Version 01 Codebook
----CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1966 POST-ELECTION STUDY
(1966.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES 1966 POST ELECTION STUDY

CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER (504)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
WALTER MURPHY, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

JOSEPH TANENHAUS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

NOV. 9, 1966 - JAN. 31, 1967

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 7259

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS
(PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY
THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL
RESEARCH. THE DATA WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY
THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM (UNIVERSITY OF
MICHIGAN), WALTER MURPHY (PRINCETON UNIVERSITY),
AND JOSEPH TANENHAUS (UNIVERSITY OF IOWA).
NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA
NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY
FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE
THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH
ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED
TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE
CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA
WERE USED.

CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1966.cbk)

- >> 1966 STUDY DESCRIPTION
- >> 1966 SAMPLING, INTERVIEW, AND CODING INFORMATION
- >> 1966 PROCESSING INFORMATION
- >> 1966 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
- >> 1966 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1966 variables

APPENDICES (file app1966.cbk)

- >> 1966 STANDARD PSU CODE
- >> 1966 SAMPLING COUNTY CODE
- >> 1966 ICPSR COUNTY CODE
- >> 1966 ICPSR STATE AND COUNTRY CODE
- >> REF. NO. 19 NOTE, 1966
- >> THREE MENTIONS NOTE, 1966
- >> PRIORITY CODE NOTE, 1966
- >> 1966 CANDIDATE LISTS
- >> GROUP THERMOMETER NOTE, 1966
- >> REF. NOS. 140-143 NOTE, 1966
- >> VAR 660140, 660141, 660142 NOTE
- >> STRENGTH OF FEELING NOTE, 1966
- >> VAR 66144 NOTE
- >> VAR 66172 NOTE
- >> VAR 660174 NOTE
- >> LEVEL OF EDUCATION NOTE, 1966
- >> 1966 COLLEGE LIST
- >> 1966 POLITICAL BEHAVIOR CODE
- >> OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD NOTE, 1966
- >> 1966 CENSUS INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION
- >> CENSUS BUREAU OCCUPATION CODES, 1966
- >> REF. NOS. 205-214 NOTE, 1966
- >> UNION CODE NOTE, 1966
- >> 1966 UNION CODE
- >> MAJOR PROBLEMS CODE, 1966

>> 1966 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1966 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY (SRC 504) IS THE NINTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM SINCE 1948. IT CONSISTS OF 1291 POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWS OBTAINED BETWEEN NOV. 9, 1966, AND JAN. 31, 1967, FROM A RANDOM

Page 3

PROBABILITY SAMPLE OF CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES (EXCLUDING ALASKA AND THE INHABITANTS OF INSTITUTIONS, HOTELS, ROOMING HOUSES, ETC.). THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED IN COOPERATION WITH THE SRC ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR PROGRAM WHICH WAS GATHERING DATA FOR ITS REGULAR QUARTERLY SURVEY ON THE CONSUMER OUTLOOK.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS DIVIDED INTO FOUR PARTS: SECTION A (QUESTIONS OF A POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC NATURE ANSWERED BY ALL OF THE RESPONDENTS), SECTION B (ECONOMIC QUESTIONS), SECTION C (POLITICAL QUESTIONS), AND SECTION D (PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONS). THIS CODEBOOK IS A SUB-SET OF THE ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE. SECTION B QUESTIONS, SPONSORED BY THE SRC ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR PROGRAM, HAVE BEEN OMITTED FROM THE CODEBOOK. INCLUDED, HOWEVER, ARE ALL THE QUESTIONS IN SECTIONS A, C, AND D WHICH WERE DESIGNED TO INVESTIGATE POLITICAL ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIORS, ESPECIALLY THOSE RELATING TO THE 1966 CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION.

THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR SECTIONS A, C, AND D COMPRISED BOTH CLOSED AND OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS. THE STUDY INCLUDES OPINIONS ON THE VIETNAM WAR, THE SCHOOL PRAYER ISSUE,
THE CHANGE IN THE NEGROES' POSITION, THE POWER OF THE FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT, AND THE GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN SCHOOL INTEGRATION.
IN ADDITION TO EVALUATING THE FINANCIAL SITUATION OF HIS
FAMILY UNIT, THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED HIS THOUGHTS ON SUCH
NATIONAL ECONOMIC FACTORS AS FUTURE PRICE CHANGES, BUSINESS
CONDITIONS AND UNEMPLOYMENT. QUESTIONS MEASURING THE RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL EFFICACY, TRUST IN GOVERNMENT, AND LOCAL AND
NATIONAL COMPETENCE ARE INCLUDED AS WELL AS "FEELING THERMOMETER" VARIABLES ABOUT RELIGIOUS, ECONOMIC AND RACIAL
GROUPS IN THE SOCIETY.

THE RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE WAS TAPPED BY VARI-ABLES SUCH AS KNOWLEDGE OF NAME, PARTY, INCUMBENCY STATUS OF THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES AND KNOWLEDGE OF THE 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN FOR THE CONGRESSIONAL, SENATORIAL AND GUBERNATORIAL ELECTORAL CONTESTS. THE RESPONDENT'S GENERAL INVOLVEMENT IN THE ELECTION WAS EXPLORED BY ASKING HIM WHETHER HE CONTRIBUTED TO A CAMPAIGN, WHETHER HE WAS CONTACTED BY THE POLITICAL PARTIES, WHETHER HE VOTED AND IF HE VOTED, WHEN,

WHERE AND HOW HE VOTED (STRAIGHT OR SPLIT TICKET; AND MACHINE, PAPER BALLOT OR ABSENTEE). THE STUDY ALSO INCLUDED VARIABLES DEALING WITH INDICATORS OF PERSONAL POLITICAL COMPETENCE AND

ATTITUDES TOWARD THE SUPREME COURT. THEY WERE SPONSORED BY PROFESSORS WALTER MURPHY OF PRINCETON UNIVERSITY AND JOSEPH TANENHAUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA UNDER A GRANT FROM THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION. IN ADDITION TO THE QUESTIONS WHICH WERE FORMULATED TO TAP THE RESPONDENT'S KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE SUPREME COURT, THERE ARE THOSE WHICH SOUGHT TO EXPLORE THE RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE COURT, ITS ROLE IN GOVERNMENT AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO VARIOUS GROUPS AND ISSUES. THE

PERSONAL DATA SECTION OBTAINED INFORMATION ON THE RELIGION, CHURCH ATTENDANCE, SOCIAL CLASS, INCOME, EDUCATION, AND MATRILINEAL AND PATRILINEAL ORIGINS OF THE RESPONDENT. THIS SECTION ALSO INQUIRED ABOUT THE EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND OCCU-

PATION OF THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD AS WELL AS UNION AFFILIATION AND LENGTH OF UNION MEMBERSHIP OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS.

>> 1966 SAMPLING, INTERVIEW, AND CODING INFORMATION

THE SAMPLE FOR THE STUDY WAS SO DRAWN THAT REPRESENTA-TIVE SUBSAMPLES WERE OBTAINED FROM EACH OF THE FOUR MAJOR REGIONS OF THE UNITED STATES. THE SAMPLE MAY ALSO BE CONSIDERED REPRESENTATIVE OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS IN THE UNITED STATES. THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE INVOLVED A CONTROLLED PROBABILITY SELECTION OF HOUSEHOLDS (DEFINED AS RELATED MEMBERS LIVING TOGETHER) IN 74 PRIMARY SAMPLING AREAS AND THEN, AFTER THE INTERVIEWER OBTAINED A LISTING OF THE CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE IN THE HOUSEHOLD, A FURTHER CONTROLLED PROBABILITY SELECTION OF ONE AS THE ELECTION STUDY RESPONDENT TOOK PLACE. OBJECTIONS TO THIS PROCEDURE OF OBTAINING ONE INTERVIEW PER HOUSEHOLD COULD BE RAISED ON THE GROUNDS THAT IT OVER-REPRESENTS SINGLE PERSONS. HOWEVER, WHEN THE UNWEIGHTED FREQUENCIES FOR CERTAIN VARIABLES (SEX, RACE, AGE, ETC.) ARE COMPARED WITH THE SAME FREQUENCIES WEIGHTED BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND WITH THE NATIONAL STATISTI-CAL PARAMETERS FOR THESE VARIABLES, NO EVIDENCE OF ANY SIGNIFICANT BIAS APPEARS IN THE SAMPLE. THUS, THE COLLEC-TION OF INTERVIEWS CAN BE LEGITIMATELY ANALYZED AS THOUGH EACH RESPONDENT HAD AN EQUAL CHANCE OF SELECTION (NO WEIGHTS NECESSARY). NOTES ON THE ESTIMATION OF SAMPLING ERROR FOR A SAMPLE OF THIS SIZE MAY BE FOUND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE ICPSR ANALYSIS BOOK FOR THE 1952-1960 STUDIES.

