Version 01 Codebook
----CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1962 POST-ELECTION STUDY
(1962.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES 1962 POST ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM

SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER (S714) UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

NOVEMBER 6, 1962 - DECEMBER 15, 1962

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE
THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH
ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED
TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE
CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA
WERE USED.

CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1962.cbk)

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

>> 1962 STUDY DESCRIPTION

>> 1962 SAMPLING INFORMATION

>> 1962 PROCESSING INFORMATION

>> 1962 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

>> 1962 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1962 variables

APPENDICES (file app1962.cbk)

>> 1962 PSU CODE

>> 1962 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1962 ELECTION STUDY (SRC 714) IS THE SEVENTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM SINCE 1948. THE ELECTION STUDY DATA WAS TAKEN FROM THE 1962 FALL OMNIBUS SURVEY, CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR PROGRAM AS ONE OF A SERIES OF MEASUREMENTS OF CONSUMER OPTIMISM AND CONFIDENCE. THE OMNIBUS WAS DESIGNED TO THROW LIGHT ON PEOPLE'S ATTITUDES TOWARD THEIR PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION, BUSINESS CONDITIONS IN THE NATION AND MARKET CONDITIONS, AS WELL AS THEIR PLANS TO MAKE MAJOR PURCHASES. QUESTIONS WERE CONCERNED WITH ECONOMIC ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR, POLITICAL ATTITUDES, TRAVEL, GEOGRAPHIC MOBILITY, FAMILY EXPECTATIONS AND PERSONAL INFORMATION.

THE ELECTION STUDY EMPHASIZES POLITICAL ATTITUDES IN GENERAL, AND ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR PERTINENT TO THE 1962 CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION. RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED AFTER THE DATE OF THE ELECTION (NOVEMBER 7, 1962 THROUGH DECEMBER 15, 1962). THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE CONTAINED BOTH CLOSED AND OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS ASCERTAINING A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION, CONCERNED FOR THE MOST PART WITH ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL ATTITUDES, FAMILY EXPECTATIONS AND PERSONAL INFORMATION. THIS INCLUDES DATA ON ATTITUDES TOWARD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, VOTING BEHAVIOR, POLITICAL ACTIVITIES, SOME EMPLOYMENT HISTORY OF THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD AND EXPECTATIONS ABOUT FINANCIAL CHANGES.

>> 1962 SAMPLING INFORMATION

LIKE OTHER SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER SAMPLES, THE 1962 FALL OMNIBUS SURVEY CONSTITUTES A CROSS-SECTION OF THE POPULATION LIVING IN PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES (ALASKA AND HAWAII ARE EXCLUDED). TRANSIENTS, RESIDENTS OF INSTITUTIONS, AND PERSONS LIVING ON MILITARY RESERVATIONS ARE NOT REPRESENTED. A MULTI-STAGE, STRATIFIED PROBABILITY SAMPLE OF DWELLING UNITS IS DRAWN IN 66 PRIMARY SAMPLING UNITS (THE 12 LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS AND 54 OTHER AREAS, SELECTED ON THE BASIS OF VARIOUS CONTROLS).

OMNIBUS SAMPLES DIFFER FROM POLITICAL BEHAVIOR SAMPLES IN TWO WAYS:

1. NON-CITIZENS AND SOME PERSONS INELIGIBLE TO VOTE BECAUSE OF AGE HAVE A CHANCE OF SELECTION. ALL PERSONS OVER 18 HAVE A CHANCE OF SELECTION. IN ADDITION, PERSONS UNDER 18 WHO ARE HEADS OF HOUSEHOLD OR WIVES OF HEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS CAN BE INTERVIEWED.

IN STRICTLY POLITICAL BEHAVIOR SURVEYS, THESE INELIGIBLE PERSONS (UNDER 18 IN KENTUCKY AND GEORGIA; UNDER 21 IN THE OTHER STATES; NON-CITIZENS) ARE NOT PUT ON THE LISTING BOX AND CONSEQUENTLY HAVE NO CHANCE OF SELECTION. THEY ARE, BY DEFINITION, PART OF THE UNIVERSE OF THE 1962 FALL OMNIBUS SURVEY, BUT ALL SUCH INELIGIBLE PERSONS HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE ARCHIVED DATA (THERE WERE 55 NON-CITIZENS AND UNDER-AGE PERSONS).

2. THE SELECTION OF THE RESPONDENT DIFFERS CONSIDERABLY. IN POLITICAL BEHAVIOR SURVEYS ALL ELIGIBLE ADULTS ARE LISTED ON THE FACE SHEET IN A SYSTEMATIC WAY, AND ONE ADULT IS SELECTED AT RANDOM (SEE LESLIE KISH, "A PROCEDURE FOR OBJECTIVE RESPONDENT SELECTION WITHIN THE HOUSEHOLD," JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN STATISTICAL ASSOCIATION, SEPTEMBER, 1949, VOL. 44, PP. 380-387).

