DC: R voted, registered outside or DK/RF/NA where
6. Washington DC: R did not vote or DK/RF/NA if voted

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| M025021 | C4. Did R Vote on Election Day |
|         | Numeric                        |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8             |

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote ON ELECTION DAY -- that is, November 5, 2002, or  
did you vote at SOME TIME BEFORE this?

---

1. On election day
5. Some time before this
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M025022 | C4a1. R Voted How Long Before Election |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 88                    |

C4a1.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 5th did you vote?  
{PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?}

---

01. Less than one week, 1-6 days

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

02. One week; 7 days  
03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days  
04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days  
05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days  
06. One month; 29-31 days  
07. More than one month; 32-60 days  
11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS  
12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS  
87. Other  
  
88. Don't know  
89. Refused  
00. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,8,9,0 in C4a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025023            C4a2. R Vote Absentee Ballot or in Perso  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C4a2.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote IN PERSON or by ABSENTEE BALLOT?

-----

1. In person  
5. Absentee ballot  
7. R VOLUNTEERS: by mail {OREGON ONLY}  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,8,9,0 in C4a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025024            C11a. Did R Vote for House  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a.

IF R VOTED AND NOT WASHINGTON D.C.

How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in  
Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of  
Representatives?

-----

1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives  
5. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives  
  
8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025025            C11a1. In County R Vote House of Represe  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a1.

IF R VOTED:

IF NOT WASHINGTON DC AND VOTED IN COUNTY:

IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?

Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND  
NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat],  
[<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY>  
candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else?)?

-----

Note: The case with misassigned district preloaded (see  
Summary.1) was coded for R's candidate vote from R's actual  
district.

In LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of  
which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate  
preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were  
read after any major party names.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this  
questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first  
or the name of the Republican candidate read first.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

2,3,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025025x           C11ax. Cand Code-House Vote In County  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 88

C11ax.

IF R VOTED:

IF NOT WASHINGTON DC AND VOTED IN COUNTY:

IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?

Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else?)?

CANDIDATE CODE HOUSE VOTE - IN COUNTY

Note: The case with misassigned district preloaded (see Summary.1) was coded for R's candidate vote from R's actual district.

In LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were read after any major party names. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 31. Democratic House candidate in open race
- 32. Republican House candidate in open race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
- 38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
- 39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent
- 85. Name not on candidate list

- 88. Don't know
- 89. Refused
- 00. NA

INAP.

2,3,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025026 C11a2. Outside Cand R Vote House of Repr  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C11a2.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:

IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?

HOUSE VOTE CANDIDATE CODE - R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

Note: in 1 case, R's 'outside' candidate was in the same



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
congressional district as district of residence (1 case coded  
33).

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:

71. Democratic candidate

72. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:

73. Democratic incumbent

74. Republican incumbent

75. Democratic challenger

76. Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS:

80. Third party or independent candidate

81. Democrat--no name given

82. Republican--no name given

85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know

89. Refused

00. NA

INAP.

1,3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025027 C11a2a. Outside Party R Vote House of Re  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a2a.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:

IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Which party was that?

PARTY OF HOUSE VOTE - OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

-----

This is the respondent's identification of 'outside' candidate's  
party.

1. DEMOCRAT

2. REPUBLICAN

3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)

7. Name not on candidate list

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

1,3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025028a C11x1. Cand Code-House Vote Summary  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C11x1.

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY AND NOT WASHINGTON DC /  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:  
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?  
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND  
NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat],  
[<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY>  
candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)? /  
Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

CANDIDATE CODE HOUSE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

-----  
Built from C11ax, C11a2.

- 31. Democratic House candidate in open race
- 32. Republican House candidate in open race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
- 38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
- 39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent
- OUTSIDE DISTRICT
- 71. Democratic candidate
- 72. Republican candidate
- 73. Democratic incumbent
- 74. Republican incumbent
- 75. Democratic challenger
- 76. Republican challenger
- 80. Third party or independent candidate
- 81. Democrat--no name given
- 82. Republican--no name given
- 85. Name not on candidate list
  
- 88. Don't know
- 89. Refused
- 00. NA

INAP.

3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025028b            C11x2. Cand Pty House Vote Summary  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11x2.

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY AND NOT WASHINGTON DC /  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:  
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?

Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)? /

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

CANDIDATE PARTY HOUSE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

Built from C11ax, C11a2.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M025029 | C15. Summary-Senate Race Status |
|         | Numeric                         |

C15.

IF R VOTED:

SUMMARY: SENATE RACE STATUS

- 
1. Registered in county and race in state
  2. Registered in county and no race in state
  3. Registered outside county or DK/RF/NA where registered (incl. Washington DC)
  4. Washington DC and registered in Washington DC

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                         |
|---------|-------------------------|
| M025030 | C15a. Did R Vote Senate |
|         | Numeric                 |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8      |

C15a.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:/

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW:

How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE?

Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

1. Yes, voted for Senate
5. No, didn't vote for Senate
7. R VOLUNTEERS: no race in state of vote  
(R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025031            C15a1. In County Cand R Senate Vote  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15a1.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?

Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND  
NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat],  
[<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY>  
candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this  
questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first  
or the name of the Republican candidate read first.  
Note: in LA there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of  
which was preloaded into Senate independent/3rd-party candidate  
preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were  
read after any major party names.  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,3,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025031a           C15ax. Cand - in-county senate vote  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 88

C15ax.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE CODE SENATE VOTE - IN COUNTY

- 
- 01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
  - 02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
  - 03. Democratic Senate incumbent
  - 04. Republican Senate incumbent
  - 05. Democratic Senate challenger
  - 06. Republican Senate challenger
  - 07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
  - 08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
  - 09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent
  - VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
  - 71. Democratic candidate
  - 72. Republican candidate
  - 73. Democratic incumbent
  - 74. Republican incumbent
  - 75. Democratic challenger
  - 76. Republican challenger
  - NAME NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST
  - 85. Name not on candidate list
  - 88. Don't know
  - 89. Refused
  - 00. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,3,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025032            C15a2. Outside Cand R Senate Vote  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 88

C15a2.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?

SENATE VOTE CANDIDATE CODE - R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

---

If R voted outside county of interview but within the same state, the regular candidate code is used here (as found in C15ax, codes 1-9).

- 71. Democratic candidate
- 72. Republican candidate
- 73. Democratic incumbent
- 74. Republican incumbent

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

75. Democratic challenger  
76. Republican challenger  
80. Third party or independent candidate  
81. Democrat--no name given  
82. Republican--no name given  
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know  
89. Refused  
00. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025033            C15a2a. Outside Party R Vote Senate  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15a2a.

IF R VOTED:  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW:  
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY OF SENATE VOTE - OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

-----  
This is the respondent's identification of 'outside' candidate's party.

1. Democratic  
5. Republican  
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025034            C15ax1. Summary - Senate Vote  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 88

C15ax1.

IF R VOTED:  
IF R VOTED IN STATE OF IW AND RACE IN STATE /  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:  
    ED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE CODE SENATE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

-----

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Built from C15ax, C15a2.

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger
07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent
- VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
- VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:
- STATE WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
- STATE WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
- ALL STATES:
80. Third party or independent candidate
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
85. Name not on candidate list
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025034x            C15ax2. Cand party - all Senate vote  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15ax2.

IF R VOTED:  
IF R VOTED IN STATE OF IW AND RACE IN STATE /  
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:  
ED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE PARTY SENATE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

-----  
Built from C15ax, C15a2.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)  
7. Name not on candidate list for this race  
  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA  
  
INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025035            C18a. Nonvoter Prefer House Cand  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C18a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in  
Washington? Did you PREFER one of the candidates for the U.S.  
House of Representatives?

-----  
1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
1,2,4,5,6 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025036            C18a1. House Cand Nonvoter Prefers  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C18a1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Who did you prefer?  
Did you prefer (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/  
<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP  
CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY>  
candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

NONVOTER HOUSE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE  
-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this  
questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first  
or the name of the Republican candidate read first.  
Note: in LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate  
preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were  
read after any major party names.  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C18a; 1,2,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025037            C18ax1. Nonvoter Hse pref cand code  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 88

C18ax1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES:

NONVOTER HOUSE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

- 
31. Democratic House candidate in open race
  32. Republican House candidate in open race
  33. Democratic House incumbent
  34. Republican House incumbent
  35. Democratic House challenger
  36. Republican House challenger
  37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
  38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
  39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent
  85. Name not on candidate list
  88. Don't know
  89. Refused
  00. NA

INAP.

5,8,9,0 in C18a; 1,2,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025038            C18ax2. Sen race in state of IW?  
                  Numeric

C19.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:

RACE IN STATE OF IW?

1. State with race
2. State with no race (including Washington DC)

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                        |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| M025039 | C19a. Nonvoter Prefer Senate Candidate |
|         | Numeric                                |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                     |

C19a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:

How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE?  
Did you PREFER one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
2 in C19; 5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M025040 | C19a1. Senate Cand Nonvoter Prefers |
|         | Numeric                             |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |

C19a1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:  
R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES:

Who did you prefer?  
Did you prefer (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else?)?

NONVOTER SENATE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first.  
Note: in LA there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of which was preloaded into Senate independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
read after any major party names.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C19a; 2 in C19; 5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025041           C19ax. Nonvoter Sen pref cand code  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 88

C19ax.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:  
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:  
R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES:

NONVOTER SENATE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

-----  
Code 6 includes the 2nd Republican Senate candidate in  
Louisiana (included in code 3 in C19a).

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger
07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in C19a; 2 in C19; 5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025042           C20. Congr Elections Conducted Fairly  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

C20.

In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted  
fairly. In other countries, people believe that their  
elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the

Congressional elections we've just had, do you believe they  
were VERY FAIR, SOMEWHAT FAIR, NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR,  
SOMEWHAT UNFAIR, or VERY UNFAIR?

---

1. Very fair
2. Somewhat fair
3. Neither fair nor unfair
4. Somewhat unfair
5. Very unfair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

D1.

I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news  
these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to  
rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100  
degrees. Rating above 50 means that you feel favorable and warm  
toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel  
unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50  
degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cold.  
You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable  
or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose  
name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the  
next one.

---

=====

M025043            D1a. George W. Bush Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1a.

The first person is:  
George W. Bush  
Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: GEORGE W. BUSH

---

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

    D1a, D1g, D1h, D1j, D1m, D1m1, D1k  
    D1a, D1h, D1g, D1j, D1k, D1m, D1m1  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025044            D1g. Dem House Cand Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1g.

IF R'S COUNTY OF RESIDENCE HAS A DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(The next person is:)  
<PRELOAD DEM HOUSE CAND NAME>  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE  
-----

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

    D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k  
    D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No Democratic House candidate; error in district assignment  
preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025045            D1h. Rep House Cand Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1h.

IF R'S COUNTY OF RESIDENCE HAS A REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(The next person is:)

<PRELOAD REP HOUSE CAND NAME>

(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE NAME

-----  
Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

D1a, D1g, D1h, D1j, D1m, D1m1, D1k

D1a, D1h, D1g, D1j, D1k, D1m, D1m1

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; error in district assignment  
preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M025046 | D1j. Retiring House Representative Therm |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888                   |

D1j.

IF R'S COUNTY OF RESIDENCE HAS A RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

(The next person is:)

<PRELOAD RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE NAME>

(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

-----  
Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):

D1a, D1g, D1h, D1j, D1m, D1m1, D1k

D1a, D1h, D1g, D1j, D1k, D1m, D1m1

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No retiring House representative; error in district assignment  
preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025047            D1k. Dem Senate Cand Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1k.

IF R LIVES IN A STATE WITH A DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE:  
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT HOUSE RETIREE:

(The next person is:)  
<PRELOAD DEM SENATE CAND NAME>  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE

-----  
If Democratic Senate candidate was also House retiree, then  
only the House retiree thermometer was asked (D1j).  
Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
thermometer):  
    D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k  
    D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No Democratic Senate candidate; Democratic Senate candidate is  
also House retiree (1 in Cand.12a); no race in state or  
Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025048           D1m. Repub Senate Cand Thermometer  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1m.

IF R LIVES IN A STATE WITH A REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE:  
 IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT HOUSE RETIREE:

(The next person is:)  
 <PRELOAD REP SENATE CAND NAME>  
 (Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
 YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE  
 SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE

-----

If Republican Senate candidate was also House retiree, then  
 only the House retiree thermometer was asked (D1j).  
 Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two  
 possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st  
 thermometer):

    D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k  
     D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1  
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
 888. Don't know where to rate  
 889. Refused  
 999. NA

INAP.  
 No Republican Senate candidate; Republican Senate candidate is  
 also House retiree (1 in Cand.12a); no race in state or  
 Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025049           D1m1. LA05 ONLY: 2nd Repub Senate Cand T  
                   Numeric  
                   Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1m1.

IF R LIVES IN LOUISIANA:

(The next person is:)  
 <LA ONLY: 2ND REP SENATE CAND NAME>  
 (Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
 YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

-----  
THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED LA 2ND REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE  
-----

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k  
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
Not Louisiana (no 2nd Republican Senate candidate); no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                           |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| M025050 | D1n. Ind/3rd Party House Cand Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                                   |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888                    |

D1n.

IF INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY HOUSE CAND.:/  
IF LA05:

(The next person is:)  
<PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY HOUSE CAND NAME>  
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

-----  
THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED INDEPEN./3RD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE  
-----

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race; for LA05, this variable represents the 2nd Republican candidate.

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k  
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.

No independent/3rd-party House candidate and not LA05; no 2002  
Post interview

=====

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

-----

=====

M025051            D2a. Supreme Court Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2a.

(How would you rate:)  
the Supreme Court?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE SUPREME COURT

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025052            D2b. Congress Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2b.

(How would you rate:)  
Congress?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CONGRESS

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                           |
|---------|---------------------------|
| M025053 | D2c. Military Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                   |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888    |

D2c.

(How would you rate:)  
the Military?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE MILITARY

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025054 D2d. Federal Govt Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

D2d.

(How would you rate:)  
the federal government in Washington?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025055 D2e. Blacks Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

D2e.

(How would you rate:)  
blacks?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: BLACKS

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
Page 1084

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

possible orders:

D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /

D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025056            D2f. Whites Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2f.

(How would you rate:)  
whites?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: WHITES

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:

D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /

D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

999. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025057            D2g. Conservatives Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2g.

(How would you rate:)  
conservatives?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CONSERVATIVES

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025058            D2h. Liberals Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2h.

(How would you rate:)  
liberals?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: LIBERALS

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                               |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| M025059 | D2j. Labor Unions Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                       |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888        |

D2j.

(How would you rate:)  
labor unions?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: LABOR UNIONS

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                               |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| M025060 | D2k. Big Business Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                       |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888        |

D2k.

(How would you rate:)  
big business?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: BIG BUSINESS

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025061           D2m. Poor People Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2m.

(How would you rate:)  
poor people?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: POOR PEOPLE  
-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025062           D2n. People on Welfare Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2n.

(How would you rate:)  
people on welfare?



{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PEOPLE ON WELFARE

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| M025063 | D2p. Hispanics Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                    |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888     |

D2p.

(How would you rate:)  
Hispanics (Hispanic-Americans)?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025064            D2q. Christian Fundamentalists Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2q.

(How would you rate:)  
Christian fundamentalists?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

-----  
Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025065            D2r. Elderly Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2r.

(How would you rate:)  
older people (the elderly)?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)

-----  
Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025066           D2s. Environmentalists Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2s.

(How would you rate:)  
environmentalists?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: ENVIRONMENTALISTS

-----  
Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025067           D2t. Gay Men and Lesbians Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2t.

(How would you rate:)  
gay men and lesbians, that is, homosexuals?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS (HOMOSEXUALS)

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| M025068 | D2u. Catholics Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                    |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888     |

D2u.

(How would you rate:)  
Catholics?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CATHOLICS

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025069 D2v. Jews Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

D2v.

(How would you rate:)  
Jews?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JEWS

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025070 D2w. Protestants Thermometer
 Numeric
 Missing eq 887, ge 888
```

D2w.

(How would you rate:)  
Protestants?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PROTESTANTS

-----

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025071            D2y. Feminists Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2y.

(How would you rate:)  
feminists?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: FEMINISTS

-----  
Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025072            D2z. Asian-Americans Thermometer  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2z.

(How would you rate:)  
Asian-Americans?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: ASIAN-AMERICANS

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                              |
|---------|------------------------------|
| M025073 | D2za. News Media Thermometer |
|         | Numeric                      |
|         | Missing eq 887, ge 888       |

D2za.

(How would you rate:)  
the news media?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE NEWS MEDIA

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                   |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| M025074 | D2zb. Catholic Church Thermometer |
|---------|-----------------------------------|

Page 1095

Numeric  
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2zb.

(How would you rate:)  
the Catholic Church?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE CATHOLIC CHURCH

-----  
Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

D3.

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use  
to describe George W. Bush. For each, please tell me whether  
the word or phrase describes him.

=====

M025075           D3a. Bush Trait -Leadership  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

D3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG  
LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL,  
NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
Page 1096



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

traits questions.

George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:

D3a,b,c,d

D3a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025076 D3b. Bush Trait - Moral
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D3b.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is MORAL'?

(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: MORAL

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
traits questions.

George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:

D3a,b,c,d

D3a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025077 D3c. Bush Trait - Out of Touch
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D3c.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
traits questions.  
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:  
D3a,b,c,d  
D3a,d,c,b  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025078 D3d. Bush Trait - Knowledgeable
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D3d.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: KNOWLEDGEABLE

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
traits questions.  
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:  
D3a,b,c,d

D3a,d,c,b  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

=====

D4.

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use  
to describe George W. Bush. For each, please tell me whether  
the word or phrase describes him.

-----

=====

M025079            D4a. Bush Trait - Leader  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG  
LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL,  
NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
traits questions.  
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:  
  D4a,b,c,d  
  D4a,d,c,b  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for D3 traits; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025080 D4b. Bush Trait -Cares Abt People
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D4b.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush  
traits questions.

George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to  
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:

D4a,b,c,d

D4a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025081 D4c. Bush Trait - Dishonest
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

D4c.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is DISHONEST'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: DISHONEST

---

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.  
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders:

D4a,b,c,d

D4a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025082            D4d. Bush Trait - Intelligent  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4d.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is INTELLIGENT'?  
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,  
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: INTELLIGENT

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.  
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders:

D4a,b,c,d

D4a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025083           E1. Before Election Party w/House Most  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

E1.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the  
House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election  
[this/last] month?  
{IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?}  
{DON'T PROBE DK}

-----

1. The Democrats
5. The Republicans
7. About equal; above even; about the same [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025084           F5. R Follow Politics and Public Affairs  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and  
public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election  
going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say  
you follow what's going on in government and public affairs  
MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, ONLY NOW AND THEN, or  
HARDLY AT ALL?

-----

1. Most of the time
2. Some of the time
3. Only now and then
4. Hardly at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

G1.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and  
conservatives.

```
=====
M025085 Gla. Dem Hse Cand Liberal-Conservative
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1a.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:  
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [<DEM HOUSE CAND  
NAME/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a  
LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND/VT01 INDEP INCUMBENT: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

---

Note: if R indicated nonrecognition of the Democratic House  
candidate's name in the D1h thermometer, then this question  
was not asked.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered  
G1a/G1b (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House  
candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic  
House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name  
second or vice versa. (Data in G1a are data for Democratic  
House candidate regardless of order; data in G1b are data  
for Republican House candidate regardless of order).  
Placement for VT01 independent incumbent (only) is also included  
in this variable, with data for VT01 Republican challenger  
in G1b.  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Liberal  
2. Conservative  
3. Moderate

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
No Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district  
identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not  
recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in  
D1g); no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025086 G1a1. Dem Hse Cand Liberal-How Strong
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1a1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:  
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal?

---

See notes Gla

1. Strong liberal  
5. Not very strong liberal

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
2,3,8,9,0 in Gla; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01;  
wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in  
Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in  
thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

---

M025087            Gla2. Dem Hse Cand Conservative-How Stro  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Gla2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:  
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:  
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative?

---

See notes Gla

1. Strong conservative  
5. Not very strong conservative

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
1,3,8,9,0 in Gla; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01;  
wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in  
Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in  
thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

---

M025088            Gla3. Dem Hse Cand Mod/DK -More Lib or C  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Gla3.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE / VT01:  
Page 1104



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:  
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a  
CONSERVATIVE?