INTERVIEWS WERE OBTAINED FROM 77% OF THE ELIGIBLE ELECTION STUDY RESPONDENTS, A SLIGHTLY LOWER RATE THAN IS USUAL FOR SRC SURVEYS. INCLUDED IN THE NON-RESPONSE CATEGORY ARE NOT ONLY REFUSALS (14%), BUT ALSO NO ONE AT HOME AFTER REPEATED CALLS (4.3%), AND MISCELLANEOUS REASONS FOR NO INTERVIEW (4.7%), SUCH AS ILLNESS, SENILITY, LANGUAGE DIFFICULTY, ETC. THREE PERCENT OF THE ELECTION STUDY RESPONDENTS WERE NOT ASKED THE ECONOMIC SECTION SINCE THE ECONOMIC INTERVIEWS WERE ONLY ADMINISTERED TO THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD OR HIS WIFE. THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF THE COMBINED POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC INTERVIEWS WAS 93 MINUTES.

THE INTERVIEWS WERE CODED BY THE SRC CODING SECTION UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM STAFF MEMBERS, AND THE USUAL PRACTICE OF CHECK-CODING ONE OUT OF EVERY TEN INTERVIEWS WAS FOLLOWED. THIS RESULTED IN THE CALCULATION OF AN AVERAGE CODING ERROR OF 3.5 ERRORS PER INTERVIEW, MOSTLY IN THE MORE DIFFICULT CODES SUCH AS MAJOR PROBLEMS AND PARTY DIFFERENCES QUESTIONS.

>> 1966 PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO ICPSR STANDARD PROCESSING PROCEDURES. WHERE APPLICABLE, THE CODE CATEGORIES WERE RECODED TO CONFORM TO ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENT AND ILLEGAL CODES, AND, WHENEVER FOUND, THE LATTER MISTAKES WERE CORRECTED BY REFERRING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES.

IN THE CONTENTS SECTION, AN ASTERISK APPEARS AFTER EACH VARIABLE HAVING A SPECIAL ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODE. THOSE VARIABLE NAMES PRECEDED BY AN X DESIGNATE A VARIABLE DEPENDENT ON SOME OTHER VARIABLE DUE TO THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE.

FREQUENCIES FOR MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLES ARE CUMU-LATIVE.

INFORMATION SET IN SLASHES (//) WILL BE FOUND THROUGHOUT THE CODEBOOK. FOR EXAMPLE: /SCHOOL INTEGRATION. SEE REF. NO. 25 FOR COMPLETE TEXT./ THIS REPRESENTS AT ALL TIMES INFORMATION ADDED BY THE STUDY PROCESSOR IN THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE CODEBOOK.

>> 1966 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

1	========	=======================================
2	VAR 480026	NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3		COLUMNS 61 - 61
4		NUMERIC
5		MD=0 OR GE 8
6		
7		Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8		TO VOTE.
9		
10		
11	8	2 1. YES
12	14	9 2. NO
1.3		

- 14 0 8. DK 15 9 9. NA 16 422 0. INAP., R VOTED
- Line 2 VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.
- Line 3 COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).
- Line 4 CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal
 rather than integer variable, the numer of decimal places is
 also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")
- Line 5 Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).
- Line 9 A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.
- Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1966 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE VARIABLE LABEL