IN THE OMNIBUS SURVEYS, ALL ELIGIBLE ADULTS (BY OMNIBUS DEFINITION) ARE LISTED ON THE FACE SHEET, BUT ONLY HEADS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND WIVES ARE INTERVIEWED. IN FAMILIES WHERE THE HEAD IS MARRIED, HUSBAND AND WIFE ARE SELECTED ALTERNATELY AS RESPONDENTS; WHERE THE HEAD IS UNMARRIED, HE (OR SHE) IS AUTOMATICALLY THE RESPONDENT. IN THE FEW INSTANCES WHERE SEVERAL UNRELATED FAMILIES RESIDE IN THE DWELLING UNIT, A SEPARATE INTERVIEW IS OBTAINED FROM ONE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR WIFE IN EACH FAMILY (THESE SECONDARY SELECTIONS, AS THEY ARE CALLED, ARE IDENTIFIED BY A CODE 5 OR 6 IN THE INITIAL DIGIT OF THE INTERVIEW NUMBER).

GIVEN THE NATIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SIZE (17% ONE PERSON, 68% TWO PERSON, AND 15% WITH THREE OR MORE ADULTS), THE EXCLUSION FROM THE SAMPLE OF ALL THIRD, FOURTH, FIFTH, ETC., ADULTS WITHIN A GIVEN HOUSEHOLD INTRODUCES SOME BIAS IN THE ATTEMPT TO REPRESENT THE UNIVERSE OF CITIZENS OF

VOTING AGE. HOWEVER, IN SEVERAL PAST OMNIBUS STUDIES THERE HAS BEEN NO DETECTIBLE DISTORTION OF ANY ESTIMATE OF STANDARD INFORMATION WHICH COULD BE ATTRIBUTED TO THIS ASPECT OF THE SAMPLE.

>> 1962 PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO ICPSR STANDARD PROCESSING PROCEDURES; THE CODE CATEGORIES WERE RECODED TO CONFORM TO ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES WHERE APPLICABLE, AND THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENT AND ILLEGAL CODES. THE LATTER WERE CORRECTED BY REFERRING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES, WHERE POSSIBLE.

IN USING THIS CODEBOOK SEVERAL FEATURES SHOULD BE NOTED. THE FIRST IS THAT THE TENS DIGITS OF MOST TWO DIGIT CODES MAY BE MEANINGFULLY USED ALONE. (THE GAPS THAT APPEAR IN SOME OF THE TWO DIGIT STANDARDIZED CODES REPRESENT VALUES NOT USED IN THIS STUDY.) ANOTHER IS THAT IN MANY CASES, BOTH IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK AND IN THE FOOTNOTES, TEXT IS BRACKETED IN < AND > SIGNS. ALL TEXT SO BRACKETED WAS NOT CONTAINED IN THE ORIGINAL TEXT BUT WAS ADDED BY THE PROCESSOR, EITHER FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES OR IN ORDER TO MAKE VARIABLES INDEPENDENT OF ONE ANOTHER BY MAKING IT UNNECESSARY TO REFER TO ONE IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THE TEXT OF ANOTHER. VARIABLE NAMES IN THE TABLE OF CONTENTS FOLLOWED BY AN ASTERISK HAVE SPECIAL ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES. THOSE PRECEDED BY AN X DESIGNATE A VARIABLE DEPENDENT ON SOME OTHER VARIABLE, DUE TO THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE.

>> 1962 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

8	Γ	O VOTE		
9				
10				
11	82	1.	YES	
12	149	2.	NO	
13				
14	0	8.	DK	
15	9	9.	NA	
16	422	0.	INAP.,	R VOTED

- Line 2 VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.
- Line 3 COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).
- Line 4 CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal
 rather than integer variable, the numer of decimal places is
 also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")
- Line 5 Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).
- Line 7 Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district).

 Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").
- Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1962 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE	VARIABLE LABEL
VERSION DSETNO 620001 620002	NES VERSION NUMBER NES DATASET NUMBER ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER INTERVIEW NUMBER
	STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING
620003 620004 620005	
	PERSONAL DATA
620006 620007 620008 620009 620010 620011 620012 620013	NUMBER OF ADULTS IN FAMILY ADULT NUMBER OF RESPONDENT RESPONDENT'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF FAMILY AGE OF RESPONDENT AGE OF RESPONDENT (BRACKETED) SEX OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD AGE OF HEAD (BRACKETED)
	FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS CONDITIONS
620014 620015 620016 620017 620018 620019 620020 620021 620022 620023 620024 620025 620027 620026 620027 620028 620029 620030 620031 620032 620033 620034	RESPONDENT BETTER FINANCIALLY THAN LAST YEAR MAKE MORE MONEY THAN LAST YEAR DO PEOPLE WORRY ABOUT NEXT YEAR WILL R BE BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY NEXT YEAR PAST YEAR PRICES ROSE OR FELL WILL NEXT YEAR'S PRICES RISE OR FALL XWILL PRICE CHANGE BE GOOD OR BAD IN FIVE YEARS WILL PRICES RISE OR FALL NEXT 12 MONTHS GOOD FINANCIALLY PRESENT BUSINESS CONDITIONS BETTER OR WORSE WORLD RELATIONSHIPS AFFECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS WHY DO WORLD RELATIONSHIPS AFFECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS SHOULD GOVERNMENT REDUCE INCOME TAXES IS THERE MUCH UNEMPLOYMENT IN THIS AREA XIN PAST MONTHS HAS UNEMPLOYMENT INCREASED NEXT YEAR WILL UNEMPLOYMENT CHANGE XWHY WILL UNEMPLOYMENT CHANGE XWHY WILL UNEMPLOYMENT CHANGE NEXT YEAR WILL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE BETTER NEXT YEAR IN THE NEXT 5 YEARS WILL WE HAVE GOOD TIMES IN THE NEXT 5 YEARS WILL WE HAVE DEPRESSION WILL WE HAVE RECESSION AND UNEMPLOYMENT
	VOTING BEHAVIOR
620035 620036	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION * VOTE IN HOW MANY PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
620037	XALWAYS VOTE SAME PARTY FOR PRESIDENT Page 7