-----

See notes Glax

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate {VOL}
7. Can't choose; neither {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
1,2,9,0 in Glax; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01;  
wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in  
Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in  
thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025089            Glax. Summary Lib-Con Hse Dem/VT01  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glax.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE / VT01:  
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [<DEM HOUSE CAND  
NAME/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a  
LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?  
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG  
liberal? /  
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY  
STRONG conservative? /  
Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a  
CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: DEM HOUSE CAND/VT01 INDEP INCUMBENT LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered  
Gla/Glb (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House  
candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic  
House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name  
second or vice versa. (Data in Gla are data for Democratic  
House candidate regardless of order; data in Glb are data  
for Republican House candidate regardless of order).  
Placement for VT01 independent incumbent (only) is also included  
in this variable, with data for VT01 Republican challenger  
in Glb.  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
of randomization assigned.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025090 G1b. Rep Hse Cand Liberal-Conservative
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1b.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

What about [<DEM HOUSE CAND NAME/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME>]? Do  
you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

-----

Note: if R indicated nonrecognition of the Republican House candidate's name in the Dlh thermometer, then this question was not asked.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered G1a/G1b (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in G1b are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order; data in G1a are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
No Republican House candidate; wrong district identification  
preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize  
Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002  
Post interview

=====  
M025091           G1b1. Rep Hse Cand Liberal-How Strong  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1b1.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:  
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG  
liberal?

-----  
See notes G1b.

- 1. Strong liberal
- 5. Not very strong liberal
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
2,3,8,9,0 in G1b; no Republican House candidate; wrong district  
identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not  
recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in  
D1h); no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025092           G1b2. Rep Hse Cand Conservative-How Stro  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1b2.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:  
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY  
STRONG conservative?

-----  
See notes G1b.

- 1. Strong conservative
- 5. Not very strong conservative
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

1,3,8,9,0 in G1b; no Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025093 G1b3. Rep Hse Cand Mod/DK -More Lib or C
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1b3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:  
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

-----

See notes G1b.

1. Liberal  
2. Conservative  
3. Moderate {VOL}  
7. Can't choose; neither {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

1,2,9,0 in G1b; no Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025094 G1bx. Summary - Rep Hse cand Lib-Con
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1bx.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [<DEM HOUSE CAND NAME/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?  
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal? /  
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative? /  
Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered G1a/G1b (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in G1a are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order; data in G1b are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025095 G1c. LA05 2nd Rep Cand Liberal-Conservat
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

G1c.

IF LA05:

What about [<LA05 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE]?  
Do you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

LA05 2nd REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

---

This question applies to LA05 only, which had 2 major Republican House candidates.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
Not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025096           G1c1. LA05 2nd Rep Hse Cand Liberal-How  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1c1.

IF LA05:  
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG  
liberal?

-----  
1. Strong liberal  
5. Not very strong liberal

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
2,3,8,9,0 in G1c; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025097           G1c2. LA05 2nd Rep Hse Cand Conserv-How  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1c2.

IF LA05:  
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY  
STRONG conservative?

-----  
1. Strong conservative  
5. Not very strong conservative

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
1,3,8,9,0 in G1c; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025098           G1c3. LA05 2d Rep Hse Cand Mod/DK-More L  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1c3.

IF LA05:  
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a  
CONSERVATIVE?

- 
1. Liberal
  2. Conservative
  3. Moderate {VOL}
  7. Can't choose; neither {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

1,3,9,0 in G1c; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025099            G1cx. Summary - Lib-Con 2nd Rep LA05  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1cx.

IF LA05:

IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

What about [<LA05 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE]?

Do you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a  
MODERATE? /

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG  
liberal? /

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY  
STRONG conservative? /

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a  
CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: 2ND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

-----

This question applies to LA05 only, which had 2 major Republican  
House candidates.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025100 K2. R Do Volunteer Work in Last Year  
 Numeric  
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2.

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS or did you not do so?

---

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
 No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025101 K3. Can People be Trusted  
 Numeric  
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

K3.

Generally speaking, would you say that MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED or that you CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL in dealing with people?

---

- 1. Most people can be trusted
- 5. Can't be too careful

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
 No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025102 K4. People Take Advantage  
 Numeric  
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

K4.

IF R SELECTED FOR K4 ADMINISTRATION:

Do you think most people would try to TAKE ADVANTAGE of you if they got the chance or would they TRY TO BE FAIR?

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.



1. Take advantage
5. Try to be fair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for K5 administration; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025103            K5. People Take Advantage  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

K5.

IF R SELECTED FOR K5 ADMINISTRATION:

Would you say that most of the time people TRY TO BE HELPFUL,  
or that they are JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES?

-----  
  
Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5.  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Try to be helpful
5. Just looking out for themselves

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for K4 administration; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
L1.

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For  
each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to  
see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

=====  
M025104            L1a. Highways - Federal Spending  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

The first program is:  
building and repairing highways.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year,  
should federal spending on building and repairing highways be  
INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING: BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025104x      L1ax. Pre-Po Summary: Highways spending  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1ax.

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year,  
should federal spending on building and repairing highways be  
INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BUILDING/REPAIRING HIGHWAYS - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

Combined from Pre K2a and Post L1a.

1. Increased
  2. Decreased
  3. Kept about the same
  4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
- 
8. Don't know
  9. Refused
  0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M025105 | L1b. Defense - Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                         |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8              |

L1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)  
defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED,  
or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEFENSE

-----

Note: This item was not included the in the Pre K2 series;  
it is also included in the Post L2 series, followed by a  
Post L1/L2 summary.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M025106 | L1c. AIDS Research - Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                               |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

L1c.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)  
spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED,  
DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: AIDS RESEARCH

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025106x            L1cx. PrePo Summary: AIDS spending  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1cx.

(What about)  
spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED,  
DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AIDS RESEARCH - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from Pre K2b and Post L1c.

1. Increased



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

Lldx.

(What about)  
welfare programs?

(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: WELFARE PROGRAMS - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from Pre K2c and Post Lld.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025108a            L1e1. Public Schools - Fed Spending  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)  
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: PUBLIC SCHOOLS

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "public schools"  
or "big-city schools" wording for L1e.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)  
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
 1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
 2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in  
 the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
 M025108b            L1e2. Big City Schools - Fed Spending  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG-CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)  
 [public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
 be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: BIG-CITY SCHOOLS

-----  
 Respondents were randomly assigned to either "public schools"  
 or "big-city schools" wording for L1e.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
 Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
 K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
 Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
 orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025108x        L1e1x. PrePo Summary-Public Sch spend  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e1x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)

[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: PUBLIC SCHOOLS - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

This combines data from Pre K2d1 and Post L1e1.

1. Increased

2. Decreased

3. Kept about the same

4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025108y        L1e2x. PrePo Summary-Big City Sch spend  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG-CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)

[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]  
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BIG-CITY SCHOOLS - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----



This combines data from Pre K2d2 and Post L1e2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025109 L1f. Crime - Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

L1f.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)  
dealing with crime?

(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEALING WITH CRIME

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025109x            L1fx. PrePo Summary - Crime spending  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1fx.

(What about)  
dealing with crime?

(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: DEALING WITH CRIME - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre K2e and Post L1f.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025110            L1g. Child Care - Federal Spending  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1g.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)  
child care?

(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED,  
DESCREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: CHILD CARE

-----  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)  
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
Page 1122

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025110x            L1gx. PrePo Summary - Child care spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1gx.

(What about)  
child care?

(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED,  
DESCREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: CHILD CARE - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

Combined from Pre K2f and Post L1g.  
R

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025111a            L1h1. Homeland Securty - Federal Spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1h1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

(What about)  
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: HOMELAND SECURITY

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "homeland security"  
or "war on terrorism" wording for L1h.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in  
the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025111b            L1h2. War on Terrorism - Fed spending  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1h2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

(What about)  
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: WAR ON TERRORISM

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "homeland security" or "war on terrorism" wording for L1h.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025111x L1h1x. PrePo Summary -Homeland Sec Spend
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

L1h1x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

(What about)  
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: HOMELAND SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

This combines data from Pre K2g1 and Post L1h1.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025111y            L1h2x. PrePo Summary -War on Terr Spend  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1h2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

(What about)  
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: WAR ON TERRORISM - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

This combines data from Pre K2g2 and Post L1h2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025112            L1j. Unemp Insurance - Federal Spendin  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1j.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)  
unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L1 spending items:

1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025112x            L1jx. PrePo Summary - Unemp Insur Spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1jx.

(What about)  
unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre K2h and Post L1j.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
L2.

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

-----

=====

M025113            L2a. Environmental Protect - Fed Spend  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

The first program is:  
environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025113x            L2ax. PrePo Summary - Env Protect Spend  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2ax.



The first program is:  
environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year,  
should federal spending on environmental protection be  
INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

PRE-POST SUMMARY: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from L1a in the Pre and L2a in the Post

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| M025114 | L2b. Defense - Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                         |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8              |

L2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)  
defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED,  
or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEFENSE

---

Note: This item was not included the in the Pre K1 series;  
it is also included in the Post L1 series (see L2bx).  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)  
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:  
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025114x            L2bx. Post L1/L2 Summary Defense Budget  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2bx.

(What about)  
defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED,  
or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

POST L1/L2 SUMMARY: DEFENSE - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Built from Post variables L1a and L2b.  
Note: This item was not included the in the Pre K1 series;  
it is also included in the Post L1 series.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025115a            L2c1. Aid Poor - Federal Spending  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):  
IF R SELECTED TO 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)  
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: AID TO POOR PEOPLE

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor people" or "working poor" wording for L2c.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025115b            L2c2. Aid Working Poor - Federal Spend  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

IF R SELECTED TO 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)

[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: THE WORKING POOR

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor people" or "working poor" wording for L2c.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in  
the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025115x            L2c1x. PrePo Summary - Aid to Poor spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c1x.

IF R SELECTED TO 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)  
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the  
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO POOR PEOPLE - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre L1b1 and Post L2c1.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M025115y | L2c2x. PrePo Summary - Aid Wk Poor spend |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

L2c2x.

IF R SELECTED TO 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)  
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the  
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO WORKING POOR - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

Combined from Pre L1b2 and Post L2c2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| M025116 | L2d. Foreign Aid - Federal Spending |
|         | Numeric                             |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                  |

L2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)  
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: FOREIGN AID

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025116x            L2dx. PrePo Summary - Foreign Aid spend  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2dx.

(What about)  
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: FOREIGN AID - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre L1c and Post L2d.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====  
M025117            L2e. Social Security - Federal Spending  
                    Numeric  
                    Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2e.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)

Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: SOCIAL SECURITY

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025117x            L2ex. PrePo Summary - Soc Sec spending  
                     Numeric  
                     Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2ex.

(What about)  
Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: SOCIAL SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from Pre L1d and Post L2e.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025118 L2f. Border Security - Federal Spending
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

L2f.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)  
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to  
prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT  
ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025118x L2fx. PrePo Summary - Border Sec spend
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```



L2fx.

(What about)  
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to  
prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT  
ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BORDER SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from Pre L1e and Post L2f.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025119            L2g. Aid to Blacks - Federal Spending  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2g.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)  
aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: AID TO BLACKS

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025119x            L2gx. PrePo Summary - Aid Blacks spend  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2gx.

(What about)  
aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED,  
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO BLACKS - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----

Combined from Pre L1f and Post L2g.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025120            L2h. Infant Mortality - Federal Spending  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2h.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)  
preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: PREVENTING INFANT MORTALITY

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                         |
|----------|-----------------------------------------|
| M025120x | L2hx. PrePo Summary - Infant Mort spend |
|          | Numeric                                 |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                      |

L2hx.

(What about)  
preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be  
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: PREVENTING INFANT MORTALITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

---

Combined from Pre L1g and Post L2h.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025121a            L2j1. Poor Children - Federal Spending  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2j1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):  
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
 [pre-school and early education for poor children/  
 pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
 for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
 children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: POOR CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor children"  
 or "black children" wording for L2j.  
 Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
 - L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)  
 - L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
 Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
 Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
 K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
 Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
 orderings of L2 spending items:  
   1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
   2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
 of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
 R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in  
 the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025121b            L2j2. Black Children - Federal Spending  
                       Numeric  
                       Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2j2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: BLACK CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor children"  
or "black children" wording for L2j.  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:  
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)  
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)  
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series  
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,  
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible  
orderings of L2 spending items:  
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j  
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in  
the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025121x            L2j1x. PrePo Summary - Poor Child spend  
                      Numeric  
                      Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2j1x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt  
(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: POOR CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre L1h1 and Post L2j1.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|          |                                          |
|----------|------------------------------------------|
| M025121y | L2j2x. PrePo Summary - Black Child spend |
|          | Numeric                                  |
|          | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

L2j2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education  
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black  
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BLACK CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL - FEDERAL SPENDING

-----  
Combined from Pre L1h2 and Post L2j2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M025122 | M4. R Favor Guaranteed Jobs/Std of Livin |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

M4.

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has A JOB AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING. Others think the government should just LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN. Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

-----

- 01. Government should see to jobs and standard of living
- 05. Government should let each person get ahead on own
- 07. Other, it depends, neither {SPECIFY} {VOL}
- 08. Don't know
- 09. Refused
- 90. Haven't thought much about this
- 00. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                          |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| M025123 | M4c. How Important is Guar Jobs/Std Livi |
|         | Numeric                                  |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                       |

M4c.

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

-----

- 1. Very important
- 3. Somewhat important
- 5. Not important at all
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

=====

|         |                                       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| M025124 | M4d. Has Guar Jobs Issue Made R Angry |
|         | Numeric                               |
|         | Missing eq 0, ge 8                    |

M4d.

Has this issue ever made you angry?

-----

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025125 M5. Companies Have Affirm Action
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

M5.

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

---

- 1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
- 5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
- 7. Other (specify) {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025126 M5a. Dem Party on Affirm Action
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

M5a.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Democratic Party's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not? {DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

---

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered affirmative action items M5a (position of Democratic Party) and M5b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action



anes2000to2004merged\_var.txt

5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action  
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025127            M5b. Rep Party on Affirm Action  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M5b.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's  
position -- that companies that have discriminated against  
blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not?  
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

-----

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and  
M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and  
M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered affirmative action items M5a  
(position of Democratic Party) and M5b (position of Republican  
Party) in random order.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which  
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action  
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action  
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025128            M5c. How Important Affirmative Action to  
                  Numeric  
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M5c.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY  
important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and  
M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and  
M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025129 M5d. Has Affirm Action Made R Angry
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

M5d.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Has this issue ever made you angry?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and  
M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and  
M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025130 M6. Govt Ensure Equal Pay for Women
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8
```

---

- ```
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
```

=====

M6a.

```
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
```

=====

Page 1147

Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M6b.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered equal pay by gender items M6a (position of Democratic Party) and M6b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. A lot
- 3. Some
- 5. Not much at all

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025133 M6c. Women Equal Pay Important to R
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M6c.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 1. Very important
- 3. Somewhat important
- 5. Not important at all

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025134 M6d. Has Women Equal Pay Made R Angry
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M6d.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

Has this issue ever made you angry?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and
 M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and
 M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post
randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025135 M7b1. Favor estate/death tax - combined
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7b1.

There has been a lot of talk recently about doing away with the
tax on large inheritances, the so-called "[estate/death] tax".
Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death tax]?

FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025135a M7b1a. R favor/oppose elim. Estate tax
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7b1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:

There has been a lot of talk recently about doing away with the
tax on large inheritances, the so-called "[estate/death] tax".
Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death tax]?

FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====
M025135b M7b1b. R favor/oppose elim. Estate tax
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7b1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:

There has been a lot of talk recently about doing away with the
tax on large inheritances, the so-called "[estate/death] tax".
Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death tax]?

FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025136      M7b2. How Strong Fav/Opp Elim Estate Tax
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0, ge 7
```

M7b2.

IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE/DEATH TAX:
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE/DEATH TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

8,9,0 in M7b; No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025136a     M7b2a. Strength fav/opp elim estate tax
              Numeric
```

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Missing eq 0, ge 7

M7b2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:
IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX /
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====
M025136b M7b2b. Strength fav/opp elim estate tax
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 7

M7b2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:
IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX /
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

5. Oppose strongly

7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025137 M7c. Democrats favor/oppose elim. death
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7c.

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's
position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the
[estate/death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

DEMOCRATIC PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
(position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor

5. Oppose

7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025137a M7c1. Dem Pty Favor/Opp Estate Tax Elim
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's
position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the
[estate] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025137b	M7c2. Dem Pty Favor/Opp Death Tax Elim
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

DEMOCRATIC PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025138 M7e. Rep Pty Fav/Opp Death/Est Tax Elim
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7e.

Which would you say is closer to the Republican Party's
 position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with of the
 [estate/death] tax?
 {DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

DEMOCRATIC PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
 for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
 Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
 (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
 Party) in random order.
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
 precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification
 of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
 No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025138a M7e1. Rep Pty Favor/Opp Estate Tax Elim
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Republican Party's
 position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with of the
 [estate/death] tax?
 {DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

REPUBLICAN PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
 for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
 Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
 (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
 Party) in random order.
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025138b	M7e2. Rep Pty Favor/Opp Death Tax Elim
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Republican Party's
position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with of the
[estate/death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

REPUBLICAN PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
(position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other; depends {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025139	M7h. How Imp Estate Tax Issue to R
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7h.

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important,
Page 1156

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
SOMEWHAT important or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

IMPORTANCE OF ESTATE/DEATH TAX ISSUE

See notes M7b1

- 1. Very important
- 3. Somewhat important
- 5. Not important at all

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025140	M7j. Has Estate Tax Issue Made R Angry
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7j.

Has this issue ever made you feel angry?

ESTATE/DEATH TAX ISSUE EVER MADE R ANGRY

See notes M7b1

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M9.

Next are a few miscellaneous questions.

=====

M025141	M9a. R Pay Right Amount in Taxes
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9a.

Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU SHOULD?

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025142 M9b. Rich Pay Right Amount in Taxes
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9b.

What about rich people? Do you feel rich people are asked to
pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the
RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c taxation level items
in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025143 M9c. Poor Pay Right Amount in Taxes
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9c.

What about poor people? Do you feel poor people are asked to
pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the
RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c taxation level items
in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025144 M10a. Worried about Nuclear War
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10a.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear
war at this time? Are you VERY worried, SOMEWHAT worried, or
NOT WORRIED AT ALL?

-
1. Very worried
 3. Somewhat worried
 5. Not worried at all
 8. Don't know
 9. Refused
 0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025145 M10b. Worried about Conventional War
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10b.

How worried are you about our country getting into a
conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are
not used? Are you VERY WORRIED, SOMEWHAT worried, or NOT
WORRIED AT ALL?

-
1. Very worried
 3. Somewhat worried
 5. Not worried at all
 8. Don't know
 9. Refused
 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025146 M10d. Extent of Campaign Finance Reform
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10d.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform.
 In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country:
 it needs to be completely overhauled,
 it needs major changes,
 it needs minor changes, or
 it is basically fine the way it is?

- 1. Completely overhauled
- 3. Major changes
- 5. Minor changes
- 7. Fine the way it is

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025147 M11a. Openend - Why Some Have Better Job
 Numeric

M11a.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2c CLOSED SERIES (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

In America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?

REASON BETTER JOBS: OPEN-END RESPONSE

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
 - Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
 - Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
 - Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
 - Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
 This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.
 Note: Income inequality items in Pre L2 and Post M11 are identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d).

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.

R was not selected for L2c closed series in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025148	M11b. Openend - Why Some Have Worse Jobs
	Numeric

M11b.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2d CLOSED SERIES (WORSE JOBS/LOWER INCOMES):

In America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON WORSE JOBS: OPEN-END RESPONSE

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.

Note: Income inequality items in Pre L2 and Post M11 are identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.

R was not selected for L2d closed series in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M11c.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

=====

M025149 M11c1. #1 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c1.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025150 M11c2. #2 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c2.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others
do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025151	M11c3. #3 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c3.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others
do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
 - Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
 Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
 M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
 M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
 3. Somewhat important
 5. Not important at all
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
 0. NA

INAP.
 R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
 Post interview

=====

M025152	M11c4. #4 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c4.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
 'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good
 education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
 some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others
 do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
 combinations of general income inequality questions:
 - Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
 - Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
 - Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
 - Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
 Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
 M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
 M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
 3. Somewhat important
 5. Not important at all
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025153 M11c5. #5 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c5.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others
do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025154 M11c6. #6 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c6.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOVERNMENT POLICIES

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025155 M11c7. #7 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c7.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M11d.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

M025156 M11d1. #1 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d1.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
 3. Somewhat important
 5. Not important at all
 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
-
8. Don't know
 9. Refused
 0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025157 M11d2. #2 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d2.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025158	M11d3. #3 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d3.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025159	M11d4. #4 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d4.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good
education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025160	M11d5. #5 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d5.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7

M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025161 M11d6. #6 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d6.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOVERNMENT POLICIES

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
 combinations of general income inequality questions:
 - Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
 - Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
 - Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
 - Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
 Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
 M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
 M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
 R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
 Post interview

=====

M025162	M11d7. #7 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs Numeric Missing eq 0, ge 8
---------	--

M11d7.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
 'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
 important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
 some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
 do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
 combinations of general income inequality questions:
 - Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
 - Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
 - Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
 - Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
 Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
 M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
 M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7
 Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
 Post survey variables, provide case level identification
 of randomization assigned.

1. Very important

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 3. Somewhat important
- 5. Not important at all
- 7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

=====

M025163 M12a. R Worked w/Others on Commun Issue
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12a.

DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people
to deal with some issue facing your community?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025164 M12b. R Views to Govt Official in Last Y
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12b.

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a
letter to, or visited a government official to express your
views on a public issue?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025165 M12c. Attend School/Commun Issue Meetg L
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12c.

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about
an issue facing your community or schools?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025166	M12d. R a Member or any Organizatio
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12d.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to.
There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same
kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis,
hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political
issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there
are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting
membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of
any of these kinds of organizations?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025167	M12e. R Contribute to Charity Last 12 Mo
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12e.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions
to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you --
were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in
the LAST 12 MONTHS?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025168 M12f. R Taken part in protest
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12f.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
N2.

Now I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of them.

=====
M025169 N2b. R Well-Qualified for Political Part
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

N2b.

' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025170 N2c. R Better Informed Than Most
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

N2c.

' I think that I am better informed about politics and
government than most people. ' (Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE
NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement)?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025171 N3. Doesn't Matter if R Votes or Not
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

N3.

'So many other people vote in the national election that it
doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.' (Do you
AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this
statement)?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
Q1.

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with these
statements about the government. The first is:

M025172 Q1a. Public Officials Don't Care
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q1a.

' Public officials don't care much what people like me think. '
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this
statement?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025173 Q1b. People Like R Have no Say about Gov
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q1b.

' People like me don't have any say about what the government
does. ' (Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or
DISAGREE with this statement)?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
Q2.

We're nearly at the end of the interview now, and have just a
few more questions on a couple of topics.

=====
Q3.

People have different ideas about the government in Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
particular, but just to the government in general. We want to
see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

=====

M025174 Q3a. How Often Trust Govt in Washington
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3a.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the
government in Washington to do what is right -- JUST ABOUT
ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or only SOME OF THE TIME?

1. Just about always
2. Most of the time
3. Only some of the time
4. Never {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025175 Q4. Govt Wastes Tax Money
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4.

Do you think that people in government waste A LOT of
the money we pay in taxes, waste SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE
VERY MUCH of it?

1. Waste a lot
3. Waste some
5. Don't waste very much

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025176 Q5. Govt Run by Few Big Interests
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q5.

Would you say the government is pretty much run by A FEW BIG

INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run for THE
BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?

- 1. Government run by a few big interests
- 5. Government run for the benefit of all

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025177 Q6. How Many in Govt are Crooked
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q6.

Do you think that QUITE A FEW of the people running the
government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think
HARDLY ANY of them are crooked?

- 1. Quite a few are crooked
- 3. Not very many are crooked
- 5. Hardly any are crooked

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025178 Q7. How Much Elections Make Govt Attenti
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the
government pay attention to what the people think
-- a GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH?

- 1. A good deal
- 3. Some
- 5. Not much

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

=====
M025179 T6. Satisfied How US Democracy Works
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

T6.

On the whole, are you SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY
SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED with the way democracy works
in the United States?

1. Satisfied
2. Fairly satisfied
3. Not very satisfied
4. Not at all satisfied

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025180 T7a. How Good does U.S. Flag Make R Feel
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

T7a.

When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel
EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, SOMEWHAT GOOD, or NOT VERY GOOD?

1. Extremely good
2. Very good
3. Somewhat good
4. Not very good
7. Don't feel anything [VOL]

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025181 T7b. How Strong is Rs Love for Country
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

T7b.

How strong is your love for your country... EXTREMELY STRONG,
VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG, or NOT VERY STRONG?

1. Extremely Strong
2. Very Strong
4. Somewhat Strong
5. Not Very Strong