VERSION NES VERSION NUMBER

	int1966.txt
DSETNO	NES DATASET NUMBER
660001	ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER
660002	INTERVIEW NUMBER
	STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING
660003	PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND PLACE IDENTIFICATION
660004	SAMPLING COUNTY CODE
660005	ICPSR COUNTY CODE *
	BELT CODE
660006	
660007	SIZE CODE FOR SURVEY OF CONSUMER FINANCES
660008 660009	SIZE CODE FOR INTERIM SURVEYS DISTANCE TO CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA
660010	STATE OF INTERVIEW *
660011	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW
660012	DWELLING UNIT NUMBER
660013	INTERVIEWER NUMBER
660014	ADULT COMPOSITION OF PRIMARY FAMILY UNIT (PFU)
660015	ADULT COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY FAMILY UNIT (SFU)
660016	POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN PFU
660017	DATE OF INTERVIEW
660018	CODER
000010	00221
	MAJOR PROBLEMS
660019	
660020	TYPE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION R WANTS
	DOMED OF BEDERAL COMEDIMENTS
	POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
660021	R'S OPINION ON POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
660022	
660023	R'S POSITION ON POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
660024	FEDERAL POWER-REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST
	SCHOOL INTEGRATION
660005	
660025	SCHOOL INTEGRATION IS GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS?
660026	SCHOOL INTEGRATION-R'S COMMENTS
660027	R CERTAIN SCHOOL INTEGRATION IS (NOT) GOVERN-
660000	MENT'S BUSINESS
660028	R'S POSITION ON ISSUE OF SCHOOL INTEGRATION SCHOOL INTEGRATION-REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST
660029	SCHOOL INTEGRATION-REP OR DEM WOOLD HANDLE BEST SCHOOL PRAYER
	SCHOOL PRAIER
660030	R'S OPINION ON SCHOOL PRAYER ISSUE
660031	SCHOOL PRAYER-R'S COMMENTS
660032	R CERTAIN SCHOOL PRAYER SHOULD (NOT) BE ALLOWED
660033	
660034	
	VIETNAM
660025	MIDENIAM INTERNETION DIGUE OF PROVI
660035	VIETNAM INTERVENTION-RIGHT OR WRONG
660036	VIETNAM-WHAT SHOULD U.S. DO?
660037	VIETNAM-R'S COMMENTS
660038	VIETNAM-REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST
	DICCED WAD

BIGGER WAR

	int1966.txt
660078	CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION-TO WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE
660079	CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION-WOULD R HAVE GIVEN IF CONTACTED
660080	PARTY DIFFERENCES-WHICH PARTY MENTIONED BY R
660081	PARTY DIFFERENCES-CONTENT OF R'S RESPONSE
660082 660083	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION * R IS REGULAR VOTER IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
660084	R ALWAYS VOTES FOR SAME PARTY
660085	R'S VOTE IN 1964 ELECTION
660086 660087	DID R VOTE IN PRIMARY R'S INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGNS
000007	
	CONGRESSIONAL, SENATORIAL AND GUBERNATORIAL RACES
660088	KNOWLEDGE OF DEM CANDIDATE FOR CONGRESS
660089	KNOWLEDGE OF REP CANDIDATE FOR CONGRESS
660090	KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES-NUMBER OF CORRECT NAMES AND PARTIES
660091	DEM AND/OR REP CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES IN
660000	DISTRICT
660092	R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDACY PATTERNS IN DISTRICT
660093	WHICH CANDIDATE INCUMBENT CONGRESSMAN
660094	R'S KNOWLEDGE OF INCUMBENT CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
660095	INCUMBENCY STATUS OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
660096	REPUBLICAN % OF CONGRESSIONAL VOTE
660097 660098	CONGRESSIONAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN GUBERNATORIAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN
660099	SENATORIAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN
660100	WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN BEFORE ELECTION
660101	WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN AFTER ELECTION

VOTING: WHERE, HOW, FOR WHOM

660102 660103	DID R VOTE IN 1966 ELECTION R VOTED IN WHICH DISTRICT
660104	STATE OF ABSENTEE VOTE *
660105	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF ABSENTEE VOTE
660106	VOTE BY VOTING MACHINE OR BY PAPER(BALLOT)
660107	DID R VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR GOVERNOR
660108	WHICH GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660109	DID R VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATOR
660110	WHICH SENATORIAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660111	DID R VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR U.S. CONGRESSMAN
660112	WHICH CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660113	DID R VOTE STRAIGHT OR SPLIT TICKET FOR OTHER
	STATE AND LOCAL OFFICES
660114	SPLIT TICKET PATTERNS