	int1962.txt
620038 620039 620040 620041 620042 620043 620044 620045 620046 620047 620048	1960 VOTE FOR KENNEDY OR NIXON INTERESTED IN 1962 POLITICAL CAMPAIGN USUALLY FOLLOW POLITICS CLOSELY VOTED IN 1962, AND PARTY PREFERENCE DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN AND FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN-AT-LARGE XMOST IMPORTANT REASON R VOTED FOR HIM XWHAT TIME BEFORE ELECTION DID R DECIDE HIS VOTE WAS KENNEDY INTERESTED IN THE ELECTION HERE XWHAT WAS THE NATURE OF KENNEDY'S INTEREST X1962 STATE AND LOCAL ELECTION VOTE
	POLITICAL BEHAVIOR
620049 620050 620051 620052 620053 620054	HELP PARTYTALK TO PEOPLE HELP PARTYGIVE MONEY HELP PARTYGO TO POLITICAL MEETINGS HELP PARTYWORK FOR CANDIDATE HELP PARTYBELONG TO POLITICAL CLUB HELP PARTYWEAR CAMPAIGN BUTTON
	DOMESTIC ISSUES
620055 620056 620057	SHOULD THE GOVERNMENT GIVE MONEY FOR SCHOOLS XSHOULD THE GOVERNMENT AID RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT GOVERNMENT MEDICAL AID
620058 620059	XSHOULD THE GOVERNMENT GIVE MEDICAL AID XREASONS GOVERNMENT SHOULD(N'T) GIVE MEDICAL AID *
620060 620061 620062 620063	XWHICH PARTY FAVORS MEDICAL AID OPINION ABOUT GOVERNMENT INTEGRATING SCHOOLS XSHOULD GOVERNMENT INTEGRATE SCHOOLS DOES R KNOW ABOUT GOVERNMENT AIR SAFETY
	EMPLOYMENT OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD
620064 620065 620066 620067 620068 620070 620071 620072 620073 620074 620075 620077 620077 620078 620079 620080 620081	OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD * INDUSTRY OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD XHOW LONG HAS HEAD BEEN UNEMPLOYED XDOES HEAD WORK FOR HIMSELF OR ANOTHER XNUMBER OF HEAD'S EMPLOYERS, 1950 ON XRATE OF PAY FOR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION XHOW IS PAY HERE FOR HEAD'S OCCUPATION XHEAD'S EXPERIENCE WITH UNEMPLOYMENT XWAS HEAD UNEMPLOYED IN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS XWEEKS HEAD UNEMPLOYED IN THE LAST YEAR XREASON HEAD IS/WAS UNEMPLOYED 1962 GROSS FAMILY INCOME EDUCATION OF HEAD MARITAL STATUS OF HEAD EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENT OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENT * INDUSTRY OF RESPONDENT XDOES RESPONDENT WORK FOR HIMSELF OR ANOTHER
620083 620084 620085	XNUMBER OF CHILDREN (WIFE) EVER HAD XHOW MANY CHILDREN DO YOU EXPECT XNUMBER OF CHILDREN LIKELY TO HAVE Page 8

Page 8

	int1962.txt
620086	XNUMBER OF YEARS BEFORE NEXT CHILD
620087	XNUMBER OF YEARS BEFORE FINISH FAMILY
620088	NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER 18 IN FAMILY
620089	XAGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD
620090	NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS
620091	IS R EITHER MIDDLE CLASS OR WORKING CLASS
620092	XR'S CHOSEN SOCIAL CLASS AND WHETHER
	UPPER OR LOWER PART
620093	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE *
620094	DOES RESPONDENT GO TO CHURCH REGULARLY
620095	WERE YOU BORN IN THE UNITED STATES
620096	XARE YOU A UNITED STATES CITIZEN
620097	RACE OF RESPONDENT
620098	SEX OF RESPONDENT
620099	TYPE OF LIVING STRUCTURE
620100	DESCRIPTION OF R'S NEIGHBORHOOD
620101	NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS
620102	LIFE CYCLE STAGE OF RESPONDENT