- ```
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
```

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025182 Y4. R Employment Status
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0
```

Y4.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what?  
{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

The maximum number of mentions was 3. For 2-digit and 3-digit codes, each digit represents an employment category.

- ```
001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student
```

000. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-section case; no 2002 Post interview

M025183 Y4x. Pre/Post Employment Status Summary
Page 1181

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

Numeric

Missing eq 0

Y4x.

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you
unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what?
{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

PRE AND POST EMPLOYMENT STATUS SUMMARY

Built from Pre Y4 and Post Y4

001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
146. Working now, retired, and homemaker
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

000. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
Y8.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :/
IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

Here are a couple of things people sometimes do as part of
their job. After I read each, please tell me whether or not you
have done this, DURING THE LAST SIX MONTHS, as part of your
job.

=====
M025184

Y8a. Chaired Meeting for Job Last 6 Mos.

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y8a.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :/
IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

Have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last 6 months?)

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

0,2,3,4,5,6,7,26,34,35,36,45,46,47,67,467 (R not working) in
Y4x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025185 Y8b. R Gave Presentation for Job Last 6
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y8b.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :/
IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

Have you given a presentation or speech (in the last 6
months?)

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. NA

INAP.

0,2,3,4,5,6,7,26,34,35,36,45,46,47,67,467 (R not working) in
Y4x; no 2002 Post interview

=====

M025186 Z1. R Worked with Neighbors on Issue/Pro
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z1.

Finally, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in
your neighborhood. During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you
worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common
issue or problem?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

7. No neighbors [VOL]

8. Don't know

9. Refused

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025187 Z2. R Neighbors Just Out for Selves
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z2.

Next some questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time

2. Most of the time

3. Some of the time

4. Hardly ever

5. Never

7. No neighbors [VOL]

8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}

9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M025188 Z3a. R Neighbors Take Advantage
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025189 Z3b. R Neighbors Respect Others
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood,) Would you say they treat others with respect ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025190 Z3c. Honest Describe R Neighbors
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3c.

Would you say that HONEST describes the people in your neighborhood EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of

Page 1185

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no
neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other
questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025191 ZZ4. R Cooperation
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

-
1. Very good
 2. Good
 3. Fair
 4. Poor
 5. Very poor

0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025192 ZZ5. R Level of Information
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public
affairs seemed:

-
1. Very high
 2. Fairly high
 3. Average
 4. Fairly low
 5. Very low

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025193      ZZ6. R Intelligence
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0
```

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

-
- 1. Very high
 - 2. Fairly high
 - 3. Average
 - 4. Fairly low
 - 5. Very low

0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025194      ZZ7. How Suspicious Was R
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0
```

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

-
- 1. Not at all suspicious
 - 3. Somewhat suspicious
 - 5. Very suspicious

0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

```
=====
M025195      ZZ8. R Interest in Interview
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0
```

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

-
- 1. Very high
 - 2. Fairly high
 - 3. Average
 - 4. Fairly low
 - 5. Very low

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025196 ZZ9. How Sincere Was R
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

- 1. Completely sincere
3. Usually sincere
5. Often seemed to be insincere

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====
M025197 ZZ9a. Parts where doubt R's sincerity
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ9a.

IF IWR REPORTED R WAS USUALLY SINCERE:/

IF IWR REPORTED R OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you
doubted R's sincerity?

- 1. Yes
5. No

0. NA

INAP.

1,0 in ZZ9; no 2002 Post interview

=====
M025198a ZZ12a. IWR:IW Rxns- Negative General
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12a.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - GENERAL

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - general"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====
M025198b ZZ12b. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Long
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12b.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO LONG

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too long"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====
M025198c ZZ12c. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Complicated
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12c.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too complicated"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====
M025198d ZZ12d. IWR:IW Rxns-Boring/Tedious
 Numeric

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Missing eq 0

ZZ12d.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====

M025198e	ZZ12e. IWR:IW Rxns-Wanted to Stop
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0

ZZ12e.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE INTERVIEW COMPLETED

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R wanted to stop before interview completed. After starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====

M025198f	ZZ12f. IWR:IW Rxns- Ill/Deaf/Tired
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0

ZZ12f.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ILL/DEAF/TIRED ETC.

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====

M025198g ZZ12g. IWR:IW Rxns-Confused
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12g.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONFUSED BY QUESTIONS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was confused by questions "couldn't understand the scales"; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====

M025198h ZZ12h. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack of Knowledge
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12h.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF KNOWLEDGE/SUITABILITY FOR INTERVIEWING

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====
M025198j ZZ12j. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack Political Kwldg
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12j.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/
embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====
M025198k ZZ12k. IWR:IW Rxns-Agitated by Process
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12k.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R AGITATED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R was agitated or stressed by interview PROCESS"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====
M025198m ZZ12m. IWR:IW Rxns-Angry at Content
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0

ZZ12m.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ANGRY ABOUT INTERVIEW CONTENT

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became angry at interview CONTENT"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====

M025198n	ZZ12n. IWR:IW Rxns-Sampling Concerns
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0

ZZ12n.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSE/BIAS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became concerned about sampling purpose or bias:
'why do you come to the old folks home?' 'why THIS
neighborhood?' 'why/why not blacks/Hispanics?' 'why
me?' etc."
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

=====

M025198p	ZZ12p. IWR:IW Rxns- Positive
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0

ZZ12p.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

POSITIVE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R appeared to enjoy the interview (R was "cooperative"
/"interested"/"pleasant" etc.)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====

M025198q	ZZ12q. IWR:IW Rxns- Neutral/None
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0

ZZ12q.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.

No Post Interview

=====

M025201	PROB1. R Physical Problem with Phone In
	Numeric
	Missing eq 0, ge 9

PROB1.

Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone
to this R?
{EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for
R to stay on the phone very long, etc.}

-
- 1 Yes {SPECIFY}
 - 5 No

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

=====

M041201	Sampling.1. 2004 State Name
---------	-----------------------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

State name.

NOTES:

This is the full name of the state corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M041201a	Sampling.1a. 2004 Postal Abbreviation Of State
----------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

State postal abbreviation.

VALID CODES:

AL. Alabama
AK. Alaska
AZ. Arizona
AR. Arkansas
CA. California
CO. Colorado
CT. Connecticut
DE. Delaware
DC. Washington DC
FL. Florida
GA. Georgia
HI. Hawaii
ID. Idaho
IL. Illinois
IN. Indiana
IA. Iowa
KS. Kansas
KY. Kentucky
LA. Louisiana
ME. Maine
MD. Maryland
MA. Massachusetts
MI. Michigan
MN. Minnesota
MS. Mississippi
MO. Missouri
MT. Montana
NE. Nebraska
NV. Nevada
NH. New Hampshire
NJ. New Jersey

NM. New Mexico
NY. New York
NC. North Carolina
ND. North Dakota
OH. Ohio
OK. Oklahoma
OR. Oregon
PA. Pennsylvania
RI. Rhode Island
SC. South Carolina
SD. South Dakota
TN. Tennessee
TX. Texas
UT. Utah
VT. Vermont
VA. Virginia
WA. Washington
WV. West Virginia
WI. Wisconsin
WY. Wyoming

NOTES:

This is the 2-character postal abbreviation of the state
corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M041202	Sampling.2. 2004 FIPS State Code
---------	----------------------------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

FIPS state code.

VALID CODES:

01. Alabama
02. Alaska
04. Arizona
05. Arkansas
06. California
08. Colorado
09. Connecticut
10. Delaware
11. Washington DC
12. Florida
13. Georgia
15. Hawaii
16. Idaho
17. Illinois
18. Indiana
19. Iowa
20. Kansas

21. Kentucky
22. Louisiana
23. Maine
24. Maryland
25. Massachusetts
26. Michigan
27. Minnesota
28. Mississippi
29. Missouri
30. Montana
31. Nebraska
32. Nevada
33. New Hampshire
34. New Jersey
35. New Mexico
36. New York
37. North Carolina
38. North Dakota
39. Ohio
40. Oklahoma
41. Oregon
42. Pennsylvania
44. Rhode Island
45. South Carolina
46. South Dakota
47. Tennessee
48. Texas
49. Utah
50. Vermont
51. Virginia
53. Washington
54. West Virginia
55. Wisconsin
56. Wyoming

NOTES:

This is the FIPS code of the state corresponding
to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041203	Sampling.3. 2004 ICPSR State Code
---------	-----------------------------------

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

ICPSR state code.

VALID CODES:

NEW ENGLAND
01. Connecticut
02. Maine

03. Massachusetts
04. New Hampshire
05. Rhode Island
06. Vermont
MIDDLE ATLANTIC
11. Delaware
12. New Jersey
13. New York
14. Pennsylvania
EAST NORTH CENTRAL
21. Illinois
22. Indiana
23. Michigan
24. Ohio
25. Wisconsin
WEST NORTH CENTRAL
31. Iowa
32. Kansas
33. Minnesota
34. Missouri
35. Nebraska
36. North Dakota
37. South Dakota
SOLID SOUTH
40. Virginia
41. Alabama
42. Arkansas
43. Florida
44. Georgia
45. Louisiana
46. Mississippi
47. North Carolina
48. South Carolina
49. Texas
BORDER STATES
51. Kentucky
52. Maryland
53. Oklahoma
54. Tennessee
55. Washington DC
56. West Virginia
MOUNTAIN STATES
61. Arizona
62. Colorado
63. Idaho
64. Montana
65. Nevada
66. New Mexico
67. Utah
68. Wyoming
PACIFIC STATES
71. California
72. Oregon
73. Washington
EXTERNAL STATES
81. Alaska
82. Hawaii

NOTES:

This is the ICPSR code of the state corresponding
to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041204	Sampling.4. 2004 Congressional District Number
---------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

Congressional district number.

VALID CODES:

01-53

MISSING CODES:

00. Washington D.C.

NOTES:

This is the Congressional District of the respondent's
2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are coded 1.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041204a	Sampling.4a. 2004 State Postal Abbrev And Congr District Num
----------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

State Postal abbreviation and Congressional district number.

NOTES:

This is the state postal abbreviation (1st two characters)
and Congressional District (2nd two characters) of the
respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts
are represented as district 01.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M041204b	Sampling.4b. 2004 State FIPS Code And Congr District Number
----------	---

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

NOTES:

This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are represented as district 01.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M041204c Sampling.4c. 2004 State ICPSR Code And Congr District Number
=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

State ICPSR code and Congressional district number.

NOTES:

This is the state ICPSR code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are represented as district 01.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M041205 Sampling.5. 2004 Census Region
=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

Census region.

VALID CODES:

- 1. Northeast (CT,ME,MA,NH,NJ,NY,PA,RI,VT)
2. North Central (IL,IN,IA,KS,MI,MN,MO,NE,ND,OH,SD,WI)
3. South (AL,AR,DE,DC,FL,GA,KY,LA,MD,MS,NC,OK,SC,TN,TX,VA,WV)
4. West (AK,AZ,CA,CO,HI,ID,MT,NM,NV,OR,UT,WA,WY)

NOTES:

This is the Census region of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study

address.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041206 Sampling.6. 2000 ANES Primary Area (PSU) Number

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

Primary area (PSU).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 2000 RDD case

NOTES:

This is the primary area (PSU) number of the respondent's original 2000 sampling address (for 2000 ANES face-to-face respondents).

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded in the public release: the 44 PSU codes listed (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041207 Sampling.7. 2000 ANES Segment Number

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

Segment number.

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 2000 RDD case

NOTES:

This is the segment in which the respondent's original 2000 sampling address was selected as a household (for 2000 ANES face-to-face respondents).

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M041208      Sampling.8. 2004 FIPS State-County Code
=====
```

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

FIPS state and county code.

NOTES:

This represents the state (1st 1 or 2 digits) and county
(last 3 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study
address. Washington D.C. is 11001.
To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this
variable has been coded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M041208a     Sampling.8a. 2004 FIPS County Name
=====
```

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

FIPS County name.

NOTES:

This represents the FIPS version of the county name that
corresponds to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.
To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this
variable has been blanked in the public release.

TYPE:

Character

```
=====
M041209      Sampling.9. 2004 Address - 2000 Census Tract Number
=====
```

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census tract number.

MISSING CODES:

0000.00 NA

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census tract number corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 9999.99 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 2

=====

M041210	Sampling.10. 2004 Address - 2000 Census Place Code
---------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census Place (CDP) code.

MISSING CODES:

00000. Not a Census Place

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census Place code corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041211	Sampling.11. 2004 Address - 2000 Census MCD code
---------	--

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census Minor Civil Division (MCD) code.

MISSING CODES:

00000. NA

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census Minor Civil Division corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041212 Sampling.12. 2004 Address - 1990 Census MSA

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) 1990.

MISSING CODES:

0000. Not in a 1990 MSA

NOTES:

This represents the 1990 Census MSA corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 9999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041213 Sampling.13. 2004 Address - 2000 Census Urban/Rural

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census Urban/Rural classification.

VALID CODES:

1. Rural

5. Urban

MISSING CODES:

0. NA

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census urban/rural classification corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041214 Sampling.14. 2004 Address - 2000 Census CSA

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census Combined Statistical Area (CSA).

MISSING CODES:

000. Not in a CSA

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census Combined Statistical Area (CSA) corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041214a Sampling.14a. 2004 Address - 2000 Census CBSA

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 Census Component Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA).

MISSING CODES:

00000. Not in a CBSA

NOTES:

This represents the 2000 Census Component Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041215 Sampling.15. 2002 FIPS State And Congressional District

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2002 State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

MISSING CODES:

9999. District not correctly assigned in 2002

NOTES:

This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2002 ANES address. At-large districts are represented as district 01. Washington DC is 1100.
Note that some redistricting was effected prior to the 2002 election.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M041216	Sampling.16. 2000 FIPS State And Congressional District
---------	---

=====

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

MISSING CODES:

9996. District not correctly assigned in 2004

NOTES:

This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2000 ANES address. At-large districts are represented as district 01. Washington DC is 1100.
Note that some redistricting was effected prior to the 2002 election; prior to the 2004 election, redistricting was effected in Texas only.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044001	PostAdmin.1. Form Of Post Interview
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Assigned form of interview.

VALID CODES:

1. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust fair (Q217);

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- traits 1 (Q137-40)
2. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust fair (Q217);
traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
 3. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust helpful (Q218);
traits 1 (Q137-40)
 4. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust helpful (Q218);
traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
 5. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust fair (Q217);
traits 1 (Q137-40)
 6. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust fair (Q217);
traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
 7. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust helpful (Q218);
traits 1 (Q137-40)
 8. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust helpful (Q218);
traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)

NOTES:

In the 2004 Panel, respondents were assigned to the same items that had been randomly assigned in 2002 for three question sets: alternative sets of 4 Bush traits; a question set either on race (affirmative action for Blacks) or gender (equal pay for women); and a social trust question either describing people as fair/taking advantage or as helpful/looking out for themselves.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044002 PostAdmin.2. Release
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Pre-election sample release.

VALID CODES:

1. All sample lines released at start of interviewing period

NOTES:

All sample lines were issued in a single release at the start of the field period.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044003 PostAdmin.3. Mode Of Interview
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Mode of post-election interview.

VALID CODES:

1. All interviews conducted by telephone

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044004	PostAdmin.4. Number Of Calls
---------	------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE

QUESTION:

Total number of calls made.

VALID CODES:

1-58

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044005	PostAdmin.5. Result
---------	---------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Post-election result code.

VALID CODES:

1. Completed interview

NOTES:

No post-election interviews were partials.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044006	PostAdmin.6. Language Of Interview
---------	------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Language of post-election interview.

VALID CODES:

1. All interviews were conducted in English

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044007	PostAdmin.7. Interview Verification
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Verification of post-election interview.

VALID CODES:

5. No verification

NOTES:

There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044008	PostAdmin.8. Interviewer Evaluation
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer evaluation conducted for post-election interview.

VALID CODES:

5. No interviewer evaluation

NOTES:

There was no interviewer evaluation at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044009	PostAdmin.9. Interview Tape-Recorded
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interview tape-recorded.

VALID CODES:

5. Interview not tape-recorded

NOTE:

There were no tape-recorded interviews at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044010 PostAdmin.10. Interviewed In Parts

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interview conducted in more than one part/session.

VALID CODES:

1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)
5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044011 PostAdmin.11. Number Of Parts

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Total number of interviewing sessions for each interview.

VALID CODES:

1. Interview conducted in one session
2. Interview conducted in two sessions
3. Interview conducted in three sessions

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044012 PostAdmin.12. Number Of Interviewers For Each Interview
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Total number of interviewers for each interview.

VALID CODES:

1. One interviewer conducted the entire interview
2. Two interviewers conducted the entire interview
3. Three interviewers conducted the entire interview

NOTES:

This is the total number of interviewers administering
1 or more sessions of the interview.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044013a PostAdmin.13a. Interview Session 1 Interviewer ID
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted session 1 of interview.