POLITICAL EFFICACY

660115	PEOPLE LIKE ME HAVE NO POLITICAL POWER
660116	VOTING EFFECTIVE POLITICAL WEAPON
660117	POLITICS COMPLICATED FOR PEOPLE
660118	PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE

FEELINGS TOWARDS GROUPS - 'FEELING THERMOMETER'
Page 10

660119	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS BIG BUSINESS
660120	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LIBERALS
660121	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS CATHOLICS
660122	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LAWYERS
660123	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS PROTESTANTS
660124	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS DEMOCRATS
660125	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS POLICEMEN
660126	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS JEWS
660127	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LABOR UNIONS
660128	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS WHITES
660129	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS REPUBLICANS
660130	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS NEGROES
660131	R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS CONSERVATIVES
660132	POLICEMEN-ARE ANY R'S FRIENDS OR RELATIVES
660133	LAWYERS-ARE ANY R'S FRIENDS OR RELATIVES
660134	R EVER TAKEN PART IN TRIAL
660135	WHICH OCCUPATIONS R ADMIRES MOST
000100	
	TRUST IN PEOPLE (ROSENBERG)
660136	PEOPLE CAN (NOT) BE TRUSTED-R'S OPINION
660137	PEOPLE SELFISH OR HELPFUL-R'S OPINION
000107	
660138	R'S ESTIMATE OF POLITICAL POWER OF PEOPLE LIKE
	HIMSELF
	SUPREME COURT OUESTIONS
	~
660139	COVER SHEET
660140	SUPREME COURT-ANYTHING R DISLIKES
660141	SUPREME COURT-STRENGTH OF R'S DISLIKES
660142	SUPREME COURT-ANYTHING R LIKES
660143	SUPREME COURT-STRENGTH OF R'S LIKES
660144	SUPREME COURT-ROLE IN THE GOVERNMENT
660145	SUPREME COURT-HOW GOOD DOES ITS JOB
660146	SUPREME COURT-TOO MIXED UP IN POLITICS
660147	SUPREME COURT-R (NOT) SURE IT'S TOO MIXED UP
660148	IN POLITICS WHICH D TRUCKS MODE: SUPPEME COURT OF CONCRESS
660149	WHICH R TRUSTS MORE: SUPREME COURT OR CONGRESS TRUST MORE SUPREME COURT OR CONGRESS-R IS HOW
000149	SURE
660150	SUPREME COURT FAVORS SOME GROUPS
660151	SUPREME COURT FAVORS WHICH GROUPS
660152	OPEN HOUSING-R AGREES OR DISAGREES
660153	OPEN HOUSING-STRENGTH OF R'S OPINION
660154	OPEN HOUSING-DEPENDS ON WHAT
660155	SUPREME COURT AGAINST OPEN HOUSING-R'S FEELINGS
660156	SUPREME COURT AGAINST OPEN HOUSING-R'S VIEW OF
	COURT
660157	SUPREME COURT FAVORS OPEN HOUSING-R'S FEELINGS
660158	SUPREME COURT FAVORS OPEN HOUSING-R'S VIEW OF
	COURT
660159	FREEDOM TO SELL INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S OPINION
660160	FREEDOM TO SELL INDECENT MAGAZINES-STRENGTH OF
	R'S OPINION
660161	INDECENT MAGAZINES-SALE DEPENDS ON WHAT
660162	SUPREME COURT AGAINST INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S
	Page 11