VALID CODES:

1002-1056

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044013b PostAdmin.13b. Interview Session 1 Date (MMDD)
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interview session 1 date (MMDD).

NOTES:

This is the interview date of interviews conducted in
a single session and the date of the 1st interview session
for interviews which were broken into more than 1 session

of interviewing.

The first 2 characters provide the month of the session date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044013c PostAdmin.13c. Interview Session 1 Breakoff

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:

Breakoff session 1.

VALID CODES:

1. Between Q1 and Q57
2. Between Q57 and Q144
3. Between Q144 and Q207

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:

This describes the section of interview where the interview was broken off between session 1 and session 2.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 2

=====

M044014a PostAdmin.14a. Interview Session 2 Interviewer ID

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:

Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted session 2 of interview.

VALID CODES:

1002-1056

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.1

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044014b PostAdmin.14b. Interview Session 2 Date (MMDD)

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:

Interview session 2 date (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.1

NOTES:

This is the interview date of session 2. If an interview was conducted in a single session, this variable is INAP. The first 2 characters provide the month of the session date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044014c PostAdmin.14c. Interview Session 2 Breakoff

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:

Breakoff session 2.

VALID CODES:

1. Between Q1 and Q57
2. Between Q57 and Q144
3. Between Q144 and Q207

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:

This describes the section of interview where the interview was broken off between session 2 and session 3.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 2

=====

M044015a PostAdmin.15a. Interview Session 3 Interviewer ID

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:

Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted part 3 of interview.

VALID CODES:

1002-1056

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044015b PostAdmin.15b. Interview Session 3 Date (MMDD)

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:

Interview session 3 date (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:

This is the interview date of session 3. If an interview was conducted in one or two sessions, this variable is INAP.
The first 2 characters provide the month of the session date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044016 PostAdmin.16. Total Length of Interview

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Total length of interview.

MISSING CODES:

00.0 NA

NOTES:

The mean interview length for the post-election interview was 45.0 minutes.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 1

=====

M044017 PostAdmin.17. Timezone Of Interview

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Timezone of interview.

VALID CODES:

1. Eastern
2. Central
3. Mountain
4. Pacific
5. Alaska
6. Hawaii

MISSING CODES:

0. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044018 PostAdmin.18. Date Interview Completed (MMDD)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Date interview completed (MMDD).

NOTES:

This is the date the interview was completed. The first 2 characters provide the month of the completion date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044019	PostAdmin.19. Number of Days After Election IW Completed
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Number of days after the election interview was completed.

VALID CODES:

1-49

NOTES:

This is the number of days by which the November 2,
2004 general election preceded the ending date of the
interview . (Note: no interviewing was conducted on the
day of the general election).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044020	PostAdmin.20. Interviewer Interview Number (Nth IW)
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer interview number.

VALID CODES:

1-41

NOTES:

This identifies the interview as the Nth interview
conducted by the interviewer.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044021	PostAdmin.21. Payment 1 Amount
---------	--------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Payment 1 amount paid to respondent for interview.

VALID CODES:

00. Respondent refused payment
20. \$20
40. \$40
50. \$50

NOTES:

The amount of this payment (unless refused) represents the initial amount offered to the respondent and is the equivalent of the highest amount previously paid to the respondent. For some respondents not yet interviewed and initially offered \$20, an additional payment was offered (see PostAdmin.24) later in the field period.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044022	PostAdmin.22. Payment 1 Mode
---------	------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF RESPONDENT DID NOT REFUSE PAYMENT:

QUESTION:

Payment 1 mode.

VALID CODES:

1. All respondents paid by check

MISSING CODES:

INAP. Respondent refused payment (00 in PostAdmin.20)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044023	PostAdmin.23. Payment 1 Date (MMDD)
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF RESPONDENT DID NOT REFUSE PAYMENT:

QUESTION:

Date of payment 1 to respondent for IW (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. Respondent refused payment (00 in PostAdmin.20)

NOTES:

This is the date that the payment 1 check was issued.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044024	PostAdmin.24. Payment 2 Amount
---------	--------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT:

QUESTION:

Payment 2 amount paid to respondent for interview.

VALID CODES:

30. \$30

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount

NOTES:

Late in the interviewing period, to increase response rate, an additional payment amount of \$30.00 was offered to some respondents who had already been offered a \$20.00 payment; respondents who accepted the additional \$30 were paid a total of \$50 in 2 payments.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044025	PostAdmin.25. Payment 2 Mode
---------	------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT:

QUESTION:

Payment 2 mode.

VALID CODES:

1. Payment by check

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044026 PostAdmin.26. Payment 2 Date (MMDD)

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT:

QUESTION:

Date of payment 2 to respondent for IW (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount

NOTES:

This is the date that the payment 2 check was issued.

TYPE:

Character

=====

M044027 PostAdmin.27. Respondent Incentive

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Type of incentive mailed to respondent in advance of interview.

VALID CODES:

1. University of Michigan notepad

NOTES:

This identifies that a respondent incentive, a refillable University of Michigan padded notepad, was sent with the advance letter and ANES study brochure to the respondent prior to the start of the interviewing period.

This does not identify whether the advance letter, ANES Study brochure, and incentive gift (notepad) were actually received by the respondent due to mail or address difficulties.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044028 PostAdmin.28. Final Persuasion Letter 12/03/2004

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Final persuasion letter sent 12/03/2004.

VALID CODES:

- 1. Sent
- 5. Not sent

NOTES:

In a final effort to convert non-interview cases, a final general persuasion letter was mailed 12/03/2004 to a subset of outstanding cases; some had already, previously, been mailed a refusal conversion letter (PostAdmin.29).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044029 PostAdmin.29. Refusal Conversion Letter Sent
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Refusal conversion letter sent

VALID CODES:

- 1. Sent
- 5. Not sent

NOTES:

Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044029a PostAdmin.29a. Refusal Conversion Letter Type
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:

QUESTION:

Refusal conversion letter type.

VALID CODES:

1. Interview incomplete
2. Not interested
3. General reluctance
4. Too busy
5. Too old

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES:

Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044029b PostAdmin.29b. Refusal Conversion Letter Mail Priority
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:

QUESTION:

Refusal conversion letter mail priority.

VALID CODES:

1. Two-day mail
2. Standard mail

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES:

Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044029c PostAdmin.29c. Refusal Conversion Letter Mail Date
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:

QUESTION:

Refusal conversion letter date sent (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:

INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES:

Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:

Character

=====
M044101 PostIwr.1. Interviewer Of Record (IWR ID)
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer of record - interviewer ID.

VALID CODES:

0401 - 0450

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044102 PostIwr.2. Interviewer Gender
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer gender.

VALID CODES:

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044103 PostIwr.3. Interviewer Education Level

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer education level.

VALID CODES:

1. Grades 1 - 8
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044104 PostIwr.4. Interviewer Race

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer race.

VALID CODES:

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other (includes Hispanic)

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044105 PostIwr.5. Interviewer Ethnicity

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer ethnicity.

VALID CODES:

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044106 PostIwr.6. Interviewer Languages
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Languages spoken by interviewer other than English.

VALID CODES:

- 0. No other languages
- 1. Spanish
- 7. Other

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044107 PostIwr.7. Interviewer Yrs Experience
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer years of experience.

VALID CODES:

- 00. Less than one year
- 01. One year of experience
- .
- .

21. Twenty one years of experience

MISSING CODES:

99. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

M044108 PostIwr.8. Interviewer Age (Bracketed)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Interviewer age (bracketed).

VALID CODES:

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

M044201 PostErr.1. Error Flag For Diplomacy/Military Force Items

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Error flag for the diplomacy/military force scales.

VALID CODES:

1. Before probe correction
2. After probe correction

NOTE:

During the field period, for the diplomacy /military force scales Q144-Q147 an error was discovered in the probe ,

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
text, which had appeared as follows prior to the discovery:
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with

diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.
must be ready to use international force, and of
course you can choose points 2,3,4,5, or 6 in between.)
instead of [correct version]:

(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with
diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.
must be ready to use military force, and of course
you can choose points 2,3,4,5, or 6 in between.)
This error was corrected immediately upon discovery but
only after approximately half of the interviews were
already completed. Since the probe as it appeared onscreen
was specifically flagged as optional text, it is unknown
precisely when the incorrect version was actually read
aloud prior to the error discovery. However, PostErr.1
identifies cases that were administered prior to the date
when the instrument was revised.
Cases are coded 1 if the respondent was asked the
diplomacy/force interventionism series before the probe fix
was implemented, indicating that the question may have
been administered with the incorrect probe text read to
the respondent. Cases coded 2 were administered with
the correct probe text appearing onscreen.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044401 PostRand.1a. Pos Kerry - Polit Figs Thermometers
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of John Kerry in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Kerry administered 1st
02. Kerry administered 2nd
03. Kerry administered 3rd
04. Kerry administered 4th
05. Kerry administered 5th
06. Kerry administered 6th
07. Kerry administered 7th
08. Kerry administered 8th
09. Kerry administered 9th
10. Kerry administered 10th
11. Kerry administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers
was administered in random order after George W. Bush
(fixed as the first thermometer). This variable

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
identifies the thermometer for Kerry (Q17) as
administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized
portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044402 PostRand.1b. Pos Cheney - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Dick Cheney in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Cheney administered 1st
02. Cheney administered 2nd
03. Cheney administered 3rd
04. Cheney administered 4th
05. Cheney administered 5th
06. Cheney administered 6th
07. Cheney administered 7th
08. Cheney administered 8th
09. Cheney administered 9th
10. Cheney administered 10th
11. Cheney administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers
was administered in random order after George W. Bush
(fixed as the first thermometer). This variable
identifies the thermometer for Cheney (Q18) as
administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized
portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044403 PostRand.1c. Pos Gore - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Al Gore in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Gore administered 1st

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

02. Gore administered 2nd
03. Gore administered 3rd
04. Gore administered 4th
05. Gore administered 5th
06. Gore administered 6th
07. Gore administered 7th
08. Gore administered 8th
09. Gore administered 9th
10. Gore administered 10th
11. Gore administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Gore (Q19) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044404 PostRand.1d. Pos Edwards - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of John Edwards in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Edwards administered 1st
02. Edwards administered 2nd
03. Edwards administered 3rd
04. Edwards administered 4th
05. Edwards administered 5th
06. Edwards administered 6th
07. Edwards administered 7th
08. Edwards administered 8th
09. Edwards administered 9th
10. Edwards administered 10th
11. Edwards administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Edwards (Q20) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044405 PostRand.1e. Pos Nader - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Ralph Nader in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Nader administered 1st
02. Nader administered 2nd
03. Nader administered 3rd
04. Nader administered 4th
05. Nader administered 5th
06. Nader administered 6th
07. Nader administered 7th
08. Nader administered 8th
09. Nader administered 9th
10. Nader administered 10th
11. Nader administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Nader (Q21) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044406 PostRand.1f. Pos Clinton - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Bill Clinton in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Clinton administered 1st
02. Clinton administered 2nd
03. Clinton administered 3rd
04. Clinton administered 4th
05. Clinton administered 5th
06. Clinton administered 6th
07. Clinton administered 7th
08. Clinton administered 8th

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

09. Clinton administered 9th
10. Clinton administered 10th
11. Clinton administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Clinton (Q22) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044407	PostRand.1g. Pos Powell - Polit Figs Thermometers
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Colin Powell in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Powell administered 1st
02. Powell administered 2nd
03. Powell administered 3rd
04. Powell administered 4th
05. Powell administered 5th
06. Powell administered 6th
07. Powell administered 7th
08. Powell administered 8th
09. Powell administered 9th
10. Powell administered 10th
11. Powell administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Powell(Q23) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044408	PostRand.1h. Pos Ashcroft - Polit Figs Thermometers
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of John Ashcroft in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Ashcroft administered 1st
02. Ashcroft administered 2nd
03. Ashcroft administered 3rd
04. Ashcroft administered 4th
05. Ashcroft administered 5th
06. Ashcroft administered 6th
07. Ashcroft administered 7th
08. Ashcroft administered 8th
09. Ashcroft administered 9th
10. Ashcroft administered 10th
11. Ashcroft administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Ashcroft (Q24) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044409 PostRand.1j. Pos Jackson - Polit Figs Thermometers

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Jesse Jackson in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Jackson administered 1st
02. Jackson administered 2nd
03. Jackson administered 3rd
04. Jackson administered 4th
05. Jackson administered 5th
06. Jackson administered 6th
07. Jackson administered 7th
08. Jackson administered 8th
09. Jackson administered 9th
10. Jackson administered 10th
11. Jackson administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
was administered in random order after George W. Bush
(fixed as the first thermometer). This variable
identifies the thermometer for Jackson (Q25) as
administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized
portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044410 PostRand.1k. Pos Laura Bush - Polit Figs Thermometers
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Laura Bush in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

01. Laura Bush administered 1st
02. Laura Bush administered 2nd
03. Laura Bush administered 3rd
04. Laura Bush administered 4th
05. Laura Bush administered 5th
06. Laura Bush administered 6th
07. Laura Bush administered 7th
08. Laura Bush administered 8th
09. Laura Bush administered 9th
10. Laura Bush administered 10th
11. Laura Bush administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers
was administered in random order after George W. Bush
(fixed as the first thermometer). This variable
identifies the thermometer for Laura Bush (Q26) as
administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized
portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044411 PostRand.1m. Pos Hillary Clinton - Polit Figs Thermometers
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Hillary Clinton in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

01. Hillary Clinton administered 1st
02. Hillary Clinton administered 2nd
03. Hillary Clinton administered 3rd
04. Hillary Clinton administered 4th
05. Hillary Clinton administered 5th
06. Hillary Clinton administered 6th
07. Hillary Clinton administered 7th
08. Hillary Clinton administered 8th
09. Hillary Clinton administered 9th
10. Hillary Clinton administered 10th
11. Hillary Clinton administered 11th

NOTES:

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Hillary Clinton (Q27) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044412 PostRand.2a. Pos Supreme Crt - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the Supreme Court in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the Supreme Court (Q28) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044413 PostRand.2b. Pos Congress - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Congress in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Congress (Q29) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044414	PostRand.2c. Pos Military - Group Therms
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the military in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the military (Q30) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044415	PostRand.2d. Pos Federal Govt - Group Therms
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the federal government in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
for the federal government (Q31) as administered as the nth
thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044416 PostRand.2e. Pos Blacks - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Blacks in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered
in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer
for blacks (Q32) as administered as the nth thermometer in
the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044417 PostRand.2f. Pos Whites - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Whites in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered
in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer
for whites (Q33) as administered as the nth thermometer in
the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044418 PostRand.2g. Pos Conservatives - Group Therms

=====

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of conservatives in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for conservatives (Q34) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044419 PostRand.2h. Pos Liberals - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of liberals in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for liberals (Q35) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044420 PostRand.2j. Pos Unions - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of unions in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for unions (Q36) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044421 PostRand.2k. Pos Big Business - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of big business in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for big business (Q37) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044422 PostRand.2m. Pos Poor People - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of poor people in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for poor people (Q38) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044423 PostRand.2n. Pos People On Welfare - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of people on welfare in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for people on Welfare (Q39) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044424 PostRand.2p. Pos Hispanics - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Hispanics in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Hispanics (Q40) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044425 PostRand.2q. Pos Christian Fundam - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Christian fundamentalists in the group
thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered
in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer
for Christian fundamentalists (Q41) as administered as the
nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044426	PostRand.2r. Pos Elderly - Group Therms
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the elderly in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered
in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer
for the elderly (Q42) as administered as the nth thermometer
in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

c Dec 0

=====

M044427	PostRand.2s. Pos Environmentalists - Group Therms
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of environmentalists in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for environmentalists (Q43) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044428 PostRand.2t. Pos Homosexuals - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of homosexuals in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for homosexuals (Q44) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044429 PostRand.2u. Pos Catholics - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Catholics in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Catholics (Q45) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044430 PostRand.2v. Pos Jews - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Jews in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Jews (Q46) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044431 PostRand.2w. Pos Protestants - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Protestants in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Protestants (Q47) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044432 PostRand.2y. Pos Feminists - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of feminists in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for feminists (Q48) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044433 PostRand.2z. Pos Asian-Americans - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Asian-Americans in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Asian-Americans (Q49) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044434 PostRand.2za. Pos News Media - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the news media in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the news media (Q50) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044435	PostRand.2zb. Pos Catholic Church - Group Therms
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of the Catholic Church in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the Catholic Church (Q51) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044436	PostRand.2zc. Men - Group Therms
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of men in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for men (Q52) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044437 PostRand.2zd. Women - Group Therms

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of women in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:

01 - 26

NOTES:

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for women (Q53) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044438 PostRand.3a. Pos AIDS Research - Fed Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of AIDS research in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item. This variable identifies AIDS research (Q81) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044439 PostRand.3b. Pos Welfare - Fed Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of welfare in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies welfare (Q82) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044440 PostRand.3c. Pos Public/Big-City Schools - Fed Spending
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of public schools/big-city schools in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies public schools/big-city schools as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044441 PostRand.3d. Pos Crime - Fed Spending
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of crime in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies crime (Q99) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044442 PostRand.3e. Pos Child Care - Fed Spending
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of child care in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies child care (Q100) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044443 PostRand.3f. Pos Homeland Sec/War On Terror - Fed Spending
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of homeland security/war on terrorism in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies homeland security/war on terrorism as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044444	PostRand.3g. Pos Poor/Working Poor - Fed Spending
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of poor people/working poor in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies poor/working poor as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044445	PostRand.3h. Pos Foreign Aid - Fed Spending
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of foreign aid in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies foreign aid (Q107) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044446 PostRand.3j. Pos Social Security - Fed Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Social Security in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies Social Security (Q108) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044447 PostRand.3k. Pos Border Security - Fed Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of border security in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies border security (Q109) as

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
administered as the nth item in the randomized
portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044448	PostRand.3m. Aid To Blacks - Fed Spending
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of aid to Blacks in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was
administered in random order after environmental
protection (fixed as the first spending item). This
variable identifies aid to Blacks (Q110) as
administered as the nth item in the randomized
portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044449	PostRand.3n. Early Education - Fed Spending
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of preschool and early education for poor children/
pre-school and early education for Black children in the
federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was
administered in random order after environmental
protection (fixed as the first spending item). This
variable identifies preschool and early education
for poor children/pre-school and early education
for Black children as administered as the nth item
in the randomized portion of the federal spending

series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044450 PostRand.3p. Defense - Fed Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of defense in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:

01 - 13

NOTES:

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies defense (Q114) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044451 PostRand.4. Public/Big-City Schools Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Random administration in federal spending series:
public schools or big-city schools.

VALID CODES:

01. Federal spending on public schools
02. Federal spending on big-city schools

NOTE:

For the federal spending item on school spending, respondents were randomly administered one of two possible wordings: public schools or big-city schools (Q96,Q97)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044452 PostRand.5. Homeland Sec/War On Terrorism Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Random administration in federal spending series:
homeland security or war on terrorism.

VALID CODES:

01. Federal spending on homeland security
02. Federal spending on the war on terrorism

NOTE:

For the federal spending item related to national security, respondents were randomly administered one of two possible wordings: homeland security or war on terrorism (Q102,Q103)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044453 PostRand.6. Poor People/Working Poor Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Random administration in federal spending series:
poor people or working poor.

VALID CODES:

01. Federal spending on poor people
02. Federal spending on the working poor

NOTE:

For the federal spending item on aid to the poor, respondents were randomly administered one of two possible wordings: poor people or the working poor (Q104,Q105)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044454 PostRand.7. Early Ed For Poor/Black Children Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Random administration in federal spending series:
poor children or black children.

VALID CODES:

- 01. Federal spending on preschool and early education for poor children
- 02. Federal spending on preschool and early education for black children

NOTE:

For the federal spending item on preschool and early education, respondents were randomly administered one of two possible wordings: poor children or black children (Q111,Q112)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044455 PostRand.8a. Pos Provides Strong Leadership Trait
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "provides strong leadership" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

- 01. Provides strong leadership 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
- 02. Provides strong leadership 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
- 03. Provides strong leadership 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
- 04. Provides strong leadership 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the leadership trait (Q137a/Q137) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

M044456 PostRand.8b. Pos Moral Trait

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "moral" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Moral 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Moral 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Moral 3rd GW set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Moral 4th GW set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the moral trait (Q138) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

M044457 PostRand.8c. Pos Out Of Touch Trait

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "out of touch" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Out of touch 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Out of touch 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Out of touch 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Out of touch 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the out of

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
touch trait (Q139) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044458 PostRand.8d. Pos Knowledgeable Trait

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "knowledgeable" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Knowledgeable 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Knowledgeable 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Knowledgeable 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Knowledgeable 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits
for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of
traits randomized. This is the order of the
knowledgeable trait (Q140) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044459 PostRand.9a. Pos Provides Strong Leadership Trait

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "provides strong leadership" in 2nd set of
GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Provides strong leadership 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Provides strong leadership 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Provides strong leadership 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Provides strong leadership 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the leadership trait (Q137b/Q137) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044460	PostRand.9b. Pos Really Cares Trait
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "really cares" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

- 01. Really cares 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
- 02. Really cares 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
- 03. Really cares 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
- 04. Really cares 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the really cares trait (Q141) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044461	PostRand.9c. Pos Dishonest Trait
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "dishonest" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Dishonest 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Dishonest 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Dishonest 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Dishonest 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the really cares trait (Q142) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044462 PostRand.9d. Pos Intelligent Trait

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

Order of "intelligent" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:

01. Intelligent 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Intelligent 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Intelligent 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Intelligent 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:

Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the intelligent trait (Q143) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044463 PostRand.10. Order Party Plcmnt Diplomacy Scale

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in
the diplomacy/military scale items.

VALID CODES:

- 01. Diplomacy scale placement -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Diplomacy scale placement -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two
possible orders for placements of the Democratic
party and Republican party on the diplomacy/military
scale (Q146,Q147): Democratic party first and
Republican party second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044464 PostRand.11. Order Rich/Poor Pay Taxes

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of rich and poor in the pay taxes series.

VALID CODES:

- 01. Taxes paid by the rich 1st, taxes paid by the poor 2nd
02. Taxes paid by the poor 1st, taxes paid by the rich 2nd

NOTE:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two
possible orders for placement of questions on the
payment of taxes by the rich/poor (Q168,Q169):
rich first and poor second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044465 PostRand.12. Order Party Plcmnt Govt Services

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in
the government services items.

VALID CODES:

01. Government services -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Government services -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two
possible orders for placements of Democratic party and
Republican party positions on the government spending
scale (Q183,Q184): Democratic party first and Republican
party second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044466 PostRand.13. Order Party Plcmnt Affirm Action

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R WAS ADMINISTERED THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES:

QUESTION:

Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in the
affirmative action series.

VALID CODES:

01. Affirmative action -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Affirmative action -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R administered series on equal pay for women

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two
possible orders for placements of Democratic party
and Republican party positions on affirmative action
(Q190,Q192): Democratic party first and Republican
party second, or vice-versa.

[Respondents were assigned either to the affirmative
action series or the equal pay for women series
according to which series had been previously
administered to them, in 2002]

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
M044467 PostRand.14. Order Party Plcmnt Equal Pay Women

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R WAS ADMINISTERED THE EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES:

QUESTION:

Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in
the equal pay for women series.

VALID CODES:

01. Equal pay for women-Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Equal pay for women-Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

MISSING CODES:

INAP. R administered series on Affirmative Action

NOTE:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two
possible orders for placements of Democratic party
and Republican party positions on equal pay for women
(Q197,Q198): Democratic party first and Republican
party second, or vice-versa.
[Respondents were assigned either to the affirmative
action series or the equal pay for women series
according to which series had been previously
administered to them, in 2002]

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044468 PostRand.15. Order Working Women Items
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Order of three items in the working women series.

VALID CODES:

01. Order Q213, Q214, Q215
02. Order Q215, Q213, Q214

NOTE:

Respondents were randomly administered to one of
two possible orders for questions in the working women
series: either Q213,Q214,Q215 or else Q215,Q213,Q214.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044501 PostCand.1. 2004 House Type Race
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

2004 House Type Race.

VALID CODES:

INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 13 Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
- 14 Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
- 19 Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
- 21 Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 23 Republican incumbent running - other challenger
- 24 Republican incumbent running - unopposed
- 29 Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
- 31 Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 32 Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 34 Other incumbent running - unopposed
- 35 Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers
- 36 Other incumbent running -- Republican and other challengers
- 37 Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers
- 39 Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other challengers

SPECIAL HOUSE TYPE RACE DUE TO REDISTRICTING

- 40 Democratic and Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
- 41 2 Democratic incumbents running - no other candidate
- 42 2 Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
- 43 Dem and Repub incumbents running - other candidate(s)
- 44 Democratic non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 45 Republican non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 46 Democratic and Republican cand's - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 47 Democratic and other cand's - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 48 Republican and other cand's - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 49 Democratic, Republican, other cand's - no retiree/unclear who is retiree

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:

- 51 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
- 52 Dem incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
- 53 Dem incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
- 55 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand's
- 56 Dem incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand's
- 57 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand's
- 59 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand's
- 61 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
- 62 Rep incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
- 63 Rep incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
- 65 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand's
- 66 Rep incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand's
- 67 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand's
- 69 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand's
- 71 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
- 72 Other incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
- 73 Other incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

75 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand
76 Other incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand
77 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand
79 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand

HOUSE - LOUISIANA DISTRICTS ONLY

91 Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and 2 Republican candidates
92 Rep incumbent not running - Republican and 2 Democratic candidates
93 Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and 2 Republican candidates
94 Dem incumbent not running - Republican and 2 Democratic candidates

MISSING CODES:

00 Washington D.C. (no House or Senate race)

NOTE:

House incumbents have been regarded as candidates (already)
in office and representing a district -- where district is
regarded as a geographic unit-- at the time of the 2004
election. The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to
the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents
running in areas where he or she had provided little or no
prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been
identified as a true incumbent for the new Texas districts
in effect for the 2004 election (House type race 44-49).
In Louisiana (House type race 91-94), the runoff system allows
multiple candidates from a single party to run in the November
general election.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044502 PostCand.2. 2004 Senate Type Race

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

2004 Senate Type Race.

VALID CODES:

INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
13 Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
14 Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
19 Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
21 Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
23 Republican incumbent running - other challenger
24 Republican incumbent running - unopposed
29 Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
31 Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
32 Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
34 Other incumbent running - unopposed
35 Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers
36 Other incumbent running -- Republican and other challengers

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

37 Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers
39 Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other challengers
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:
51 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
52 Dem incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
53 Dem incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
55 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand
56 Dem incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand
57 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand
59 Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand
61 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
62 Rep incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
63 Rep incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
65 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand
66 Rep incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand
67 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand
69 Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand
71 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
72 Other incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
73 Other incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
75 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cand
76 Other incumbent not running -- Republican and other cand
77 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cand
79 Other incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cand
NO RACE IN STATE
81 Democratic incumbents, no race in state
82 Republican incumbents, no race in state
85 Democratic and Republican incumbents, no race in state
87 Democratic and other incumbent, no race in state
88 Republican and other incumbent, no race in state

MISSING CODES:

00 Washington D.C. (no House or Senate race)

NOTE:

The Louisiana runoff system allows multiple candidates
from a single party to run in the House November general
election.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044503a PostCand.3a. 2000-2002 Move Status
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent move status 2000-2002.

VALID CODES:

0. Same address 2000 and 2002
1. Different address 2000 and 2002

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

NOTE:

Based on address information. 2002 address was address where respondent was located at the beginning of the 2002 field period; in several cases, this was a 2nd residence in another state. Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044503b PostCand.3b. 2002-2004 Move Status

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent move status 2002-2004.

VALID CODES:

- 0. Same address 2002 and 2004
- 1. Different address 2002 and 2004

MISSING CODES:

9. NA

NOTE:

Based on address information. 2002 address was address where respondent was located at the beginning of the 2002 field period; in several cases, this was a 2nd residence in another state. Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044503c PostCand.3c. 2000-2004 Move Status

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent move status 2000-2004.

VALID CODES:

- 0. Same address 2000 and 2004
- 1. Different address 2000 and 2004

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA

NOTE:

Based on address information. Code 1 includes 3 cases where R clearly moved between 2000 and 2004 but year of move is unknown.

Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M044504a PostCand.4a. 2000-2002 Change In District Number
=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change in Congressional district number 2000-2002.

VALID CODES:

- 0. Same state and district number in 2000 and 2002
- 1. Different state/district number in 2000 and 2002

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (error in district assignment in 2000 or 2002)

NOTE:

Due to redistricting effected just prior to the 2002 general election, code 1 may include cases where the change in district was not due to change in address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent moved to another district but redistricting renumbered to the same number as in 2000.)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044504b PostCand.4b. 2002-2004 Change In District Number

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change in Congressional district number
2002-2004.

VALID CODES:

- 0. Same state and district number in 2002 and 2004
- 1. Different state/district number in 2002 and 2004

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (error in district assignment in 2002)

NOTE:

Due to Texas redistricting effected just prior to the
2004 general election, code 1 may include Texas cases
where the change in district was not due to change in
address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though
less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent
moved to another Texas district but redistricting
renumbered to the same number as in 2002.)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044504c PostCand.4c. 2000-2004 Change In District Number

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change in Congressional district number 2000-2004.

VALID CODES:

- 0. Same state and district number in 2000 and 2004
- 1. Different state/district number in 2000 and 2004

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (error in district assignment in 2000)

NOTE:

Due to redistricting effected just prior to the 2002

general election, and Texas redistricting effected just prior to the 2004 general election, code 1 may include cases where the change in district was not due to change in address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent moved to another district but redistricting renumbered to the same number as in 2000.)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044505a PostCand.5a. 2000-2002 District Status

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change in Congressional district 2000-2002.

VALID CODES:

SAME DISTRICT

- 0. Move not known; same district assignment
- 1. R did not move 2000-2002, no change in district
- 2. R moved 2000-2002 but same district

DIFFERENT DISTRICT

- 3. R moved 2000-2002 to different district
- 4. R did not move 2000-2002 but different district (redistricting)
- 5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2002

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4a)

NOTE:

Based on move status and district assignment. Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail not sufficiently to identify as same or different address, though district known.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044505b PostCand.5b. 2002-2004 District Status

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change in Congressional district 2002-2004.

VALID CODES:

SAME DISTRICT

- 0. Move not known; same district assignment
- 1. R did not move 2002-2004, no change in district
- 2. R moved 2002-2004 but same district

DIFFERENT DISTRICT

- 3. R moved 2002-2004 to different district
- 4. R did not move 2002-2004 but different district (redistricting)
- 5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2004

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4b)

NOTE:

Based on move status and district assignment
Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail
not sufficiently to identify as same or different
address, though district known.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044505c	PostCand.5c. 2000-2004 District Status
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

Panel respondent change Congressional district 2000-2004.

VALID CODES:

SAME DISTRICT

- 0. Move not known; same district assignment
- 1. R did not move 2000-2004, no change in district
- 2. R moved 2000-2004 but same district

DIFFERENT DISTRICT

- 3. R moved 2000-2004 to different district
- 4. R did not move 2000-2004 but different district (redistricting)
- 5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2004

MISSING CODES:

- 9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4c)

NOTE:

Based on move status and district assignment
Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail
not sufficiently to identify as same or different
address, though district known.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044506 PostCand.6. 2000-2002 Same House Candidates

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

2000 and 2002 House candidate(s) same.

VALID CODES:

- 00. Neither 2002 Democratic candidate preload name nor
2002 Republican candidate preload name had been preloaded
as candidates in respondent's 2000 IW
- 01. 2002 Democratic cand preload name same as preloaded for 2000
- 02. 2002 Republican cand preload name same as preloaded for 2000
- 03. Both Democratic and Republican candidate names same 2000-2002
- 04. 2002 Democratic Retiring Representative name had been
preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
- 05. 2002 Republican Retiring Representative name had been
preloaded in 2000 as Republican candidate name
- 15. 2000-2002 preload Democratic cand name same;
2002 retiring Republican incumbent had been preloaded in
2000 as Republican candidate
- 24. 2000-2002 preload Republican cand name same;
2002 retiring Democratic incumbent had been preloaded in
2000 as Democratic candidate

NOTE:

This determines whether either of the House candidate
names preloaded into the 2002 Post instrument was the
same as the House candidate name that had been preloaded
into the ANES 2000 Post instrument.

Note: Due to 2002 redistricting, district number for
2000-2002 nonmovers may not have been the same in
2000 and 2002; names of candidates were compared to construct
this summary.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044506a PostCand.6a. 2002-2004 Same House Candidates

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

2002 and 2004 House candidate(s) same.

VALID CODES:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- 01. No, R in different districts 2002-2004
- 02. No, R in same district 2002-2004 but neither candidate same
- 03. No, R TEXAS 2002-2004: neither candidate same
- 10. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: same Democratic candidate
- 20. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: same Republican candidate
- 30. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: both major party candidates the same
- 70. TEXAS 2004: R's 2004 Democratic candidate same as 2002
- 80. TEXAS 2004: R's 2004 Republican candidate same as 2002
- 90. TEXAS 2004: both 2004 major party candidates same as 2002

MISSING CODES:

- 00. NA, error in district assignment in 2002

NOTE:

This determines whether the Democratic or Republican House candidate running in the district of the respondent's 2004 address was the same that ran in the district of respondent's 2002 address.

The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced 2004 House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation. Thus for 2004 Texas cases, 2002-2004 move status or congressional district identification does not necessarily have a relationship to sameness of candidates from 2002 to 2004; only names of candidates were compared to construct this summary for TX cases.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M044506b PostCand.6b. 2004 House Retiree Status In 2002

=====

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:

2004 House Retiree Status in 2002.

VALID CODES:

- 0. No, R's 2004 House retiree was not R's 2002 Dem or Repub cand
- 1. Yes, R's 2004 House retiree was R's 2002 Democratic candidate
- 2. Yes, R's 2004 House retiree was R's 2002 Republican candidate

MISSING CODES:

- 9. Texas 2004

NOTE:

Names were compared to construct this summary.

This determines whether the Democratic or Republican retiring House incumbent for the district of R's 2004 address had been preloaded as a running candidate in 2002 for the district

of R's 2002 address.

For 2004 Texas cases, extensive redistricting did not allow clear identification of retiring incumbent.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045001 Q1.f2a2. Watch Campaign TV Programs

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045002 Q2.f2a3. R Discuss Politics With Family/Friends

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: WE WANT TO KNOW IF THEY THINK THEY DISCUSS POLITICS, WHETHER THEY HAVE IN MIND A LENGTHY DEBATE OVER CANDIDATE STANDS ON POLICY, OR BRIEFLY MENTION THE SCANDALS OF POLITICIANS.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045002a Q3.f2a3a. How Often R Discuss Politics

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY OR FRIENDS:

QUESTION:

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about
politics with family or friends?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THIS ASKS FOR NUMBER OF DAYS, NOT NUMBER OF TIMES)

VALID CODES:

- 0. None
- 1. One Day
- 2. Two Days
- 3. Three Days
- 4. Four Days
- 5. Five Days
- 6. Six Days
- 7. Every Day

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q2

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045003 Q4.f1q1. Did R Vote In 2000 Presidential Election

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Now I'd like to ask you a few questions about the 2000
Presidential election.
In 2000 George W. Bush ran on the Republican ticket
against Al Gore for the Democrats, and Ralph Nader as a
third party candidate. Do you remember for sure whether
or not you voted in that election?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes, voted
- 5. No, didn't vote

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045003a Q5.flqla. R Vote Choice 2000 Presidential Election

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED IN 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:

QUESTION:

Which one did you vote for?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

VALID CODES:

- 1. Al Gore
- 3. George W. Bush
- 5. Ralph Nader
- 7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q4

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045004 Q7.flq2c. 2000 Presidential Elect Fair/Unfair

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000
presidential election was decided in a way that was
FAIR or UNFAIR?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Fair
5. Unfair

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045004a	Q8.flq2c1. How Fair/Unfair 2000 Presidential Elect
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SAYS 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECT DECIDED IN FAIR WAY/
IF R SAYS 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECT DECIDED IN UNFAIR WAY:

QUESTION:

Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was
[fair/unfair]?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q7

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045004x	Q9.flq2cx. How Fair/Unfair 2000 Pres Elect-Summary
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000
presidential election was decided in a way that was
FAIR or UNFAIR?
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was
[fair/unfair]?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Feel strongly election was fair
2. Feel not so strongly election was fair

4. Feel not so strongly election was unfair
5. Feel strongly election was unfair

MISSING CODES:

 8. Don't know (in Q8)
 9. Refused (in Q8)
 INAP. 8,9 in Q7

NOTES:

 Built from Q7 and Q8.

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045005	Q10.fl1a12. App/Disapp GW Bush Handling Job As Pres
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush
 is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

 (INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS WHETHER THEY CAN CHOOSE A MIDDLE
 CATEGORY, ANSWER "WELL IN GENERAL, WHICH IS CLOSER TO THE WAY YOU
 FEEL?" AND REPEAT QUESTION. IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD,
 REPEAT THE QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING".)

VALID CODES:

-
1. Approve
 5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:

 8. Don't know
 9. Refused

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045005a	Q11.fl1a12a. Strength App/Disapp GW Bush Job As Pres
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
 IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

QUESTION:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
- 5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 8,9 in Q10

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045005x Q12.flal2x. App/Disapp GW Bush Job As Pres-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush
is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know (in Q11)
- 9. Refused (in Q11)
- INAP. 8,9 in Q10

NOTES:

Built from Q10 and Q11

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045006 Q13.flh5. Approve/Disapp Bush Handling Economy

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush

is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD, REPEAT THE QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING".)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Approve
5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045006a	Q14.flh5a. Strength App/Disapp GW Bush On Economy
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING OF ECONOMY/
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING OF ECONOMY:

QUESTION:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q13

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045006x	Q15.flh5x. App/Disapp GW Bush On Economy-Summary
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush
is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q14)
 9. Refused (in Q14)
- INAP. 8,9 in Q13

NOTES:

Built from Q13 and Q14

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F1C1. INTRODUCTION - INDIVIDUAL THERMOMETERS

=====

I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Rating above 50 means that

you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50 degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cold. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

=====

M045007 Q16.f1c1a. George W. Bush Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

The first person is:

George W. Bush

Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045008 Q17.flclal. John Kerry Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)

John Kerry

(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045009 Q18.f1c1b. Dick Cheney Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)

Dick Cheney

(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:

WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU

DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE

SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045010 Q19.f1c1c. Al Gore Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)

Al Gore

(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer).Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045011	Q20.flcld. John Edwards Thermometer
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
John Edwards
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer).Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045012	Q21.flc1e. Ralph Nader Thermometer
---------	------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
Ralph Nader
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer).Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045013	Q22.flc1f. Bill Clinton Thermometer
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)

Bill Clinton

(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045014	Q23.flclh. Colin Powell Thermometer
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
Colin Powell
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045015	Q24.f1c1j. John Ashcroft Thermometer
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
John Ashcroft
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045016	Q25.f1c1m. Jesse Jackson Thermometer
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
Jesse Jackson
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045017	Q26.flclp. Laura Bush Thermometer
---------	-----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
Laura Bush
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045018 Q27.flclr. Hillary Clinton Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(The next person is:)
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2D2. INTRO - GROUP THERMOMETERS

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

=====

M045019 Q28.f2d2a. Supreme Court Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION::

(How would you rate:)
the Supreme Court?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045020 Q29.f2d2b. Congress Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Congress?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

 {PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
 WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
 YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
 DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

 0-100.

MISSING CODES:

 777. Don't recognize
 888. Don't know where to rate
 889. Refused

NOTES:

 Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
 randomized order. Randomization variables, which
 precede survey variables, provide case level
 identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045021	Q30.f2d2c Military Thermometer
---------	--------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 (How would you rate:)
 the Military?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

 {PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
 WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
 YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
 DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

 0-100.

MISSING CODES:

 777. Don't recognize
 888. Don't know where to rate
 889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045022 Q31.f2d2d. Federal Government Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
the federal government in Washington?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045023 Q32.f2d2e. Blacks Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
blacks?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045024	Q33.f2d2f. Whites Thermometer
---------	-------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
whites?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045025	Q34.f2d2g. Conservatives Thermometer
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
conservatives?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045026	Q35.f2d2h. Liberals Thermometer
---------	---------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
liberals?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M045027 Q36.f2d2j. Labor Unions Thermometer
=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
labor unions?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045028 Q37.f2d2k. Big Business Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
big business?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045029 Q38.f2d2m. Poor People Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
poor people?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045030	Q39.f2d2n. People On Welfare Thermometer
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
people on welfare?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045031	Q40.f2d2p. Hispanics Thermometer
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Hispanics/Hispanic-Americans?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045032	Q41.f2d2q. Christian Fundamentalists Thermometer
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Christian fundamentalists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

 {PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
 WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
 YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
 DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

 0-100.

MISSING CODES:

 777. Don't recognize
 888. Don't know where to rate
 889. Refused

NOTES:

 Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
 randomized order. Randomization variables, which
 precede survey variables, provide case level
 identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045033	Q42.f2d2r. Elderly Thermometer
---------	--------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 (How would you rate:)
 older people (the elderly)?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

 {PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
 WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
 YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
 DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

 0-100.

MISSING CODES:

 777. Don't recognize
 888. Don't know where to rate
 889. Refused

NOTES:

 Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
 randomized order. Randomization variables, which

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045034	Q43.f2d2s. Environmentalists Thermometer
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
environmentalists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045035	Q44.f2d2t. Gay Men And Lesbians Thermometer
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
gay men and lesbians, that is, homosexuals?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045036	Q45.f2d2u. Catholics Thermometer
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Catholics?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045037	Q46.f2d2v. Jews Thermometer
---------	-----------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Jews?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045038	Q47.f2d2w. Protestants Thermometer
---------	------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Protestants?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:

WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045039	Q48.f2d2y. Feminists Thermometer
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
feminists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045040 Q49.f2d2z. Asian-Americans Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
Asian-Americans?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045041 Q50.f2d2za. News Media Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
the news media?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045042	Q51.f2d2zb. Catholic Church Thermometer
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
the Catholic Church?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045043 Q52.f2d2zc. Men Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
men?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045044 Q53.f2d2zd. Women Thermometer

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:)
women?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize

888. Don't know where to rate

889. Refused

NOTES:

Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045045a	Q54.f2c1a. R 2004 Voter Turnout-Traditional
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1 OF VOTER TURNOUT QUESTION:

QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you-- did you vote in the elections this November?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, voted

5. No, didn't vote

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. R selected for version 2 of R turnout (Q55)

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to either Q54 or Q55. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045045b Q55.f2c1b. R 2004 Voter Turnout-Experimental

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2 OF VOTER TURNOUT QUESTION:

QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS}

VALID CODES:

1. I did not vote (in the election this November)
2. I thought about voting this time but didn't
3. I usually vote but didn't this time
4. I am sure I voted

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R selected for version 1 of R turnout (Q54)

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to either Q54 or Q55.
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045045x Q56.f2c1x. R 2004 Voter Turnout-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

VERSION 1:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you-- did you vote in the elections this November?

VERSION2:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);

Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;

Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or

Four, I am sure I voted?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS}

VALID CODES:

- 1. R reported voting in 2004 election
5. R reported not voting in 2004 election

MISSING CODES:

- 8. R did not know whether voted in 2004 election
9. R refused to say whether voted in 2004 election

NOTES:

Built from Q54 and Q55

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045046	Q57.f2c2. Was Non-Voter R Registered To Vote
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/REFUSED IF VOTED:

QUESTION:

Were you registered to vote in this election?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
5. No
6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. 1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045047 Q58.f2c2a. Was Voter R Registered In Preload State

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:

QUESTION:

Were you registered to vote in [PRELOAD STATE]?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
 - 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54; 1-3,8,9 in Q55

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045047a Q59.f2c2a1. State Voter R Registered

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:

IF R WAS NOT REGISTERED IN [PRELOAD STATE]:

QUESTION:

What state were you registered in?

VALID CODES:

- 01. Alabama
- 02. Alaska
- 04. Arizona
- 05. Arkansas
- 06. California
- 08. Colorado
- 09. Connecticut
- 10. Delaware
- 11. Washington DC
- 12. Florida
- 13. Georgia

15. Hawaii
16. Idaho
17. Illinois
18. Indiana
19. Iowa
20. Kansas
21. Kentucky
22. Louisiana
23. Maine
24. Maryland
25. Massachusetts
26. Michigan
27. Minnesota
28. Mississippi
29. Missouri
30. Montana
31. Nebraska
32. Nevada
33. New Hampshire
34. New Jersey
35. New Mexico
36. New York
37. North Carolina
38. North Dakota
39. Ohio
40. Oklahoma
41. Oregon
42. Pennsylvania
44. Rhode Island
45. South Carolina
46. South Dakota
47. Tennessee
48. Texas
49. Utah
50. Vermont
51. Virginia
53. Washington
54. West Virginia
55. Wisconsin
56. Wyoming

MISSING CODES:

88. Don't know
89. Refused
INAP. 5 in Q56; 1,6,8,9 in Q58

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045048x Q60.f2c2x. Vote/Registration Status-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

VALID CODES:

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

1. Voter registered in-state
2. Voter registered out-of-state
3. Non-voter registered
4. Non-voter not registered
5. Non-voter not required to register in state
6. Voter not required to register in state

MISSING CODES:

-
9. Voter, DK/RF if registered in state
 0. Non-voter, DK/RF if registered or DK/RF if registered in state

NOTES:

Built from Q57, Q58, and Q59.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045049 Q61.f2c3. Did R Vote For President

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:

QUESTION:

How about the election for President?
Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?

MISSING CODES:

-
1. Yes, voted for President
 5. No, didn't vote for President

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54; 1-3,8,9 in Q55

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045049a Q62.f2c3a. Presidential Vote Choice

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

QUESTION:

Who did you vote for?

VALID CODES:

- 1. John Kerry
3. George W. Bush
5. Ralph Nader
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045050	Q64.f2c3a1. Strength Presidential Cand Pref
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/RF:

QUESTION:

Would you say that your preference for (NAME GIVEN
/this candidate) was STRONG or NOT STRONG?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strong
5. Not strong

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61; 8,9 in Q62

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045051	Q65.f2c3b. Timing Of Presidential Vote Decision
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/RF:

QUESTION:

How long before the election did you decide that
you were going to vote the way you did?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

(INTERVIEWER: TRY TO ELICIT AN ANSWER THAT CAN BE TRANSLATED INTO A TIME FRAME. FOR EXAMPLE, "WHEN I ATTENDED A PTA MEETING" SHOULD BE PROBED WITH "WHEN WAS THIS MEETING HELD?" ANSWERS REFERRING TO RECOGNIZABLE EVENTS ARE ACCEPTABLE, SUCH AS "I DECIDED DURING THE SECOND PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE.")

VALID CODES:

-
01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Kerry/Bush); before the primaries; 9 months or more
 02. Before the convention because of Kerry's/Bush's candidacy; as soon as Kerry/Bush/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/ quite a bit before the election"; "in the summer"
 03. During the Democratic convention when Kerry was nominated; just after the Republican convention; July; 4 months; 3-4 months; 4-5 months
 04. At the time of the Republican convention/when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; August; 3 months; a few months; 2-3 months
 05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS)*; when the campaign started; 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
 06. 5-7 weeks before the election
 07. 1 month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates; "a few weeks"; "several weeks"; "4-5 weeks"; "weeks before"
 08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"; "longer than a week" - NFS; "a couple of weeks"
 09. In the last days of the campaign; the last part of it; a week; less than a week
 10. On election day
 11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); several months; 5-8 months; 6 months
 87. Other

*NFS - not further specified

MISSING CODES:

-
88. Don't know
 89. Refused
 00. NA
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61; 8,9 in Q62

NOTES:

This variable coded from open-ended responses.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045052 Q68.f2c11a. Did R Vote For US House Of Rep

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

QUESTION:

How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the
U.S. House of Representatives?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives
5. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54 or 1-3,8,9 in Q55 or
(1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 1,6,8,9 in Q58
and residence in Washington D.C. or
(1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 5 in Q58 and 11 in Q59

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045053 Q69.f2c11a1. US House of Rep R Vote Choice

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

QUESTION:

Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for the Democratic candidate, the Republican
candidate, or someone else?

VALID CODES:

1. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
5. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54 or 1-3,8,9 in Q55

or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 1,6,8,9
in Q58 and residence in Washington D.C.
or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 5 in
Q58 and 11 in Q59; 5,8,9 in Q68

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045054x Q71.f2c15ax. Checkpoint: Senate Race In State

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

VALID CODES:

1. State with race: preload state, same as state of 2004 address
2. State with race: preload state, not same as state of 2004 address
3. State with race: state of 2004 address, not same as preload state
4. State without race: preload state, same as state of 2004 address
5. State without race: preload state, not same as state of 2004 address
6. State without race: state of 2004 address, not same as preload state

MISSING CODES:

INAP. nonvoter; DK/RF/NA whether voted

NOTES:

Status of senate race here refers to state of registration.
Codes 2 and 5 apply to cases where R moved from preload
state but is still registered in preload state; codes 3
and 6 correspond to cases where R moved from preload state
and is now registered in new state. Case 489 had
preload state incorrect (as past or present state).

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045054 Q72.f2c15a. Did R Vote For US Senate

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:

IF R REGISTERED IN STATE WITH SENATORIAL ELECTION:

QUESTION:

How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, voted for Senate
5. No, didn't vote for Senate

7. R VOLUNTEERS: no race in state of vote

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. 5 in Q56 or 1 in Q56 and 4,5,6 in Q71

NOTES:

For the 2 cases coded 7, the respondent was incorrect when informing the interviewer that no 2004 Senate election occurred in the state.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045055      Q73.f2c15a1. U.S. Senate R Vote Choice
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R REGISTERED IN STATE WITH SENATE ELECTION:

IF R VOTED FOR THE UNITED STATES SENATE:

QUESTION:

Who did you vote for?

Did you vote for the Democratic candidate, the Republican candidate, or someone else?

VALID CODES:

1. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

5. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. 5 in Q56 or 1 in Q56 and 4,5,6, in Q71

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045056      Q75.f2c20. 2004 Elections Fair/Unfair
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe

that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

VALID CODES:

-
1. Very fair
 2. Somewhat fair
 3. Neither fair nor unfair
 4. Somewhat unfair
 5. Very unfair

MISSING CODES:

-
8. Don't know
 9. Refused

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045057	Q76.f2f5. R Follow Govt And Public Affairs
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, ONLY NOW AND THEN, or HARDLY AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

-
1. Most of the time
 2. Some of the time
 3. Only now and then
 4. Hardly at all

MISSING CODES:

-
8. Don't know
 9. Refused

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045058	Q77.f1j1. R Consider Self Dem/Rep/Ind/Other
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THIS QUESTION IS INTENDED TO PIN DOWN THE RESPONDENT'S *USUAL* PARTY, NOT HOW THEY VOTED THIS YEAR. IF THEY RESPOND "AMERICAN" OR THE LIKE, PROBE "WELL, A LOT OF US WHO CONSIDER OURSELVES AMERICAN ALSO THINK OF OURSELVES AS DEMOCRATS, REPUBLICANS, AND SO FORTH." AND REPEAT QUESTION.)

VALID CODES:

1. Republican
2. Democrat
3. Independent
4. Other party {VOL} {SPECIFY}
5. No preference {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045058a Q79.flj1a. Strength R Considers Self Dem/Rep

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R IDENTIFIES AS A REPUBLICAN/

IF R IDENTIFIES AS A DEMOCRAT:

QUESTION:

Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat/Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG [Democrat/Republican]?

VALID CODES:

1. Strong
5. Not very strong

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. 3,4,5,8,9, in Q77

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045058b Q80.flj1b. Is R Closer To Dem/Rep Party

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R INDEPENDENT/OTHER/DK/NO PREFERENCE:

QUESTION:

Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the
Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

VALID CODES:

1. Closer to Republican
3. Neither {VOL}
5. Closer to Democratic

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 1,2,9 in Q77

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045058x Q81.flj1x. Summary-R Party Preference

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself
as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat/Republican]
or a NOT VERY STRONG [Democrat/Republican]?
Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican
Party or to the Democratic party?

VALID CODES:

0. Strong Democrat (2/1/.)
1. Weak Democrat (2/5-8-9/.; 8/./5)
2. Independent-Democrat (3-4-5/./5)
3. Independent-Independent
 (3/./3-8-9 ; 5/./3-8-9 if not apolitical)
4. Independent-Republican (3-4-5/./1)
5. Weak Republican (1/5-8-9/.; 8/./1)
6. Strong Republican (1/1/.)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (9/./ . ; 4/./3-8-9)

MISSING CODES:

8. Apolitical (5/./3-8-9 if apolitical)
9. DK (8/./.; 8/./8)

NOTES:

Code combinations in parentheses represent corresponding values in Q77/Q79/Q80.
Code 8 (apolitical) was used if R was coded 'No preference' in Q77 and also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions:
Q54/Q55: Voted
Q76: Follow public affairs
Respondents coded 'No preference' in Q77 who showed interest in politics were coded 3.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045059	Q82.f2b1. Parties Talk To R About Campaign
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045059a	Q83.f2b1a. Which Party Spoke To R About Campaign
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF POLITICAL PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

QUESTION:

Which party was that?

VALID CODES:

1. Democrats
5. Republicans
6. Both
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q82

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045060	Q85.f2b9. Anyone Talk To R About Reg/Vote
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk
to you about REGISTERING TO VOTE or GETTING OUT
TO VOTE?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN HOW MANY OF OUR RESPONDENTS HAVE
BEEN CONTACTED BY REGISTRATION AND VOTING DRIVES. IF RESPONDENT SAYS
HE/SHE RECEIVED A CALL ON ELECTION DAY FROM THE LOCAL PARTY ASKING
IF RESPONDENT HAS VOTED AND OFFERING RIDES TO THE POLLS, ETC. --
THAT COUNTS -- THE ANSWER IS "YES".)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, someone did
5. No, no one did

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045061      Q86.f2b2. R Try To Influence Vote Of Others
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

We would like to find out about some of the things
people do to help a party or a candidate win an
election.
During the campaign, did you talk to any people and
try to show them why they should vote for or against
one of the parties or candidates?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS HE/SHE HAS TRIED TO CONVINCE OTHERS
SIMPLY TO VOTE, BUT NOT FOR OR AGAINST A CANDIDATE OR PARTY, THE
ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION IS "NO". THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S
PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED,
REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM
SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045062      Q87.f2b3. R Display Campaign Button/Sticker
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign
sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window
or in front of your house?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS
YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN
ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT
SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045063 Q88.f2b4. R Attend Candidate Meetings/Rallies

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045064 Q89.f2b5. R Do Other Work For Party/Candidate

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045065	Q90.f2b6. Did R Give Money To Candidate
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to AN INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045066	Q91.f2b7. Did R Give Money To Political Party
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you give money to A POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS
YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN
ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT
SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M045067 Q92.f2b8. Did R Give Money To Any Other Group
=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that
supported or opposed candidates?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS
YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN
ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT
SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
F1K1. INTRODUCTION - FEDERAL SPENDING ITEMS
=====

Next I am going to read you a list of federal
programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me
whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or

DECREASED.

=====

M045068 Q93.flk2a. Environmental Protection-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

The first program is:
 environmental protection
 If you had a say in making up the federal budget
 this year, should federal spending on environmental
 protection be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT
 THE SAME?

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in
 randomized order, following environmental
 protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
 Randomization variables, which precede survey
 variables, provide case level identification of
 randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045069 Q94.flk1b. AIDS Research-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
 spending on AIDS research?
 (Should federal spending on AIDS research be
 INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased

3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045070 Q95.flk1c. Welfare-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
welfare programs?
(Should federal spending on welfare programs
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE
SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045071a Q96.flkl1a. Public Schools Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "PUBLIC SCHOOLS" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
public schools?
(Should federal spending on public schools be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of
two possible wordings: public schools OR
big-city schools.
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045071b Q97.flkl1b. Big-City Schools-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "BIG-CITY SCHOOLS" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
big-city schools?
(Should federal spending on big-city schools be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: public schools OR big-city schools. Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045071x	Q98.f1k1d1. Public/Big-City Schools-Summary
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
[public schools/big-city schools]?
(Should federal spending on [public schools/
big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED,
or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: public schools OR big-city schools.

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045072 Q99.flkle. Crime-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
dealing with crime?
(Should federal spending on dealing with crime
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045073 Q100.flklf. Child Care-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
child care?

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

(Should federal spending on child care be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045074a	Q101.flklgl1a. Homeland Security-Federal Spending
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "HOMELAND SECURITY" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
Homeland security?
(Should federal spending on Homeland security
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two
Page 1328

possible wordings: homeland security OR the war
on terrorism.
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045074b Q102.f1klglb. War On Terrorism-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "WAR ON TERRORISM" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
the war on terrorism?
(Should federal spending on the war on
terrorism be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT
ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R Selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two
possible wordings: homeland security OR the war
on terrorism.
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045074x Q103.f1klglx. Homeland Sec/War On Terrorism-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
[Homeland security/the war on terrorism]?
(Should federal spending on [Homeland
security/the war on terrorism] be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two
possible wordings: homeland security OR the war
on terrorism.
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045075a Q104.f1k2b1a. Aid To Poor People-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "AID TO POOR PEOPLE" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
aid to poor people?
(Should federal spending on aid to poor people
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same

4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to the working poor

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045075b Q105.flk2b1b. Aid To Working Poor-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "AID TO THE WORKING POOR" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)

aid to the working poor?

(Should federal spending on aid to the working poor be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased

2. Decreased

3. Kept about the same

4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to the working poor

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045075x Q106.flk2b1x. Aid To Poor/Working Poor-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?
(Should federal spending on [aid to poor
people/aid to the working poor] be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two
possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to
the working poor
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045076 Q107.flk2c. Foreign Aid-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
foreign aid?
(Should federal spending on foreign aid be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045077	Q108.flk2d. Social Security-Federal Spending
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
Social Security?
(Should federal spending on Social Security
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE
SAME?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in

randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045078 Q109.f1k2e. Border Security-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?
(Should federal spending on tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045079 Q110.f1k2f. Aid To Blacks-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)

aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE
SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045080a Q111.f1k2h1a. Early Ed For Poor Children-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR "EARLY EDUCATION FOR POOR CHILDREN" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
pre-school and early education for poor children?
(Should federal spending on pre-school and early
education for poor children be INCREASED, DECREASED,
or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: pre-school and early education for poor children or pre-school and early education for black children.

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045080b Q112.flk2h1b. Early Ed For Black Children-Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR "EARLY EDUCATION FOR BLACK CHILDREN" WORDING:

QUESTION:

(What about)
pre-school and early education for black children?
(Should federal spending on pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
- INAP. R selected for alternative wording

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: pre-school and early education for poor children or pre-school and early education for black children.

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045080x Q113.flk2hlx. Early Ed For Poor/Black Children-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
 [pre-school and early education for poor children/
 pre-school and early education for black children]?
 (Should federal spending on [pre-school and early
 education for poor children/pre-school and early
 education for black children] be INCREASED,
 DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two
 possible wordings: pre-school and early education
 for poor children or pre-school and early education
 for black children.
 Federal spending items were administered in
 randomized order, following environmental
 protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
 Randomization variables, which precede survey
 variables, provide case level identification of
 randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045081 Q114.f211b. Defense Federal Spending

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(What about)
 defense?
 (Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED,
 DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F1Q3. INTRODUCTION - WAR ON TERRORISM/9-11 QUESTIONS

=====

Now for another topic.

As you know, on September 11th 2001, a group of terrorists took control of several U.S. commercial airplanes and crashed them into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington.

=====

M045082 Q115.f1q3c. What Terrorists Wanted To Accomplish

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What do you think the terrorists were trying to accomplish by their actions?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:

1. Text present
5. No text present

NOTES:

This variable coded from an open-ended response

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045083 Q118.flq3e. How Likely Another Terrorist Attack

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How likely do you think it is that the U.S.
will suffer an attack as serious as the one in
New York and Washington some time in the
next 12 months? Would you say VERY LIKELY,
SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY
UNLIKELY?

VALID CODES:

1. Very Likely
2. Somewhat Likely
3. Somewhat Unlikely
4. Very Unlikely

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045084x Q119.flq3gx. Checkpoint: F1Q3g Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

CHECKPOINT FOR F1Q3G WORDING:

VALID CODES:

1. "War on terrorism" wording
2. "Terrorist attack of September 11" wording

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045084a Q120.flq3ga. App/Disapp Bush War On Terrorism/9-11

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE
of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on
terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of
September 11]?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD, REPEAT THE
QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING".)

VALID CODES:

1. Approve
5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTE:

Respondents were randomly selected for one of the
following wordings: war on terrorism OR terrorist
attack of September 11.
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.
Q119 is a checkpoint variable, coded by wording.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M045085a Q121.flq3g2a. Strength App/Disapp Bush War On Terrorism
=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR "WAR ON TERRORISM" WORDING:

IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO WAR ON TERRORISM/

IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO WAR ON TERRORISM:

QUESTION:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE
of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on
terrorism?
(Do you [approve/disapprove])
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording; 8,9 in
Q120

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045085b Q122.flq3g2b. Strength App/Disapp Bush 9-11

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SELECTED FOR "TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11" WORDING:
IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11/
IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11:

QUESTION:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE
of the way George W. Bush has responded to the
terrorist attack of September 11]?
(Do you [approve/disapprove])
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in
9. Refused
IINAP. R selected for alternate wording; 8,9
in Q120

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045085x Q123.flq3g2x. Strength App/Disapp Bush Resp-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM/ RESPONSE TO 9/11 /
IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM/ RESPONSE TO 9/11:

QUESTION:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE
of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on
terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of
September 11]?

(Do you [approve/disapprove])
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know (in Q122)
9. Refused (in Q122)
INAP. 8,9 in Q120; 8,9 in Q121

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045086	Q124.flq4a4. War In Afghanistan Worth Cost
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Taking everything into account, do you think
the U.S. war against the Taliban government
in Afghanistan was WORTH THE COST or NOT?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Worth it
5. Not worth it

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045087	Q125.flq4a4a. War In Iraq Worth Cost
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Taking everything into account, do you think the
war in Iraq has been WORTH THE COST or NOT?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Worth it
- 5. Not worth it

MISSING CODES:

-
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045088	Q126.flgl. R Better/Worse Off In Last Year
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS THEY ARE "BETTER OFF" IN SOME RESPECTS AND "WORSE OFF" IN OTHERS, PROBE BY ASKING "OVERALL, WOULD YOU SAY...")

VALID CODES:

-
- 1. Better
- 3. Worse
- 5. Same

MISSING CODES:

-
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045089	Q127.flgla. R How Much Better/Worse Off In Last Yr
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:/
IF R WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:

QUESTION:

(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT [better/worse] off?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS THEY ARE "BETTER OFF" IN SOME
RESPECTS AND "WORSE OFF" IN OTHERS, PROBE BY ASKING "OVERALL, WOULD
YOU SAY...")

VALID CODES:

- 1. Much
5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q126.F1G1

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045089x	Q128.f1glax. R How Much Better/Worse Off-Summary
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

We are interested in how people are getting
along financially these days. Would you say
that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF,
WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially
as you were a year ago?
(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT
[better/worse] off?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same (5 in Q126)
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know (in Q127)
9. Refused (in Q127)
INAP. 8,9 in Q126

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045090	Q129.f1hl1. US Economy Better/Worse In Last Yr
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country
as a whole, would you say that over the past year
the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, gotten WORSE
or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN RESPONDENT'S "PERCEPTIONS" OR
"FEELINGS" AS OPPOSED TO KNOWLEDGE ON DIFFERENT ECONOMIC TOPICS.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Better
- 3. Worse
- 5. Same

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045091	Q130.flh1a. Extent US Econ Better/Worse In Last Yr
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R SAYS US ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:/

IF R SAYS US ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

QUESTION:

(Would you say)
MUCH [better/worse] or SOMEWHAT [better/worse]?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN RESPONDENT'S "PERCEPTIONS" OR
"FEELINGS" AS OPPOSED TO KNOWLEDGE ON DIFFERENT ECONOMIC TOPICS.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Much
- 5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 8,9 in Q129

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045091x Q131.flhlax. Extent US Econ Better/Worse - Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country
as a whole, would you say that over the past year
the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, gotten WORSE
or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT
[better/worse] off?

VALID CODES:

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same (5 in Q129)
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q130)
 9. Refused (in Q130)
- INAP. 8,9 in Q129

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045092 Q132.f2m10d. Extent Of Campaign Finance Reform

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about
campaign finance reform.
In general, which of the following statements
best represents what you feel about the way
political campaigns are financed in this country:
It needs to be completely overhauled,
It needs major changes,
It needs minor changes, or
It is basically fine the way it is?

VALID CODES:

1. Completely overhauled
3. Major changes

- 5. Minor changes
- 7. Fine the way it is

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045093 Q133.flrla. Has R Shown Flag In Past 12 Months

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045094 Q134.flj4d. Things About US Make R Ashamed

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement:
'There are some things about America today that make me feel ashamed of America.'
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Agree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045095 Q135.f2t7a. How Good Does US Flag Make R Feel

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

When you see the American flag flying does it
make you feel EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, SOMEWHAT
GOOD, or NOT VERY GOOD?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely good
- 2. Very good
- 3. Somewhat good
- 4. Not very good
- 7. Don't feel anything [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045096 Q136.f2t7b. How Strong Is Rs Love For Country

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How strong is your love for your country...
EXTREMELY STRONG, VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG,
or NOT VERY STRONG?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely strong
- 2. Very strong
- 4. Somewhat strong
- 5. Not very strong

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2D3. INTRODUCTION - GW BUSH TRAITS

=====

I am going to read a list of words and phrases
people may use to describe George W. Bush. For
each, please tell me whether the word or phrase
describes him.

=====

M045097 Q137.f2d3a. Bush Trait-Leadership

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he
PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe
George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL,
NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order. This variable combines data
from Q137 and Q137. The leadership trait (Q137)
was the only trait asked in both sets.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045097a Q137a.f2d3a1. Bush Trait-Leadership, 1st Traits Set

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF SELECTED FOR 1st SET OF GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. Administered 2nd set of G.W. Bush traits

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045097b Q137b.f2d3a2. Bush Trait-Leadership, 2nd Traits Set

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF SELECTED FOR 2nd SET OF GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. Administered 1st set of G.W. Bush traits

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045098	Q138.f2d3b. Bush Trait-Moral
---------	------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1st SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What about 'he is MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045099	Q139.f2d3c. Bush Trait-Out Of Touch
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1st SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What about 'he is OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045100 Q140.f2d3d. Bush Trait-Knowledgeable

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 1st SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What about 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'?

(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045101 Q141.f2d4b. Bush Trait-Cares About People

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2nd SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What about 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT
PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?

(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M045102 Q142.f2d4c. Bush Trait-Dishonest
=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2nd SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What about 'he is DISHONEST'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045103	Q143.f2d4d. Bush Trait-Intelligent
---------	------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2nd SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION TEXT:

What about 'he is INTELLIGENT'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F1H6. INTRODUCTION - DIPLOMACY OR MILITARY FORCE SCALE

=====

Some people believe the United States should solve international problems by using diplomacy and other forms of international pressure and use military force only if absolutely necessary. Suppose we put such people on a scale that goes from 1 to 7, placing them at the end of the scale numbered "1".

Other people believe that diplomacy and pressure often fail and the US must be ready to use military force. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number "7". And of course other people fall into positions in-between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

=====

M045104 Q144.flh6a. Diplomacy/Military Force Scale

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S. must be ready to use military force, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

VALID CODES:

1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:

0. Haven't thought much about this
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

See PostErr.1.

During the field period, an error was discovered in the probe, which had appeared as follows prior to the discovery:

(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
must be ready to use international force, and of
course you can choose points 2,3,4,5, or 6 in between.)
This error was corrected during the field period but
only after approximately half of the interviews were
already completed. Since the probe as it appeared onscreen
was specifically flagged as optional text, it is unknown
precisely when the incorrect version was actually
used prior to the error discovery. However, interviews
are identified in [Post-election administration item]
PostErr.1 if they were administered prior to the date
when the instrument was revised to display the correct
probe text.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045105	Q145.flh6a2. Diplomacy/Military Scale-GW Bush
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this
scale)?

(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve

with diplomacy and international pressure, 7
means the U.S. must be ready to use military
force, and of course you can choose points
2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

See notes Q144.flh6a.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045106 Q146.flh6a3. Diplomacy/Military Scale-Democrats

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve
with diplomacy and international pressure, 7
means the U.S. must be ready to use military
force, and of course you can choose points
2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

See notes Q144.flh6a.
Order of Democratic and Republican party
placements randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045107 Q147.flh6a4. Diplomacy/Military Scale-Republicans

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place the Republican Party
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve
with diplomacy and international pressure, 7

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

means the U.S. must be ready to use military
force, and of course you can choose points
2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

- 1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

See notes Q144.flh6a.
Order of Democratic and Republican party
placements randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045108	Q148.flh6a1. Diplomacy/Military-Importance To R
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How important is this issue to you personally -
VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT
IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045109      Q149.f115. Increase/Decrease Immigration
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you think the number of immigrants from
foreign countries who are permitted to come
to the United States to live should be
INCREASED A LOT, INCREASED A LITTLE, LEFT
THE SAME as it is now, DECREASED A LITTLE,
or DECREASED A LOT?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same as it is now
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045110      Q150.f116. Abortion Issue - Self-Placement
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

There has been some discussion about abortion
during recent years.
I am going to read you a short list of opinions.
Please tell me which one of the opinions best
agrees with your view? You can just tell me
the number of the opinion you choose:
1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of
rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in
danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other
than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life,
but only after the need for the abortion has been
clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain
an abortion as a matter of personal choice.

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(READ LIST; INCLUDE ITEM NUMBER WHEN READING)

VALID CODES:

- 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of
rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in
danger
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other
than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life,
but only after the need for the abortion has been
clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain
an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
7. Other (SPECIFY) [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045111	Q152.f117. Fav/Opp Laws Protect Homosexuals
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect
homosexuals against job discrimination?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Favor
5. Oppose

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045112	Q153.f117a. Strngth Fav/Opp Laws Protect Homosexuals
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R FAVORS LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS/
IF R OPPOSES LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS:

QUESTION:

Do you [favor/oppose] such laws strongly or not strongly?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
- 5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 8,9 in Q152

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045112x Q154.f117ax. Fav/Opp Laws Protect Homosexuals-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R FAVORS LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS/
IF R OPPOSES LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS:

QUESTION:

Do you [favor/oppose] such laws strongly or
not strongly?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 8,9 in Q152

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F1H15. INTRO - EQUAL TREATMENT FOR WOMEN IN JOBS

=====

Some people feel that if women are not getting
equal treatment in jobs, the government in
Washington ought to see to it that they do.
Others feel that this is not the federal
government's business.

=====

M045113 Q155.flh15a Equal Treatment For Women In Jobs Issue

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Have you had enough interest in this question
to favor one side over the other?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, have interest
5. No, don't have interest

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045113a Q156.flh15a1 Govt Ensure Equal Treatment For Women

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN EQUAL TREATMENT FOR WOMEN ISSUE:

QUESTION:

How do you feel?
Should the government in Washington see to it
that women get equal treatment in jobs OR
is this not the federal government's business?

VALID CODES:

1. Govt should see to it
5. Not the federal govt's business
7. Other (SPECIFY)

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q155

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045114 Q158.flh15a2. Strngth Govt Ensure Equal Treat Women

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS GOVT ISSUE/
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS NOT GOVT ISSUE:

QUESTION:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

VALID CODES:

1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q155; 7,8 in Q156

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045114x	Q159.f1h15a2x. Strngth Govt Equal Treat Women - Summary
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS GOVT ISSUE/
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS NOT GOVT ISSUE:

QUESTION:

Have you had enough interest in this question
to favor one side over the other?
How do you feel?
Should the government in Washington see to it
that women get equal treatment in jobs OR is this
not the federal government's business?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

VALID CODES:

1. Feel strongly govt should see to it
2. Feel not so strongly govt should see to it
4. Feel not so strongly it is not govt's business
5. Feel strongly it is not govt's business
7. Other

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q158)
9. Refused (in Q158)
0. No interest
INAP. 8,9 in Q155

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045115      Q160.flh16. What Happens to Women Affect R
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:

Do you think that what happens to women in
this country will have something to do with
what happens in your life?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No
7. Unsure; depends

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R male

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045115a      Q161.flh16a1. What Happens To Women Affect R How Much
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:
IF R THINKS WHAT HAPPENS TO WOMEN IN US WILL AFFECT HER LIFE:

QUESTION:

How much will it affect you?
A LOT, SOME, or NOT VERY MUCH AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not very much at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,7-9 in Q160; male respondent

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045116 Q162.flh16b Rs Sense Of Pride As A Woman

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:

How often do you find yourself feeling a sense
of pride as a woman in the accomplishments of women?
Is it A LOT, FAIRLY OFTEN, ONCE IN A WHILE, or
HARDLY EVER?

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
2. Fairly often
3. Once in a while
4. Hardly ever

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R male

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045117 Q163.flh16c. Is R Angry About Way Women Treated

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:

How often do you find yourself feeling angry about
the way women are treated in society?
Is it A LOT, FAIRLY OFTEN, ONCE IN A WHILE, or
HARDLY EVER?

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
2. Fairly often
3. Once in a while
4. Hardly ever

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R male

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045118 Q164.f111a. Last 20 Yrs Change In Income Inequality

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Now on a different topic.
Do you think the difference in incomes between
rich people and poor people in the United States
today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it
was 20 years ago?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Larger
- 3. Smaller
- 5. About the same

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045118a Q165.f111a1. How Much Diff In Income Inequality

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS LARGER/

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS SMALLER:

QUESTION:

(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
MUCH [larger/smaller] or SOMEWHAT [larger/
smaller]?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Much
- 5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q164

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045118x Q165x.f111a1x. Diff In Income Inequality-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you think the difference in incomes between
rich people and poor people in the United States
today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it
was 20 years ago?

(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
MUCH [larger/smaller] or SOMEWHAT [larger/
smaller]?

VALID CODES:

1. Much larger
2. Somewhat larger
3. About the same
4. Somewhat smaller
5. Much smaller

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q165)
9. Refused (in Q165)
- INAP. 8,9 in Q164

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045118b Q166.f111a2. Income Inequality Good Or Bad

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS LARGER:/

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS SMALLER:

QUESTION:

Do you think this is A GOOD THING, A BAD THING,
or haven't you thought about it?

VALID CODES:

1. Good thing
3. Bad thing

MISSING CODES:

7. Haven't thought
8. Don't know

9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q164

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F1L11. INTRODUCTION - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

=====

Next are a few questions about federal income taxes.

=====

M045119 Q167.f1l11a. R Think Pay More/Less Taxes Than Shld

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU
SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT
AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU SHOULD?

VALID CODES:

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045120 Q168.f1l11b. Rich Pay More/Less Than Shld In Taxes

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What about rich people?
Do you feel rich people are asked to pay MORE
THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about
the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

VALID CODES:

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay

7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

NOTES:

The order in which Q168 and Q169 was
administered was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045121 Q169.f1111c. Poor Pay More/Less Than Shld In Taxes

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What about poor people?
Do you feel poor people are asked to pay MORE
THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about
the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

VALID CODES:

1. More than should pay

3. About right

5. Less than should pay

7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

NOTES:

The order in which Q168 and Q169 was
administered was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045122x Q170.f1m2at. Checkpoint: F1M2 Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: (choose one)

VALID CODES:

1. "Congress passed" wording
2. "President Bush signed" wording

NOTES:

For the tax cut series of questions, respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible wordings, listed here.

=====

M045122	Q171.flm2a Did R Favor/Oppose Tax Cut
---------	---------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut a few years ago. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

VALID CODES:

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. Haven't thought about

NOTES:

Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible wordings: Congress passed OR President Bush signed.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045123a	Q173.flm2b1. Strength R Fav/Opp Tax Cut-Congress Wording
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ASSIGNED "CONGRESS PASSED" WORDING:

IF R FAVORED TAX CUT/

IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT:

QUESTION:

Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or

NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
- 5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 0,7,8,9 in Q171; alternate wording

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045123b Q174.flm2b2. Strength R Fav/Opp Tax Cut-GW Bush Wording

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ASSIGNED "PRESIDENT BUSH SIGNED" WORDING:

IF R FAVORED TAX CUT/

IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT:

QUESTION:

Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY
or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Strongly
- 5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 0,7,8,9 in Q171; alternate wording

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045123x Q175.flm2bx. Strength R Fav/Opp Tax Cut-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President
Bush signed] a big tax cut a few years ago.
Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this
something you haven't thought about?
Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or

NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

1. Favored strongly
2. Favored not so strongly
4. Opposed not so strongly
5. Opposed strongly
7. Other

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q173 or Q174)
 9. Refused (in Q173 or Q174)
 0. Haven't thought about
- INAP 8,9 in Q171

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045124 Q176.flm2c. Dem Party Favor/Oppose Tax Cut

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a few years ago?

VALID CODES:

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
0. Don't remember {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Order in which Q176 and Q178 was administered was randomized

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045125 Q178.flm2e. Rep Party Fav/Opp Tax Cut

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What about the Republican Party - did the
Republican Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut
that [Congress passed/President Bush signed]
a few years ago?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
- 0. Don't remember {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

NOTES:

Order in which Q176 and Q178 was
administered was randomized

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045126	Q180.flm2n. Importance Of Tax Cut Issue To R
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How important is this issue to you personally
- VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT
IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Very important
- 3. Somewhat important
- 5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2K7. INTRODUCTION - GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE
--

=====

Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose we put such people on a scale that goes from 1 to 7, placing them at the end of the scale numbered "1". Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number "7". And, of course other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

=====

M045127 Q181.f2k7a. Fewer/More Govt Services-Rs Placement

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer services, 7 means the government should provide many more services, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

VALID CODES:

01. Govt should provide many fewer services
02.
03.
04.
05.
06.
07. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:

80. Haven't thought much about this
88. Don't know
89. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045128 Q182.f2k7b. Fewer/More Govt Services-GW Bush

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place GEORGE W. BUSH
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

services, 7 means the government should provide many more services,
and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

1. Govt should provide many fewer services
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045129	Q183.f2k7c. Fewer/More Govt Services-Dem Party
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer
services, 7 means the government should provide many more services,
and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

1. Govt should provide many fewer services
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

Order of Democratic and Republican party
placements randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045130	Q184.f2k7d. Fewer/More Govt Service-Rep Party
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer
services, 7 means the government should provide many more services,
and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:

1. Govt should provide many fewer services
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045131	Q185.f2k7a1. Fewer/More Govt Service-Import to R
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How important is this issue to you
personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2M5. INTRODUCTION: AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

=====

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

=====

M045132 Q186.f2m5aa. Affirmative Action-Rs Position

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045133 Q188.f2m5a. Affirmative Action-GW Bush Position

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to George
Bush's position -- that companies that have
discriminated against blacks should have an
affirmative action program, or not?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
- INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set
of questions as in 2002. They were asked
either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or
equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045134 Q190.f2m5b. Affirmative Action-Dem Party Position

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to the
Democratic Party's position -- that companies
that have discriminated against blacks should
have an affirmative action program, or not?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q190.F2M5b (position of Democratic Party) and Q192.F2M5c (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045135 Q192.f2m5c. Affirmative Action-Rep Party Position

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q190.F2M5b (position of Democratic Party) and Q192.F2M5c (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045136 Q194.f2m5d. Affirmative Action-Importance To R

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

How important is this issue to you personally
- VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT
IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045137 Q195.f2m6. Govt Ensure Equal Pay For Women

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

How much do you think the federal government

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

should be doing to make sure that women get
equal pay for equal work - A LOT, SOME, or
NOT MUCH AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set
of questions as in 2002. They were asked
either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or
equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045138	Q196.f2m6a. GW Bush On Equal Pay For Women
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to George
Bush's position -- that the federal government
should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT
ALL to make sure that women get equal pay
for equal work?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045139	Q197.f2m6a1. Dem Party On Equal Pay For Women
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Democratic Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q197 (position of Democratic Party) and Q198 (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045140	Q198.f2m6b. Rep Party On Equal Pay For Women
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Which would you say is CLOSER to the
Republican Party's position -- that the
federal government should be doing - A LOT,
SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that
women get equal pay for equal work?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set
of questions as in 2002. They were asked
either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or
equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.
Order of Q197 (position of Democratic
Party) and Q198 (position of Republican
Party) was randomized.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045141	Q199.f2m6c. Equal Pay For Women - Importance To R
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:

How important is this issue to you personally
- VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT
IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important

5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045142	Q200.flh9. US Position In World-Weaker/Stronger
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Turning to some other issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown WEAKER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or has it grown STRONGER?

VALID CODES:

1. Weaker

3. Stayed about the same

5. Stronger

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045143	Q201.flh12. Agree/Disagree US Should Stay Home
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement:
'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with

problems in other parts of the world.'

VALID CODES:

- 1. Agree
- 5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2N2. INTRODUCTION: PUBLIC LIFE

=====

Now I'd like to read you a few statements about
public life.
I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me
whether you agree or disagree with each of them.

=====

M045144 Q202.f2n2b. R Well-Qualified For Political Partic

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

' I consider myself well-qualified to participate
in politics. '
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or
DISAGREE with this statement?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Agree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045145 Q203.f2n2c. R Better Informed Than Most People

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

' I think that I am better informed about
politics and government than most people. '
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045146	Q204.f2n3. Doesn't Matter Whether Vote Or Not
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

'So many other people vote in the
national election that It doesn't matter
much to me whether I vote or not.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2Q1. INTRO - EFFICACY AND GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVENESS
--

=====

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree
with these statements about the government.
The first is:

=====

M045147 Q205.f2q1a. Public Officials Don't Care

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

' Public officials don't care much what
people like me think. '

Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045148 Q206.f2q1b. People Like Me Have No Say

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

' People like me don't have any say
about what the government does. '

(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Missing

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2Q3. INTRODUCTION - TRUST IN GOVERNMENT

=====
 People have different ideas about the
 government in Washington.
 These ideas don't refer to Democrats or
 Republicans in particular, but just to the
 government in general. We want to see how you feel
 about these ideas. For example:
 =====

M045149 Q207.f2q3a. Trust Govt To Do What Is Right
 =====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 How much of the time do you think you can trust
 the government in Washington to do what is right
 -- JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or only
 SOME OF THE TIME?

VALID CODES:

 1. Just about always
 2. Most of the time
 3. Only some of the time
 4. Never {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

 8. Don't know
 9. Refused

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====
 M045150 Q208.f2q4. Govt Wastes Tax Money
 =====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 Do you think that people in government waste
 A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, waste SOME
 of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it?

VALID CODES:

 1. Waste a lot
 3. Waste some
 5. Don't waste very much

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045151	Q209.f2q5. Govt Run By Big Interests/Benefit Of All
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Would you say the government is pretty much
run by A FEW BIG INTERESTS looking out for
themselves or that it is run for THE BENEFIT
OF ALL THE PEOPLE?

VALID CODES:

1. Government run by a few big interests
5. Government run for the benefit of all

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045152	Q210.f2q6. How Many In Govt Crooked
---------	-------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you think that QUITE A FEW of the people
running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY
are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are
crooked?

VALID CODES:

1. Quite a few are crooked
3. Not very many are crooked
5. Hardly any are crooked

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045153 Q211.f2q7. How Much Elections Make Govt Pay Attn

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How much do you feel that having elections
makes the government pay attention to what the
people think -- a GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH?

VALID CODES:

1. A good deal

3. Some

5. Not much

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045154 Q212.f2t6. Satisfaction With How US Democracy Works

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

On the whole, are you SATISFIED, FAIRLY
SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL
SATISFIED with the way democracy works in the
United States?

VALID CODES:

1. Satisfied

2. Fairly satisfied

3. Not very satisfied

4. Not at all satisfied

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2T8. INTRODUCTION - WORKING MOTHERS

=====

Now for some other questions.
Please tell me whether you AGREE or DISAGREE
with the next few statements.

=====

M045155 Q213.f2t8a. Working Mother Vs Non-Working Mother

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

'A working mother can establish just as warm
and secure a relationship with her children as
a mother who does not work.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or
DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

1/2 sample administered, in order, Q213,Q214,Q215;
1/2 sample administered, in order, Q215,Q213,Q214

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045156 Q214.f2t8b. Better If Man Is Achiever Outside Home

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

'It is much better for everyone involved if
the man is the achiever outside the home and
the woman takes care of the home and family.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or
DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Agree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

NOTES:

- 1/2 sample administered, in order, Q213,Q214,Q215;
- 1/2 sample administered, in order, Q215,Q213,Q214

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045157	Q215.f2t8c. Women Want Equality/Special Favors
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

'When women demand equality these days, they are actually seeking special favors.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Agree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

NOTES:

- 1/2 sample administered, in order, Q213,Q214,Q215;
- 1/2 sample administered, in order, Q215,Q213,Q214

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045158	Q216.f2k3. Can People Be Trusted
---------	----------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Turning to another topic.
Generally speaking, would you say that MOST
PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED or that you CAN'T BE TOO
CAREFUL in dealing with people?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Most people can be trusted
- 5. Can't be too careful

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045159	Q217.f2k4. People Take Advantage/Be Fair
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED "PEOPLE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF" ITEM IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Do you think most people would try to TAKE
ADVANTAGE of you if they got the chance or
would they TRY TO BE FAIR?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Take advantage
- 5. Try to be fair

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered Q218

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045160	Q218.f2k5. People Helpful/Looking Out For Themselves
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R ADMINISTERED "PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL" ITEM IN 2002:

QUESTION:

Would you say that most of the time people
TRY TO BE HELPFUL, or that they are JUST

LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES?

VALID CODES:

1. Try to be helpful
5. Just looking out for themselves

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. R administered Q217

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045161	Q219.f2k2. R Able To Do Volunteer Work Past Year
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Many people say they have less time these days
to do volunteer work.
What about you, were you able to devote any
time to volunteer work IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS
or did you not do so?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: VOLUNTEER WORK IS UNPAID WORK ON BEHALF OF SOMEONE
OTHER THAN THE RESPONDENT OR HIS/HER FAMILY. IF RESPONDENT ASKS, WE
CAN SAY "WORK THAT YOU DO FOR OTHER PEOPLE OR GROUPS THAT YOU DO NOT
EXPECT TO BE PAID FOR".)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045162	Q220.f2m12a. R Worked With Others On Community Issue
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked
with other people to deal with some issue
facing your community?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045163	Q221.f2m12b. R Views To Govt In Past Year
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you
telephoned, written a letter to, or visited
a government official to express your views
on a public issue?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045164	Q222.f2m12c. Attend Mtg For School/Comm In Past Yr
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about
an issue facing your community or schools?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

-
- 8. Don't know
 - 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045165	Q223.f2m12d. R Member Of Any Organization
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

VALID CODES:

-
- 1. Yes
 - 5. No

MISSING CODES:

-
- 8. Don't know
 - 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045166	Q224.f2m12e. R Give To Church/Charity In Past Year
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in

the LAST 12 MONTHS?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045167	Q225.f2m12f. R In Protest/March/Demonstration
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Aside from a strike against your employer,
in the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you taken part
in a protest, march, or demonstration on some
national or local issue?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045168	Q226.f2z1. R Worked With Neighbors On Issue/Problem
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Next, I'd like to ask you a few questions about
life in your
neighborhood.
During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you worked
with others from your neighborhood to deal with a
common issue or problem?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes

- 5. No
- 7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045169 Q227.f2z2. Rs Neighbors Out For Themselves

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Next some questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:

- 1. All of the time
- 2. Most of the time
- 3. Some of the time
- 4. Hardly ever
- 5. Never
- 7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045170 Q228.f2z3a. Rs Neighbors Try To Take Advantage

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of

others ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME
OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:

- 1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045171	Q229.f2z3b. Rs Neighbors Treat Others With Respect
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(Again, thinking about those people you see in
your neighborhood,
Would you say they treat others with respect ALL
OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME,
HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:

- 1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045172 Q230.f2z3c. Honest Describes Rs Neighbors

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Would you say that HONEST describes the people
in your neighborhood EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL,
NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:

1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045173 Q231.f1n1. Religion Important Part of Rs Life

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

On another subject now.
Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT
part of your life, or NOT?

VALID CODES:

1. Important
5. Not important

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045173a Q232.fln1a. How Important Religion In Rs Life

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF RELIGION IS IMPORTANT PART OF R'S LIFE:

QUESTION:

Would you say your religion provides SOME
guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT
of guidance, or A GREAT DEAL of guidance in your
day-to-day life?

VALID CODES:

1. Some
3. Quite a bit
5. A great deal

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q231

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045174 Q233.fln3. Does R Attend Religious Services

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Lots of things come up that keep people from
attending religious services even if they want to.
Thinking about your life these days, do you ever
attend religious services, apart from occasional
weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045174a      Q234.f1n3a. How Often R Attends Religious Services
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

QUESTION:

Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK,
ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH,
A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER?

VALID CODES:

1. Every week
2. Almost every week
3. Once or twice a month
4. A few times a year
5. Never

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q233.F1N3.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

```
=====
M045174b      Q235.f1n3a1. Attends Once A Week/More Than Weekly
=====
```

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES EVERY WEEK:

QUESTION:

Would you say you go to religious services
ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK?

VALID CODES:

1. Once a week
2. More often than once a week

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 2-5,8,9 in Q234

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045175 Q236.f1n4. R Active Member At Place Of Worship

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Aside from attending services, in the PAST SIX MONTHS have you been an active member at your place of worship - I mean, have you done things like serve on committees, give time for special projects, or help organize meetings?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045175a Q237.f1n4a. R Planned Mtg At Place Of Worship

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HAS BEEN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:

QUESTION:

As part of these activities, have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last six months)?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q236.F1N4

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045175b Q238.f1n4b. R Given Speech At Place Of Worship

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HAS BEEN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:

QUESTION:

(As part of these activities,) have you given
a presentation or speech (in the last six months)?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 5,8,9 in Q236.F1N4

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F2Q2. INTRODUCTION TO LAST SET OF QUESTIONS

=====

We're nearly at the end of the interview now, and have
just this last set of questions.

=====

M045176 Q239.f3y2. Rs Marital Status

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse
- or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or
have you NEVER MARRIED?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: PEOPLE THAT YOU INCLUDE UNDER CATEGORY 6 SHOULD BE
LIVING AS MARRIED.)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Married
- 2. Widowed
- 3. Divorced
- 4. Separated
- 5. Never married
- 6. Partnered, not married {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045177 Q240.f3y3. R Attend Undergrad College/University

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Did you ever attend an undergraduate college or university?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: IF "YES" ASK: What school was that?)
 (INTERVIEWER: The word "attend" includes both those who did not finish their degree, and those who did.)
 (INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
2. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

This variable coded from an open-end response

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045178x Q246.f3y4x. Rs Employment Status-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

VALID CODES:

001. Working now
 002. Temporarily laid off
 003. Unemployed
 004. Retired
 005. Permanently disabled
 006. Homemaker
 007. Student
 014. Working now and retired
 016. Working now and homemaker
 017. Working now and student
 026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
 034. Unemployed and retired
 035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
 036. Unemployed and homemaker
 045. Retired and permanently disabled
 046. Retired and homemaker
 047. Retired and student
 067. Homemaker and student
 146. Working now, retired, and homemaker
 167. Working now, homemaker, and student
 467. Retired, homemaker, and student

MISSING CODES:

888. Don't know
 889. Refused

NOTES:

This is a final summary which codes respondent occupation status from R's response to the questions in the employment section (items Q243, Q244, and Q245)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F3Y8. INTRODUCTION - QUESTIONS FOR RS WORKING NOW

=====

Here are a couple of things people sometimes do as part of their job.
 After I read each, please tell me whether or not you have done this, DURING THE LAST SIX MONTHS, as part of your job.

=====

M045179a Q247.f3y8a. R Planned/Chaired Mtg At Work

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R IS WORKING NOW:

QUESTION:

Have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last 6 months?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 3-7,34-67,888 in Q246

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045179b Q248.f3y8b. R Given Presentation/Speech At Work

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R IS WORKING NOW:

QUESTION:

Have you given a presentation or speech (in the last 6 months?)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 3-7,34-67,888 in Q246

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

F3Z6. INTRODUCTION - R'S FAMILY INCOME

=====

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2003, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

=====

M045180a Q249.f3z6a. Is Rs Family Income More/Less Than 50K

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Is your total household income less than \$50,000
or more than \$50,000?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:

1. Less than \$50,000
3. More than \$50,000
7. Income was (about) \$50,000 {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045180b Q250.f3z6b. Category Family Income If More Than 50K

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R's TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME MORE THAN \$50,000:

QUESTION:

Which category best describes your total household
income: \$50,000-\$64,999, \$65,000-\$84,999, or more
than \$84,999?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:

1. \$50,000-\$64,999
3. \$65,000-\$84,999
5. More than \$84,999

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
- INAP. 1,7-9 in Q249

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045180c Q251.f3z6c. Category Family Income If Less Than 50K

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R's TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME LESS THAN \$50,000:

QUESTION:

Which category best describes your total household
income: \$0-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$34,999, or
\$35,000-\$49,999?
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:

1. \$0-\$14,999
3. \$15,000-\$34,999
5. \$35,000-\$49,999

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused
- INAP. 3,7-9 IN Q249

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045180x Q252.f3z6x. R's Family Income-Summary

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Is your total household income less than \$50,000
or more than \$50,000?
Which category best describes your total household
income: \$50,000-\$64,999, \$65,000-\$84,999, or more
than \$84,999?
Which category best describes your total household
income: \$0-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$34,999, or
\$35,000-\$49,999?
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:

01. \$0 -\$14,999
02. \$15,000-\$34,999
03. \$35,000-\$49,999
04. Just about \$50,000 [VOL]
05. \$50,000-\$64,999

06. \$65,000-\$84,999
07. More than \$84,999
08. Less than \$50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization
09. More than \$50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization

MISSING CODES:

88. Don't know household income (8 in Q249)
89. Refused household income (9 in Q249)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045181	Q253.f3z4. R Have Money In Stock Market
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you personally (or jointly with a spouse), have
any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET RIGHT NOW
-- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

(INTERVIEWER: "MONEY INVESTED" INCLUDES RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS, LIKE
IRAS INVESTED IN MUTUAL FUNDS.)

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045182	Q254.f3z5b. Family Medicare Benefits
---------	--------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you (or any family member living with you)
CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicare?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045183

Q255.f3z5c. Family Medicaid Benefits

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you (or any family member living with you)

CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicaid?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045184

Q256.f3z5g. Family Retirement Account/Pension

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you (or any family member living with you)

CURRENTLY have retirement savings either in a
pension plan or a retirement account, like an IRA?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes

5. No

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know

9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045185a Q257.f3z7e1. Rs Race/Ethnicity-Mention 1

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best
describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD
VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD
CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH
ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:

10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:

80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:

The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows
at Q260.
This variable coded from an open-end response.
Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R.
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045185b Q258.f3z7e2. Rs Race/Ethnicity-Mention 2

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best

describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:

10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:

80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:

The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows at Q260.
This variable coded from an open-end response.
Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R.
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====
M045185c Q259.f3z7e3. Rs Race/Ethnicity-Mention 3
=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:

80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:

The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows at Q260.

This variable coded from an open-end response.
Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R.
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045185x	Q260.f3z7ex. Summary: Rs Race/Ethnicity
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:

10. Black
12. Black and Asian
13. Black and Native American
14. Black and Hispanic
15. Black and White
20. Asian
23. Asian and Native American
24. Asian and Hispanic
25. Asian and White
30. Native American
34. Native American and Hispanic
35. Native American and White

40. Hispanic
45. Hispanic and White
50. White (no mention of other race)
70. Other

MISSING CODES:

80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:

Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".
Note: case 652 has been coded 15 (Black and
White) but included 3 mentions (Black, White
and American Indian)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045186x	Q263.f3z8x. Checkpoint: Hispanic Mention In Q257-Q259
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Checkpoint: Hispanic mention

VALID CODES:

0. No Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259
1. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259
6. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR mistakenly
coded Q263 for no Hispanic mention
7. No Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR coded
Q263 for Hispanic mention
8. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR mistakenly
coded Q263 as don't know

NOTE:

Respondents whom the interviewer did not
code for Hispanic mention in Q263 were
asked Q264 (if Q263 correctly coded).
See Q265 for final Hispanic status summary.
Code 7 did not appear onscreen for the
interviewer to code but flags cases where
respondent mentions in Q257-Q259 did not
specifically indicate Hispanic race but
interviewer independently identified
respondent as Hispanic and coded Q263
accordingly [note: for all cases coded 7
the respondent was Hispanic].

Codes 6,8 did not appear onscreen for the interviewer to code but have been added to identify cases for which IWR miscoded respondent status according to race/ethnicity mentions in Q257-Q259.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045187 Q264.f3z9. Is R Spanish/Of Hispanic Origin

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF HISPANIC OR LATINO NOT MENTIONED FOR RACE/ETHNICITY IN Q257-Q262:

QUESTION:

Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- INAP. 1,6,7,8 in Q263

NOTE:

See Q265.F3Z9ax for Hispanic status summary.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045188x Q265.f3z9ax. Summary: Is R Spanish/Of Hispanic Origin

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:

IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED IN Q257-Q262/
DID R IDENTIFY AS SPANISH/OF HISPANIC ORIGIN IN Q264:

VALID CODES:

- 0. No Hispanic mention in either Q257-Q262 or Q264
(0 in Q263 and 5,DK,RF in Q264)
- 1. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q262(1 in Q263)
- 2. Hispanic mention in Q264 (0 in Q263 and 1 in Q264)

anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

3. Hispanic mention in both Q257-Q262 and Q264
(6 in Q263 and 1 in Q264)

7. Hispanic - no Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR
correctly coded Q263 as Hispanic [Q264 not asked]

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045188 Q266.f3z9a. If R Hispanic - Type

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HISPANIC:

QUESTION:

Would you describe your Hispanic origin as MEXICAN,
PUERTO RICAN, CUBAN, LATIN AMERICA, CENTRAL AMERICAN,
or SPANISH?

VALID CODES:

1. Mexican
2. Puerto Rican
3. Cuban
4. Latin American
5. Central American
6. Spanish
7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
 9. Refused
- INAP. 0,7 in Q265

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045189x Q271.f3z1x. Summary: How Long In Present Community

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How long have you lived in your present community?

VALID CODES:

00. Less than 1 year
01. 12-18 months; 1 year

02. 19-24 months; 2 years
 03. 3 years
 .
 .
 76. 76 years or more

MISSING CODES:

 88. Don't know
 89. Refused
 99. NA

NOTES:

 If R answered 'all my life' then R's age in years has
 been coded 18-76.

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045190x Q275.f3z2x. Summary: How Long In Present Home

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

 How long have you lived in your current home?

VALID CODES:

 00. Less than 1 year
 01. 12-18 months; 1 year
 02. 19-24 months; 2 years
 03. 3 years
 .
 .
 76. 76 years or more

MISSING CODES:

 88. Don't know
 89. Refused
 99. NA

NOTES:

 If R answered 'all my life' then R's age in years has
 been coded 18-76.

TYPE:

 Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045191 Q276.f3z3. Does R/Rs Family Own Home/Pay Rent

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay
rent, or what?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Own house
- 5. Pay rent
- 7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045192	Q277a. Respondent Gender (Preload)
---------	------------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Respondent gender (from preload)

VALID CODES:

- 1. Male
- 5. Female

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045193	Q277b. Respondent Age (Preload)
---------	---------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Respondent age (from preload)

VALID CODES:

22-104

MISSING CODES:

000. NA

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045201 Q278.f4z4. IWR - Rs Cooperation

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's cooperation was:

VALID CODES:

1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045202 Q279.f4z5. IWR - Rs Level of Political Info

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's general level of information about politics
and public affairs seemed:

VALID CODES:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045203 Q280.f4z6. IWR - R's Apparent Intelligence

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's apparent intelligence:

VALID CODES:

- 1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045204	Q281.f4z7. IWR - How Suspicious R Before Study
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study
before the interview?:

VALID CODES:

- 1. Not at all suspicious
3. Somewhat suspicious
5. Very suspicious

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045205	Q282.f4z8. IWR - Rs Interest In Interview
---------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

VALID CODES:

- 1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045206	Q283.f4z9. IWR - Rs Sincerity
---------	-------------------------------

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

VALID CODES:

1. Completely sincere
3. Usually sincere
5. Often seemed to be insincere

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045206a	Q284.f4z9a. IWR - Doubted Rs Sincerity
----------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

VALID CODES:

1. Yes
5. No

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045207a	Q286.f4z12_1. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 1
----------	---

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets

having agreed to do IW

- 20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf /tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
- 22. R complained and/or iwr observed r was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
- 30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
- 31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
- 40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
- 41. R became angry at interview content
- 45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
- 70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
- 80. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045207b Q287.f4z12_2. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 2

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

- 10. Negative - general
- 11. Negative - too long
- 12. Negative - too complicated
- 13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
- 15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
- 20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf /tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
- 22. R complained and/or iwr observed r was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
- 30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
- 31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
- 40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
- 41. R became angry at interview content
- 45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias

70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/
interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:

INAP. No further mention

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045207c Q288.f4z12_3. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 3

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

- 10. Negative - general
- 11. Negative - too long
- 12. Negative - too complicated
- 13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
- 15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After
starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets
having agreed to do IW
- 20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf
/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
- 22. R complained and/or iwr observed r was confused
by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
- 30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over
lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
- 31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over
lack of political knowledge, candidate names,
election issues, or own lack of political
participation
- 40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
- 41. R became angry at interview content
- 45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
- 70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/
interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:

INAP. No further mention

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045207d Q289.f4z12_4. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 4

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

- 10. Negative - general
- 11. Negative - too long
- 12. Negative - too complicated
- 13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
- 15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
- 20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf /tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
- 22. R complained and/or iwr observed r was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
- 30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
- 31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
- 40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
- 41. R became angry at interview content
- 45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
- 70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/ interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:

INAP. No further mention

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

M045207e Q290.f4z12_5. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 5

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:

- 10. Negative - general
- 11. Negative - too long
- 12. Negative - too complicated
- 13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
- 15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
- 20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf /tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
- 22. R complained and/or iwr observed r was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
- 30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
- 31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
- 40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
- 41. R became angry at interview content
- 45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
- 70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:

INAP. No further mention

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

=====

M045209	Q295.f4prob1. IWR - Difficulty Admin IW By Phone
---------	--

=====

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone to this R?
{EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for R to stay on the phone very long, etc.}

VALID CODES:

- 1 Yes {SPECIFY}
- 5 No

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0