Page 11

	int1966.txt
	FEELINGS
660163	SUPREME COURT AGAINST INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S
	VIEW OF COURT
660164	SUPREME COURT FAVORS INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S
	FEELINGS
660165	SUPREME COURT FAVORS INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S
	VIEW OF COURT
660166	NAMES OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
660167	CORRECT NAMES (GIVEN) OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
660168	HAS R HEARD OR READ ABOUT SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
660169	FAVORABLE MENTIONS ABOUT JUSTICES
660170	UNFAVORABLE MENTIONS ABOUT JUSTICES
660171	R HAS READ OR HEARD ABOUT CHANGES IN SUPREME
	COURT MEMBERSHIP
660172	R AGREES OR DISAGREES WITH CHANGES IN SUPREME
	COURT MEMBERSHIP
660173	CAN WE TRUST FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
660174	IMPACT OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON PEOPLE
660175	POLITICAL POWER OF PEOPLE LIKE R
660176	GOVERNMENT RUN BY BIG INTERESTS
660177	ELECTIONS MAKE GOVERNMENT CARE
660178	(NOT) PUNISH PERSON WHO BREAKS LAW AGAINST
	HIS RELIGION
660179	BREAKING LAW AGAINST RELIGION-R'S COMMENTS
660180	POLICE TREATMENT
660181	POLICE TREATMENT IF R WENT TO ELECTED OFFICIAL
660182	BUREAUCRATIC TREATMENT
660183	BUREAUCRATIC TREATMENT IF R WENT TO ELECTED
	OFFICIAL
	LOCAL COMPETENCE
660184	LOCAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION
660185	LOCAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION
660186	LOCAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT
660187	HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE LOCAL DECISION
	NATIONAL COMPETENCE
660188	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION
660189	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION
660189 660190	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT
660189	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION
660189 660190	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS
660189 660190	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT
660189 660190 660191	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA
660189 660190 660191	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION *
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197 660198	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED *
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197 660198 660199	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED * HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197 660198 660199 660200	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED * HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WORKS FOR SELF OR OTHER
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197 660198 660199	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED * HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WORKS FOR SELF OR OTHER HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-POLITICAL
660189 660190 660191 660193 660193 660194 660195 660197 660198 660199 660200 660201	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED * HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WORKS FOR SELF OR OTHER HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-POLITICAL BEHAVIOR OCCUPATION CODE *
660189 660190 660191 660192 660193 660194 660195 660196 660197 660198 660199 660200	FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS PERSONAL DATA R'S DATE OF BIRTH R'S MARITAL STATUS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD R'S LIFE CYCLE R'S EDUCATION * COLLEGE R ATTENDED * HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WORKS FOR SELF OR OTHER HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-POLITICAL

Page 12

	int1966.txt
660203	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY
660204	CODE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-DUNCAN SOCIO- ECONOMIC STATUS SCALE
660205	(QUESTIONS FOR FARMERS) R'S FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME FARMER
660206 660207 660208 660209 660210 660211 660212 660213 660214	FARMER'S PART-TIME JOB HOURS PER WEEK SPENT ON PART-TIME JOB WEEKS PER YEAR SPENT ON PART-TIME JOB OWN, MANAGE OR RENT FARM AMOUNT OF LAND FARMED TYPE OF FARMING DONE * FARM PRICE MOVEMENT IN PAST FOUR YEARS EFFECT OF GOVERNMENT POLICY ON CROP PRICES FARM SUBSIDIZATION-DOES R AGREE OR DISAGREE
	PERSONAL DATA (CONT.)
660215 660216 660217 660218 660219 660220 660221 660222 660223 660224 660225 660226 660227 660228 660227 660228 660229 660230 660231 660232 660233 660234 660235 660235 660237 660238	WHO IN HOUSEHOLD A UNION MEMBER UNION AFFILIATION OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS * LENGTH OF UNION MEMBERSHIP R'S SOCIAL CLASS IDENTIFICATION-MIDDLE CLASS OR WORKING CLASS R'S SOCIAL CLASS-OWN RANKING R'S SOCIAL CLASS-BACKGROUND R'S CHURCH PREFERENCE * CHURCH ATTENDANCE WHERE WAS R BORN * GENERATIONS IN UNITED STATES PATRILINEAL ORIGIN * MATRILINEAL ORIGIN * NATIONALITY OF GRANDFATHER * FATHER'S OCCUPATION WHILE R WAS GROWING UP FARM FINANCIAL SITUATION-R IS A FARMER'S SON AREA WHERE R GREW UP * R'S AGE WHEN HE MOVED HERE SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP LENGTH OF CURRENT RESIDENCE AREA OF PREVIOUS RESIDENCE EXPECTED 1966 INCOME * R'S SEX R'S RACE R'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD *
660239 660240 660241 660242	OTHER PERSONS PRESENT DURING INTERVIEW R'S COOPERATION R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS R'S LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFORMATION